The Journal of Open Source Software

Peptacular: A Python package for amino acid sequence analysis

Patrick Tyler Garrett [1] **and John R. Yates III** [1¶]

1 The Scripps Research Institute, United States ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: 10.xxxxxx/draft

Software

- Review
- Repository
- Archive

Editor: Open Journals

Reviewers:

- @openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970
Published: unpublished

Summary

Mass spectrometry-based proteomics relies on computational methods to identify and characterize amino acid (AA) sequences. These sequences, which range from short peptides to complete proteins, exhibit considerable chemical complexity arising from post-translational modifications (PTMs), variable charge states, neutral losses, and isotopic patterns (Angel et al., 2012; Smith & Kelleher, 2013). **Peptacular** is a fully type-annotated pure Python library designed around ProForma 2.1 notation for working with such complexity. The library provides functionality for modifying AA sequences, calculating mass, m/z, isotopic distributions, physicochemical properties, digesting via common enzymes, fragmenting, and more.

Statement of Need

Historically, the proteomics field has lacked standardization for representing AA sequences. Individual software tools have implemented proprietary notations, which has created barriers to data integration and reanalysis across platforms. ProForma notation (LeDuc et al., 2018) was developed to address this challenge by providing a unified representation system. However, adoption has remained limited, partly due to insufficient support in widely-used computational tools and libraries. Peptacular addresses this gap by providing an accessible and efficient application programming interface (API) for working with AA sequences in a standardized manner. The library was built from the ground up to support ProForma notation and implements nearly all features specified in ProForma 2.1.

Modern proteomics experiments routinely identify hundreds of thousands of peptide-spectrum matches (PSMs). These results are typically exported as tabular files and processed using Python, particularly with data manipulation libraries such as **pandas** (Team, 2025) and **polars** (Vink et al., 2025). Given the scale of these datasets, efficient computational methods for processing these tables are essential. Peptacular addresses this requirement by providing a functional API that operates directly on tabular data structures while automatically supporting parallelization to improve computational performance.

State of the Field

Several existing Python packages provide functionality for working with AA sequences and ProForma parsing. **Pyteomics** (Goloborodko et al., 2013) offers considerable overlap with Peptacular but does not support the complete ProForma specification and lacks built-in parallelization capabilities. **BioPython** (Cock et al., 2009) provides basic support for calculating properties of AA sequences; however, its broader scope (encompassing DNA and RNA) results in a more complex API, and it does not include ProForma support. **RustyMS** (Schulte et al., n.d.) Python bindings offer comprehensive ProForma support with the performance benefits of a Rust backend, though this architecture introduces additional API complexity and omits

certain features available in Peptacular. **PyOpenMS** (Röst et al., 2013) supports AA sequence operations but lacks ProForma compatibility, requires specific Python versions, and addresses a substantially broader scope than AA sequence manipulation alone.

The development of Peptacular was motivated by the need for a package that combines comprehensive AA sequence support with ProForma notation in a pure Python implementation compatible with any Python environment. The decision to implement this library from scratch, rather than extending existing projects, was based on several considerations. First, many established packages have expanded to support various features beyond processing AA sequences, making targeted contributions more challenging. Second, because many of these projects predate ProForma notation, ProForma support was incorporated retrospectively, which constrains the extent of implementation and complicates API design. Finally, not all current packages are compatible with the latest Python versions, particularly free-threaded versions of Python with the Global Interpreter Lock (GIL) disabled.

Software Design

Peptacular provides two primary APIs: a functional API and an object-oriented API. The object-oriented API employs a factory pattern to modify Annotation objects, enabling precise control over annotations. The functional API provides functions that operate directly on serialized sequences and annotations, with automatic parallelization when multiple inputs are provided.

The built-in parallelization supports three execution backends: sequential, threaded, and process-based. Sequential execution runs on a single core. Threading is limited by the GIL in most Python versions; however, as Python development progresses toward making the GIL optional, threading will likely become increasingly viable. Process-based parallelization is the default backend, as it has the best compatibility between systems. Users can globally configure the spawning mechanism for processes or threads, selecting from fork, spawn, or forkserver modes. Processes are cached to avoid the startup cost of creating new processes.

Peptacular employs lazy evaluation to minimize memory overhead and improve performance. Modifications remain in serialized form until they are explicitly required for calculations. The serialization and parsing of objects utilize extensive caching, as proteomics datasets commonly contain only a subset of repeated modifications. Additionally, Peptacular uses conditional initialization, whereby modification-related data structures are instantiated only when the corresponding modification type is needed. This approach reduces the memory footprint of annotation objects and accelerates their creation and copying.

Modification reference data, including masses, compositions, and identifiers from Unimod (Creasy & Cottrell, 2004), PSI-MOD (Hupo-Psi, n.d.-a), RESID (*RESID Database [PIR - Protein Information Resource]*, n.d.), XLMOD (Hupo-Psi, n.d.-b), and GNOme (Glygen-Glycan-Data, n.d.), are provided by the **Tacular** (P. Garrett, 2026) package. This package embeds the data directly within itself as Python modules rather than storing them as external files. Only valid modifications are included in the embedded data; a modification is considered valid if it possesses at least one of the following properties: average mass, monoisotopic mass, or chemical formula. This design eliminates file I/O overhead during the parsing of supported ontologies. The primary limitation of this approach is that updates to the ontologies are not immediately available and require a package update. However, Tacular includes a pipeline to rebuild from the latest ontology versions.

Peptacular is fully type-annotated and includes a **py.typed** marker, enabling static type checking with tools. This provides enhanced IDE support through intelligent autocomplete and inline documentation, while allowing users to catch type-related errors before runtime. The package maintains >70% test coverage and employs continuous integration via GitHub Actions.

Research impact statement

Since its initial release, Peptacular has demonstrated measurable adoption. The package has accumulated over **33k** downloads from PyPI, with sustained weekly download rates exceeding 200 installations. It has been recognized as one of 3 python packages to support Proforma notation by the PSI group. Additionally, Peptauclar was used to generate figures within a textbook chapter (P. T. Garrett et al., 2025).

Example Usage

Object-Based API

```python
import peptacular as pt

# Parse a sequence into a ProFormaAnnotation
peptide: pt.ProFormaAnnotation = pt.parse("PEM[Oxidation]TIDE")

# Calculate mass and m/z
mass: float = peptide.mass() # 849.342
mz: float = peptide.mz(charge=2) # 425.678

# Factory pattern
print(peptide.set_charge(2).set_peptide_name("Peptacular").serialize())
# (>Peptacular)PEM[Oxidation]TIDE/2
```

Functional-Based API

```python
import peptacular as pt

peptides = ['[Acetyl]-PEPTIDES', '<C13>ARE', 'SICK/2']

# Calculate mass and m/z for all peptides
masses: list[float] = pt.mass(peptides) # [928.4026, 374.1914, 451.2454]
mzs: list[float] = pt.mz(peptides, charge=2) # [465.2086, 188.103, 225.6227]
```

Mathematics

Peptacular implements algorithms for molecular mass calculations and isotopic pattern prediction. The following sections formalize the mathematical framework underlying these calculations.

Base Mass

The base mass M_{base} of a peptide sequence with modifications is calculated as the sum of all constituent components:

$$M_{base} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i + M_N + M_C + M_S + M_I + M_R + M_U + \mathbb{1}_{\text{precursor}} \cdot M_L$$

where:

- n is the sequence length
- m_{AA_i} is the monoisotopic (or average) mass of amino acid at position i
- M_N is the total mass of N-terminal modifications
- M_C is the total mass of C-terminal modifications
- M_S is the total mass of static/fixed modifications

- M_I is the total mass of position-specific modifications
- M_R is the total mass of modifications within defined sequence intervals
- M_U is the total mass of modifications with unknown positions
- M_L is the total mass of labile modifications
- $\mathbb{1}_{\text{precursor}}$ is an indicator function: 1 for precursor ions, 0 for fragment ions

Labile modifications are only included in precursor ion mass calculations and excluded from all fragment ion types.

Neutral Mass

The neutral mass M_{neutral} of a fragment ion is calculated by combining the base mass with ion-type, isotope modifications, neutral deltas.

$$M_{\text{neutral}} = M_{\text{base}} + M_{\text{ion}} + M_{\text{isotope}} + M_{\text{ndelta}}$$

where:

- M_{base} is the peptide base mass from the previous section
- M_{ion} is the ion-type-specific mass offset
- M_{isotope} is the mass shift from a specific isotopic species
- M_{ndelta} is the mass change from neutral losses/gains

Mass-to-charge Ratio

The mass-to-charge ratio is calculated by incorporating charge carriers and electron mass corrections to the neutral mass:

$$\frac{m}{z} = \frac{M_{\text{neutral}} + M_{\text{adduct}} - z \cdot m_e}{z}$$

where:

- M_{neutral} is the neutral fragment mass
- M_{adduct} is the total mass of charge carriers
- z is the total charge state
- $m_e = 0.0005485799$ Da (electron mass)

Isotopic Distribution

The isotopic distribution of a peptide is calculated by convolving the isotopic patterns of all constituent elements. For a peptide with elemental composition $\{E_1 : n_1, E_2 : n_2, ..., E_k : n_k\}$, the isotopic distribution is:

$$P(\text{total}) = P(E_1)^{n_1} \otimes P(E_2)^{n_2} \otimes ... \otimes P(E_k)^{n_k}$$

where $P(E_i)$ is the natural isotopic distribution of element E_i, n_i is the count of that element, and $\otimes$ represents the convolution operation.

Averagine Model

When the exact elemental composition is unknown, the averagine model estimates composition from molecular mass using empirically-derived ratios. The composition is calculated as:

$$n_E = r_E \cdot M_{\text{neutral}} + n_{E,\text{ion}}$$

where:

- n_E is the estimated count of element E
- r_E is the averagine ratio (atoms per dalton) for element E
- M_{neutral} is the neutral peptide mass
- $n_{E,\text{ion}}$ is the elemental contribution from the ion type

The averagine ratios (atoms/Da) derived from the human proteome are:

- C: 0.044179
- H: 0.069749
- N: 0.012344
- O: 0.013352
- S: 0.000400

Figures

Table 1: Proforma 2.1 Compliance

?	Feature	Example	§
Y	Amino acids (+UO)	`AAHCFKUOT`	6.1
Y	Unimod names	`PEM[Oxidation]AT`	6.2.1
Y	PSI-MOD names	`PEM[monohydroxylated residue]AT`	6.2.1
Y	Unimod numbers	`PEM[UNIMOD:35]AT`	6.2.2
Y	PSI-MOD numbers	`PEM[MOD:00425]AT`	6.2.2
Y	Delta masses	`PEM[+15.995]AT`	6.2.3
Y	N-terminal modifications	`[Carbamyl]-QPEPTIDE`	6.3
Y	C-terminal modifications	`PEPTIDEG-[Methyl]`	6.3
Y	Labile modifications	`{Glycan:Hex}EM[U:Oxidation]EV`	6.4
Y	Multiple modifications	`MPGNW[Oxidation][Carboxymethyl]PESQE`	6.5
Y	Information tag	`ELV[INFO:AnyString]IS`	6.6
Y	Ambiguous amino acids	`BZJX`	7.1
Y	Prefixed delta masses	`PEM[U:+15.995]AT`	7.2
Y	Mass gap	`PEX[+147.035]AT`	7.3
Y	Formulas	`PEM[Formula:O]AT`, `PEM[Formula:[17O1]]AT`	7.4
Y	Mass with interpretation	`PEM[+15.995|Oxidation]AT`	7.5
Y	Unknown mod position	`[Oxidation]?PEMAT`	7.6.1
Y	Set of positions	`PEP[Oxidation#1]M[#1]AT`	7.6.2
Y	Range of positions	`PRT(ESFRMS)[+19.0523]ISK`	7.6.3
Y	Position scores	`PEP[Oxidation#1(0.95)]M[#1(0.05)]AT`	7.6.4
Y	Range position scores	`(PEP)[Oxidation#1(0.95)]M[#1(0.05)]AT`	7.6.5
Y	Amino acid ambiguity	`(?VCH)AT`	7.7
Y	Modification prefixes	`PEPM[U:Oxidation]AS[M:O-phospho-L-serine]`	7.8
Y	RESID modifications	`EM[R:L-methionine sulfone]EM[RESID:AA0581]`	8.1
Y	Names	`(>Heavy chain)EVQLVESG`	8.2
Y	XL-MOD modifications	`EVTK[X:Aryl azide]LEK[XLMOD:00114]SEFD`	9.1
N	Cross-linkers (intrachain)	`EVTK[X:Aryl azide#XL1]LEK[#XL1]SEFD`	9.2.1
N	Cross-linkers (interchain)	`EVTK[X:Aryl azide#XL1]L//EK[#XL1]SEFD`	9.2.2
N	Branches	`ED[MOD:00093#BRANCH]//D[#BRANCH]ATR`	9.3
Y	GNO modifications	`NEEYN[GNO:G59626AS]K`	10.1
Y	Glycan compositions	`NEEYN[Glycan:Hex5HexNAc4NeuAc1]K`	10.2
Y	Charged formulas	`SEQUEN[Formula:Zn1:z+2]CE`	11.1
Y	Controlling placement	`PTI(MERMERME)[+32|Position:E]PTIDE`	11.2
Y	Global isotope	`<13C>CARBON`	11.3.1
Y	Fixed modifications	`<[Oxidation]@M>ATPEMILTCMGCLK`	11.3.2
Y	Chimeric spectra	`NEEYN+SEQUEN`	11.4
Y	Charges	`SEQUEN/2`, `SEQUEN/[Na:z+1,H:z+1]`	11.5
N	Ion notation	`SEQUEN-[b-type-ion]`	11.6

Table 1 depicts the level of ProForma support in Peptacular. The package currently supports

all ProForma 2.1 features for linear peptides. Cross-linked peptides (both inter- and intrachain) and branched structures are not supported. Ion notation is also not supported, as it conflicts with mzPAF (Peak Annotation Format) notation for fragment ions.

Figure 1: Parallelization Performance - GIL Enabled vs GIL Disabled (Python 3.14t)



Figure 1: Parallelization performance comparison for calculating the mass of randomly generated modified peptides with lengths ranging from 10 to 30 amino acids. The benchmark compares serialized annotations (strings) and annotation objects across different parallelization methods, varying numbers of workers, and both GIL-enabled and GIL-disabled configurations. The baseline for speedup calculations is single-worker sequential-based execution (0.336s ±0.011s for serialized strings, 0.178s ±0.004s for annotation objects). Benchmark environment: Intel i7-12700H (14 cores, 20 threads), 64GB RAM, Python 3.14t.

AI usage disclosure

Generative AI models were employed to support the development of this software package. Specifically, Claude Sonnet 4.5, Gemini 2.0 Pro, and GitHub Copilot's autocomplete extension were utilized for code generation, test development, debugging assistance, and documentation preparation. These tools were accessed through the Copilot extension in Visual Studio Code. Additionally, Type.ai was used to assist in manuscript preparation. All AI-generated content was subsequently reviewed and verified for accuracy.

Availability

Peptacular is distributed through PyPI (https://pypi.org/project/peptacular/) and available as open-source software on GitHub (https://github.com/tacular-omics/peptacular). Documentation is accessible at https://peptacular.readthedocs.io. The software is released under the MIT license.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the PSI group for their assistance in answering questions and providing feedback during the development process. Particular recognition is extended to Douwe Schulte for his contributions to ProForma 2.1.

Funding (TODO?)

Angel, T. E., Aryal, U. K., Hengel, S. M., Baker, E. S., Kelly, R. T., Robinson, E. W., & Smith, R. D. (2012). Mass spectrometry-based proteomics: existing capabilities and future directions. *Chemical Society Reviews*, *41*(10), 3912. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs15331a

Cock, P. J. A., Antao, T., Chang, J. T., Chapman, B. A., Cox, C. J., Dalke, A., Friedberg, I., Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B., & De Hoon, M. J. L. (2009). Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(11), 1422–1423. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163

Creasy, D. M., & Cottrell, J. S. (2004). Unimod: Protein modifications for mass spectrometry. *PROTEOMICS*, *4*(6), 1534–1536. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200300744

Garrett, P. (2026). *tacular-omics/tacular: v1.0.1 - Zenodo + Docs* (Version v1.0.1). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18475557

Garrett, P. T., Turner, N. P., Nakorchevsky, A., Pankow, S., & Yates, J. R. (2025). *Mass spectrometry-based proteomics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-323-99507-8.00013-5

Glygen-Glycan-Data. (n.d.). *GitHub - glygen-glycan-data/GNOme: GNOme - Glycan Naming and Subsumption Ontology*. https://github.com/glygen-glycan-data/GNOme

Goloborodko, A. A., Levitsky, L. I., Ivanov, M. V., & Gorshkov, M. V. (2013). Pyteomics—A Python framework for exploratory data analysis and rapid software prototyping in proteomics. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, *24*(2), 301–304. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-012-0516-6

Hupo-Psi. (n.d.-a). *GitHub - HUPO-PSI/psi-ms-CV: HUPO-PSI mass spectrometry CV*. https://github.com/HUPO-PSI/psi-ms-CV

Hupo-Psi. (n.d.-b). *GitHub - HUPO-PSI/xlmod-CV: Repo for the XLMOD ontology for chemical cross linkers*. https://github.com/HUPO-PSI/xlmod-CV

LeDuc, R. D., Schwämmle, V., Shortreed, M. R., Cesnik, A. J., Solntsev, S. K., Shaw, J. B., Martin, M. J., Vizcaino, J. A., Alpi, E., Danis, P., Kelleher, N. L., Smith, L. M., Ge, Y., Agar, J. N., Chamot-Rooke, J., Loo, J. A., Pasa-Tolic, L., & Tsybin, Y. O. (2018). ProForma: a standard proteoform notation. *Journal of Proteome Research*, *17*(3), 1321–1325. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.7b00851

RESID Database [PIR - Protein Information Resource]. (n.d.). https://proteininformationresource.org/resid/

Röst, H. L., Schmitt, U., Aebersold, R., & Malmström, L. (2013). pyOpenMS: A Python-based interface to the OpenMS mass-spectrometry algorithm library. *PROTEOMICS*, *14*(1), 74–77. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201300246

Schulte, D., Gabriels, R., & Heerdink, A. (n.d.). *mzcore* (Version 0.11.0). https://github.com/rusteomics/mzcore

Smith, L. M., & Kelleher, N. L. (2013). Proteoform: a single term describing protein complexity. *Nature Methods*, *10*(3), 186–187. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2369

Team, P. D. (2025). pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17992932

Vink, R., De Gooijer, S., Beedie, A., Burghoorn, G., Nameexhaustion, Peters, O., Gorelli,

M. E., Reswqa, Van Zundert, J., Marshall, Hulselmans, G., Grinstead, C., Denecker, K., Manley, L., chielP, Turner-Trauring, I., Valtar, K., Mitchell, L., Sprenkels, A., … Magarick, J. (2025). pola-rs/polars: Python Polars 1.36.1. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17873635