Gamifying Code, Amplifying Leadership – Playbook (v2)

This playbook refines our gamification initiative by shifting from many different games for each technology to a **"One Game, Any Tech"** approach. Leaders can choose their preferred stack (Python, Java, Ansible, Puppet) to solve the same challenge, enabling comparison and cross-learning while keeping leadership lessons at the core.

■ Why One Game, Many Techs?

- 1 Inclusivity: Leaders play in the stack they're most comfortable with.
- 2 Comparative Insight: Teams can see how the same problem looks in different tools.
- 3 Leadership Lesson Amplified: Leaders learn not only to solve, but to choose the right tool.
- 4 Scalability: Only 5–6 well-designed games needed instead of 15+ separate ones.

■ Example Games (One Game, Any Tech)

■ Server Ping Pong

Objective: Check if servers are alive and return results.

Leadership Lesson: Visibility, monitoring basics, guick wins.

Tech Options: Python \rightarrow socket/ping script; Java \rightarrow multi-threaded ping; Ansible \rightarrow ansible -m ping; Puppet \rightarrow host availability resource.

Outcome Comparison: Python/Java \rightarrow strong for custom logic. Ansible/Puppet \rightarrow strong for orchestration.

■ Deployment Race

Objective: Deploy a mock app/config to 3 servers, measure speed & failures.

Leadership Lesson: Balancing speed and reliability.

Tech Options: Python \rightarrow script-based deploy; Java \rightarrow automation agent; Ansible \rightarrow rolling deploy playbook; Puppet \rightarrow declarative manifests.

Outcome Comparison: Ansible/Puppet \rightarrow stronger at idempotence. Python/Java \rightarrow more flexible.

■ Capacity Tetris

Objective: Place VMs with different resource footprints without exceeding capacity.

Leadership Lesson: Optimization, resource forecasting.

Tech Options: Python \rightarrow simulation arrays; Java \rightarrow OOP scheduling; Ansible \rightarrow placement logic YAML; Puppet \rightarrow manifests enforcing limits.

 $\textbf{Outcome Comparison:} \ \ \text{Python/Java} \rightarrow \text{better for logic-heavy optimization.} \ \ \text{Ansible/Puppet} \rightarrow \text{strong for enforcement.}$

■ Disaster Recovery Simulation

Objective: Recover from a datacenter outage.

Leadership Lesson: Contingency planning & resilience.

 $\textbf{Tech Options:} \ \ \text{Python} \rightarrow \text{failover sim;} \ \ \text{Java} \rightarrow \text{state machine;} \ \ \text{Ansible} \rightarrow \text{DR orchestration;} \ \ \text{Puppet} \rightarrow \text{DR orchestration;} \ \ \ \text{Puppet} \rightarrow \text{DR orchestration;} \ \ \text{Puppet} \rightarrow \text{DR orchestration;$

infra state restore.

Outcome Comparison: Python/Java \rightarrow strong in simulation. Ansible/Puppet \rightarrow strong in orchestration.

With this model, leaders experience shared challenges, express creativity in their stack of choice, and engage in richer discussions around leadership, tradeoffs, and the power of the right tool for the job.