

# Separating classes of functions

May 7, 2024

Our goal is to show that any algebra of functions (defined on a Polish space) which separates points is separating.

**Remark 0.1** (Notation). We will write  $(E, r)$  for some extended pseudo-metric space,  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  for the set of probability measures on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$ ,  $\mathbb{k} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{k})$  the set of  $\mathbb{k}$ -valued bounded continuous functions on  $E$ . For some  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{k})$ , we let  $\mathbf{P}[f] := \int f(x) \mathbf{P}(dx) \in \mathbb{k}$  be the expectation.

## 1 Bounded pointwise convergence

The following is a simple consequence of dominated convergence, and often needed in probability theory.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $E$  be some set and  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots : E \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ . We say that  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  converges to  $f$  boundedly pointwise if  $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f$  pointwise and  $\sup_n \|f_n\| < \infty$ . We write  $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}_{bp} f$ .

lemma:bp

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability (or finite) measure space, and  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  such that  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}_{bp} X$ . Then,  $\mathbf{E}[X_n] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[X]$ .

*Proof.* Note that the constant function  $x \mapsto \sup_n \|f_n\|$  is integrable (since  $\mathbf{P}$  is finite), so the result follows from dominated convergence.  $\square$

In lean this does not exist yet, although dominated convergence exists.

How can one formulate this kind of convergence using filters?

Task: ??

## 2 Almost sure convergence and convergence in probability

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$ , all  $E$ -valued random variables.

The two notions here are denoted  $\forall^m (x : \alpha) \partial \mathbf{P}$ ,  $\text{Filter.Tendsto} (\text{fun } n \Rightarrow X \ n \ x) \text{ Filter.atTop } (\text{nhds } (X \ x))$  and  $\text{MeasureTheory.TendstoInMeasure}$ , respectively.

1. We say that  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} X$  almost everywhere if  $\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X) = 1$ . We also write  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}_{ae} X$ .
2. We say that  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} X$  in probability

(or in measure) if, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(r(X_n, X) > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

l:aep

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be  $E$ -valued random variables with  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}_{ae} X$ . Then,  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}_p X$ .

This is called `MeasureTheory.tendstoInMeasure_of_tendsto_ae` in `mathlib`.

l:puni

**Lemma 2.3** (Uniqueness, limit in probability). Let  $X, Y, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be  $E$ -valued random variables with  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}_p X$  and  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}_p Y$ . Then,  $X = Y$ , almost surely.

*Proof.* We write, using monotone convergence and Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X \neq Y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}(r(X, Y) > \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(r(X, X_n) > \varepsilon/2) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}(r(Y, X_n) > \varepsilon/2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

This does not exist in `mathlib` yet.

### 3 Sup and sum

l:supsum

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $I$  be some (finite or infinite) set and  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  be a family of random variables with values in  $[0, \infty)$ . Then,  $\sup_{t \in I} X_t \leq \sum_{t \in I} X_t$ .

Does this exist in `mathlib`?

### 4 Separating algebras and characteristic functions

**Definition 4.1** (Separating class of functions). Let  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{k})$ .

In `mathlib`, 1. and 3. of the above definition are already implemented:

1. If, for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \neq y$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ , we say that  $\mathcal{M}$  separates points.

2. If, for all  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ ,

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} \text{ iff } \mathbf{P}[f] = \mathbf{Q}[f] \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{M},$$

we say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is separating in  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ .

3. If (i)  $1 \in \mathcal{M}$  and (ii) if  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under sums and products, then we call  $\mathcal{M}$  a (sub-)algebra. If  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$ , and (iii) if  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under complex conjugation, we call  $\mathcal{M}$  a star-(sub-)algebra.

```
structure Subalgebra (R : Type u) (A : Type v) [CommSemiring R] [Semiring A]
  [Algebra R A] extends Subsemiring :
  Type v

abbrev Subalgebra.SeparatesPoints {α : Type u_1} [TopologicalSpace α]
  {R : Type u_2} [CommSemiring R] {A : Type u_3} [TopologicalSpace A]
  [Semiring A] [Algebra R A] [TopologicalSemiring A] (s : Subalgebra R A) :
  Prop
```

The latter is an extension of `Set.SeparatesPoints`, which works on any set of functions.

For the first result, we already need that  $(E, r)$  has a metric structure.

This is now implemented.

l:unique

**Lemma 4.2.**  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{k})$  is separating.

*Proof.* We restrict ourselves to  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{R}$ , since the result for  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$  follows by only using functions with vanishing imaginary part. Let  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . We will prove that  $\mathbf{P}(A) = \mathbf{Q}(A)$  for all  $A$  closed. Since the set of closed sets is a  $\pi$ -system generating the Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra, this suffices for  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}$ . So, let  $A$  be closed and  $g = 1_A$  be the indicator function. Let  $g_n(x) := (1 - nr(A, x))^+$  (where  $r(A, y) := \inf_{y \in A} r(y, x)$ ) and note that  $g_n(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1_A(x)$ . Then, we have by dominated convergence

$$\mathbf{P}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}[g_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Q}[g_n] = \mathbf{Q}(A),$$

and we are done.  $\square$

We will use the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem below.

Note that this requires  $E$  to be compact.

```
theorem ContinuousMap.starSubalgebra_topologicalClosure_eq_top_closure
  { $\mathbb{k}$  : Type u_2} {X : Type u_1} [IsROrC  $\mathbb{k}$ ] [TopologicalSpace X] [CompleteSpace X]
  (A : StarSubalgebra  $\mathbb{k}$  C(X,  $\mathbb{k}$ )) (hA : Subalgebra.SeparatesPoints A.toStarSubalgebra) :
  StarSubalgebra.topologicalClosure A =  $\top$ 
```

We also need (as proved in the last project) that compact sets are measurable.

```
theorem innerRegular_isCompact_isClosed_measurableSet_of_compact
  [PseudoEMetricSpace  $\alpha$ ] [CompleteSpace  $\alpha$ ] [SecondCountableTopology  $\alpha$ ]
  (P : Measure  $\alpha$ ) [IsFiniteMeasure P] :
  P.InnerRegular (fun s => IsCompact s  $\wedge$  IsClosed s) MeasurableSet
```

The proof of the following result follows [EK86, Theorem 3.4.5].

This does not exist in mathlib yet.

T:wc3

**Theorem 1** (Algebras separating points and separating algebras).

Let  $(E, r)$  be a complete and separable extended pseudo-metric space, and  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{k})$  be a star-sub-algebra that separates points. Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  is separating.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $K$  compact, such that  $\mathbf{P}(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{k})$ . According to the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, there is  $(g_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with

$$\sup_{x \in K} |g_n(x) - g(x)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (1) \quad \text{eq:wc9}$$

So, (note that  $C := \sup_{x \geq 0} x e^{-x^2} < \infty$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{P}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}] - \mathbf{Q}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}]| &\leq |\mathbf{P}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}] - \mathbf{P}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}; K]| \\
&\quad + |\mathbf{P}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}; K] - \mathbf{P}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}; K]| \\
&\quad + |\mathbf{P}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}; K] - \mathbf{P}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}]| \\
&\quad + |\mathbf{P}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}] - \mathbf{Q}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}]| \\
&\quad + |\mathbf{Q}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}] - \mathbf{Q}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}; K]| \\
&\quad + |\mathbf{Q}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}; K] - \mathbf{Q}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}; K]| \\
&\quad + |\mathbf{Q}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}; K] - \mathbf{Q}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}]|
\end{aligned}$$

We bound the first term by

$$|\mathbf{P}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}] - \mathbf{P}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}; K]| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{P}(K^c) \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

and analogously for the third, fifth and last. The second and second to last vanish for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  due to (1). Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra, we can approximate, using dominated convergence,

$$\mathbf{P}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}] = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\mathbf{P}\left[g_n \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon g_n^2}{m}\right)^m\right]}_{\in \mathcal{M}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}\left[g_n \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon g_n^2}{m}\right)^m\right]}_{\in \mathcal{M}} = \mathbf{Q}[g_n e^{-\varepsilon g_n^2}],$$

so the fourth term vanishes for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as well. Concluding,

$$|\mathbf{P}[g] - \mathbf{Q}[g]| = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\mathbf{P}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}] - \mathbf{Q}[ge^{-\varepsilon g^2}]| \leq 4C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Since  $g$  was arbitrary and  $\mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{k})$  is separating by Lemma 4.2, we find  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}$ .  $\square$

We now come to characteristic functions and Laplace transforms.

This does not exist in mathlib yet.

Pr:char1

**Proposition 4.3** (Charakteristic functions determine distributions uniquely).

A probability measure  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is uniquely given by its characteristic function.

In other words, if  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are such that  $\int e^{itx} \mathbf{P}(dx) = \int e^{itx} \mathbf{Q}(dx)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then,  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}$ .

*Proof.* The set

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ x \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e^{it_k x}; n \in \mathbb{N}, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{C}, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}$$

separates points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{k})$  contains 1, is closed under sums and products, and closed under complex conjugation, it is a star-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{C}_b(E, \mathbb{C})$ . So, the assertion directly follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

## References

- [EK86] S.N. Ethier and T.G. Kurtz. *Markov Processes. Characterization and Convergence*. John Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [KS91] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113. Springer Science & Business Media, 1991.