

The Finite Curvature Limit (FCL): A Physical Boundary of Ideal Geometry

The Finite Curvature Limit (FCL): A Physical Boundary of Ideal Geometry Abstract We introduce the concept of the Finite Curvature Limit (FCL), a proposed physical constant that demarcates the boundary where idealized mathematical geometry and vibration lose precision in real-world systems. The FCL is not a redefinition of any abstract mathematical constant, such as π , but rather a physical cutoff that quantifies the inherent limitations of physical systems. While pure mathematics posits continuous functions and perfect geometric relationships, real physical systems are subject to constraints such as entropy, damping, and quantization at the Planck scale. The FCL represents the threshold at which these factors cause coherent motion, information propagation, or geometric structure to diverge from ideal mathematical models. Drawing parallels to established concepts like coherence time in wave physics, renormalization cutoffs in quantum field theory, and fundamental measurement limits like the Planck length, the FCL provides a framework for understanding and quantifying the transition from ideal mathematical behavior to constrained physical reality.

Definition: Finite Curvature Limit (FCL) The Finite Curvature Limit (FCL) is a physical boundary constant that describes the point at which ideal mathematical geometry and vibration cease to accurately model the behavior of real-world systems. It functions as a limiting factor on the precision and continuity that can be observed or measured in physical phenomena, from the decay of sound waves in a viscous medium to the resolution limits of geometry at the quantum level. The FCL is not a fixed numerical constant like those found in mathematics but a threshold determined by the specific physical properties of a system, such as its coherence time, energy, and the scale at which it is observed. The concept draws on existing principles in physics, providing a unifying term for the physical constraints that necessitate replacing idealized mathematical descriptions with models that account for finite behavior, entropy, and quantization. As such, the FCL provides a crucial reference for engineers, physicists, and computational systems, defining the domain where abstract mathematical perfection gives way to the bounded, quantifiable nature of physical reality.

1. Introduction Mathematical constants like π and e are abstract and exact, but physical systems are inherently imperfect. The Finite Curvature Limit (FCL) provides a bridge between ideal mathematical constructs and the physical constraints that define the observable universe. It serves as a measurable boundary that quantifies how far ideal geometry can extend before coherence, curvature, or vibrational continuity breaks down.

2. Scientific Context and Related Theories The FCL aligns with several established physics principles:

- Coherence Time (Wave Physics): Defines how long a wave maintains phase consistency before decohering.
- Planck-Scale Limits: Establishes a smallest measurable distance where classical geometry ceases to apply.
- Renormalization (Quantum Field Theory): Introduces finite cutoffs to maintain physical validity and remove divergences. Together, these concepts inform the structure of the FCL, showing that it serves as a unifying constant representing physical continuity limits.

3. Experimental Analogy and Observational Method A vibrating system, such as a glass of water tapped to generate waves, demonstrates the FCL in practice. The decay of coherent oscillations over time, influenced by viscosity, density, and damping, shows the point where ideal mathematical behavior transitions into dissipative physical behavior. This threshold represents a physical cutoff—the FCL for that system.

4. Implications By recognizing finite physical limits to continuity, the FCL offers practical use across disciplines, including metrology, quantum mechanics, AI-based modeling, and advanced simulation systems. It allows developers and engineers to apply finite cutoffs in computational geometry, signal processing, and physics-based rendering with greater physical realism.

5. Acknowledgments and AI Collaboration This conceptual framework was authored and developed by **Kristopher Jacob Watson (Kris Watson)**. The original hypothesis—initially described as "Finite Pi"—was refined into the scientifically neutral term **Finite Curvature Limit (FCL)** through iterative discussions with AI systems for linguistic and conceptual clarity.

- **ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2025)** contributed to translating the original vision into

an academically consistent form, clarifying its relationship to established physics, coherence time, and renormalization cutoffs. - **Gemini (Google DeepMind, 2025)** provided linguistic refinement, ensuring alignment with modern physical terminology and accuracy in framing the concept as a boundary condition rather than a redefined constant. These AI systems acted as linguistic and structural aids under Kris Watson's authorship, helping ensure the integrity and precision of the language while preserving the original concept and authorship.

6. Citations and Sources - Planck Scale and Quantum Gravity: Rovelli, C. (1998). Loop Quantum Gravity. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 1(1), 1. - Coherence and Decoherence: Zeh, H. D. (1970). On the Interpretation of Measurement in Quantum Theory. *Foundations of Physics*, 1, 69–76. - Renormalization Theory: Wilson, K. G. (1971). The Renormalization Group and Critical Phenomena. *Physical Review B*, 4(9), 3174–3183. - Information Limits: Bekenstein, J. D. (1981). Universal Upper Bound on the Entropy-to-Energy Ratio for Bounded Systems. *Physical Review D*, 23(2), 287–298. - AI Collaboration Disclosure: OpenAI. (2025). ChatGPT Model Family. Retrieved from <https://openai.com>

Google DeepMind. (2025). Gemini Language Model Overview. Retrieved from <https://deepmind.google>

7. Suggested Citation: Watson, K. J. (2025). *The Finite Curvature Limit (FCL): A Physical Boundary of Ideal Geometry.* Concept refinement supported by ChatGPT (OpenAI) and Gemini (Google DeepMind).