RUSTikales Rust for advanced coders

- 1. Recap
- 2. tt-munchers

- 1. Recap
- 2. tt-munchers
- 3. Procedural Macros

Rust has a very powerful macro system

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!
- Declarative macros are expanded at compile time, in the parsing stage
 - Depending on the context, they are interpreted differently

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!
- Declarative macros are expanded at compile time, in the parsing stage
- macro_rules! matches AST nodes to metavariables, which we can use to implement logic

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!
- Declarative macros are expanded at compile time, in the parsing stage
- macro_rules! matches AST nodes to metavariables, which we can use to implement logic
 - ident matches an identifier
 - expr matches an expression
 - ty matches a type

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!
- Declarative macros are expanded at compile time, in the parsing stage
- macro_rules! matches AST nodes to metavariables, which we can use to implement logic
 - ident matches an identifier
 - expr matches an expression
 - ty matches a type
 - lifetime matches a lifetime
 - stmt matches a statement
 - pat matches a pattern

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!
- Declarative macros are expanded at compile time, in the parsing stage
- macro_rules! matches AST nodes to metavariables, which we can use to implement logic
 - ident matches an identifier
 - expr matches an expression
 - ty matches a type
 - lifetime matches a lifetime
 - stmt matches a statement
 - pat matches a pattern
 - tt matches any single tree node

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!
- Declarative macros are expanded at compile time, in the parsing stage
- macro_rules! matches AST nodes to metavariables, which we can use to implement logic
- macro_rules! can also match repetitions of nodes
 - \$(\$t:ty),* matches a comma-separated list of types

- Rust has a very powerful macro system
- Macros are useful for metaprogramming
 - They take Rust code as an input, and produce Rust code as an output
- Declarative macros are declared using the keyword macro_rules!
- Declarative macros are expanded at compile time, in the parsing stage
- macro_rules! matches AST nodes to metavariables, which we can use to implement logic
- macro_rules! can also match repetitions of nodes
- The first matched rule is always chosen
 - Important when matching different types:
 - Every identifier is an expression
 - Not every expression is an identifier
 - Better to match identifiers first

```
macro_rules! calculator {
    ($e:expr) => {
        println!("{} = {}", stringify!($e), $e);
    () => {
        println!("Nothing was provided.");
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    calculator!(5 + 4);
    calculator!();
```

```
macro rules! calculator { Name of the macro
    ($e:expr) => {
        println!("{} = {}", stringify!($e), $e);
    () => {
        println!("Nothing was provided.");
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    calculator!(5 + 4);
    calculator!();
```

```
macro_rules! calculator {
    ($e:expr) => {
        println!("{} = {}", stringify!($e), $e);
         Cases to match against
    () => {
        println!("Nothing was provided.");
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    calculator!(5 + 4);
    calculator!();
```

```
macro rules! calculator {
    ($e:expr) => {
         println!("{} = {}", stringify!($e), $e);
    () => {
         println!("Nothing was provided.");
                        We expect an expression, which we bind to the metavariable $e
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    calculator!(5 + 4);
    calculator!();
```

```
macro_rules! calculator {
     ($e:expr) => {
         println!("{} = {}", stringify!($e), $e);
     () => {
         println!("Nothing was provided.");
                              If we matched an expression, we
                              insert this code at the macro call site
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    calculator!(5 + 4);
    calculator!();
```

```
($e:expr) => {
                                  println!("{} = {}", stringify!($e), $e);
                             };
                                => {
                                  println!("Nothing was provided.");
                             };
Using cargo expand we can
see what the code looks like
                        ▶ Run | Debug
without macros
                        fn main() {
(cargo install cargo-expand)
                             calculator!(5 + 4);
                             calculator!();
            fn main() {
                      ::std::io::_print(format_args!("{0} = {1}\n", "5 + 4", 5 + 4));
                 };
                      ::std::io::_print(format_args!("Nothing was provided.\n"));
                 };
```

macro_rules! calculator {

```
macro_rules! calculator {
                              ($e:expr) => {
                                   println!("{} = {}", stringify!($e), $e);
                              };
                                 => {
                                   println!("Nothing was provided.");
                              };
Using cargo expand we can
see what the code looks like
                         ▶ Run | Debug
                         fn main()
(cargo install cargo-expand)
                              calculator! (5 + 4); we matched an expression, and replaced it with the call to println!
                              calculator!();
             fn main()
                      ::std::io::_print(format_args!("{0} = {1}\n", "5 + 4", 5 + 4));
                      ::std::io::_print(format_args!("Nothing was provided.\n"));
                  };
```

without macros

```
macro_rules! count_ident {
    () => \{ 0 \};
    ($id:ident) => { 1 };
    ($id:ident, $($rest:ident),*) => {
        1 + count ident!($($rest),*)
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let count: i32 = count ident!(a, b, c);
    println!("We have {count} identifiers!");
```

```
macro_rules! count_ident {
     () => { 0 };
     ($id:ident) => { 1 };
     ($id:ident, $($rest:ident),*) => {
         1 + count_ident!($($rest),*)
                            Macro invocations can be recursive
                            Here: Count all identifiers in the list
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let count: i32 = count ident!(a, b, c);
     println!("We have {count} identifiers!");
```

```
macro_rules! count_ident {
     () => \{ 0 \};
     ($id:ident) => { 1 };
     ($id:ident, $($rest:ident),*) => {
          1 + count ident!($($rest),*)
                               Macro invocations can be recursive
                               Here: Count all identifiers in the list
► Run | Debug
                               Idea: Split list into start and rest, and call macro again on the rest
fn main() {
     let count: i32 = count ident!(a, b, c);
     println!("We have {count} identifiers!");
```

```
macro_rules! count_ident {
       => { 0 };
                            We need some base cases to stop recursion
    ($id:ident) => { 1 };
     ($id:ident, $($rest:ident),*) => {
         1 + count ident!($($rest),*)
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let count: i32 = count ident!(a, b, c);
    println!("We have {count} identifiers!");
```

```
macro_rules! count_ident {
    () => { 0 };
    ($id:ident) => { 1 };
     ($id:ident, $($rest:ident),*) => {
         1 + count ident!($($rest),*)
               The order is not important, as rule 3 also expects a comma
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let count: i32 = count ident!(a, b, c);
    println!("We have {count} identifiers!");
```

```
() => { 0 };
                           ($id:ident) => { 1 };
                            ($id:ident, $($rest:ident),*) => {
                               1 + count_ident!($($rest),*)
                        ► Run | Debug
                        fn main() {
                           let count: i32 = count_ident!(a, b, c);
                           println!("We have {count} identifiers!");
fn main() {
    let count = 1 + (1 + 1);
    {
         ::std::io::_print(format_args!("We have {0} identifiers!\n", count));
    };
```

macro_rules! count_ident {

```
($id:ident, $($rest:ident),*) => {
                                  1 + count ident!($($rest),*)
                          ▶ Run | Debug
                          fn main() {
                              let count: i32 = count_ident!(a, b, c);
                              println!("We have {count} identifiers!");
fn main() {
                                                   Important:
     let count = 1 + (1 + 1);
                                           The count is computed at runtime!
                                       We only generated the formula at compile time.
     {
          ::std::io::_print(format_args!("We have {0} identifiers!\n", count));
     };
```

macro_rules! count_ident {

(\$id:ident) => { 1 };

() => { 0 };

macro_rules! is very powerful and allows us to generate all sorts of code

- macro_rules! is very powerful and allows us to generate all sorts of code
- Very often we encounter the same patterns when declaring macros

- macro_rules! is very powerful and allows us to generate all sorts of code
- Very often we encounter the same patterns when declaring macros
- To showcase one of the most important ones, we'll do something fancy today:
 - We'll implement a calculator for expressions in reverse polish notation using only macro_rules!

```
fn main() {
    // 2 3 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
```

```
fn main() {
    // 2 3 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
Stack
```

```
fn main() {
    // 2 3 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
```

Stack

```
fn main() {
    // 23 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
```

Stack 2

```
fn main() {
    // 2 3 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
```

Stack 2

```
fn main() {
    // 2 3 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
```

Stack

```
fn main() {
    // 2 3 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
```

Stack
5
4

```
fn main() {
    // 2 3 + 4 *
    // 15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -
}
```

20

Stack

- macro_rules! is very powerful and allows us to generate all sorts of code
- Very often we encounter the same patterns when declaring macros
- To showcase one of the most important ones, we'll do something fancy today:
 - We'll implement a calculator for expressions in reverse polish notation using only macro_rules!
- We'll start from the end, and slowly build it all up

```
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
    let b = rpn!(15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -); // expect: 5
}
```

We want our macro to return the result so we can freely use it later (for example, to print it in the console)

```
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
    let b = rpn!(15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -); // expect: 5
}
```

We want our macro to return the result so we can freely use it later (for example, to print it in the console)

Let's start simple: Pushing a number to the stack

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ($num:literal) => {
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *);
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
      ($num:literal) => {
            Matching a number is easy – We simply match a literal
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
     let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *);
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
       ($num:literal) => {
               Matching a number is easy – We simply match a literal
               However, we also need a stack to keep track of all numbers
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
      let a = rpn! (2 3 + 4 *)
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
        ($num:literal) => {
                 Matching a number is easy – We simply match a literal
                 However, we also need a stack to keep track of all numbers
                 → Problem: Our macro can't use real variables, we need the stack at compile time
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
       let a = rpn! (2 3 + 4 *)
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
        ($num:literal) => {
                  Matching a number is easy – We simply match a literal
                  However, we also need a stack to keep track of all numbers
                  → Problem: Our macro can't use real variables, we need the stack at compile time
                  → But we can work with token sequences
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
       let a = rpn! (2 3 + 4 *)
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
        $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
                 Idea:
                 → The stack is a list of expressions
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
     let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
         $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
          rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
                    Idea:
                    → The stack is a list of expressions
                    → Square brackets so we don't accidentally confuse it with input
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
     let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
          $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
           rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
                      Idea:
                      → The stack is a list of expressions
                      → Square brackets so we don't accidentally confuse it with input
                      → Elements in the stack are expressions such as 2 + 3
► Run | Debug
fn main()
      let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
       ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
           rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
                        Idea:
                        → The stack is a list of expressions
                        → Square brackets so we don't accidentally confuse it with input
                        → Elements in the stack are expressions such as 2 + 3
                        → Later on we'll have rules to match operators against the stack
▶ Run | Debug
fn main()
      let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
      ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
           rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
                      There are no limits to what we can emit with macros
                      → We can't directly modify the stack, but we can create a modified copy
                      → Here: Push the number at the front
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
      let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
      ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
          rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
                     The final code must be valid, but we're not actually generating anything!
                     The stack is only temporary and passed between macro calls.
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
     let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
      ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal) => {
           rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ])
                       The final code must be valid, but we're not actually generating anything!
                       The stack is only temporary and passed between macro calls.
► Run | Debug
                       Okay, numbers are done! Now we need to work on the rest
fn main() {
      let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
      };
}

Property Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
        };
        This is a tt-muncher - A pattern which comes up all the time with declarative macros
}

▶ Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
        This is a tt-muncher - A pattern which comes up all the time with declarative macros → We're processing one token at a time, and pass along the rest

> Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    (\$(\$t:tt)*) => \{ rpn!([] \$(\$t)*) \}
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result }; Our final result should be the only element
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*)
                                             Entrypoint so we don't have to specify []
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
                           The compiler is not happy...
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4)
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
     ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
                                                     note: trace_macro
     ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
                                                       --> src\main.rs:13:13
     ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
                                                     13
                                                             let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
▶ Run | Debug
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
fn main() {
                                                        = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
     trace_macros!(true);
                                                        = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
     let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
                                                        = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
     trace macros! (false);
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
                                                        = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }`
                                                        = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
                                                        = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
                                                        = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
                                                        = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
```

= note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])'

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
▶ Run | Debug
fn main() {
    trace_macros!(true);
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
    trace macros! (false);
```

The important bit first: We collected the numbers into the stack!

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:13:13
13
        let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
   = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])'
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
▶ Run | Debug
fn main() {
    trace_macros!(true);
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
    trace macros! (false);
```

The important bit first: We collected the numbers into the stack! This is where it went wrong though...

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:13:13
13
        let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
  = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])'
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
       $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
     ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
▶ Run | Debug
fn main() {
    trace_macros!(true);
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
    trace macros! (false);
   The important bit first: We collected the numbers into the stack!
```

This is where it went wrong though...

→ The stack does not match rule 1

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:13:13
13
        let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
  = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])'
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
▶ Run | Debug
fn main() {
    trace_macros!(true);
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
    trace macros! (false);
```

The important bit first: We collected the numbers into the stack! This is where it went wrong though...

- → The stack does not match rule 1
- → The stack does not contain a single expression → not rule 2

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:13:13
13
        let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
  = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])'
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
▶ Run | Debug
fn main() {
    trace_macros!(true);
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
    trace macros! (false);
```

The important bit first: We collected the numbers into the stack! This is where it went wrong though...

- → The stack does not match rule 1
- → The stack does not contain a single expression → not rule 2 Rule 3 is a black hole, it matches everything including our stack

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:13:13
13
        let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
  = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])'
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    trace_macros!(true);
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
    trace macros! (false);
```

The important bit first: We collected the numbers into the stack! This is where it went wrong though...

- → The stack does not match rule 1
- → The stack does not contain a single expression → not rule 2 Rule 3 is a black hole, it matches everything including our stack And now we're stuck in infinite recursion...

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:13:13
13
        let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
  = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to `rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])`
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
▶ Run | Debug
fn main() {
    trace_macros!(true);
    let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
    trace macros! (false);
```

But that's okay for now. We just wanted to put numbers into the stack.

Let's continue with operators.

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:13:13
13
        let a = rpn!(2 3 4);
   = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] 4)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] 4 }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [4, 3, 2])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] [] [4, 3, 2] }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] [] [] [4, 3, 2])'
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] + $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a + $b $(, $stack)*] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] - $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a - $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] * $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a * $b $(, $stack)*] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] / $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a / $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([$result:expr]) => {    $result };
    (\$(\$t:tt)*) => \{ rpn!([] \$(\$t)*) \}
```

```
macro_rules! rpn {
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] + $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a + $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] - $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a - $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] * $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a * $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] / $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a / $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
                                                  Sadly there is no operator-type, we need to
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
                                                   match manually and write duplicate code
    (\$(\$t:tt)*) => \{ rpn!([] \$(\$t)*) \}
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
       $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] + $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a + $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
       $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] - $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a - $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
       $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] * $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a * $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
       $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] / $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a / $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
                                                All operators expect 2 operands, which we
                                                       explicitly match here
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
                                                → If the stack does not have two elements,
    (\$(\$t:tt)*) => \{ rpn!([] \$(\$t)*) \}
                                                         we fall through
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] + $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a + $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] - $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a - $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] * $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a * $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] / $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a / $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
                                                Then we push the result to the stack
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    (\$(\$t:tt)*) => \{ rpn!([] \$(\$t)*) \}
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
   rpn!([ $a + $b $(, $stack)*|] $($rest)*)
   };
   ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)*] - $($rest:tt)*) => {
      rpn!([ $a - $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
   };
   rpn!([ $a * $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
   };
   ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] / $($rest:tt)*) => {
      rpn!([ $a / $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
   };
   ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
      rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
   };
                               The stack shrinks because we capture all but the first two elements
   ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
   ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] + $($rest:tt)*)
        rpn!([ $a + $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] - $($rest:tt)*)
        rpn!([ $a - $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] * $($rest:tt)*)
        rpn!([ $a * $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] / $($rest:tt)*)
        rpn!([ $a / $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
                                         And finally, we proceed with eating tokens until we're done
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    ($($t:tt)*) => { rpn!([] $($t)*) }
```

2. tt-munchers

```
fn main() {
    let a: i32 = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
    let b: i32 = rpn!(15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -); // expect: 5
    println!("a = {:?}", a);
    println!("b = {:?}", b);
}
```

We can now put the macro to the test!

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:25:13
25
        let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect:
                 ^^^^^
  = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 + 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 + 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] + 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2 + 3] 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2 + 3] 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 2 + 3] *)'
  = note: expanding 'rpn! { [4, 2 + 3] * }'
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2 + 3 * 4])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2 + 3 * 4] }`
  = note: to (2 + 3) * 4
```

```
fn main() {
    let a: i32 = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
    let b: i32 = rpn!(15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -); // expect: 5
    println!("a = {:?}", a);
    println!("b = {:?}", b);
}
```

```
note: trace_macro
  --> src\main.rs:25:13
25
        let a = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect:
                 ^^^^^
  = note: expanding `rpn! { 2 3 + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([] 2 3 + 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [] 2 3 + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2] 3 + 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2] 3 + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([3, 2] + 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [3, 2] + 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2 + 3] 4 *)'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2 + 3] 4 * }`
  = note: to 'rpn! ([4, 2 + 3] *)'
  = note: expanding 'rpn! { [4, 2 + 3] * }'
  = note: to 'rpn! ([2 + 3 * 4])'
  = note: expanding `rpn! { [2 + 3 * 4] }`
  = note: to (2 + 3) * 4
```

```
fn main() {
    let a: i32 = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
    let b: i32 = rpn!(15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -); // expect: 5
    println!("a = {:?}", a);
    println!("b = {:?}", b);
}
a = 20
b = 5
```

```
fn main() {
    let a = (2 + 3) * 4;
    let b = 15 / (7 - (1 + 1)) * 3 - (2 + (1 + 1));
    {
            ::std::io::_print(format_args!("a = {0:?}\n", a));
        };
        {
            ::std::io::_print(format_args!("b = {0:?}\n", b));
        };
}
```

As expected, the macro properly evaluated the expression

```
fn main() {
    let a: i32 = rpn!(2 3 + 4 *); // expect: 20
    let b: i32 = rpn!(15 7 1 1 + - / 3 * 2 1 1 + + -); // expect: 5
    println!("a = {:?}", a);
    println!("b = {:?}", b);
}
a = 20
b = 5
```

```
macro rules! rpn {
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] + $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a + $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] - $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a - $b $(, $stack)*] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] * $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a * $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $b:expr, $a:expr $(, $stack:expr)* ] / $($rest:tt)*) => {
        rpn!([ $a / $b $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([ $($stack:expr),* ] $num:literal $($rest:tt)*) => {
       rpn!([ $num $(, $stack)* ] $($rest)*)
    };
    ([$result:expr]) => { $result };
    (\$(\$t:tt)*) => \{ rpn!([] \$(\$t)*) \}
```

2/3

The macro currently crashes for invalid expressions, for example if there aren't enough operands on the stack, or not enough operators in the equation

→ How could we fix that?

Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library

First, create a normal library cargo new ——lib showcase

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library

```
First, create a normal library cargo new ——lib showcase

Then declare it to be a proc-macro

The declare it to be a proc-macro

The
```

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library

```
First, create a normal library cargo new --lib showcase

[lib.rs]

Then declare it to be a proc-macro

proc-macro = true
```

Now you can use it like a normal library

```
[dependencies]
showcase = { path="./showcase/" }
```

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library
- Because of that, Procedural Macros are written in normal Rust, which makes them more flexible than macro_rules!

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library
- Because of that, Procedural Macros are written in normal Rust, which makes them more flexible than macro_rules!
- Procedural Macros are often used to generate complex code which macro_rules! isn't able to handle

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library
- Because of that, Procedural Macros are written in normal Rust, which makes them more flexible than macro_rules!
- Procedural Macros are often used to generate complex code which macro_rules! isn't able to handle
- There are three types of Procedural Macros

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library
- Because of that, Procedural Macros are written in normal Rust, which makes them more flexible than macro_rules!
- Procedural Macros are often used to generate complex code which macro_rules! isn't able to handle
- There are three types of Procedural Macros
 - Function-like macros Just like declarative macros, invoked using macro_name!()

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library
- Because of that, Procedural Macros are written in normal Rust, which makes them more flexible than macro_rules!
- Procedural Macros are often used to generate complex code which macro_rules! isn't able to handle
- There are three types of Procedural Macros
 - Function-like macros Just like declarative macros, invoked using macro_name!()
 - Derive macros Reduces boilerplate, used to implement traits for structs and enums

- Procedural Macros are the second macro system that Rust offers
- Procedural Macros operate over token streams instead of matching patterns at compile time
- Procedural Macros are a special crate type in Rust, which you can use like any other library
- Because of that, Procedural Macros are written in normal Rust, which makes them more flexible than macro_rules!
- Procedural Macros are often used to generate complex code which macro_rules! isn't able to handle
- There are three types of Procedural Macros
 - Function-like macros Just like declarative macros, invoked using macro_name!()
 - Derive macros Reduces boilerplate, used to implement traits for structs and enums
 - Attribute macros Allows us to define outer attributes, which we can attach to items

```
extern crate proc_macro;
use proc_macro::TokenStream;

#[proc_macro]
pub fn function_like(_item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    todo!()
}
```

```
extern crate proc_macro;
use proc_macro::TokenStream;

#[proc_macro]
pub fn function_like(_item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    todo!()
}

Procedural macros are normal functions
```

```
extern crate proc_macro;
use proc_macro::TokenStream;

#[proc_macro]

pub fn function_like(_item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    todo!()

}

Procedural macros are normal functions
The attribute in front declares the type of the macro:
    proc_macro → Function-like macro
    proc_macro_derive → Derive macro
    proc_macro_attribute → Attribute macro
```

```
extern crate proc_macro;
use proc_macro::TokenStream;

#[proc_macro]
pub fn function_like(_item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    todo!()
}
```

proc_macros are used like this:

```
function_like!(1, 2);
```

If the signature doesn't match, the library will not compile.

→ proc macro requires us to return a value of type TokenStream

```
extern crate proc_macro;
use proc_macro::TokenStream;

TokenStream is a type defined in the crate proc_macro, which is part of the standard library, no third-party libraries required

pub fn function_like(_item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    todo!()
}
```

```
struct TokenStream(Vec<TokenTree>);
enum TokenTree {
    Ident(Ident),
    Punct(Punct),
    Literal(Literal),
    Group (Group),
```

```
struct TokenStream(Vec<TokenTree>);
                             The final TokenStream we receive (and must return) is
enum TokenTree {
                             a sequence of TokenTrees, or a sequence of tokens
      Ident(Ident),
      Punct(Punct),
      Literal(Literal),
      Group (Group),
```

```
struct TokenStream(Vec<TokenTree>);
enum TokenTree {
       Ident(Ident),
                                    Fundamental tokens in Rust:
                                    → Ident: Identifiers and keywords
       Punct(Punct),
                                    → Punct: Single characters such as + or –
                                    → Literal: "Hello", 'a', 123u16
       Literal(Literal),
       Group (Group),
```

```
struct TokenStream(Vec<TokenTree>);
enum TokenTree {
       Ident(Ident),
       Punct(Punct),
       Literal(Literal),
      Group (Group)
                             TokenStream which is surrounded by delimiters
                             → Delimiter = () {} []
                              \rightarrow (4 + 5)
                              \rightarrow { let x = 0; }
```

```
[#[proc_macro]
                                                                    lib.rs
pub fn function_like(item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    for tt: TokenTree in item.into_iter() {
        match tt {
            TokenTree::Ident(id: Ident) => println!("{:?}", id),
            TokenTree::Punct(pt: Punct) => println!("{:?}", pt),
            TokenTree::Literal(lit: Literal) => println!("{:?}", lit),
            TokenTree::Group(grp: Group) => {
                println!("{:?}", grp.delimiter());
                for gtt: TokenTree in grp.stream() {
                    println!(" {:?}", gtt);
    "".parse().unwrap()
```

```
[#[proc_macro]
                                                                           lib.rs
pub fn function_like(item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    for tt: TokenTree in item.into_iter() {
        match tt {
             TokenTree::Ident(id: Ident) => println!("{:?}", id),
             TokenTree::Punct(pt: Punct) => println!("{:?}", pt),
             TokenTree::Literal(lit: Literal) => println!("{:?}", lit),
             TokenTree::Group(grp: Group) => {
                  println!("{:?}", grp.delimiter());
                  for gtt: TokenTree in grp.stream() {
                      println!(" {:?}", gtt);
                               We can now write normal Rust code, and work with the given TokenStream
                               Here we just iterate over the stream, and print each entry
    "".parse().unwrap()
```

```
[#[proc_macro]
                                                                              lib.rs
pub fn function_like(item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    for tt: TokenTree in item.into_iter() {
         match tt {
             TokenTree::Ident(id: Ident) => println!("{:?}", id),
              TokenTree::Punct(pt: Punct) => println!("{:?}", pt),
              TokenTree::Literal(lit: Literal) => println!("{:?}", lit),
              TokenTree::Group(grp: Group) => {
                  println!("{:?}", grp.delimiter());
                  for gtt: TokenTree in grp.stream() {
                       println!(" {:?}", gtt);
                                We can now write normal Rust code, and work with the given TokenStream
                                Here we just iterate over the stream, and print each entry
                                and return nothing. This macro did not generate any code :^)
     ".parse().unwrap()
```

```
use showcase::function_like;
function_like!(
    struct Person {
       field: u32
    }
);
    main.rs
```

```
use showcase::function_like;
function_like!(
    struct Person {
       field: u32
    }
);
    main.rs
```

```
Compiling proc_showcase v0.1.0 (C:\Users\pfhau\GithubProje
t-advanced\06 - Procedural Macros\proc_showcase)
Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(50..56) }
Ident { ident: "Person", span: #0 bytes(57..63) }
Brace
   Ident { ident: "field", span: #0 bytes(74..79) }
   Punct { ch: ':', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(79..80) }
   Ident { ident: "u32", span: #0 bytes(81..84) }
    Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.18s
    Running `target\debug\proc_showcase.exe`
```

```
use showcase::function_like;
function_like!(
    struct Person {
       field: u32
    }
);
    main.rs
```

```
Compiling proc_showcase v0.1.0 (C:\Users\pfhau\GithubProjedt-advanced\06 - Procedural Macros\proc_showcase)

Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(50..56) }

Ident { ident: "Person", span: #0 bytes(57..63) }

Brace
   Ident { ident: "field", span: #0 bytes(74..79) }
   Punct { ch: ':', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(79..80) }
   Ident { ident: "u32", span: #0 bytes(81..84) }
     Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.18s

   Running `target\debug\proc_showcase.exe`
```

Procedural macros are called at compile time

```
use showcase::function_like;

Function-like macros
capture everything between
the brackets

struct Person {
  field: u32
}

main.rs
```

```
Compiling proc_showcase v0.1.0 (C:\Users\pfhau\GithubProje
t-advanced\06 - Procedural Macros\proc_showcase)

Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(50..56) }

Ident { ident: "Person", span: #0 bytes(57..63) }

Brace
   Ident { ident: "field", span: #0 bytes(74..79) }
   Punct { ch: ':', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(79..80) }
   Ident { ident: "u32", span: #0 bytes(81..84) }

   Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.18s
   Running `target\debug\proc_showcase.exe`
```

function_like!(1, 2);

For multiple arguments, we still get a single TokenStream

```
Literal { kind: Integer, symbol: "1", suffix: None, span: #0 bytes(45..46) }
Punct { ch: ',', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(46..47) }
Literal { kind: Integer, symbol: "2", suffix: None, span: #0 bytes(48..49) }
   Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.17s
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into_iter().peekable();
   let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
   let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"};
   tokens.next();
   while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
       };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
   result.push(ch: '}');
   result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
   let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
   let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"};
   tokens.next();
   while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
       };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
   result.push(ch: '}');
   result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                  lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into_iter().peekable();
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
                                                                         Turn TokenStream into iterator
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
                                                                         so we can work with it
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"}};
    tokens.next();
    while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
        };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}');
    result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                    lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek()                    else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
                                                                       Expect name of the struct
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"};
    tokens.next();
    while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
        };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}');
    result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                   lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
                                                             Panics in procedural macros are caught by the
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"}};
                                                             compiler, and turned into a normal compiler error
    tokens.next();
    while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
        };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}');
    result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                 lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
   let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{");
                                                              We now generate code!
   tokens.next();
   while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
        };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
   result.push(ch: '}');
   result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                   lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"};
    tokens.next();
    while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
                                                                          While there are tokens, expect a
                                                                          pair of two identifiers every time
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}');
    result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                   lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"};
    tokens.next();
    while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next()
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else
                                                                         We do not have information if this
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
                                                                          type is actually valid, that's the job
                                                                          of the Type Checker
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}');
    result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                 lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"};
    tokens.next();
    while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},")); Add a new field to the struct!
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}');
    result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                 lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
   let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
   let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"};
   tokens.next();
   while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
        };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}'); Close struct brackets
    result.parse().unwrap()
```

```
#[proc_macro]
                                                                                  lib.rs
pub fn create struct(values: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = values.into iter().peekable();
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected identifier, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
    let mut result: String = format!("struct {name} {{"}};
    tokens.next();
    while let Some(TokenTree::Ident(field: Ident)) = tokens.next() {
        let Some(TokenTree::Ident(typ: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
            panic!("Expected type, found {:?}", tokens.peek());
        };
        result.push_str(string: &format!("{field}: {typ},"));
        tokens.next();
    result.push(ch: '}');
    result.parse().unwrap() parse() converts the String into a TokenStream due to type inference
```

```
use showcase::function_like;
use showcase::create_struct;

function_like!(1, 2);

create_struct!(Person age u8 name String);

> Run|Debug
fn main() {
}
```

```
use showcase::function_like;
                                   main.rs
use showcase::create_struct;
function_like!(1, 2);
create_struct! (Person age u8 name String);
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
  use showcase::function_like;
  use showcase::create_struct;
   struct Person {
       age: u8,
       name: String,
  fn main() {}
```

```
use showcase::function_like;
                                     main.rs
use showcase::create_struct;
function_like!(1, 2); This macro always returns an empty stream
create_struct! (Person age u8 name String);
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
  use showcase::function_like;
  use showcase::create_struct;
   struct Person {
        age: u8,
        name: String,
   fn main() {}
```

```
use showcase::function_like;
                                       main.rs
use showcase::create_struct;
function_like!(1, 2);
create_struct!(Person age u8 name String);
► Run | Debug
                 We successfully generated a struct Person, which we can now use in our code!
fn main() {
   use showcase::function_like;
   use showcase::create_struct;
   struct Person {
        age: u8,
        name: String,
   fn main() {}
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait_derive(_input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    "".parse().unwrap()
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait_derive(_input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    "".parse().unwrap()
}
```

```
proc_macro_derives are used like this:
use showcase::DeriveTrait;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Dog;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Cat;
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait_derive(_input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    "".parse().unwrap()
}
```

```
proc_macro_derives are used like this:
use showcase::DeriveTrait;

#[derive(DeriveTrait)]

0 implementations calls our macro in the background struct Dog;

#[derive(DeriveTrait)]

0 implementations struct Cat;
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait_derive(_input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    "".parse().unwrap()
}
proc_macro_derive accepts one argument, and returns a TokenStream
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait_derive(_input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    "".parse().unwrap()
    proc_macro_derive accepts one argument, and returns a TokenStream
```

Important:

proc_macro and proc_macro_attribute eat the input, proc_macro_derive leaves it untouched!

The input is the struct/enum you want to derive the trait for.

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait_derive(_input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    "".parse().unwrap()
}
```

```
The name here is not the trait you derive, it's what you later call #[derive()] with

→ DeriveTrait → #[derive(DeriveTrait)]

→ ABC → #[derive(ABC)]
```

```
use showcase::DeriveTrait;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Dog;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Cat;
main.rs
```

```
#[proc macro derive(DeriveTrait)]
                                                                  lib.rs
pub fn trait derive(input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   for tt: TokenTree in input.into iter() {
       match tt {
           TokenTree::Ident(id: Ident) => println!("{:?}", id),
            TokenTree::Punct(pt: Punct) => println!("{:?}", pt),
            TokenTree::Literal(lit: Literal) => println!("{:?}", lit),
            TokenTree::Group(grp: Group) => {
                println!("{:?}", grp.delimiter());
                for gtt: TokenTree in grp.stream() {
                    println!(" {:?}", gtt);
    "".parse().unwrap()
```

```
use showcase::DeriveTrait;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Dog;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Cat;
main.rs
```

```
#[proc macro derive(DeriveTrait)]
                                                                  lib.rs
pub fn trait derive(input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   for tt: TokenTree in input.into iter() {
       match tt {
           TokenTree::Ident(id: Ident) => println!("{:?}", id),
            TokenTree::Punct(pt: Punct) => println!("{:?}", pt),
            TokenTree::Literal(lit: Literal) => println!("{:?}", lit),
            TokenTree::Group(grp: Group) => {
                println!("{:?}", grp.delimiter());
                for gtt: TokenTree in grp.stream() {
                    println!(" {:?}", gtt);
   "".parse().unwrap()
```

```
Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(239..245) }
Ident { ident: "Dog", span: #0 bytes(246..249) }
Punct { ch: ';', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(249..250) }
Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(274..280) }
Ident { ident: "Cat", span: #0 bytes(281..284) }
Punct { ch: ';', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(284..285) }
Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.39s
```

```
use showcase::DeriveTrait;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Dog;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
0 implementations
struct Cat;
main.rs
```

```
#[proc macro derive(DeriveTrait)]
                                                                   lib.rs
pub fn trait_derive(input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    for tt: TokenTree in input.into iter() {
        match tt {
            TokenTree::Ident(id: Ident) => println!("{:?}", id),
            TokenTree::Punct(pt: Punct) => println!("{:?}", pt),
            TokenTree::Literal(lit: Literal) => println!("{:?}", lit),
            TokenTree::Group(grp: Group) => {
                println!("{:?}", grp.delimiter());
                for gtt: TokenTree in grp.stream() {
                    println!(" {:?}", gtt);
                                     Derive macros capture the item
                                     after the derive invocation
    "".parse().unwrap()
```

```
Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(239..245) }
Ident { ident: "Dog", span: #0 bytes(246..249) }
Punct { ch: ';', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(249..250) }
Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(274..280) }
Ident { ident: "Cat", span: #0 bytes(281..284) }
Punct { ch: ';', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(284..285) }
    Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.39s
```

```
pub trait OurTrait {
    fn greet(&self);
}
```

```
pub trait OurTrait {
    fn greet(&self);
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait derive(input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = input.into_iter().peekable();
    tokens.next(); // Skip `struct` or `enum`
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected struct with name, got {:?}", tokens.peek());
    };
    let name: String = name.to_string();
    tokens.next();
    format!("
    impl OurTrait for {name} {{
        fn greet(&self) {{
            println!(\"Hello {name}!\");
        }}
    ").parse().unwrap()
```

```
pub trait OurTrait {
    fn greet(&self);
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait derive(input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = input.into_iter().peekable();
    tokens.next(); // Skip `struct` or `enum`
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected struct with name, got {:?}", tokens.peek());
    let name: String = name.to_string();
    tokens.next();
                                                     Get struct/enum name
    format!("
    impl OurTrait for {name} {{
        fn greet(&self) {{
            println!(\"Hello {name}!\");
        }}
    ").parse().unwrap()
```

```
pub trait OurTrait {
    fn greet(&self);
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_derive(DeriveTrait)]
pub fn trait derive(input: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
    let mut tokens: impl Iterator<Item = TokenTree> = input.into_iter().peekable();
    tokens.next(); // Skip `struct` or `enum`
    let Some(TokenTree::Ident(name: &Ident)) = tokens.peek() else {
        panic!("Expected struct with name, got {:?}", tokens.peek());
    };
    let name: String = name.to_string();
    tokens.next();
    format!("
    impl OurTrait for {name} {{
        fn greet(&self) {{
                                             Here we generate and return the trait
            println!(\"Hello {name}!\");
                                             implementation, where we greet the type
        }}
    ").parse().unwrap()
```

```
use showcase::DeriveTrait; pub trait OurTrait {
|#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
                                    fn greet(&self);
1 implementation
struct Dog;
                                impl OurTrait for {name} {{
                                   fn greet(&self) {{
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
                                      println!(\"Hello {name}!\");
                                   }}
1 implementation
struct Cat;
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
     Dog.greet();
     Cat.greet();
```

```
use showcase::DeriveTrait; pub trait OurTrait {
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
1 implementation
struct Dog;
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
1 implementation
struct Cat;
► Run | Debug
fn main() {
    Dog.greet();
    Cat.greet();
```

```
fn greet(&self);
impl OurTrait for {name} {{
   fn greet(&self) {{
       println!(\"Hello {name}!\");
   }}
```

Running Hello Dog! Hello Cat!

```
#[proc_macro_attribute]
pub fn log_call(_attr: TokenStream, item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   item
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_attribute]
pub fn log_call(_attr: TokenStream, item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   item
}
```

```
proc_macro_attributes are used like this:
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
}
#[log_call]
fn bye() {
    println!("Bye!");
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_attribute]
pub fn log_call(_attr: TokenStream, item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   item
}
```

```
proc_macro_attributes are used like this:
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!"); Calls our macro in the background
}
#[log_call]
fn bye() {
    println!("Bye!");
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_attribute]
pub fn log_call(_attr: TokenStream, item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   item
}
```

Attribute Macros are different: They expect two arguments

- → The first argument is the token tree following the attribute's name
- → The second argument is the rest of the item, including other attributes

```
#[proc_macro_attribute]
pub fn log_call(_attr: TokenStream, item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   item
}
```

```
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
}
#[log_call]
fn bye() {
    println!("Bye!");
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_attribute]
pub fn log_call(_attr: TokenStream, item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   item
}
```

```
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
}
#[log_call] Attribute stream is empty here
fn bye() {
    println!("Bye!");
}
```

```
#[proc_macro_attribute]
pub fn log_call(_attr: TokenStream, item: TokenStream) -> TokenStream {
   item
}
```

```
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
}
#[log_call] Attribute stream is empty here
fn bye() {
    println!("Bye!");
}
```

```
#[log_call]
                    main.rs
|#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
struct Cat;
#[log_call]
enum Animal {
    Dog(Dog),
    Cat(Cat),
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
```

```
#[log_call]
                    main.rs
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
struct Cat;
#[log_call]
enum Animal {
    Dog(Dog),
    Cat(Cat),
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
```

Many items accept attributes

```
#[log_call]
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
struct Cat;
#[log_call]
enum Animal {
    Dog(Dog),
    Cat(Cat),
}
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
}
```

```
Punct { ch: '#', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(287..288) }
Bracket
  Ident { ident: "derive", span: #0 bytes(289..295) }
  Group { delimiter: Parenthesis, stream: TokenStream [Ident { ident: "DeriveTrait", span: #0 bytes(296..307) }], span: #0 bytes(295..308) }
Ident { ident: "struct", span: #0 bytes(310..316) }
Ident { ident: "Cat", span: #0 bytes(317..320) }
Punct { ch: ';', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(320..321) }
```

```
#[log_call]
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
struct Cat;
#[log_call]
enum Animal {
    Dog(Dog),
    Cat(Cat),
}
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
}
```

```
Ident { ident: "enum", span: #0 bytes(334..338) }
Ident { ident: "Animal", span: #0 bytes(339..345) }
Brace
   Ident { ident: "Dog", span: #0 bytes(352..355) }
   Group { delimiter: Parenthesis, stream: TokenStream [Ident { ident: "Dog", span: #0 bytes(356..359) }], span: #0 bytes(355..360) }
   Punct { ch: ',', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(360..361) }
   Ident { ident: "Cat", span: #0 bytes(366..369) }
   Group { delimiter: Parenthesis, stream: TokenStream [Ident { ident: "Cat", span: #0 bytes(370..373) }], span: #0 bytes(369..374) }
   Punct { ch: ',', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(374..375) }
```

```
#[log_call]
#[derive(DeriveTrait)]
struct Cat;
#[log_call]
enum Animal {
    Dog(Dog),
    Cat(Cat),
}
#[log_call(greet="yes")]
fn hello() {
    println!("Hello!");
}
```

```
Ident { ident: "fn", span: #0 bytes(403..405) }
Ident { ident: "hello", span: #0 bytes(406..411) }
Parenthesis
Brace
  Ident { ident: "println", span: #0 bytes(420..427) }
  Punct { ch: '!', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(427..428) }
  Group { delimiter: Parenthesis, stream: TokenStream [Literal { kind: Str, symbol: "He llo!", suffix: None, span: #0 bytes(429..437) }], span: #0 bytes(428..438) }
  Punct { ch: ';', spacing: Alone, span: #0 bytes(438..439) }
```

We can call procedural macros in many different contexts

- We can call procedural macros in many different contexts
- We need to make sure that the macro is always correct, for any input

- We can call procedural macros in many different contexts
- We need to make sure that the macro is always correct, for any input
- That's *a lot* of complexity, given that we're only working with token streams

- We can call procedural macros in many different contexts
- We need to make sure that the macro is always correct, for any input
- That's *a lot* of complexity, given that we're only working with token streams
- But: Because we're using normal Rust, we can use everything that Rust provides

- We can call procedural macros in many different contexts
- We need to make sure that the macro is always correct, for any input
- That's *a lot* of complexity, given that we're only working with token streams
- But: Because we're using normal Rust, we can use everything that Rust provides
- We can use crates which parse streams into convenient structures, to make writing procedural macros easier

- We can call procedural macros in many different contexts
- We need to make sure that the macro is always correct, for any input
- That's *a lot* of complexity, given that we're only working with token streams
- But: Because we're using normal Rust, we can use everything that Rust provides
- We can use crates which parse streams into convenient structures, to make writing procedural macros easier
- The most important crates, syn and quote, will be introduced next week

4. Next time

- syn + quote
- Functional Programming in Rust