

Streaming Instability Code Comparison Problem Set

Stanley A. Baronett^{1,2,4★} and Wladimir Lyra^{3,4}

¹University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Box 454002, 4505 S. Maryland Pkwy., Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

²Nevada Center for Astrophysics, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, 4505 S. Maryland Pkwy., Las Vegas, NV 89154-4002, USA

³New Mexico State University, Department of Astronomy, PO Box 30001 MSC 4500, Las Cruces, NM 88001, USA

⁴Planet Formation in the Southwest + (PFITS+)

Created 1 June 2022. Revised 13 January 2025

1 INTRODUCTION

The streaming instability is a promising mechanism to drive planetesimal formation. Since its discovery (Youdin & Goodman 2005), several hydrodynamics codes have explored the parameters, non-linear properties, and implications of this aerodynamic instability that requires feedback between dust and gas momenta. However, the nontrivial differences between numerical techniques (e.g., finite difference or finite volume) and dust modeling (e.g., as a pressureless fluid or as Lagrangian particles) can make it difficult to disentangle unique scientific results from the potential idiosyncrasies of a particular code or implementation. In an effort to address these issues, this collaborative project aims to comprehensively compare various multipurpose codes across some of the key models and problems previously studied in investigations into the streaming instability.

1.1 Repositories

1.1.1 Figure scripts and source codes

Figure scripts and source code files related to this project can be found in the [pfitsplus/sicc](#) GitHub repository. For more information, please see the repository [README](#).

1.1.2 Output data

The problem data outputted by the contributing codes must be uploaded to the designated [Google Shared Drive](#). Anyone with the link can view and comment on the contents, but please contact [Stanley A. Baronett](#) (barons2@unlv.nevada.edu) to request access to add files. To be consistent with the structure of this document (Section 1.2), the subdirectories therein are hierarchically organized first by *model*, next by *problem*, next by *variation*, and last by *code*. Regardless of the inherent data format normally generated by a contributing code, all requested output (e.g., arrays) must be stored in or converted to compressed `NumPy` `.npz` files using the `numpy.savez_compressed()` function (see the [NumPy Manual](#) for details). All quantities, including times and coordinates, must be saved in the units specified by each problem, as detailed in later sections (e.g., Section 2.2.1; see Section 1.2 for document structure). The `.npz` files must be named and structured as follows.

Snapshots must be named as the corresponding simulation time without leading zeros, with the initial snapshot at $t_{\text{sim}} = 0$ named `0.npz`. Each snapshot must contain the cell-centered coordinates as separate 1D arrays for each axis using the keyword arguments (`**kws`) `x`, `y`, and/or `z`. The requested quantities within each snapshot must also be stored in individual 2D or 3D arrays using the

keyword arguments specified by each problem or variation (e.g., `rho_p` for the particle density).

Time series data must be saved as `time_series.npz` and contain individual arrays with keyword arguments `t` (for the corresponding simulation times) and those specified by each problem or variation for the requested quantities (e.g., `max_rho_p` for the maximum particle density). The requested cadence (i.e. time increment between outputs dt) for the time series is also specified by each problem or variation.

1.2 Document structure

The subsequent structure of this document is as follows. The sections themselves (e.g., Section 2) correspond to particular *models* with different source terms (e.g., unstratified vs. stratified). Within each section, the first subsection (e.g., Subsection 2.1) explains the setup and relevant quantities for the corresponding model. The second subsection (e.g., Subsection 2.2) identifies the specific *problems* of interest, the relevant *variations* of parameter values, and the corresponding objectives for the code comparison.

2 UNSTRATIFIED

As detailed in [Baronett et al. \(2024, sec. 2\)](#), the unstratified problems are modeled without the vertical component of stellar gravity in the local-shearing-box approximation ([Goldreich & Lynden-Bell 1965](#)), where the equations of motion are linearised with Cartesian x , y , and z axes constantly aligned to the radial, azimuthal, and vertical directions, respectively. The Keplerian reference frame is axisymmetric with periodic boundary conditions in all directions.

2.1 Model setup

2.1.1 Gas

From [Baronett et al. \(2024, sec. 2.1\)](#), the continuity and momentum equations for the inviscid gas ($\nu = 0$) are

$$\frac{\partial \rho_g}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_g \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \rho_g \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_g \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} + P \mathbf{I}) \\ &= \rho_g \left[2\Omega_K u_y \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_K u_x \hat{\mathbf{y}} + 2\Omega_K \Pi c_s \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_g} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}}{t_{\text{stop}}} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

respectively. In solving for the gas density ρ_g , the gas velocity \mathbf{u} is measured relative to the background Keplerian shear flow $\mathbf{u}' =$

$-(3/2)\Omega_K x \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, where Ω_K is the local Keplerian angular frequency. In equation (2), $P = \rho_g c_s^2$ for an isothermal equation of state with sound speed c_s , and \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. The first two source terms on the right-hand side of equation (2) are a combination of the radial component of stellar gravity and the Coriolis and centrifugal forces. The third term is a constant outward force on the gas due to an external radial pressure gradient, determined by the dimensionless parameter (Bai & Stone 2010, eq. 1)

$$\Pi \equiv \frac{\eta v_K}{c_s} = \frac{\eta r}{H_g} = 0.05, \quad (3)$$

where v_K is the local Keplerian velocity, $H_g = c_s/\Omega_K$ is the vertical gas scale height, and

$$\eta \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_g \Omega_K^2 r} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{H_g}{r} \right)^2 \frac{\partial \ln P}{\partial \ln r} \sim \left(\frac{c_s}{v_K} \right)^2, \quad (4)$$

is the fractional reduction in orbital speed of the gas from Keplerian (when $\eta > 0$) if the dust were not present (Nakagawa et al. 1986, eq. 1.9). The fourth and final term is the frictional drag force from the solid particles back to the gas, where \mathbf{v} is the ensemble-averaged local velocity of the particles (measured relative to the background shear) and t_{stop} is their stopping time. The factor of the dust-to-gas density ratio ρ_p/ρ_g ensures the conservation of the total linear momentum of the gas and dust particles, where ρ_p is the spatially averaged dust density in the gas cell.

The gas density field is initially uniform with $\rho_g(x, y, z, t = 0) = \rho_{g,0}$. By assuming a total dust-to-gas mass ratio

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{\langle \rho_p \rangle}{\rho_{g,0}}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\langle f \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{L_x L_y L_z} \iiint f \, dx \, dy \, dz \quad (6)$$

is the instantaneous volume average of quantity f over the computational domain of dimensions $L_x \times L_y \times L_z$, the initial components of the gas velocity take the equilibrium solution by Nakagawa et al. (1986):

$$u_{x,0} = -\epsilon v_{x,0}, \quad (7)$$

$$u_{y,0} = -\left[1 + \frac{\epsilon \tau_s^2}{(1+\epsilon)^2 + \tau_s^2} \right] \frac{\eta v_K}{1+\epsilon}, \quad (8)$$

$$u_{z,0} = 0, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\tau_s \equiv \Omega_K t_{\text{stop}}. \quad (10)$$

is the dimensionless stopping time (a.k.a. Stokes number; Youdin & Goodman 2005).

2.1.2 Lagrangian dust particles

From Baronett et al. (2024, sec. 2.2), the dust is modeled as Lagrangian super-particles, each of which represents an ensemble of numerous identical solid particles described by their total mass and average velocity. The equations of motion for the i -th super-particle is then

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{p,i}}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_i - \frac{3}{2} \Omega_K x_{p,i} \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = 2\Omega_K v_{i,y} \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_K v_{i,x} \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{u}}{t_{\text{stop}}}, \quad (12)$$

where the velocity \mathbf{v}_i is measured relative to the background Keplerian shear $\mathbf{v}'_i = -(3/2)\Omega_K x_{p,i} \hat{\mathbf{y}}$. The right-hand side of equation (12) parallels equation (2) in Lagrangian form without the radial gas pressure gradient. The gas velocity \mathbf{u} is interpolated at the particle position $\mathbf{x}_{p,i}$ using the Triangular-Shaped-Cloud scheme under the standard particle-mesh method (Hockney & Eastwood 1981). For a monodisperse population of dust, the stopping times t_{stop} and τ_s (equation 10) are the same for all particles. As with the gas (equations 7–9), the initial components of the particle velocity take the equilibrium solution by Nakagawa et al. (1986):

$$v_{i,x,0} = -\left[\frac{2\tau_s}{(1+\epsilon)^2 + \tau_s^2} \right] \eta v_K, \quad (13)$$

$$v_{i,y,0} = -\left[1 - \frac{\tau_s^2}{(1+\epsilon)^2 + \tau_s^2} \right] \frac{\eta v_K}{1+\epsilon}, \quad (14)$$

$$v_{i,z,0} = 0. \quad (15)$$

2.1.3 Pressureless dust fluid

From Youdin & Johansen (2007, sec. 2.1.1), the continuity and momentum equations for the inviscid ($\nu = 0$) and pressureless dust fluid are

$$\frac{\partial \rho_d}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_d \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_d \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_d \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} + P \mathbf{I}) \\ = \rho_d \left[2\Omega_K v_y \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_K v_x \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \frac{1}{\rho_d} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}}{t_{\text{stop}}} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

respectively. Solving for the dust density ρ_d , the dust velocity \mathbf{v} is measured relative to the background Keplerian shear flow $\mathbf{v}' = -(3/2)\Omega_K x \hat{\mathbf{y}}$. The right-hand side of equation (17) parallels equation (2) without the radial gas pressure gradient. As with the gas (equations 7–9), the initial components of the dust velocity take the equilibrium solution by Nakagawa et al. (1986):

$$v_{x,0} = -\left[\frac{2\tau_s}{(1+\epsilon)^2 + \tau_s^2} \right] \eta v_K, \quad (18)$$

$$v_{y,0} = -\left[1 - \frac{\tau_s^2}{(1+\epsilon)^2 + \tau_s^2} \right] \frac{\eta v_K}{1+\epsilon}, \quad (19)$$

$$v_{z,0} = 0. \quad (20)$$

2.2 Problems

As in Johansen & Youdin (2007), the problems in the subsections below are intended to study the nonlinear saturation of the unstratified streaming instability. The requested output data must include simulation snapshots and a time series (see Section 1.1.2 for formatting and submission details). The grid coordinates must be in units of the vertical gas scale height H_g , and times must in units of the local orbital period

$$T \equiv 2\pi/\Omega_K. \quad (21)$$

Snapshots must contain the dust density field in units of the initially uniform gas density, i.e. $\rho_p(x/H_g, z/H_g)/\rho_{g,0}$ (defined in Section 2.1.1), stored with the keyword argument `rhop`. The parameter values for the following problems and the requested output for their associated variations are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Parameters for the unstratified problems and their variations (Section 2.2). The columns are (1) problem name, (2) dimensionless stopping time^a, (3) total dust-to-gas mass ratio^b, (4) domain size, (5) snapshot times, (6) snapshot keywords, (7) time series cadence, (8) time series keywords, (9) grid resolution, and (10) average number of Lagrangian particles per cell. Length, time, and density are in units of the gas scale height H_g , orbital period T^c , and initially uniform gas density $\rho_{g,0}$, respectively.

Problem	τ_s	ϵ	$L_x = L_y = L_z$ (H_g)	Snapshots t_{sim}/T	keywords	Time series dt/T	keywords	$N_x \times N_y \times N_z$	n_p
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
BA	1.0	0.2	2.0	0, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100	rhop	0.1	maxrhop	$512 \times 1 \times 512$ $1024 \times 1 \times 1024$	1, 9 1

^a Defined by equation (10)

^b Defined by equation (5)

^c Defined by equation (21)

2.2.1 BA

This problem and its associated variations are based on run “BA” from [Johansen & Youdin \(2007\)](#). As key parameters, $\tau_s = 1.0$, and $\epsilon = 0.2$ as defined by equations (10) and (5), respectively. The local-shearing-box (Section 2) domain size is $L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 2 H_g \times 2 H_g \times 2 H_g$. Dust density snapshots must be taken at $t_{\text{sim}}/T = 0, 5, 10, 20, 50$, and 100 and must be mapped to the gas grid by particle–mesh assignment for Lagrangian codes (Section 2.1.2). The time series must include the maximum particle density in units of the initially uniform gas density, i.e. $\max(\rho_p)/\rho_{g,0}$, stored with the keyword argument `maxrhop` at a cadence of $dt = 0.1T$.

Two variations at grid resolutions of $N_x \times N_y \times N_z = 512 \times 1 \times 512$ and $1024 \times 1 \times 1024$ must be submitted as separate runs. Codes that implement a pressureless dust fluid (Section 2.1.3) must initially perturb the fluid with Gaussian noise at 1% of the sound speed for all velocities, i.e. $d\mathbf{v} = 0.01c_s$. Codes that implement Lagrangian dust particles (Section 2.1.2) must use a total number of particles, which are randomly distributed throughout the domain, such that there is $n_p = 1$ particle per cell on average. For the 512^2 resolution specifically, an additional sub-variation must have $n_p = 9$.

These parameter values and their associated variations are included in Table 1. The code comparison objectives for this problem and its variations include comparing morphologies, maximum density evolution, and cumulative distribution functions.

REFERENCES

- Bai X.-N., Stone J. M., 2010, [ApJ](#), **722**, 1437
 Baronett S. A., Yang C.-C., Zhu Z., 2024, [MNRAS](#), **529**, 275
 Goldreich P., Lynden-Bell D., 1965, [MNRAS](#), **130**, 125
 Hockney R. W., Eastwood J. W., 1981, Computer Simulation Using Particles. McGraw-Hill
 Johansen A., Youdin A., 2007, [ApJ](#), **662**, 627
 Li R., Youdin A. N., 2021, [ApJ](#), **919**, 107
 Nakagawa Y., Sekiya M., Hayashi C., 1986, [Icarus](#), **67**, 375
 Youdin A. N., Goodman J., 2005, [ApJ](#), **620**, 459
 Youdin A., Johansen A., 2007, [ApJ](#), **662**, 613

APPENDIX A: PENDING

The following sections are works in progress, some of which may be included in the main text above in future revisions of this document.

APPENDIX B: UNSTRATIFIED

B1 Problems

B1.1 AB

Unstratified monodisperse streaming instability. This is run “AB” of [Johansen & Youdin \(2007\)](#).

The box must have dimensions $L_x = L_z = 0.1H \times 0.1H$, resolution is 1024×1024 , Stokes number $St = 0.1$, dust-to-gas ratio $\epsilon = 1$, number of particles: 4 particle per cell (4,194,304 particles).

20 snapshots taken between 0 and 2 (on intervals of 0.1) orbits and single snapshots at 3 and 4 orbits (units of $2\pi/\Omega$). Snapshots must contain densities and velocities for the gas and particles, as well as the particle positions (can be separate snapshots).

Submit the results as numpy savez files (.npz), containing, respectively

- (i) a file with the grid arrays, x and z
- (ii) files with the particle density for each snapshot;
- (iii) the particle positions for each snapshot;
- (iv) time series (with $dt = 0.01$ orbits), containing the time and the particle and gas density and velocity dispersions, as defined by equations 10 and 11 of [Baronett et al. \(2024, sec. 3.1\)](#).

Objective: compare dispersions, cumulative distribution function, and morphological evolution.

B1.2 lin A

Linear, unstratified monodisperse streaming instability. This is run “lin A” of [Youdin & Johansen \(2007\)](#).

TO DO

Objective: reduce nonlinearity of initial conditions, identify close to pure code comparisons.

APPENDIX C: STRATIFIED

C1 2D

C1.1 Lagrangian dust particles

Clumping threshold for streaming instability. This is run Z0.4t30 of [Li & Youdin \(2021\)](#).

The box must have dimensions $L_x = L_z = 0.8H \times 0.4H$, resolution is 1024×512 , Stokes number $St = 0.3$, dust-to-gas ratio $Z = 0.01$.

Number of particles must be 4 particles per cell, but considering the effective particle scale height ($H_p \approx 0.1H \approx 2\eta r$). For $\Pi = 0.05$, that’s 262,144 particles.

Vertical boundary condition: reflective (zero normal velocity u_z , zero gradient for u_x and u_y).

Initial condition: Gaussian for gas density, particles settled with particle scale height $H_p = 0.025$.

Snapshots taken at 5, 10, 20, 50, 100 orbits (units of $2\pi/\Omega$). Snapshots must contain particle density and particle positions. Time series of maximum particle density.

Objective: Do codes agree on clumping?

C1.2 Pressureless dust fluid

Same as Problem 3A but for fluid. Start fluid with Gaussian noise at 1% of sound speed for all velocities.

C2 3D

3D Streaming Instability. This is a 3D extension of Problem 4A (with higher Z also, for shorter computation time).

The box must have dimensions $L_x = L_z = 0.8H \times 0.4H \times 0.4H$, resolution is $1024 \times 512 \times 512$, Stokes number $St = 0.3$, dust-to-gas ratio $Z = 0.01$, number of particles: $N_w \times \Pi = 13,421,772$.

Vertical boundary condition: reflective (zero normal velocity u_z , zero gradient for u_x and u_y).

Initial condition: Gaussian for gas density, particles settled with particle scale height $H_p = 0.025$.

Midplane and vertical slice of particle density at 2, 5, 10, and 20 orbits. Full datacube at 20 orbits (units of $2\pi/\Omega$). Snapshots must contain particle density and particle positions. Time series of maximum particle density.

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.