# Technische Universität Dresden Fakultät Informatik

## Computational Modeling and Simulation

### **Master Thesis**

# Quantifying differences in the microanatomy of the auditory cortex between both hemispheres of the mammalian brain

Gesine Müller

Supervised by: Dr. Nico Scherf and Philip Ruthig

#### **Reviewers:**

 $1^{st}$  Reviewer: Prof. Dr. Marc Schönwiesner  $2^{nd}$  Reviewer: PD Dr. Ingmar Glauche

Submission date: 16.02.2022 Dresden

	1		1			
logo/UniLeipzig.jpg		logo/TU_Dresden_Logo	/JPG_Ansi	dlwg&I/W <u>P</u> Dr.ej	angen_Logo_	blau

# **Contents**

### Abstract

### 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Microstructural organization of the mammalian auditory cortex

The mammalian neocortex promotes manifold cognitive functions such as sensory and motoric processing of visual and auditory clues. It consists of distinctive neocortical areas whose properties have been entensively explored. The auditory cortex forms a part of the temporal neocortex and represents the brain's principal area of auditory processing. Incoming acoustic information is transformed in the auditory cortex to an abstract representation, further processed, and passed on to other regions in the brain. Due to its intensive connectivity to other sensory and non-sensory brain structures, the auditory cortex is beneficially located in the auditory processing network [?]. Its architecture and organization principles are the focus of this project.

• • •

### 2 Biological Background

### 2.1 Auditory processing and structure of the auditory cortex

•••

The first description of the ACx tonotopic map in mice by Stiebler et al. [?] is based on the characteristic frequency for which a neuron shows its lowest excitatory threshold. That was later on refined to contain six subregions: the anterior auditory field (AAF), primary auditory field (A1), secondary auditory field (A2), dorsomedial field (DM) are tonotopic organized whereas the dorsoanterior field (DA) and dorsoposterior field (DP) are non-tonotopically with a distinct characteristic frequency but spatially non-tonotopic arrangement. However, no distinct equivalence of topography and tonotopy can be defined for every part of the auditory pathway [?].

Auditory processing and structure of the ACx

Figure 1: Coordinate framework for the mouse brain and microstructural organisation of the ACx. (A) The location of the ACx in the mouse is given along with the direction of the tonotopic and the isofrequency axis d [?]. The coordinate framework is defined through the axes anterior A to posterior P and dorsal D to ventral V. The coronal (B), sagittal (C) and horizontal (D) views of the three-dimensional Allen mouse brain reference atlas [?] are depicted additional with the respective coordinate frameworks of A-P (also elsewhere defined as rostral-caudal), D-V (elsewhere also given as superior-inferior) and left L to right R. The arrangement of the six cortical layers L1 to L6 are shown in a in-plane slice of the ACx of the autofluorescence channel of one exemplary dataset (E).

As described in Tsukano et al. [?],...

• Layer 1 is the outermost layer and built up mostly by neuropil and apical dendrites.

- Layer 2/3 are combined due to their functional similarity and consist of small and medium pyramidal neurons and a variety of nonpyramidal neurons.
- Layer 4 is the inner granular layer and is practically devoid of pyramidal cells.
- Layer 5, the inner pyramidal layer, is distinguished into a cell-sparse upper sublayer and cell-rich lower layer.
- Layer 6 is the multiform or polymorphic layer and exhibits the most diverse cell type population in the ACx.

### 3 Methods

... layer sizes (see Table ??)

Table 1: Adapted layer sizes.

		3
Layer	Layer thickness [?]	Adapted layer thickness
L1	0 – 90 μm	$0-58.5 \ \mu m$
L2/3	$90 - 361 \ \mu m$	$58.5 - 234.65 \ \mu m$
L4	$361 - 465 \mu m$	$234.65 - 302.25 \ \mu m$
L5	$465 - 857 \mu m$	$302.25 - 557.05 \ \mu m$
L6	$857 - 1157 \mu m$	$557.05 - 752.05 \ \mu m$

some formulas:

$$J(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0) (\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})) \nabla^T f(\boldsymbol{x}) dx_1 dx_2.$$
 (3.1)

Here, w is the window funtion, in this case a Gaussian centered around  $x_0$ , and the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\max}$  and  $\lambda_{\min}$  are calculated from the smoothed J:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} f_{x_1}^2(\mathbf{x}) & f_{x_1}(\mathbf{x}) f_{x_2}(\mathbf{x}) \\ f_{x_2}(\mathbf{x}) f_{x_1}(\mathbf{x}) & f_{x_2}^2(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.2)

Additionally to the orientation  $\phi$ , the energy E representing homogeneity when  $E \sim 0$  ( $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_{\min} \sim 0$ ) and the coherence E being a measure of confidence if E >> 0 can be calculated. E equals 1 if one distinct dominant direction can be found and equals 0 if the structures are essentially isotropic.

Orientation 
$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} \arctan(\frac{2J_{12}}{J_{22} - J_{11}}),$$
 (3.3a)

Energy 
$$E = \operatorname{trace}(J)$$
, (3.3b)

Coherence 
$$C = \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}} - \lambda_{\text{min}}}{\lambda_{\text{max}} + \lambda_{\text{min}}} \in [0, 1].$$
 (3.3c)

# 4 Results

# 5 Discussion

# **List of Figures**

# List of Tables