

Math 4317 (Prof. Swiech, S'18): HW #1

Peter Williams

1/25/2018

Section 1

F. Show that the symmetric difference D , defined in the preceding exercise is also given by $D = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$. Show $D = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$:

First, $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \implies x \in (A \setminus B)$ or $x \in (B \setminus A) \implies$, x is in A but not B , or, x is in B but not $A \implies x$ is in A or B but not in A and $B \implies x \in (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$.

In the other direction, $x \in (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B) \implies x \in (A \cup B)$ but not in $(A \cap B) \implies x$ is in A but not B , or, x is in B but not $A \implies x \in (A \setminus B)$ or $x \in (B \setminus A) \implies x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \implies (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$

I. If $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ is a collection of sets, and if E is any set, show that:

$$(i) \ E \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (E \cap A_j), \text{ and } (ii), \ E \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (E \cup A_j)$$

- (i) $x \in E \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ and $x \in \{A_1 \text{ or } A_2 \dots \text{or } A_n\} \implies x \in E$ and that there exists for some $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ an A_j such that $x \in A_j$ and $x \in E \implies (x \in E \text{ and } A_1) \text{ or } (x \in E \text{ and } A_2) \dots \text{ or } (x \in E \text{ and } A_n) \implies x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n (E \cap A_j)$.

In the other direction, $x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n (E \cap A_j) \iff x \in (E \cap A_1) \cup (E \cap A_2) \dots \cup (E \cap A_n) \implies x \in E$ and A_1 or E and $A_2 \dots \implies$ there exists a $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $x \in (E \cap A_j) \implies x \in E$ and $x \in A_1$ or A_2, \dots , or $A_n \implies x \in E$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j$.

- (ii) $x \in E \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ or $x \in A_1$ or $A_2 \dots$ or $A_n \implies$ for some $j = 1, \dots, n$ that $x \in E \cup A_j \implies x \in E \cup A_1$ or $x \in E \cup A_2 \dots$ or $x \in E \cup A_n \implies x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n (E \cup A_j)$. In the other direction, $x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n (E \cup A_j) \iff x \in E \cup A_1$ or $x \in E \cup A_2 \dots$ or $x \in E \cup A_n \implies$ there exists some $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $x \in E \cup A_j \implies (x \in E \text{ or } x \in A_1) \text{ or } (x \in E \text{ or } x \in A_2) \dots \text{ or } (x \in E \text{ or } x \in A_n) \implies x \in E$ or $x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j$.

J. If $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ is a collection of sets, and if E is any set, show that:

$$(i) \ E \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j = \bigcap_{j=1}^n (E \cap A_j), \text{ and } (ii), \ E \cup \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j = \bigcap_{j=1}^n (E \cup A_j)$$

- (i) $x \in E \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ and $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ and $x \in A_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n \implies x \in E$ and $[x \in A_1 \text{ and } x \in A_2 \dots \text{ and } x \in A_n] \implies [x \in E \text{ and } A_1] \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } [x \in E \text{ and } A_n] \implies x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n (E \cap A_j)$. In the other direction, $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n (E \cap A_j) \implies x \in (E \cap A_1)$ and $x \in (E \cap A_2) \dots$ and $x \in (E \cap A_n) \implies x \in (E \cap A_j)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n \implies x \in E$ and $x \in A_1$ and $x \in A_2 \dots$ and $x \in A_n \implies x \in E$ and $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j$.

- (ii) $x \in E \cup \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ or $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ or $[x \in A_1 \text{ and } x \in A_2 \dots \text{ and } x \in A_n] \implies x \in E$ or A_1 and $x \in E$ or $A_2 \dots$ and $x \in E$ or $A_n \implies x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n (E \cup A_j)$. In the other direction, $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n (E \cup A_j) \implies x \in (E \cup A_1)$ and $x \in (E \cup A_2) \dots$ and $x \in (E \cup A_n) \implies$ that for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, $x \in (E \cup A_j) \implies x \in E$ or $(x \in A_1 \text{ and } x \in A_2 \dots \text{ and } x \in A_n) \implies x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j$ or $x \in E \implies x \in E \cup \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j$.

K. Let E be a set and $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ be a collection of sets. Establish the De Morgan laws:

$$(i) \ E \setminus \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (E \setminus A_j), \text{ and, } (ii) \ E \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j = \bigcap_{j=1}^n (E \setminus A_j)$$

- (i) $x \in E \setminus \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ but not $(A_1 \text{ and } A_2 \dots \text{ and } A_n) \implies$ there exists a $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $x \in E$ but not $A_j \implies x \in E$ but not A_1 , or $x \in E$ but not A_2, \dots , or $x \in E$ but not

$A_n \implies x \in E \setminus A_1$ or $x \in E \setminus A_2 \dots$ or $x \in E \setminus A_n \implies x \in \cup_{j=1}^n (E \setminus A_j)$. In the other direction, $x \in \cup_{j=1}^n (E \setminus A_j) \implies x \in (E \text{ but not } A_1)$ or $(E \text{ but not } A_2)$ or $(E \text{ but not } A_n) \implies$ there exists $j = 1, \dots, n$, $x \in E$ but not $A_j \implies x \in E$ but not $(A_1 \text{ and } A_2 \dots \text{ and } A_n) \implies x \in E \setminus \cap_{j=1}^n A_j$.

- (ii) $x \in E \setminus \cup_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E$ but A_1 or $A_2 \dots$ or $A_n \implies x \in E$ and $x \notin A_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n \implies x \in E$ but not A_1 , and $x \in E$ but not A_2, \dots , and $x \in E$ but not $A_n \implies x \in (E \setminus A_1)$ and $x \in (E \setminus A_2) \dots$ and $x \in (E \setminus A_n) \implies x \in \cap_{j=1}^n (E \setminus A_j)$. In the other direction, $x \in \cap_{j=1}^n (E \setminus A_j) \implies x \in (E \setminus A_1 \text{ and } E \setminus A_2 \dots \text{ and } E \setminus A_n) \implies x \in E$ but not A_j for all $j = 1, \dots, n \implies x \in E$ but A_1 or $A_2 \dots$ or $A_n \implies x \in E$ but not $\cup_{j=1}^n A_j \implies x \in E \setminus \cup_{j=1}^n A_j$

Section 2

C. Consider the subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $D = \{(x, y) : |x| + |y| = 1\}$. Describe this set in words. Is it a function?

This set consists of points on the line segments connecting a rotated square in the (x, y) plane with vertices $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(-1, 0)$, and $(0, -1)$. If we attempt to define a function, with the elements (x, y) from the set D , i.e. $y = f(x)$, $f : x \rightarrow y$, we have $|x| + |y| = 1 \implies \sqrt{y^2} = 1 - |x| \implies y = \pm\sqrt{(1 - |x|)^2}$. $f(x) = y = \pm\sqrt{(1 - |x|)^2}$ does not fit the definition of a function, since, as an example, the set D includes the elements $(0, 1)$ and $(0, -1)$, which if, f is a function, $f : x \rightarrow y \implies -1 = 1$, which is clearly not true.

E. Prove that if f is an injection from A to B , then $f^{-1} = \{(b, a) : (a, b) \in f\}$ is a function. Then prove it is an injection.

If f is an injection, and $(a, b) \in f$, and $(a', b) \in f$, then $a = a'$. $f^{-1} = \{(b, a) : (a, b) \in f\}$ contains the pair (b, a) and (b, a') , and we know that $a = a'$ from the definition of f , so we can assume that f^{-1} is a function. Since f is injective, each unique element $b = f(a)$, is mapped to by a unique element a , and by definition $f^{-1} = \{(b, a) : (a, b) \in f\}$ maps the unique element b back to a , meaning $f^{-1}(b) = a$ and $f^{-1}(b') = a$ if and only if $b = b'$, thus f^{-1} is also injective.

H. Let f, g be functions such that

$$g \circ f(x) = x, \text{ for all } x \text{ in } D(f)$$

$$f \circ g(y) = y, \text{ for all } y \text{ in } D(g)$$

Prove that $g = f^{-1}$

For two elements $x, x' \in D(f)$, if $f(x) = f(x') \implies g \circ f(x) = g(f(x)) = g(f(x')) \implies g(f(x)) = x = g(f(x')) = x'$, that is $x = x' \implies g \circ f$ is an injection. For two elements $y, y' \in D(g)$, if $g(y) = g(y') \implies f \circ g(y) = f(g(y)) = f(g(y')) \implies f(g(y)) = y = f(g(y')) = y'$, that is $y = y' \implies f \circ g$ is an injection, and implies f and g are injections as well.

This implies g can be defined $g = \{(f(x), x) : (x, f(x)) \in f\}$, which is the definition for f^{-1} , implying $g = f^{-1}$.

J. Let f be the function on \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} given by $f(x) = x^2$, and let $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -1 \leq x \leq 0\}$ and $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$. Then $E \cap F = \{0\}$ and $f(E \cap F) = \{0\}$ while $f(E) = f(F) = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$. Hence $f(E \cap F)$ is a proper subset of $f(E) \cap f(F)$. Now delete 0 from E and F .

The sets E and F with 0 deleted are denoted $E' = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -1 \leq x < 0\}$ and $F' = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x \leq 1\}$, respectively. We still have the equality $f(E') = f(F') = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < y \leq 1\} = f(E') \cap f(F')$. We also have $E' \cap F' = \emptyset$, and thus $f(E' \cap F') = \emptyset$, and $\emptyset = f(E' \cap F') \subseteq f(E') \cap f(F')$, since the empty set is a subset of all sets.

Section 3

B. Exhibit a one-to-one correspondence between the set O of odd natural numbers and \mathbb{N}

The function $f(x) = \frac{x+1}{2}$, $x \in \mathbb{N}$ maps the set of odd natural numbers, $O = \{2k - 1 : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

D. If A is contained in some initial segment of \mathbb{N} , use the well-ordering property of \mathbb{N} to define a bijection of A onto some initial segment of \mathbb{N} .

If $A \neq \emptyset$ is a subset of some initial segment \mathbb{N} , by the well-ordering principle, there exists an $m \in A$ such that $m \leq k$ for all $k \in A$. A bijection f can be defined by the mapping from set A consisting of elements $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ to elements of some initial segment $S_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ as a set of ordered pairs $\{(a_1, 1), (a_2, 2), \dots, (a_k, k)\}$, such that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_k$ and clearly the corresponding pairs, $1 \leq 2 \leq \dots \leq k$, where the number of elements in A and S_k are the same, which has a one-one correspondence $f : A \rightarrow S_k$ and the $R(f) = S_k$.

F. Use the fact that every infinite set has a denumerable subset to show that every infinite set can be put into one-one correspondence with a proper subset of itself.

Notes: The fact that every infinite set has denumerable *implies* there exists a bijective function that maps a proper subset of an infinite set, B , onto \mathbb{N} . One-one correspondence implies injection from proper subset B solution in back (need to check)

H. Show that if the set A can be put into one-one correspondence with a set B , and if B can be put into one-one correspondence with a set C , then A can be put into one-one correspondence with C .

If A can be put into one-one correspondence with a set $B \implies$ there exists an injective function, f from $A \rightarrow B$. This means that for $a, a' \in A$, and $b \in B$, $f(a) = f(a') = b \implies a = a'$. Similarly, if B can be put into one-one correspondence with a set $C \implies$ there exists an injective function, g from $B \rightarrow C$, and with $b, b' \in B$, $g(b) = g(b') = c \in C \implies b = b'$. By these properties, the composition of these two injective functions, $g \circ f(a) = g \circ f(a') \implies f(a) = f(a') \implies a = a'$ putting A and C in one-one correspondence.

I. Using induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, show that the initial segment determined by n cannot be put into one-one correspondence with the initial segment determined by $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $m < n$.

Let $S_n = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ be the initial segment determined by $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and S_m be the initial segment determined by $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m < n$. If S_n can be put into one-one correspondence with $S_m \implies$ there exists an injection $f : S_n \rightarrow S_m$. For $n = 1$ we have $f : \{1\} \rightarrow S_m$, $m < 1$, but S_m does not exist by definition for $m < 1$ implying the function is not valid for the case $n = 1$, $m < n$. For, the case $n = k + 1$, we again have a map $f : \{1, 2, \dots, k + 1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$, $m < k + 1$ which implies a mapping of $k + 1$ elements to $m < k + 1$ elements \implies there exists at least two elements $x, x' \in S_{k+1}$ for which $f(x) = f(x')$ and $x \neq x' \implies$ an injection does not exist between these sets.

Section 4 (C, F, G, H)

Section 5 (B, C, F, G, K, L)

Section 6 (B, C, G, H, J, K)

Section 7 (F, G, K)