

# Rate and persistence of adaptation remains consistent across multiple sets of spectral cues for sound localisation

Paul Friedrich

Department of Biology, Leipzig University

February 2023

# I. Introduction

Accurate localization of sounds is required for navigation, communication, predation and escape. It is therefore not surprising that neural and structural specializations have evolved to perform this complex task. The human cortex for example continuously integrates sensory input across modalities to estimate the relative direction and distance of objects (things) in the environment. Whereas the topography of visual and somatosensory space is represented by a point-by-point mapping of primary receptors, the auditory scene must first be computed from incoming sound waves. In this scenario, the direction-dependent filtering profile of the pinnae and upper body result in spectral cues that aid in the inference of sound source location. As these filters underlie lifelong changes, beyond the developmental period, the auditory system must maintain its ability to recalibrate the mapping of spectral cues to locations in space. [source]

## **II. Methods and Materials**

### **A. Participants**

15 participants (mean age a + b years) took part in the experiment. They were informed about the relevant experimental procedures before providing their consent. Participants had no history of hearing disorder and had normal or corrected vision. The experimental procedures were approved by the ethics committee at Leipzig University. Monetary compensation was provided to the participants based on the time they spend at the experiment.

### **B. Earmolds**

To alter participants' perception of sound source elevation, their pinnae were modified by silicone molds. As a result, spectral cues derived from the shape of the external ears were changed sufficiently to diminish participants ability to locate sounds on the vertical axis. Earmolds were created by applying fast curing, skin safe silicone (SkinTite, Smooth-On, Macungie, USA) to the cyma conchae, cavum conchae and the antihelix while keeping the ear canal unobstructed [Fig. X]. Shape and volume of the earmolds varied between individuals to achieve similar degrees of disruption of vertical sound localization across participants.

### **C. Experimental procedure**

The experiment was designed to adapt listeners to multiple sets of spectral cues for sound localization: participants wore two distinct pairs of earmolds consecutively (further denoted as M1 and M2), each over the course of a five-day adaptation period. The acoustical and behavioral impact of the molds were measured and the trajectories of participants' adaptation throughout the following days were recorded to capture the occurrence of metaplasticity. To test whether learning a new set of spectral cues interferes with a previously learned mapping, the persistence of adaptation to the initial earmolds (M1) was measured after participants adapted to the second pair of molds (M2) for five days. This measurement was repeated for M2 after another five days without earmolds [Fig X]. During their first visit, participants were familiarized with the environment, equipment and procedures of the free-field localization task. To minimize procedural learning during the experiment, participants completed at least one localization run and were free to continue practicing until they felt comfortable with the task. No feedback was given. After the initial familiarization, participants performed one localization task to measure their baseline localization accuracy. Once this task was completed, participants' HRTFs were acquired. Participants' ears were then modified by fitting the first pair of earmolds (M1), before immediately repeating the localization task. To capture changes to spectral cues induced by the earmolds, another set of HRTFs was acquired. From that day on, the silicone molds were worn by the participants for five consecutive days. Throughout this adaptation period, participants underwent a daily routine of training sessions. Each training session was followed by a free-field localization task. On the final day of the first adaptation period (day 5 in Fig. X), participants completed a short training session and a localization test. As a control, a subset of x participants performed an additional localization task presenting stimuli with varying spectral content in each trial. The earmolds were then removed, and participants' localization accuracy was immediately measured again to test for aftereffects. The second pair of earmolds (M2) was then fitted to the participants' ears, their initial localization accuracy was measured and HRTFs were acquired. Over the next 5 days, the procedure was repeated as described for the first adaptation period, including daily training sessions and localization tasks. After mold removal at the end of the second adaptation period (day 10 in Fig. X), the initial earmolds (M1) were briefly re-inserted and participants completed a localization run. To compare the

persistence of M1 and M2 adaptation, participants returned to the lab after 5 days for a final localization test with the second molds (M2) re-inserted. The localization tests, binaural recordings and training sessions were conducted in a hemi-anechoic room (a x b x c m). Participants were seated in a comfortable chair in front of a spheric array of 45 loudspeakers (Mod1, Orb Audio, New York, USA) with a diameter of 1.4 m, covering the frontal hemisphere. Loudspeakers were hidden by an acoustically transparent black curtain to avoid visual cues of the sound source positions. Optionally, a small light emitting diode that was visible through the curtain indicating the central location of the frontal hemifield ( $0^\circ$  Azimuth,  $0^\circ$  Elevation). During the localization tasks and training sessions, participants wore a headband with a laser pointer and an electromagnetic motion sensor (METAMOTIONRL, MBIENTLAB INC, San Francisco, USA) attached. The laser light was reflected by the curtain and provided visual feedback for the participants to indicate perceived sound source directions. Real time head orientation and position captured by the motion sensor were used to calculate azimuth and elevation of the participants' response.

## D. Stimuli

The stimuli used in the free-field localization task were 225 ms long sequences of pulsed pink noise, each composed of five equally spaced bursts of 25 ms duration. In the additional localization task, stimuli consisted of 225 ms long mixtures of environmental sounds. Each stimulus was composed of 6 randomly arranged excerpts of sounds drawn from a list of 42 recordings and had a unique spectrum. The Stimuli were regenerated on each trial and controlled by a custom python script using the slab toolbox [Schönwiesner et al., (2021)]. Overall sound pressure level (SPL) of the stimuli at the position of the participants' ears was 42 dB. Stimuli were processed digitally and amplified via TDT System 3 hardware (Tucker Davis Technologies, Alachua, USA). For every loudspeaker, the transfer function was measured by a probe microphone (Brüel Kjær, Nærum, Denmark) positioned at equal distance and orientation. A bank of inverse finite impulse response (FIR) filters was designed for each speaker to reduce differences in amplitude and frequency response across the loudspeakers. This was done to minimize spectral localization cues independent of participant's HRTFs.

## E. Localization task

44 Loudspeakers were used for the localization task, covering  $102^\circ$  in azimuth ( $-52.5^\circ$  to  $52.5^\circ$ ) and  $75^\circ$  in elevation ( $-37.5^\circ$  to  $37.5^\circ$ ) of the hemisphere in front of the participant. Loudspeaker positions are described in an interaural-polar coordinate system. The loudspeakers were arranged on a spherical grid, formed by 7 loudspeakers on the horizontal and 7 loudspeakers on the vertical axis. Loudspeakers were distributed on the sphere with an angular distance of  $17.5^\circ$  in azimuth and  $12.5^\circ$  in elevation between neighboring speakers [Fig. X]. The 4 outermost loudspeakers (at  $\pm 52.5^\circ$  azimuth and  $\pm 37.5^\circ$  elevation) were excluded. At the beginning of each trial, participants were instructed to aim the head mounted laser at a centrally presented LED while pressing the button on a handheld box. When the button was pressed, participant's initial head position was recorded and the stimulus was presented at a pseudorandom direction. Participants were instructed to indicate the perceived direction by turning their head towards the sound source and to confirm their response by pressing the button again. The horizontal and vertical angular displacement from the initial to the indicating head orientation was used to measure participant's responses. No feedback was given. Each direction was presented three times during a localization run, with an angular distance of at least  $35^\circ$  between sound locations of two consecutive trials to reduce adaptation and assimilation (Cross, 1973).

## F. Training

The training task was designed to accelerate the adaptation to new spectral cues and was introduced to participants as a game-like scenario. Participants were instructed to find the location of a pulsed pink noise played from one of the 44 speakers. Proprioceptive feedback was provided by varying pulse duration and the delay between the pulses depending on participant's head orientation. Duration and delay of consecutive pulses decreased logarithmically with the angular distance between sound direction and the participant's head direction, from up to 500 ms at 65° angular distance. (the maximal distance (52.5° in azimuth and 37.5° in elevation). The pulse train gradually merged into a continuous sound when participants directed the head mounted laser within 3° angular distance to the sound source. If participants remained oriented at the target area for at least 500 ms, the sound source was considered found and a popular video game sound was played as a reward signal. The target sound location was then switched at least 45° away from the previous one. Additionally, participants scored points for every found location and were rewarded more points if they located the sounds faster. Participants tried to score as many points as possible within 90 seconds. The final score was displayed on a screen after each round and a leaderboard encouraged competition amongst participants. Throughout the adaptation periods, participants underwent a daily routine of three 10-minute training sessions, intermitted by 5-minute breaks.

## G. Directional transfer functions

Binaural recordings were conducted to extract directional transfer functions (DTFs) of participant's pinnae with and without silicone molds. PUM-3046L-R miniature microphones (PUIaudio, Fairborn, USA) were inserted in the ear canal to measure the sound pressure level at the ear eardrum. To minimize non-directional contributions by standing-wave pattern in the canal, the microphones were placed 2 mm into the entrance of the blocked ear canal. Participants were seated in front of the loudspeaker array and were asked to remain stationary during the measurement while aiming the head mounted laser at the central LED. Frequency modulated sweeps of 100 ms duration were presented 30 times from each of the 7 loudspeakers on the vertical midline (0° azimuth, -37.5° to 37.5° elevation). Repetitions were averaged for every location to increase signal to noise ratio. Recordings were digitized via TDT system 3 hardware at a sampling rate of 97 kHz. DTFs were extracted from the time-averaged recordings by taking the ratio of the Fourier transform of the acquired signal to the Fourier transform of the input signal. DTFs were smoothed in the cepstral domain by a 1500 Hz low-pass FIR filter.

## H. Statistical analysis

Sound localization accuracy was quantified by the root mean square

of the distance (RMSE) between the physical target and the perceived response locations for azimuth and elevation respectively. Horizontal and vertical variance of participant's responses was quantified by taking the grand mean across standard deviations (SD) of the response coordinates for each sound location. The participant's ability to perceive sound source elevation was additionally quantified by the elevation gain (EG), as the slope of the linear regression lines between target and response elevations (Hofman et al., 1998).

Throughout the analysis, paired comparisons were statistically assessed using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Relations between measures were determined using Spearman correlation coefficients, p-values smaller than 0.05 were regarded as significant. ... VSI, VSI dissimilarity, spectral strength Participants n for the sets of molds ef = 15, m1 = 14, m2 = 13 Sampling rates,

..When examining the relation between these acoustical metrics and behavior, left and right ear values were averaged.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. VSI and localization performance with free ears were related

Participants' directional transfer functions (DTFs) were recorded across the vertical midline with and without earmolds. DTFs from the left and right ear of one participant are shown in ???. To quantify spectral information available for vertical sound localization in the individual sets of DTFs, VSI (Trapeau et al., 2016) and spectral strength (Middlebrooks, 1999) were computed in 5 octave bands between 4 and 16 kHz (4–8 kHz, 4.8–9.5 kHz, 5.7–11.3 kHz, 6.7–13.5 kHz, 8–16 kHz). Spectral information of participants' free ears varied among frequency bands (Kruskal-Wallis test, VSI:  $p = 0.0087$ , spectral strength:  $p = 10^{-7}$ ). The dissimilarity between DTFs across elevations (VSI) peaked in the 5.7 – 11.3 kHz band as previously reported by Trapeau et al. (2016) (Fig. 2 A). The VSIs of all participants in this band are shown in Fig. 2 B. Participants' left and right ears had similar VSI (Spearman correlation between the VSI of left and right ears in the 5.7 – 11.3 kHz band:  $r = 0.45, p = 0.0897$ ). Spectral strength, i.e., the variance within individual DTFs, indicated the highest spectral detail in the neighboring 6.7–13.5 kHz band. When joining these two bands for the analysis, VSI correlated with vertical localization accuracy (Fig. 2 C) and vertical SD (free ears VSI in the 5.7 - 13.5 kHz band compared to vertical localization: RMSE:  $R = -0.58, p = 0.0249$ , EG:  $R = 0.15, p = 0.6025$ , SD:  $R = -0.58, p = 0.0249$ ). No correlation was found between behavioral metrics and VSI in the other frequency bands. Spectral strength did not correlate with behavior in the tested frequency bands.

#### B. Earmolds reduced spectral information in the 5.7-11.3 kHz band

Application of silicone molds to the Pinnae altered spectral cues in the 4–16 kHz band. The consecutively applied sets of earmolds (further denoted as molds 1 and molds 2) attenuated the spectral notch situated in the 5–11 kHz band and the neighbouring spectral peak in the 11–14 kHz band (Fig. 3, A-C). Similar effects have been observed in previous studies using pinna modifications to alter spectral cues for sound localization (Trapeau et al. (2016), Wanrooij and Opstal (2005), Hofman (1998)). Comparing VSIs of participants' modified and free ears in the 5.7–11.3 kHz band showed that earmolds reduced the amount of spectral information available for elevation discrimination in this band (Fig. 4 B, differences between VSI of free and modified ears; free ears:  $0.61 \pm 0.04$ , vs molds 1:  $0.45 \pm 0.05, p = 0.0022$ , vs molds 2:  $0.39 \pm 0.04, p = 0.0036$ ). The two sets of earmolds led to similar levels of VSI reduction compared to participants' free ears (free ears VSI




Figure 2: Free ears VSI and localization performance. **A**, Variation of VSI across five octave bands between 4 and 16 kHz. VSI was averaged across sets of DTFs obtained from participants' left and right ears without earmolds. VSI varies significantly and is highest in the 5.7–11.3 kHz band. **B**, Left and right ear VSI of each participant in the 5.7–11.3 kHz band. VSIs of the left and right ears were similar. **C**, Relation between VSI in the 5.7 – 13.5 kHz band and vertical localization accuracy.

reduction caused by molds 1:  $0.18 \pm 0.06$  vs molds 2:  $0.18 \pm 0.06$ ,  $p = 0.1973$ ). The relation between VSIs of participants' left and right ears persisted after mold insertion but was not significant for the second pair of earmolds (molds 1:  $r = 0.56$ ,  $p = 0.0336$ , molds 2:  $r = 0.53$ ,  $p = 0.064$ ). Fig. 4 A shows the VSI of all participants with modified and free ears.

### C. Acoustic changes occurred at similar frequencies across participants and were different between consecutive molds

To confirm whether spectral changes were induced at similar frequencies across participants for each set of earmolds but at different frequencies between the two sets, the probability of spectral change caused by pinna modifications was mapped for each frequency bin and elevation (Fig. 3 D-F). Spectral changes were defined as the absolute differences between DTFs measured before and after mold insertion above a given threshold. This threshold was a participant-specific measure of spectral difference across DTFs with free ears and was defined by the mean RMS difference across all combinations of DTFs (in dB) at each elevation (average across participants:  $4.89 \text{ dB} \pm 0.15$ ). Based on these thresholds, binary maps of spectral changes were created for each set of molds and participant (above-threshold changes were set to 1, all other values were set to 0). The average of these maps across participants shows the proportion of participants for which earmolds induced spectral changes above the threshold at each frequency bin and elevation.




Figure 3: Acoustic effect of the earmolds. **A**, Mean across participants' DTFs with free ears. **B-C**, Mean across participants' DTFs with modified ears. Earmolds attenuated spectral notches and peaks in the 5-14 kHz frequency range. **D-E**, Probability maps showing the proportion of participants for which the molds induced marked changes in spectral amplitude at each elevation and frequency bin. Acoustic changes induced by each set of molds occurred at similar frequencies across participants. **F**, Probability map showing the proportion of marked changes between the first and second pair of earmolds. Both sets induced acoustic changes at different frequencies.




Figure 4: VSI and VSI dissimilarity across pinna shapes. **A**, Left and right ear VSI in the 5.7–11.3 kHz band. Each dot represents one participant. Grey dots show VSI values of free ears, blue and red dots represent VSI values with earmolds 1 and 2, respectively. Relations between left and right ear VSI are shown by regression lines. The similarity between left and right ear VSI persisted after mold insertion. **B**, VSI with and without molds in the 5.7–11.3 kHz band. Both sets of molds reduced VSI significantly. **C**, VSI dissimilarities across participants in the 5.7–13.5 kHz band. Grey dots show the VSI dissimilarity of free left and right ears between all combinations of two participants. Each colored dot shows the VSI dissimilarity between pinna shapes of one participant. Blue and red dots indicate dissimilarity between free ears and the first and second set of molds, respectively. Yellow dots indicate VSI dissimilarity between both sets of earmolds. The outline of the grey dot cloud largely includes the colored dots, indicating that acoustic differences induced by the molds did not exceed acoustic differences between participants' natural ears. **D**, VSI dissimilarities between the three pinna shapes in the 5.7–13.5 kHz band. VSI dissimilarity between free ears and the first earmolds was similar to VSI dissimilarity between the first and second set of molds.

## D. Consecutive pinna modifications were equally dissimilar and physiologically plausible

Each pair of earmolds modified the previously adapted set of DTFs. To test whether spectral differences that were consecutively induced by the molds were in the same range, VSI dissimilarities between the three different pinna shapes (ears free, earmolds 1 and earmolds 2) were computed in the 5.7–13.5 kHz frequency band. The dissimilarity between participants' free ears and the first set of earmolds was comparable to the dissimilarity between the first and the second set of molds (Fig. 4 D, VSI dissimilarity in the 5.7–13.5 kHz band, free ears and molds 1:  $0.64 \pm 0.06$  vs molds 1 and molds 2:  $0.51 \pm 0.05$ ;  $p = 0.2544$ ). The second set of earmolds induced larger spectral differences to participants' free ears than the first set (VSI dissimilarity free ears and molds 1:  $0.64 \pm 0.06$  vs free ears and molds 2:  $0.8 \pm 0.06$ ;  $p = 0.0005$ ). To confirm that spectral changes induced by the earmolds were physiologically plausible, VSI dissimilarities between free and modified ears of each participant were compared to VSI dissimilarities between all possible pairs of participants' free ears (Fig. 4 C). The overlap of distributions shows that spectral changes induced by both sets of molds were comparable in magnitude to the natural spectrum of differences between individuals' ears.

## E. Earmolds reduced vertical localization performance

Insertion of earmolds degraded vertical localization performance (see Fig. 5, days 0 and 5; one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test of vertical localization performance; ears free vs molds 1; RMSE:  $p = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ , EG:  $p = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ , SD:  $p = 0.0062$ , ears free vs molds 2; RMSE:  $p = 0.0005$ , EG:  $p = 0.0005$ , SD:  $p = 0.0005$ ). On the horizontal plane, only the standard deviation of responses increased (one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test of horizontal localization performance; ears free vs molds 1; SD:  $p = 0.04$ , ears free vs molds 2: SD:  $p = 0.005$ ). Both sets of earmolds caused a similar decrease of vertical localization performance (mold induced drop in vertical localization performance, two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test; molds 1 vs molds 2 ; EG:  $p = 0.465$ , RMSE:  $p = 0.700$ , SD:  $p = 0.123$ ).




Figure 5: Time course of vertical localization performance. White dots indicate performance with free ears, black and grey dots indicate performance with molds 1 and molds 2, respectively. Data points represent the mean across participants (ears free:  $n = 15$ , molds 1:  $n = 14$ , molds 2:  $n = 13$ ). Error bars show the standard error. Slim dotted lines plot changes in localization performance after mold insertion and removal. Thick dotted lines show differences between the last test of the adaptation period and the final adaptation persistence test with each set of molds. **A**, Evolution of EG and **B**, time course of localization error (RMSE) and response variability (SD) on the vertical plane throughout the two consecutive adaptation periods. Participants EG was reduced while RMSE and SD were increased after insertion of earmolds. EG and RMSE recovered within each of the two five-day adaptation periods, while SD further increased. Participants' EG recovered faster with the first set of molds than with the second set. After each mold removal, EG returned to baseline levels whereas RMSE increased compared to the first test with free ears (white dots on day 5 and 10). Although EG with molds 1 increased during adaptation to molds 2, adaptation persistence did not differ between the two sets of earmolds.

## F. Participants adapted to two novel sets of DTFs

Participants wore the two different sets of earmolds during two consecutive five-day adaptation periods. Adaptation was driven by multisensory experience while wearing the molds throughout the day, accompanied by five sessions of daily sensory-motor training at the lab. Vertical sound localization performance improved significantly for both sets of earmolds except for response variability (SD), which increased throughout the adaptation period (Fig. 5, one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank tests of vertical localization on day 0 vs day 5 with earmolds; molds 1: EG:  $p = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ , RMSE:  $p = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ , SD:  $p = 0.0042$ ; molds 2: EG:  $p = 0.0002$ , RMSE:  $p = 0.032$ , SD:  $p = 0.0081$ ). As expected, horizontal localization was not affected by adaptation to the earmolds (one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank tests of horizontal localization on day 0 vs day 5 with earmolds; molds 1; RMSE:  $p = 0.555$ , SD:  $p = 0.467$ , molds 2; RMSE:  $p = 0.485$ , SD:  $p = 0.515$ ). To rule out the possibility of participants memorizing location-specific spectral features of the training stimuli in the localization test, the test was repeated with a subset of six participants on the last day of each adaptation period using stimuli of random spectral content (USOs). The effect of USO stimuli on vertical localization error did not differ between adapted earmolds and free ears indicating that generalizable perceptual learning had taken place (Friedman test; differences in vertical RMSE between pink noise and USO localization across conditions; Ears

free:  $-0.38 \pm 1.82$ , Earmolds 1:  $2.5 \pm 0.46$ , Earmolds 2:  $-0.15 \pm 0.45$ ,  $p = 0.135$ ).

## G. No indication of metaplasticity in the adaptation to the second set of earmolds

To investigate effects of metaplasticity, i.e., a faster relearning of sound localization with new pinna shapes after previously adapting to a different set of pinna modifications, adaptation was compared between the first and the second set of molds. To quantify the rate of adaptation across participants and earmolds independent of initial acoustic disruption caused by the molds, the gain in localization performance during adaptation (performance on day 0 vs day 5) was divided by the initial performance drop on day 0. Individual rates of adaptation for each set of molds varied continuously and did not fall into discernable groups. Participants' adaptation rates in EG were higher with the first set of molds than with the second set. No differences between earmold adaptation rates were found for vertical RMSE (one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test of performance gain from day 0 to day 5 divided by initial performance drop; molds 1 vs molds 2; RMSE:  $0.34 \pm 0.06$  vs  $0.26 \pm 0.09$ ,  $p = 0.2065$ , EG:  $0.7 \pm 0.04$  vs  $0.45 \pm 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.0122$ ). Individual adaptation rates with the first and second set of molds were positively related, although not significant (Spearman correlation coefficient:  $r = 0.47$ ,  $p = 0.142$ ).




Figure 6: Acoustic dissimilarity and localization performance. Relation between VSI dissimilarity and vertical RMSE after mold insertion; **A**, with molds 1 compared to free ears, **B**, with molds 2 compared to free ears, **C**, with molds 2 compared to molds 1. Behavior with the second set of earmolds was better explained by acoustic dissimilarity to the previously adapted earmolds than to participants' native ears.

ferences was found for the first set of earmolds (Fig. 6 A, Spearman correlation of VSI dissimilarity between free ears and molds 1 and increase in vertical RMSE after mold 1 insertion:  $r = 0.43$ ,  $p = 0.122$ ). No such trend was found for vertical localization and VSI dissimilarity between free ears and the second set of molds (Fig. 6 B, Spearman correlation of vertical RMSE in the first test with molds 1 compared to free ears baseline and VSI dissimilarity:  $r = -0.18$ ,  $p = 0.5926$ ). Because initial vertical localization accuracy with the second earmolds could depend on acoustic similarities to the previously learned set, differences in localization performance on the last day of adaptation to earmolds 1 and the initial test with the earmolds 2 were compared to the VSI dissimilarity between both sets of molds. A trend of increasing vertical error with greater acoustic dissimilarity between the first and second set of earmolds was found (Fig. 6 C, Spearman correlation of increase in vertical RMSE from the last test with molds 1 to the first test with molds 2 and VSI dissimilarity between consecutive earmolds:  $r = 0.35$ ,  $p = 0.2847$ ).

## H. Effects of VSI dissimilarity on the disruption of localization performance across pinna shapes

To test whether acoustic and behavioral effects of the earmolds were related, VSI dissimilarity between DTFs in the 5.7 – 13.5 kHz band with and without molds was compared to the decrease in participant's localization performance after insertion of the earmolds. A trend of increasing vertical RMSE for larger acoustic dif-

## I. RMSE with free ears was increased after mold removal

Previous studies reported the absence of an aftereffect on localization performance with free ears after adaptation to new spectral cues for sound localization (Hofman (1998), Trapeau et al. (2016)). To confirm these findings, free ears localization accuracy was measured immediately after earmolds were removed at the end of each adaptation period. No aftereffect was found for EG (SD?) but an increasing impact on participants' vertical localization accuracy with their native ears was observed after each adaptation period (Fig. 5 B, one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test, vertical RMSE; free ears baseline vs free ears day 5:  $p = 0.002$ , free ears baseline vs free ears day 10:  $p = 0.0006$ ).

## J. Vertical localization accuracy with the first earmolds decreased during adaptation to the second molds

To investigate whether learning a new set of spectral cues for sound localization interfered with a previously learned set, earmolds were reinserted five days after mold removal and localization was re-measured. During the five days after the first earmolds were removed, participants adapted to another set of pinna modifications. The second set of earmolds served as a control because participants were not exposed to new spectral cues in the five days following mold 2 removal (see Fig. 5). Participants vertical localization accuracy with molds 1 was significantly decreased after five days of adaptation to the second molds (RMSE with molds 1 on day 5:  $17.12 \pm 0.65$  and day 10:  $19.3 \pm 1.17$ , one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test,  $p = 0.015$ ). Other metrics of localization performance did not differ significantly (one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test of performance with molds 1 on day 5 vs day 10; EG:  $p = 0.1514$ , SD:  $p = 0.2997$ ). Localization performance with the second molds remained unchanged after 5 days with free ears (performance with molds 2 on day 10 vs day 15; RMSE:  $19.64 \pm 0.91$  vs  $19.66 \pm 1.08$ , EG:  $0.64 \pm 0.03$  vs  $0.64 \pm 0.06$ , SD:  $8.05 \pm 0.79$  vs  $7.54 \pm 0.54$ ). Adaptation persistence was defined as the difference between the last test with molds in the adaptation period and the final persistence tests with molds 1 and 2 on days 10 and 15, respectively. No difference in adaptation persistence was found between the first and second set of molds (one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test of adaptation persistence with molds 1 vs molds 2; RMSE:  $p = 0.2119$ , EG:  $p = 0.2349$ , SD:  $p = 0.1902$ ). Fig. 7 shows the evolution of response pattern with both sets of earmolds averaged across participants throughout the time course of the experiment.

## References

- P. M. Hofman. Relearning sound localization with new ears. *nature neuroscience*, 1(5), 1998.
- J. C. Middlebrooks. Individual differences in external-ear transfer functions reduced by scaling in frequency. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 106(3):1480–1492, Sept. 1999. ISSN 0001-4966, 1520-8524. doi: 10.1121/1.427176. URL <https://pubs.aip.org/jasa/article/106/3/1480/553209/Individual-differences-in-external-ear-transfer>.
- R. Trapeau, V. Aubrais, and M. Schönwiesner. Fast and persistent adaptation to new spectral cues for sound localization suggests a many-to-one mapping mechanism. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 140(2):879–890, Aug. 2016. ISSN 0001-4966, 1520-8524. doi: 10.1121/1.4960568. URL <https://pubs.aip.org/jasa/article/140/2/879/663968/Fast-and-persistent-adaptation-to-new-spectral>.
- M. M. V. Wanrooij and A. J. V. Opstal. Relearning Sound Localization with a New Ear. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 25(22):5413–5424, June 2005. ISSN 0270-6474, 1529-2401. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0850-05.




Figure 7: Change of response pattern throughout the experiment. Grey panels show sound target locations, averaged for targets belonging to similar directions by dividing the target space into twenty-five half-overlapping sectors (Hofman, 1998). Black dots represent sound localization responses for each target averaged across all participants. The first and second row show behavioral impact, subsequent adaptation and adaptation persistence with molds 1 and 2, respectively.

2005. URL <https://www.jneurosci.org/content/25/22/5413>. Publisher: Society for Neuroscience  
Section: Behavioral/Systems/Cognitive.