where e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n are iid with common pdf f(x) and with support $(-\infty, \infty)$. Then the common pdf of X_i is $f_X(x;\theta) = f(x-\theta)$. We call model (6.2.7) a **location** model. Assume that f(x) satisfies the regularity conditions. Then the information

$$I(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f'(x-\theta)}{f(x-\theta)} \right)^2 f(x-\theta) dx$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^2 f(z) dz, \tag{6.2.8}$$

where the last equality follows from the transformation $z=x-\theta$. Hence, in the location model, the information does not depend on θ .

As an illustration, reconsider Example 6.1.1 concerning the Laplace distribution. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from this distribution. Then it follows that

X_i can be expressed as

$$X_i = \theta + e_i, \tag{6.2.9}$$

where e_1, \ldots, e_n are iid with common pdf $f(z) = 2^{-1} \exp\{-|z|\}$, for $-\infty < z < \infty$. As we did in Example 6.1.1, use $\frac{d}{dz}|z| = \operatorname{sgn}(z)$. Then $f'(z) = -2^{-1}\operatorname{sgn}(z) \exp\{-|z|\}$ and, hence, $[f'(z)/f(z)]^2 = [-\operatorname{sgn}(z)]^2 = 1$, so that

$$I(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^2 f(z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) dz = 1.$$
 (6.2.10)

Note that the Laplace pdf does not satisfy the regularity conditions, but this argument can be made rigorous; see Huber (1981) and also Chapter 10. \blacksquare

From (6.2.6), for a sample of size 1, say X_1 , Fisher information is the variance of the random variable $\frac{\partial \log f(X_1;\theta)}{\partial \theta}$. What about a sample of size n? Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution having pdf $f(x;\theta)$. The likelihood $L(\theta)$ is the pdf of the random sample, and the random variable whose variance is the information in the sample is given by

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta}.$$

The summands are iid with common variance $I(\theta)$. Hence the information in the sample is

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta}\right) = nI(\theta). \tag{6.2.11}$$

Thus the information in a random sample of size n is n times the information in a sample of size 1. So, in Example 6.2.1, the Fisher information in a random sample of size n from a Bernoulli $b(1,\theta)$ distribution is $n/[\theta(1-\theta)]$.

We are now ready to obtain the Rao-Cramér lower bound, which we state as a

6.2. Rao-Cramér Lower Bound and Efficiency

Theorem 6.2.1 (Rao-Cramér Lower Bound). Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid with common $pdf f(x;\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Omega$. Assume that the regularity conditions (R0)-(R4) hold. Let $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ be a statistic with mean $E(Y) = E[u(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)] = k(\theta)$. Then

$$Var(Y) \ge \frac{[k'(\theta)]^2}{nI(\theta)}. (6.2.12$$

Proof: The proof is for the continuous case, but the proof for the discrete case is quite similar. Write the mean of Y as

$$k(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1; \theta) \cdots f(x_n; \theta) dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

Differentiating with respect to θ , we obtain

$$k'(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \left[\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{f(x_i; \theta)} \frac{\partial f(x_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

$$\times f(x_1; \theta) \cdots f(x_n; \theta) dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \left[\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(x_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

$$\times f(x_1; \theta) \cdots f(x_n; \theta) dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$
 (6

Define the random variable Z by $Z = \sum_{1}^{n} [\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)/\partial \theta]$. We know from (6.2.2) and (6.2.11) that E(Z) = 0 and $\operatorname{Var}(Z) = nI(\theta)$, respectively. Also, equation (6.2.13) can be expressed in terms of expectation as $k'(\theta) = E(YZ)$. Hence we have

$$k'(\theta) = E(YZ) = E(Y)E(Z) + \rho\sigma_Y \sqrt{nI(\theta)},$$

where ρ is the correlation coefficient between Y and Z. Using E(Z) = 0, this simplifies to

$$\rho = \frac{k'(\theta)}{\sigma_Y \sqrt{nI(\theta)}}.$$

Because $\rho^2 \le 1$, we hav

$$\frac{[k'(\theta)]^2}{\sigma_{2,n}^2 I(\theta)} \le 1,$$

which, upon rearrangement, is the desired result.

Corollary 6.2.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.2.1, if $Y = u(X_1, ..., X_n)$ is an unbiased estimator of θ , so that $k(\theta) = \theta$, then the Rao-Cramér inequality becomes

$$Var(Y) \ge \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$$
.