This document is designed for screen reading and two-up printing on A4 or Letter paper

Notes on multivector algebra on differential manifolds

P.G.L. Porta Mana (5) <pgl@portamana.org>

28 October 2020; updated 2 June 2021

1 Multivector tensor algebra

The idea is to build tensors not from the two spaces of vectors and covectors, but from the $2N^2$ spaces of multivectors and multicovectors with their possible straight and twisted orientations.

The exterior algebra is an algebra independent of the tensor one, and it expresses very intuitive geometric relations¹.

The fact that it is independent of the tensor algebra is clear from the fact that we can establish several inequivalent relations between the tensor and exterior products, none of them being canonical.

Antisymmetrizer *A* (a projection):

$$AT := \frac{1}{(\deg T)!} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \, T \circ \pi \tag{1}$$

Abraham et al. (1988), Choquet-Bruhat et al. (1996), Bossavit (1991) use this relation:

$$\alpha \wedge \beta \equiv \frac{(\deg \alpha + \deg \beta)!}{(\deg \alpha)! (\deg \beta)!} A(\alpha \otimes \beta)$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{(\deg \alpha)! (\deg \beta)!} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \pi ,$$
(2)

but relations with different multiplicative factors are also possible.

It's best to define the exterior product intrinsically, with its multilinear, associative, and graded-commutative properties.

¹ cf. Deschamps 1970; 1981.

2 Transformation of components of multi-vectors and -covectors under changes of coordinate

In the following, square brackets [...] will always only indicate a matrix, including row- or column-matrices. They will not be used to delimit function arguments or for grouping, to avoid confusion.

Consider coordinate systems \bar{x}^a and \hat{x}^a on an (N-1)-dimensional manifold. The tangent map from the first to the second chart domain is $M = (M^a_b) \coloneqq \left(\frac{\partial \hat{x}^a}{\partial \bar{x}^b}\right)$, so that, in matrix notation,

$$[\partial_{\bar{x}^a}] = [\partial_{\hat{x}^b}] M \qquad [\partial_{\hat{x}^a}] = [\partial_{\bar{x}_b}] M^{-1}$$
 (3)

$$[d\bar{x}^a] = M^{-1} [d\hat{x}^b] \qquad [d\hat{x}^a] = M [d\bar{x}^b]$$
(4)

with the convention that every collection of objects (vectors or components) with lower indices is to be considered as a row matrix; and with upper indices, as a column matrix.

The components \bar{v}^a and \hat{v}^a of a vector v, and the components $\bar{\omega}_a$ and $\hat{\omega}_a$ of a covector ω in the two coordinate systems are related by

$$[\hat{v}^a] = M [\bar{v}^b] \qquad [\bar{v}^a] = M^{-1} [\hat{v}^b] \qquad (5)$$

$$[\hat{\omega}_a] = [\bar{\omega}_b] M^{-1} \qquad [\bar{\omega}_a] = [\hat{\omega}_b] M \qquad (6)$$

These equations follow from the fact that, with the matrix-notation convention above,

$$v = [\partial_{\hat{x}^a}] [\hat{v}^a] = [\partial_{\bar{x}^a}] [\bar{v}^a], \qquad \omega = [\hat{\omega}_a] [d\hat{x}^a] = [\bar{\omega}_a] [d\bar{x}^a]. \tag{7}$$

Now consider the volume elements in the two coordinate systems, let us denote them $\bar{\tau} := \bigwedge_i d\bar{x}^i$ and $\hat{\tau} := \bigwedge_i d\hat{x}^i$. They are related by

$$\hat{\tau} = \det(M) \; \bar{\tau} \; . \tag{8}$$

The components \bar{q} , \hat{q} of an N-form in the two coordinate system, in terms of the corresponding (degenerate) bases of N-forms, are therefore related by

$$\bar{q} = \hat{q} \det(M) . \tag{9}$$

This can be understood as a multiplication of \hat{q} by a 1-by-1 matrix, namely the (only) $minor^2$ of order N of M. Let us generalize this.

 $^{^2}$ Horn & Johnson 2013 \S 0.7.1.

Let I and J denote ordered, non-repeated multi-indices, for example I = (0, 2, 3). Denote by

$$\stackrel{r}{M} := \text{matrix of minors of } M \text{ of order } r$$
(10)

$$M_J^I := \text{minor of } M \text{ obtained keeping rows } I \text{ and columns } J$$
 (11)

Note in particular that $\stackrel{1}{M} = M$ and $\stackrel{N}{M} = M^{0...N}_{0...N} = \det(M)$. Also denote, for a multi-index $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_r)$ of order r,

$$d\bar{x}^I := d\bar{x}^{I_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{x}^{I_r} \tag{12}$$

and similarly for the basis elements of multivectors.

Now consider an r-covector ω . It can be written in terms of the bases of r-covectors in the two coordinate systems:

$$\omega = \bar{\omega}_I \, d\bar{x}^I = \hat{\omega}_I \, d\hat{x}^I$$
, with *I* ranging over multiindices of order *r* (13)

Then the components are related by the following formula:

$$\hat{\omega}_I = \det^J_{\ I}(M) \ \bar{\omega}_I \tag{14}$$

Let us see a concrete example of why this is true, on a 4-dimensional manifold. Consider the component $\bar{\omega}_{01}$ of a 2-form:

$$\bar{\omega}_{01} \, \mathrm{d}\bar{x}^{0} \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{x}^{1} = \bar{\omega}_{01} \, (M_{i}^{0} \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{i}) \wedge (M_{j}^{1} \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{j}) =$$

$$\bar{\omega}_{01} \, \left[(M_{0}^{0} \, M_{1}^{1} - M_{0}^{1} \, M_{1}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{01} + \right.$$

$$\left. (M_{0}^{0} \, M_{2}^{1} - M_{0}^{1} \, M_{2}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{02} + \right.$$

$$\left. (M_{0}^{0} \, M_{3}^{1} - M_{0}^{1} \, M_{3}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{03} + \right.$$

$$\left. (M_{1}^{0} \, M_{3}^{1} - M_{1}^{1} \, M_{2}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{12} + \right.$$

$$\left. (M_{1}^{0} \, M_{3}^{1} - M_{1}^{1} \, M_{3}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{13} + \right.$$

$$\left. (M_{2}^{0} \, M_{3}^{1} - M_{2}^{1} \, M_{3}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{23} \right] =$$

$$\bar{\omega}_{01} \, \mathrm{det}^{01}_{l}(M) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^{l} \quad (15)$$

Analogous results can be obtained for all remaining components, and summing them we find

$$\bar{\omega}_I \, \mathrm{d}\bar{x}^J = \bar{\omega}_I \, \det^J_{\ I}(\mathbf{M}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{x}^I \tag{16}$$

3 Inner or dual or dot product

For a vector u and covector ω with deg $u \leq \deg \omega$ it's defined as

$$u \mid \omega := \omega(u) \quad \text{if } \deg u = \deg \omega$$

$$(u \mid \omega)(v) := (u \land v) \mid \omega \equiv \omega(u \land v) \quad \text{if } \deg u < \deg \omega$$
(17)

It's possible to define an inner product from the right side, but it gives the same result as above except for a sign:

$$(\omega \mid u)(v) := (v \land u) \rfloor \omega \equiv (-1)^{\deg u} \stackrel{\deg v}{=} (u \land v) \rfloor \omega$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{\deg u} \stackrel{\deg v}{=} (u \rfloor \omega)(v)$$

$$\implies \omega \mid u \equiv (-1)^{\deg u} \stackrel{(\deg w - \deg u)}{=} u \rfloor \omega \equiv (-1)^{\deg u} \stackrel{(\deg w - 1)}{=} u \rfloor \omega$$
(18)

For 1-vectors in particular:

$$\omega \mid u \equiv (-1)^{\deg \omega - 1} u \rfloor \omega$$
 if $\deg u = 1$ (19)

Also

$$u \mid \omega := \omega(u) \equiv u \mid \omega \quad \text{if } \deg u = \deg \omega$$

$$(u \mid \omega)(\xi) := u \mid (\xi \wedge \omega) \equiv (\xi \wedge \omega)(u) \quad \text{if } \deg u > \deg \omega$$
(20)

and

$$(\omega \rfloor u)(\xi) := u \rfloor (\omega \wedge \xi) \equiv (-1)^{\deg \omega} \stackrel{\deg \xi}{=} u \rfloor (\xi \wedge \omega)$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{\deg \omega} \stackrel{\deg \xi}{=} (u \rfloor \omega)(\xi)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \omega \rfloor u \equiv (-1)^{\deg \omega} \stackrel{(\deg v - 1)}{=} u \rfloor \omega$$
(21)

The lower hook in "]" and "[" is useful to denote the object with lower degree, to know how to apply the sign in the graded-commutativity property and to know what kind of object – multivector or multicovector – one obtains.

If we define the degree of vectors to be negative, we can say that $\alpha \rfloor \beta$ yields an object of degree $\deg(\alpha \rfloor \beta) = \deg \alpha + \deg \beta$, no matter whether α is a vector and β a covector or vice versa. With this convention we could use the more compact dot-notation³

$$\alpha \cdot \beta$$
with $\deg(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \deg \alpha + \deg \beta$

$$\beta \cdot \alpha = (-1)^{\min\{|\deg \alpha|, |\deg \beta|\} (\deg \alpha + \deg \beta)} \alpha \cdot \beta$$
. (22)

 $^{^{3}}$ cf. Truesdell & Toupin 1960 \S F.I.267.

But it doesn't make much sense to use a unique symbol, because it would not represent an associative operation (unlike the wedge).

The inner product with a 1-vector or a 1-covector is a graded derivation.

4 Tensor products and equivalent objects

When we take tensor products of exterior objects, some special objects and some canonical correspondences between different classes of objects appear.

Take for example a non-zero N-covector γ . The tensor product $\gamma \otimes \gamma^{-1}$ is an N-vector-valued N-covector. This object is independent of the specific γ we chose. It has only one non-zero component, of value 1, which is invariant under basis or coordinate changes⁴. It has properties similar to those of a scalar, and the tensor space of N-vector-valued N-covectors is similar to those of scalars (Schouten (1989) § II.8 p. 29: "This is not a new geometric conception, only a new notation enabling us to get rid of a lot of indices").

The inner product of a p-vector u with the object above is an N-vector-valued (N-p)-covector:

$$u \cdot \gamma \otimes \gamma^{-1} = \omega \otimes \gamma^{-1}$$
 with $\omega = u \cdot \gamma$. (23)

The space of p-vectors is therefore equivalent, in a canonical way, to the space of N-vector-valued (N-p)-covectors. The independent components transform in the same way under a change of basis/coordinates; see the example in Schouten (1989) § II.8 p. 30 bottom.

As Schouten⁵ says, "Hence the geometrical meanings of corresponding quantities do not differ. There is only a difference in notation. [. . .] The use of Δ -densities is sometimes convenient; [. . .] the formulae contain less indices".

With the coordinate-free (and index-free) approach the use of such quantities offers no advantages.

5 Inner product with *N*-covector

We can use several possible conventions in defining an inner product of multivectors and multicovectors. One basic requirement is that the

⁴ Schouten 1989 § II.8 p. 29 bottom. ⁵ Schouten 1989 § II.8 p. 30.

usual inner product, which has the recursive property

$$u \cdot (\omega \wedge \xi) = (u \cdot \omega) \wedge \xi \tag{24}$$

for every 1-vector *u*, be respected. This property says that the first slots of the covector on the right are combined first. Another basic requirement is that

$$(u \wedge v \wedge \cdots) \cdot (\omega \wedge \xi \wedge \ldots) = (u \cdot \omega) (v \cdot \xi) \cdots \tag{25}$$

for the same number of 1-vectors and 1-covectors.

There are two main decisions in the extension: what to do if the vector and covector are swapped, and what to do if the vector has higher order than the covector. Reasonable alternatives for the first are

$$(\omega \wedge \xi) \cdot u := \omega \wedge (\xi \cdot u)$$
 recursively (26a)

or

$$(\omega \wedge \xi) \cdot u \coloneqq u \cdot (\omega \wedge \xi) = (u \cdot \omega) \wedge \xi , \qquad (26b)$$

for every 1-vector u. Reasonable alternatives for the second are

$$(u \wedge v) \cdot \omega = u \wedge (v \cdot \omega)$$
 recursively (27a)

or

$$(u \wedge v) \cdot \omega \coloneqq (u \cdot \omega) \wedge v \tag{27b}$$

for every 1-covector ω .

In other words, we must choose among

- (II) the adjacent maximal sets of slots of the two terms of the inner product should always be combined and the two terms of the inner product should be left in the order they are;
- (I2) the adjacent maximal sets of slots of the two terms of the inner product should always be combined and the multivector should always be put on the left of the multicovector as a first thing;
- (I3) the first maximal sets of slots of the two terms of the inner product should always be combined.

Let's examine the consequences of such choices in particular cases:

$$\partial_x \cdot (\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{d}y & \text{I1} \\ \mathrm{d}y & \text{I2} \\ \mathrm{d}y & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$
 (28)

$$(dx \wedge dy) \cdot \partial_x = \begin{cases} -dy & \text{I1} \\ dy & \text{I2} \\ dy & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$
 (29)

$$(\partial_x \wedge \partial_y) \cdot dy = \begin{cases} \partial_x & \text{I1} \\ \partial_x & \text{I2} \\ -\partial_x & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$
 (30)

$$dy \cdot (\partial_x \wedge \partial_y) = \begin{cases} -\partial_x & \text{I1} \\ \partial_x & \text{I2} \\ -\partial_x & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$
 (31)

$$\partial_{x} \cdot (dx \wedge dy \wedge dz) = \begin{cases} dy \wedge dz & \text{I1} \\ dy \wedge dz & \text{I2} \\ dy \wedge dz & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$
(32)

$$(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz) \cdot \vartheta_{x} = \begin{cases} dy \wedge dz & \text{I1} \\ dy \wedge dz & \text{I2} \\ dy \wedge dz & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$
(33)

$$(dy \wedge dz - iz)$$

$$(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz) \cdot \partial_{x} = \begin{cases} dy \wedge dz & \text{I1} \\ dy \wedge dz & \text{I2} \\ dy \wedge dz & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$

$$(\partial_{x} \wedge \partial_{y} \wedge \partial_{z}) \cdot (dy \wedge dz) = \begin{cases} \partial_{x} & \text{I1} \\ \partial_{x} & \text{I2} \\ \partial_{x} & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$

$$(dy \wedge dz) \cdot (\partial_{x} \wedge \partial_{y} \wedge \partial_{z}) = \begin{cases} \partial_{x} & \text{I1} \\ \partial_{x} & \text{I2} \\ \partial_{x} & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$

$$(35)$$

$$(dy \wedge dz) \cdot (\partial_x \wedge \partial_y \wedge \partial_z) = \begin{cases} \partial_x & \text{I1} \\ \partial_x & \text{I2} \\ \partial_x & \text{I3} \end{cases}$$
(35)

Let's explore some consequences of the three alternatives.

Under the third alternative (I3), in even dimensions the inner products with the straight volume element γ and then with its inverse γ^{-1} becomes an anti-involution, see eqs (28) and (31); whereas in odd dimensions it's an involution. This may be confusing and cumbersome when one is studying a space with an unspecified dimension.

Under the first alternative (I1), in even dimensions the inner product with the straight volume element and then its inverse may be an involution, provided that the product happens from opposite sides. For a tensor product this would lead to the equalities involving transposition, for example

$$u \otimes v = \{ \gamma^{-1} \cdot [\gamma \cdot (u \otimes v) \cdot \gamma]^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \gamma^{-1} \}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
 (I1)

or

$$u \otimes v = \{ \gamma^{-1} \cdot [(u \otimes v) \cdot \gamma]^{\mathsf{T}} \}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
 (I1), (37)

whereas in odd dimensions such transposition wouldn't be necessary. This could perhaps be obviated by using two pairs of symbols such as " \rfloor " and " \lfloor " with $a \mid b \coloneqq b \mid a$. But again in odd dimensions such distinction would often be unnecessary.

The second alternative (I2) seems to lead to the least cumbersome consequences, valid in even and odd dimensions alike.

So we can define the inner product starting from 1-vectors and 1-covectors as

$$u \cdot \omega \equiv \omega \cdot u \coloneqq \omega(u)$$

$$(u \wedge v) \cdot (\omega \wedge \xi \wedge \zeta) \equiv (\omega \wedge \xi \wedge \zeta) \cdot (u \wedge v) \coloneqq (u \cdot \omega) (v \cdot \xi) \zeta \quad (38)$$

$$(u \wedge v \wedge w) \cdot (\omega \wedge \xi) \equiv (\omega \wedge \xi) \cdot (u \wedge v \wedge w) \coloneqq u (v \cdot \omega) (w \cdot \xi)$$

and generalizing.

6 Orientation

One way to define *inner* orientation is inductively as follows.

The orientation of a point, a 0-flat, is + or -.

The orientation of an r-flat bounded by pairs of parallel (r-1)-flats is determined by giving an orientation of the (r-1)-flats in such a way that parallel pairs have opposite orientations, and the orientations of the common (r-2)-flats cancel each other.

So the orientation of a line is determined by assigning + and – signs to its boundary points. The orientation of a parallelogram is determined by assigning opposite orientations to its opposite sides. The orientation of a volume is effectively given in terms of that of its boundary. This

is equivalent to the definition in Tonti⁶. The orientation in terms of circulation is given by the orientation of the boundary at one of its points, followed by that of the inward normal.

Because of the latter correspondence this definition of orientation seems to give a more straightforward understanding of the connection between inner and outer orientations.

To define *outer* orientation we proceed inductively in a similar way as follows.

The outer orientation of a point is the *inner* orientation of an *N*-flat (volume) containing that point.

The orientation of an r-flat bounded by pairs of parallel (r-1)-flats is determined by giving an orientation of the (r-1)-flats in such a way that parallel pairs have opposite orientations, and the orientations of the common (r-2)-flats cancel each other.

According to this definition and graphical conventions, an N-flat with an outer orientation + is indicated by inward-pointing arrows crossing its boundary.

It turns out that we can give a new, dual definition for the inner orientation of a point: the inner orientation of a point is the *outer* orientation of an *N*-flat (volume) containing that point. The two definitions consistently lead to each other.

⁶ Tonti 2013 ch. 3.

Below: old text

If γ is a non-zero N-covector (hypervolume covector), so that γ^{-1} is its dual N-vector, we have

$$\gamma^{-1} \cdot (u \cdot \gamma) = (\gamma \cdot u) \cdot \gamma^{-1} = u \qquad \gamma \cdot (\omega \cdot \gamma^{-1}) = (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \omega) \cdot \gamma = \omega$$
(39)

for any multivector u and multicovector ω^7 . According to (18),

$$\gamma \cdot u = (-1)^{\deg(u) (N-1)} u \cdot \gamma \tag{40}$$

and analogously for the dual case.

Therefore $\gamma^{-1} \cdot (\gamma \cdot u) = (-1)^{\deg(u)} (N-1) u \neq u = (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \gamma) \cdot u$, which shows that the inner product is non-associative in general.

7 Star operator

† This section has mistakes

Comparison of definitions of star operator:

Denote with $\overline{\omega}$ the *p*-vector obtained by rising all slots of ω with a metric g, and with \underline{v} the reverse operation. Let γ be the volume element induced by the metric.

Choquet-Bruhat et al. (1996 § V.A.4):

$$* \omega \coloneqq \overline{\omega} \cdot \gamma \tag{41}$$

Applying twice:

$$**\omega = \overline{\overline{\omega} \cdot \gamma} \cdot \gamma = (\omega \cdot \gamma^{-1}) \cdot \gamma = (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} (N^{-1}) (\gamma^{-1} \cdot \omega) \cdot \gamma = (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} (N^{-1}) \omega$$
 (42)

So * $^{-1} = (-1)^{\deg(w)} (N-1)$ *. Compare with Bossavit (1991 § 4.2 Ex. 71).

⁷ cf. Schouten 1989 § II.7 p. 28.

8 Twisted scalars, vectors, covectors

A twisted scalar is a positive number with an associated outer orientation. We can specify such orientation locally for example by giving an ordered list of coordinate functions. Denote such a unit twisted scalar by

$$\frac{1}{txyz} \tag{43}$$

It satisfies

$$\frac{1}{txyz} \cdot \frac{1}{txyz} = 1$$

$$a \cdot \frac{1}{txyz} = \frac{a}{txyz} \text{ for any scalar } a$$
(44)

 $-1_{txyz} = 1_{xtyz}$ or any other odd permutation

1 txyz

 $a \wedge b$

Bibliography

("de X" is listed under D, "van X" under V, and so on, regardless of national conventions.)

- Abraham, R., Marsden, J. E., Ratiu, T. (1988): Manifolds, Tensor Analysis, and Applications, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York). First publ. 1983. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-1029-0.
- Bossavit, A. (1991): Differential Geometry: for the student of numerical methods in electromagnetism. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/200018385_Differential_Geometry_for_the_student_of_numerical_methods_in_Electromagnetism.
- Choquet-Bruhat, Y., DeWitt-Morette, C., Dillard-Bleick, M. (1996): *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics. Part I: Basics*, rev. ed. (Elsevier, Amsterdam). First publ. 1977.
- Deschamps, G. A. (1970): Exterior differential forms. In: Deschamps, de Jager, John, Lions, Moisseev, Sommer, Tihonov, Tikhomirov, et al. (1970): p. III:111–161.
- (1981): Electromagnetics and differential forms. Proc. IEEE 69⁶, 676–696. DOI:10.1109 /PROC.1981.12048, https://www-liphy.ujf-grenoble.fr/pagesperso/bahram/biblio/Deschamps1981_dif_forms.pdf.
- Deschamps, G. A., de Jager, E. M., John, F., Lions, J. L., Moisseev, N., Sommer, F., Tihonov, A. N., Tikhomirov, V., et al. (1970): *Mathematics applied to physics*. (Springer, Berlin). Ed. by É. Roubine.
- Flügge, S., ed. (1960): Handbuch der Physik: Band III/1: Prinzipien der klassischen Mechanik und Feldtheorie [Encyclopedia of Physics: Vol. III/1: Principles of Classical Mechanics and Field Theory]. (Springer, Berlin). DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-45943-6.
- Horn, R. A., Johnson, C. R. (2013): Matrix Analysis, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge). First publ. 1985.

- Schouten, J. A. (1989): *Tensor Analysis for Physicists*, corr. 2nd ed. (Dover, New York). First publ. 1951.
- Tonti, E. (2013): The Mathematical Structure of Classical and Relativistic Physics: A General Classification Diagram. (Birkhäuser, New York).
- Truesdell III, C. A., Toupin, R. A. (1960): *The Classical Field Theories*. In: Flügge (1960): I–VII, 226–902. With an appendix on invariants by Jerald LaVerne Ericksen. DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-45943-6_2.