# universität freiburg

## Measure theory for probabilists

Winter semester 2024

Lecture: Prof. Dr. Peter Pfaffelhuber

Assistance: Samuel Adeosun

https://pfaffelh.github.io/hp/2024WS\_measure\_theory.html

https://www.stochastik.uni-freiburg.de/

## Tutorial 8 - Measurable functions and the integral II

Exercise 1 (4 Points).

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}), (\Omega', \mathcal{F}'), (\Omega'', \mathcal{F}'')$  be measurable spaces and  $f: \Omega \to \Omega'$  measurable and  $Z: \Omega \to \Omega''$ . Then, Z is  $\sigma(f)$ -measurable if and only if there is a  $\mathcal{F}'/\mathcal{F}''$ -measurable mapping  $\varphi: \Omega' \to \Omega''$  with  $\varphi \circ f = Z$ .

Solution.

'\(\iffty\)': Assume there is a there is a  $\mathcal{F}'/\mathcal{F}''$ -measurable mapping  $\varphi:\Omega'\to\Omega''$  with  $\varphi\circ f=Z$ , let us show that Z is  $\sigma(f)$ -measurable. That is  $\forall\ A\in\mathcal{F}'',\ Z^{-1}(A)\in\sigma(f)$ .. Since  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{F}'/\mathcal{F}''$ -measurable, then  $\varphi^{-1}(A)\in\mathcal{F}'$ . f is  $\sigma(f)$ -measurable, so  $f^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(A))\in\sigma(f)$ . But  $Z^{-1}=(\varphi\circ f)^{-1}=f^{-1}\circ\varphi^{-1}$ . Hence,  $Z^{-1}(A)\in\sigma(f)$ .

'⇒': It suffices to consider the case  $Z \geq 0$ ; otherwise, we write  $Z = Z^+ - Z^-$ . First, let  $Z = 1_A$  for  $A \in \sigma(f)$ . Then there is an  $A' \in \mathcal{F}'$  with  $f^{-1}(A') = A$ , i.e.  $Z = 1_{f^{-1}(A')} = 1_{A'} \circ f$ , i.e.  $\varphi = 1_{A'}$  fulfills the statement. Due to linearity, the statement is also true for simple functions, i.e. finite linear combinations of indicator functions. In the general case, there are simple functions  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots \geq 0$  with  $Z_n \uparrow Z$ . In addition, there are  $\mathcal{F}'$ -measurable functions  $\varphi_n$  with  $Z_n = \varphi_n \circ f$ . Then  $\varphi = \sup_n \varphi_n$  is again  $\mathcal{F}'$ -measurable and, since  $Z \geq 0$ , and

$$\varphi \circ f = (\sup_{n} \varphi_n) \circ f = \sup_{n} (\varphi_n \circ f) = \sup_{n} Z_n = Z.$$

Exercise 2 (4 Points).

Prove Theorem 3.25

Solution.

Let  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b, and  $f,f_1,f_2,...:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be piecewise continuous. If  $f_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} f$  uniformly, then (using  $\int$  for the Riemann integral)

$$\int_a^b f_n(x)dx \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \int_a^b f(x)dx.$$

We will use the results from Proposition 3.19 here. Since  $f_n$  converges uniformly to f on [a,b], for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer N such that for all  $n \geq N$  and for all  $x \in [a,b]$ :

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon.$$

We need to show that:

$$\left| \int_a^b f_n(x) \, dx - \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \right| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This can be expressed as:

$$\left| \int_a^b (f_n(x) - f(x)) \, dx \right|.$$

By the properties of integrals, we apply the triangle inequality:

$$\left| \int_a^b (f_n(x) - f(x)) \, dx \right| \le \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)| \, dx.$$

Since  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in [a,b]$  when n is sufficiently large, we can bound the integral:

$$\int_{a}^{b} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx < \int_{a}^{b} \epsilon dx = \epsilon (b - a).$$

Thus, for  $n \geq N$ :

$$\left| \int_a^b f_n(x) \, dx - \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \right| < \epsilon (b - a).$$

Since b-a is a positive constant, we can say that:

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f_n(x) \, dx - \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx \right| < \delta,$$

for any  $\delta > 0$  by choosing  $\epsilon$  appropriately. Specifically, we can choose  $\epsilon = \frac{\delta}{b-a}$ . Therefore, we conclude that:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f_n(x) dx \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx.$$

#### Exercise 3 (4 Points).

Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Find  $f,f_1,f_2,... \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$  with  $f_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} f$  almost everywhere, with  $\int f_n d\lambda \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \int f d\mu$ , but the corresponding Riemann integrals do not converge.

Solution.

Let  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}$  if  $x \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  (the rationals within [0,1]) and  $f_n(x) = 0$  otherwise. That is,

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $x \in [0,1]$ : If x is rational,  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ ; If x is not rational,  $f_n(x) = 0$  for all n. Thus,  $f_n(x) \to f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ , which means  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere. The Lebesgue integral of  $f_n$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n d\lambda = \int_{[0,1]} f_n(x) d\lambda = \int_{[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{n} d\lambda = 0,$$

since the set of rational numbers has measure zero. So,  $f, f_1, f_2, ... \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$ . Therefore,  $\int f_n d\lambda \to 0 = \int f d\lambda$ . The function  $f_n$  is discontinuous at every point in [0,1]: At any rational point, it is  $\frac{1}{n}$  (non-zero) but 0 at nearby irrational points. At any irrational point, it is 0, but it takes the value  $\frac{1}{n}$  at rational points nearby. Since  $f_n$  is discontinuous everywhere on [0,1], it is **not Riemann integrable**.

#### Exercise 4 (4 Points).

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with derivative f'. Show that f' is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) - \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R})$ —measurable.

Solution

f is differentiable  $\implies f$  is continuous and thus measurable. We consider:

$$f_n(x) = \frac{f(x + \frac{1}{n}) - f(x)}{\frac{1}{n}};$$

 $f_n$ 's are all measurable functions because f is measurable, and  $x \mapsto x + \frac{1}{n}$  is also measurable. Recall that  $g:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{g(x+h)-g(x)}{h}$  exists, we say that g is differentiable at x, and we say that the above limit is the derivative of g at x, which we write as g'(x). Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x + \frac{1}{n}) - f(x)}{\frac{1}{n}} = f'(x).$$

Hence, f' is measurable as a pointwise limit of measurable functions.