

Stochastic Processes

18. Blumenthal's 0-1 law and quadratic variation of Brownian Motion

Peter Pfaffelhuber

January 2, 2025

Blumenthal's 0-1-law

All filtrations are assumed to be complete.

- ▶ Theorem 16.1: \mathcal{X} BM, defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, and

$\mathcal{F}_{0+} := \bigcap_{t>0} \sigma(X_s : s \leq t)$. Then, \mathcal{F}_{0+} and

$\mathcal{T} := \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \sigma(X_t : t \geq s)$ are \mathbf{P} -trivial.

- ▶ Proof: $(\sigma(X_s : s \leq t))_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous, so

$\mathcal{F}_{0+} = \sigma(X_0) = \sigma(x)$ is \mathbf{P} -trivial.

Furthermore, let $X'_t = tX_{1/t}$ be another BM. Then,

$$\bigcap_{s \geq 0} \sigma(X_t : t \geq s) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \sigma(tX_{1/t} : t \leq s) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \sigma(X'_t : t \leq s)$$

is \mathbf{P} -trivial.

Quadratic variation

- Definition 16.2: Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$\zeta_n := \{0 = t_{n,0} < t_{n,1} < \dots < t_{n,k_n} = t\}$ with

$\max_k (t_{n,k} - t_{n,k-1}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Define the ℓ -variation of f with respect to $\zeta = (\zeta_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ as

$$\nu_{\ell,t,\zeta}(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{\ell,t,\zeta}^n(f) \text{ with}$$

$$\nu_{\ell,t,\zeta}^n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} |f(t_{n,k}) - f(t_{n,k-1})|^\ell.$$

If the limit is independent of ζ , this is the ℓ -variation and denoted by $\nu_{\ell,t}(f)$.

In addition, ζ is called *ascending* if $\zeta_n \subseteq \zeta_{n+1}$ for all n .

Elementary properties of the ℓ -variation

- Lemma 16.4: f continuous, $t \geq 0$, ζ as above. Then,

$$\nu_{\ell,t,\zeta}(f) < \infty \Rightarrow \nu_{\ell+1,t,\zeta}(f) = 0,$$

$$\nu_{\ell+1,t,\zeta}(f) > 0 \Rightarrow \nu_{\ell,t,\zeta}(f) = \infty.$$

- Proof: 1. Write

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} |f(t_{n,k}) - f(t_{n,k-1})|^{\ell+1} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k |f(t_{n,k}) - f(t_{n,k-1})| \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} |f(t_{n,k}) - f(t_{n,k-1})|^\ell \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since f is uniformly continuous on $[0, t]$.

Quadratic variation of BM

- Theorem 16.5: \mathcal{X} BM, ζ as above. Then,

$$\nu_{2,t,\zeta}^n := \nu_{2,t,\zeta}^n(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} L^2 t.$$

If ζ is ascending, then convergence is also almost surely.

- Proof of L^2 -convergence: Write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[\nu_{2,\zeta}^n] &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbf{E}[(X_{t_{n,k}} - X_{t_{n,k-1}})^2] = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (t_{n,k} - t_{n,k-1}) \mathbf{E}[X_1^2] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (t_{n,k} - t_{n,k-1}) = t,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[(\nu_{2,\zeta}^n - t)^2] &= \mathbf{V}[\nu_{2,\zeta}^n] = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbf{V}[(X_{n,k} - X_{n,k-1})^2] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (t_{n,k} - t_{n,k-1})^2 \mathbf{E}[X_1^4] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.\end{aligned}$$

Quadratic variation of BM

- Theorem 16.5: \mathcal{X} BM, ζ as above. Then,

$$\nu_{2,t,\zeta}^n := \nu_{2,t,\zeta}^n(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} L^2 \ t.$$

If ζ is ascending, then convergence is also almost surely.

- Proof of almost sure convergence: Wlog $\zeta_n \setminus \zeta_{n-1} = \{t_n\}$.

The key is to show that $(\nu_{2,\zeta}^{-n})_{n=\dots,-2,-1}$ is a (backward) martingale, which converges almost surely:

Take time points $0 = r < s < u$ and

$\alpha := X_s - X_r, \beta := X_u - X_s$, since $\beta^2 = (-\beta)^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[(\alpha + \beta)^2 | \alpha^2 + \beta^2] &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}[(\alpha + \beta)^2 + (\alpha - \beta)^2 | \alpha^2 + \beta^2] \\ &= \alpha^2 + \beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

BM has nowhere differentiable paths

- ▶ A BM \mathcal{X} almost certainly has nowhere differentiable paths.

This means that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X_{t+h} - X_t}{h} \text{ exists for some } t > 0\right) = 0.$$