

The background of the slide features a large, faint watermark of the University of Basel seal. The seal is circular and contains a central figure, likely a saint or scholar, seated and holding a book. The figure is surrounded by various heraldic symbols, including a crown at the top and a shield at the bottom. The Latin text "SIGILLUM UNIVERSITATIS BASELAE" is inscribed around the perimeter of the seal.

Stochastic Processes

8. Stopped Martingales

Peter Pfaffelhuber

November 17, 2024

Stopping times and stopped processes

- ▶ Remark 14.17: Given $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in I}$, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$ filtration.

A random time is an \bar{I} -valued random variable T .

T is called a stopping time if $\{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for all $t \in I$.

T stopping time defines the σ -algebra

$$\mathcal{F}_T := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, t \in I\}$$

of the T -past.

The hitting time of $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ is $T_B := \inf\{t : X_t \in B\}$.

It is $X_T : \omega \mapsto X_{T(\omega)}(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{X}^T := (X_{T \wedge t})_{t \in I}$.

- ▶ \mathcal{X} adapted, I countable: For $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$, T_B is a stopping time:
$$\{T_B \leq t\} = \bigcup_{s \leq t} \underbrace{\{X_s \in B\}}_{\in \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Optional Stopping Theorem

- Proposition 14.19: $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, T stopping time

$\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in I}$ a (sub, super) martingale

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{X}^T = (X_{T \wedge t})_{t \in I}$ is (sub-, super-) martingale.

Proof for \mathcal{X} sub-martingale: For $\{T > t-1\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[X_{T \wedge t} - X_{T \wedge (t-1)} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] &= \mathbf{E}[(X_t - X_{t-1})1_{\{T > t-1\}} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \\ &= 1_{\{T > t-1\}} \mathbf{E}[X_t - X_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

i.e. \mathcal{X}^T is a submartingale.

Martingale and \mathcal{F}_T

- Lemma 14.20: $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, \mathcal{X} , martingale, $T \leq t$ stopping time. Then $X_T = \mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_T]$.

Since X_T is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_T \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t$ (see Proposition 13.23), it suffices to show

$$\mathbf{E}[X_t; A] = \mathbf{E}[X_T; A], \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_T$$

For $s \in I$, $\{T = s\} \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[X_T; A] &= \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[X_s; \{T = s\} \cap A] = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s]; \{T = s\} \cap A] \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[X_t; \{T = s\} \cap A] = \mathbf{E}[X_t; A]. \end{aligned}$$

Uniform integrability

- Lemma 14.21: $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in I}$ martingale.

Then

$$\mathcal{X} \text{ ui} \iff \{X_T : T \text{ almost surely finite stopping time}\} \text{ ui.}$$

' \Leftarrow ': clear. ' \Rightarrow ': Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ convex, $\frac{f(x)}{x} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \infty$,

$\sup_{t \in I} \mathbf{E}[f(|X_t|)] =: L < \infty$, $T < \infty$ stopping time.

Because $\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{T \wedge t}] = X_{T \wedge t}$ and $\{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_{T \wedge t}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[f(|X_T|), \{T \leq t\}] &= \mathbf{E}[f(|X_{T \wedge t}|), \{T \leq t\}] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[f(|\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{T \wedge t}]|), \{T \leq t\}] \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[f(|X_t|) | \mathcal{F}_{T \wedge t}], \{T \leq t\}] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[f(|X_t|), \{T \leq t\}] \leq L. \end{aligned}$$

Optional Sampling Theorem

- Theorem 14.22: $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $S \leq T < \infty$ stopping times, \mathcal{X} sub-martingale. If T is bounded or \mathcal{X} is uniformly integrable, then X_T is integrable and $X_S \leq \mathbf{E}[X_T | \mathcal{F}_S]$.

Proof for $T \leq t$. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A}$ Doob decomposition. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_S &= M_S + A_S = \mathbf{E}[M_t + A_S | \mathcal{F}_S] \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}[M_t + A_T | \mathcal{F}_S] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_T] + A_T | \mathcal{F}_S] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[M_T + A_T | \mathcal{F}_S] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X_T | \mathcal{F}_S]. \end{aligned}$$

Optional Sampling Theorem

- Theorem 14.22: $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $S \leq T < \infty$ stopping times, \mathcal{X} sub-martingale. If T is bounded or \mathcal{X} is uniformly integrable, then X_T is integrable and $X_S \leq \mathbf{E}[X_T | \mathcal{F}_S]$.

Proof for \mathcal{X} ui martingale, thus $\{X_{S \wedge t}, X_{T \wedge t} : t \in I\}$ ui.

For $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$, $\{S \leq t\} \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge t}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[X_T, A] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[X_{T \wedge t}, \{S \leq t\} \cap A] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X_{T \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge t}], \{S \leq t\} \cap A] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[X_{S \wedge t}, \{S \leq t\} \cap A] = \mathbf{E}[X_S, A].\end{aligned}$$

Characterization of martingales

- Lemma 14.23: $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, \mathcal{X} adapted. Then:

\mathcal{X} martingale $\iff \mathbf{E}[X_S] = \mathbf{E}[X_T]$ for stopping times S, T ,
which only take two values.

' \Rightarrow ': This is clear from the Optional Sampling Theorem. ' \Leftarrow ':

Let $s \leq t$, $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, and $T = s1_A + t1_{A^c}$ be a stopping time
such that

$$0 = \mathbf{E}[X_t - X_T] = \mathbf{E}[X_t] - \mathbf{E}[X_s, A] - \mathbf{E}[X_t, A^c] = \mathbf{E}[X_t - X_s, A].$$

Since A was arbitrary, it follows that $\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s$, so \mathcal{X} is a
martingale.

Wald's Identities

- ▶ $X_1, X_2, \dots \in \mathcal{L}^1$ independent, $\mu := \mathbf{E}[X_1] = \mathbf{E}[X_2] = \dots$, and $S_t := \sum_{s=1}^t X_s$, $T \leq t$ stopping time. Then

$$\mathbf{E}[S_T] = \mathbf{E}[T]\mu.$$

Because \mathcal{M} with $M_0 = 0$, $M_t = S_t - t\mu$ is a martingale, we have

$$0 = \mathbf{E}[M_T] = \mathbf{E}[S_T] - \mathbf{E}[T]\mu.$$

- ▶ $X_1, X_2, \dots \in L^2$ with $\sigma^2 = \mathbf{V}[X_1] = \mathbf{V}[X_2] = \dots$ and T independent, then $\mathbf{V}[S_T] = \mathbf{E}[T]\sigma^2 + \mathbf{V}[T]\mu^2$.

Indeed: $(M_t^2 - \langle M \rangle_t)_{t=0,1,2,\dots}$ martingale with $\langle M \rangle_t = t\sigma^2$, so

$$\mathbf{E}[T]\sigma^2 = \mathbf{E}[M_T^2] = \mathbf{V}[(S_T - T\mu)^2] = \mathbf{V}[S_T] - \mu^2\mathbf{V}[T].$$

Ruin problem

- X_1, X_2, \dots iid,

$$\mathbf{P}(X_1 = 1) = 1 - \mathbf{P}(X_1 = -1) = p := 1 - q \neq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$S_0 = k \text{ and } S_t = S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t X_i,$$

$T := \inf\{t : S_t \in \{0, N\}\}$ and $p_k := \mathbf{P}(S_T = 0)$. Then

$$p_k := \mathbf{P}(S_T = 0) = \frac{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^k - \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^N}{1 - \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^N}.$$

Indeed:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{X_1}\right] = \frac{q}{p}p + \frac{p}{q}q = 1,$$

hence $Y_t := \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_t^{S_t}$ is a martingale. Hence

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^k = \mathbf{E}[Y_0] = \mathbf{E}[Y_T] = p_k + (1 - p_k)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^N.$$