PAC-Bayesian Theory Meets Bayesian Inference

Pascal Germain[†], Francis Bach[†], Simon Lacoste-Julien[†], Alexandre Lacoste[‡]

† INRIA Paris - École Normale Supérieure † Google

NIPS 2016 spotlight

Dans la vie, l'essentiel est de porter sur tout des jugements a priori.

— Boris Vian

PAC-Bayesian Theory

The PAC-Bayesian theory claims to provide "PAC guarantees to Bayesian algorithms" (McAllester, 1999).

Assumption

The training set (X,Y) contains n i.i.d. samples from a data distribution \mathcal{D} .

Probably Approximately Correct (PAC) bound

With probability at least " $1-\delta$ ", the loss of predictor f is less than " ε ",

$$\Pr_{X,Y \sim \mathcal{D}^n} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(f) \leq \varepsilon (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{X,Y}(f), n, \delta, \ldots) \right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Bayesian Flavor

Given a prior π and a posterior $\hat{\rho}$ over a class of predictors \mathcal{F} ,

$$\Pr_{X,Y \sim \mathcal{D}^n} \left(\underset{f \sim \hat{\rho}}{\mathsf{E}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(f) \leq \varepsilon \left(\underset{f \sim \hat{\rho}}{\mathsf{E}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{X,Y}(f), n, \delta, \mathrm{KL}(\pi \| \hat{\rho}), \ldots \right) \right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Given a loss function
$$\ell(f,x,y) \in [0,1]$$
, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(f) \coloneqq \mathop{\mathbf{E}}_{(x,y) \sim \mathcal{D}} \ell(f,x,y)$.

Theorem

(adapted from Catoni, 2007)

With probability at least " $1-\delta$ ",

$$\forall\,\hat{\rho}\text{ on }\mathcal{F}:\quad \mathop{\mathbf{E}}_{f\sim\hat{\rho}}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(f)\,\leq\,\frac{1}{1-e^{-1}}\left(\mathop{\mathbf{E}}_{f\sim\hat{\rho}}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{X,Y}(f)+\tfrac{1}{n}\left[\mathrm{KL}(\hat{\rho}\|\pi)+\ln\tfrac{1}{\delta}\right]\right),$$

The bound suggests to minimize the following trade-off:

$$n \underset{f \sim \hat{\rho}}{\mathbf{E}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{X,Y}(f) + \mathrm{KL}(\hat{\rho} || \pi).$$

Optimal posterior

$$\hat{\rho}^*(f) = \frac{1}{Z_{X,Y}} \pi(f) e^{-n \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{X,Y}(f)}.$$

PAC-Bayesian Theory Meets Bayesian Inference

Negative log-likelihood loss function

Given a Bayesian likelihood
$$p(Y|X,\theta)$$
, let $\ell_{\text{nll}}(\theta,x,y) = \ln \frac{1}{p(y|x,\theta)}$.

The PAC-Bayesian and Bayesian posteriors align:

$$\widehat{\rho}^*(\theta) = \frac{\pi(\theta) e^{-n\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{X,Y}^{\text{full}}(\theta)}}{Z_{X,Y}} = \underbrace{\frac{p(\theta) p(X,Y|\theta)}{p(Y|X)}}_{\text{Bayesian posterior}} = p(\theta|X,Y) .$$

The normalization constant $Z_{X,Y}$ corresponds to the Bayesian marginal likelihood

$$Z_{X,Y} = p(Y|X) = \int_{\Theta} \pi(\theta) e^{-n\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{X,Y}^{\ell_{\text{nll}}}(\theta)} d\theta.$$

Moreover,

$$-\ln \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}} = n \mathbf{E}_{\theta \sim \hat{\rho}^*} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}^{\ell_{\mathrm{nll}}}(\theta) + \mathrm{KL}(\hat{\rho}^* \| \pi).$$

Farewell

Take home message!

The Bayesian marginal likelihood minimizes (some) PAC-Bayesian Bounds.

Our paper also contains :

- PAC-Bayesian theorems for unbounded (sub-gamma) loss functions,
- Study of Bayesian model selection techniques (model evidence),
- Bayesian linear regression experiments.