Notes on general-relativistic continuum electromagneto-thermo-mechanics

P.G.L. Porta Mana
Western Norway University of Applied Sciences <pgl@portamana.org>

20 July 2022; updated 10 February 2024 [draft]

Personal notes on topics in general-relativistic continuum electromagneto-thermo-mechanics.

1 Bases of multivector spaces

Take an ordered coordinate system (t, x, y, z), which also defines an orientation. We shall usually assume that t has dimensions of time and x, y, z of length, and usually that they are orthogonal if a metric is defined.

There are 18 basic types of geometric objects, which represent physical quantities of different types. We have inner-oriented scalars, inner-oriented 1-vectors up to 4-vectors, inner-oriented 1-covectors up to 4-covectors, and all their outer-oriented counterparts.

The exterior product '$\wedge$' of vectors and covectors is usually represented just by juxtaposition, and a shortened notation is used for exterior products of several exterior derivatives 'd'. For instance

$$\mathrm{d}^2xy := \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \qquad \partial^2_{xy} := \partial_x \wedge \partial_y \ . \tag{1}$$

The associated bases for inner-oriented covector fields are

$$\mathrm{d}t \quad \mathrm{d}x \quad \mathrm{d}y \quad \mathrm{d}z \tag{2}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^2tx \quad \mathrm{d}^2ty \quad \mathrm{d}^2tz \quad \mathrm{d}^2yz \quad \mathrm{d}^2zx \quad \mathrm{d}^2xy \tag{3}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^3xyz \quad -\mathrm{d}^3tyz \quad -\mathrm{d}^3tzx \quad -\mathrm{d}^3txy \tag{4}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^4txyz \tag{5}$$

and analogously for inner-oriented vector fields. The particular choice of ordering and sign is such that these bases are related by volume duality, see below.

The outer-oriented unit scalar is $\tilde{1}$, with outer orientation $txyz$; note that it's only defined on a coordinate patch. It is idempotent: $\tilde{1}\tilde{1} = 1$.

A twisted or outer-oriented 3-covector such as $\mathrm{d}^3\tilde{x}yz$ has an associated outer direction, in this case positive t. We adopt this shorter notation for the outer-oriented versions of the bases above (analogous to the notation in Gotay & Marsden 1992 § 2 p. 371):

$$-\mathrm{d}_{xyz} \quad \mathrm{d}_{tyz} \quad \mathrm{d}_{tzx} \quad \mathrm{d}_{txy} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^2_{yz} \quad \mathrm{d}^2_{zx} \quad \mathrm{d}^2_{xy} \quad \mathrm{d}^2_{tx} \quad \mathrm{d}^2_{ty} \quad \mathrm{d}^2_{tz} \tag{7}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^3_{t} \quad \mathrm{d}^3_{x} \quad \mathrm{d}^3_{y} \quad \mathrm{d}^3_{z} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathrm{d}^4 \tag{9}$$

so that $-\mathrm{d}_{xyz} := \mathrm{d}\tilde{t}$ and so on. Similar notation is used for outer-oriented multivector fields; for instance $-\partial xyz := \partial_{\tilde{t}}$. Here is an example of an outer-oriented 3-covector written in terms of the bases and notation above. Note the position of the super- and sub-scripts:

$$\begin{aligned} &n_{xyz}\,\mathrm{d}^3\tilde{x}yz - n_{tyz}\,\mathrm{d}^3\tilde{t}yz - n_{tzx}\,\mathrm{d}^3\tilde{t}zx - n_{txy}\,\mathrm{d}^3\tilde{t}xy \\ &\equiv n^t\,\mathrm{d}^3_t + n^x\,\mathrm{d}^3_x + n^y\,\mathrm{d}^3_y + n^z\,\mathrm{d}^3_z \\ &\qquad \text{with } n^t \equiv n_{xyz}\ ,\ n^x \equiv n_{tyz}\ ,\ \text{and so on.} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Contraction or dot-product of vectors and covectors is denoted by '$\cdot$', and always contracts the maximal possible amount of contiguous elements. For instance

$$\mathrm{d}^3xyz \cdot \partial^2_{yz} = \mathrm{d}x \qquad -\partial^3_{txy} \cdot \mathrm{d}x = \partial^2_{ty}\ . \tag{11}$$

If γ is a non-zero 4-covector and γ^{-1} the inverse 4-vector, that is, $\gamma \cdot \gamma^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \cdot \gamma = 1$, and if $\boldsymbol{N}$ is a 3-covector and $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ a 1-covector, we have the useful identity

$$\boldsymbol{N} \wedge \boldsymbol{\phi} = (\boldsymbol{N} \cdot \gamma^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi})\,\gamma\ , \tag{12}$$

which also holds as long as the degrees of $\boldsymbol{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ sum up to 4.

We often consider vector- or covector-valued covectors, written as sums of tensor products. They can be outer- or inner-oriented. For instance

$$\mathrm{d}^3_t \otimes \mathrm{d}x \equiv \mathrm{d}^3\tilde{x}yz \otimes \mathrm{d}x\ , \qquad \mathrm{d}^3_x \otimes \partial_y\ . \tag{13}$$

The operation $\curlywedge$ between a vector-valued covector and a covector-valued covector is the contraction of their vector- and covector-valued parts and the exterior product of their covector parts. For instance, if $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ are covectors, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a covector, and $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a vector, then

$$(\boldsymbol{\phi} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbin{\bar\wedge} (\boldsymbol{\psi} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) \coloneqq (\boldsymbol{\phi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\psi}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) \tag{14}$$

As another example,

$$(\mathrm{d}^3_t \otimes \mathrm{d}x) \mathbin{\bar\wedge} (\mathrm{d}_t \otimes \partial_x) = (\mathrm{d}^3_t \wedge \mathrm{d}_t)\,(\mathrm{d}x \cdot \partial_x) = -\mathrm{d}^4 \;. \tag{15}$$

2 Linear transformations of multivector bases

Often we must consider multiplication of the basis of vectors or covectors by a square matrix, say

$$M_{\alpha\beta}\,\mathrm{d}u^{\beta} \;,$$

leading to an object in a vector space of the same dimension. The two most important examples for us are:

- coordinate transformations, for example

$$\mathrm{d}\alpha' = \frac{\partial\alpha'}{\partial\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}\alpha$$

- raising or lowering of indices with the metric, for example

$$\mathrm{d}\alpha \mapsto g^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_\beta \;.$$

The corresponding operations for multivectors involve compound matrices of the original transformation matrix[1]. For the spaces of 3-vectors and 3-covectors we have simplified formulae[2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}^3_{\alpha'} &= \det\!\left(\tfrac{\partial\alpha'}{\partial\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\alpha'}\,\mathrm{d}^3_{\alpha} \\ \partial^3\alpha' &= \det\!\left(\tfrac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\alpha'}\right) \frac{\partial\alpha'}{\partial\alpha}\,\partial^3\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

note how the 3-covectors almost transforms as 1-vectors and 3-vectors and 1-covectors, apart from a determinant factor.

In an analogous way

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}^3_{\alpha} &\mapsto \frac{1}{|g|}\,g_{\alpha\beta}\,\partial^3\beta \\ \partial^3\alpha &\mapsto |g|\,g^{\alpha\beta}\,\mathrm{d}^3_{\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

[1] Choquet-Bruhat et al. 1996 § IV.A.1 p. 199. [2] Gantmacher 2000 § I.4 eq. (33).

3 Metric

We take the metric $\boldsymbol{g}$ to have signature $(-,+,+,+)$ and dimensions of area. The determinant and the negative of its square root are denoted shortly

$$g \coloneqq \det \boldsymbol{g} \qquad \sqrt{g} \coloneqq \sqrt{-\det \boldsymbol{g}}\,. \tag{18}$$

The volume element induced by the metric $\boldsymbol{g}$ has dimensions of volume-time and is denoted (note the boldface)

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \coloneqq \frac{\sqrt{g}}{c}\mathrm{d}^4\tilde{t}xyz \equiv \frac{\sqrt{g}}{c}\,\mathrm{d}^4 \tag{19}$$

and its corresponding inverse, a twisted 4-vector:

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \coloneqq \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}}\,\partial^4\,. \tag{20}$$

Contraction with the volume element or its inverse establishes a "volume duality" between outer n-covectors and inner $(4-n)$-vectors:

$$\left.\begin{matrix} \partial^3_{xyz}\;\; \partial^3_{tyz}\;\; \partial^3_{tzx}\;\; \partial^3_{txy} \\ \partial^2_{tx}\;\; \partial^2_{ty}\;\; \partial^2_{tz}\;\; \partial^2_{yz}\;\; \partial^2_{zx}\;\; \partial^2_{xy} \\ \partial_t\;\; \partial_x\;\; \partial_y\;\; \partial_z \\ \partial^4_{txyz} \end{matrix}\right) \quad \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{\frac{\sqrt{g}}{c}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1}\,\cdot} \\[2ex] \xrightarrow{\cdot\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\frac{c}{\sqrt{g}}} \end{matrix} \quad \left(\begin{matrix} \mathrm{d}_{xyz}\;\; \mathrm{d}_{tyz}\;\; \mathrm{d}_{tzx}\;\; \mathrm{d}_{txy} \\ \mathrm{d}^2_{yz}\;\; \mathrm{d}^2_{zx}\;\; \mathrm{d}^2_{xy}\;\; \mathrm{d}^2_{tx}\;\; \mathrm{d}^2_{ty}\;\; \mathrm{d}^2_{tz} \\ \mathrm{d}^3_t\;\; \mathrm{d}^3_x\;\; \mathrm{d}^3_y\;\; \mathrm{d}^3_z \\ \mathrm{d}^4 \end{matrix}\right. \tag{21}$$

This is the reason why in older literature an outer-oriented n-covector is treated as a $(4-n)$-"vector density", that is, a vector divided by the square root of the volume element.

The metric on the space of 1-vectors also induces metrics on the spaces of 1-covectors, 2-vectors and 2-covectors, and so on. In particular, the metrics $\overset{3}{\boldsymbol{g}}{}^{-1}$ on the space of 3-covectors and $\overset{3}{\boldsymbol{g}}$ on the space of 3-vectors can be written in coordinates as

$$\overset{3}{\boldsymbol{g}}{}^{-1} = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{g}\,\partial^3\mu \otimes \partial^3\nu \qquad \text{with dimensions length}^{-6} \tag{22}$$

$$\overset{3}{\boldsymbol{g}} = g\,g^{\mu\nu}\,\mathrm{d}^3_\mu \otimes \mathrm{d}^3_\nu \qquad \text{with dimensions length}^{6}\,. \tag{23}$$

With these we can define squared norms $\|.\|^2$ on all those spaces. Note in particular the following identity:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{N}\|^2 = -c^2\,\|\boldsymbol{N}\| \qquad \text{for every 3-covector } \boldsymbol{N}. \tag{24}$$

If the coordinates are orthonormal at some point, then the metric at that point has components

$$\begin{bmatrix} -c^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

and the volume element is simply d^4.

4 Matter current

The amount-of-matter current $\boldsymbol{N}$ is an outer-oriented 3-covector

$$\boldsymbol{N} = N\,\mathrm{d}^3_t + J^i\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \tag{26}$$

of dimensions "amount of matter", typically measured in moles, where

- N is the volumic amount of matter, measured per unit coordinate volume.
- J^i is the aeric flux of amount of matter (equivalent to a molar flux), measured per unit coordinate area and unit coordinate time.

The current for every particular kind of matter satisfies the conservation law

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{N} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t N + \partial_i J^i = 0 \tag{27}$$

independent of any metric.

The common contravariant form of the matter current, "N^μ", is obtained by contracting the matter current with the inverse volume element:

$$\text{'}\,N^\mu\,\text{'} \mathrel{\hat{=}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{N} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}}\,N\,\partial_t + \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}}\,J^i\,\partial_t\ . \tag{28}$$

If a metric is present, a four-velocity $\boldsymbol{U}$ can be associated with the matter current $\boldsymbol{N}$, defined by the following properties and identity:

$$\boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{N} = 0 \qquad \|\boldsymbol{U}\|^2 = -c^2 \tag{29}$$

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \frac{1}{|\|\boldsymbol{N}\||}\,\gamma^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{N} \tag{30}$$

which also implies (for normal matter)

$$\boldsymbol{N} = \|\boldsymbol{N}\|\,\boldsymbol{U} \cdot \gamma \tag{31}$$

For normal matter (as opposed to antimatter) $\|\boldsymbol{N}\|^2 \geqslant 0$.

5 Four-stress

The stress-energy-momentum tensor, or simply four-stress, is a covector-valued 3-covector field, the 3-covector being outer-oriented. It has the dimensions of an action, and can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{T} &= T^{\mu}_{\ \nu}\ \mathrm{d}^3_{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d}x^{\nu} \\ &= -\epsilon\, \mathrm{d}^3_t \otimes \mathrm{d}t - q^i\, \mathrm{d}^3_i \otimes \mathrm{d}t + p_j\, \mathrm{d}^3_t \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j + \pi^i_j\, \mathrm{d}^3_i \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

the indices i, j running over x, y, z, and where:

- The energy ϵ is a density per unit *coordinate* volume xyz, and possibly includes a conversion factor for the time unit. If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this energy comprises "rest" energy, kinetic energy, potential gravitational energy, and centrifugal potential energy.
- The energy flux q^j is an energy per coordinate area and coordinate time, and possibly includes a conversion factor for the time unit. If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this flux term includes transport of the energy term above and also heat flux.
- The momentum p_i is a momentum density per unit coordinate volume, and includes a conversion factor for the length x^i.
- The compressive three-stress π^i_j are forces per unit coordinate area, possibly including conversion factors for the time unit and the length x^j. If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this stress comprises momentum transport and internal stresses.

Suppose the coordinates $txyz$ are orthogonal and the metric at a point has diagonal components

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{tt} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{zz} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{33}$$

The diagonal elements $g_{tt}, \dots$ include a dimensions or unit transformation factor. For instance, if x has dimensions of angle, then g_{xx} has dimensions of area per squared angle.

The common contra-contra-variant form of the stress, “$T^{\mu\nu}$”, is obtained by contracting the four-stress with the inverse volume element and the inverse metric:

$$
\text{‘}T^{\mu\nu}\text{’} \mathrel{\hat{=}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{-1} = -\frac{c\, g^{tt}}{\sqrt{g}}\, \epsilon\, \partial_t \otimes \partial_t - \frac{c\, g^{tt}}{\sqrt{g}}\, q^i\, \partial_i \otimes \partial_t \\
+ \sum_j \frac{c\, g^{jj}}{\sqrt{g}}\, p_j\, \partial_t \otimes \partial_j + \sum_j \frac{c\, g^{jj}}{\sqrt{g}}\, \pi^i_j\, \partial_i \otimes \partial_j \ . \tag{34}
$$

One important detail in finding the Newtonian approximation of “energy density” is that *one takes different zeros of energy density in different coordinate systems*: the zero is taken as the molar mass times the molar density *in the current coordinate system*.

The *total* four-stress satisfies the balance equation

$$
\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{T} = 0 \tag{35}
$$

which is equivalent to the four balance equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i &= e\, \Gamma^t_{tt} + q^i\, \Gamma^t_{it} - p_j\, \Gamma^j_{tt} - \pi^i_k\, \Gamma^k_{it} \\
\partial_t p_j + \partial_i \pi^i_j &= -e\, \Gamma^t_{tj} - q^i\, \Gamma^t_{ij} + p_k\, \Gamma^k_{tj} + \pi^i_k\, \Gamma^k_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}
$$

In general relativity the *total* four-stress also satisfies

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{-1}\right)^{\intercal} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{-1} = 0 \ . \tag{37}
$$

The four-stress determines an association between any 1-vector $\boldsymbol{V}$ field and an outer-oriented 3-covector field, interpreted as a current:

$$
\boldsymbol{V} \mapsto \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{V} \ . \tag{38}
$$

This current satisfies the balance equation

$$
\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}) = -\boldsymbol{T} \mathbin{\curlywedge} \nabla \boldsymbol{V} = \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{T}^{\intercal} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{V})\, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \tag{39}
$$

which is a conservation law if $\boldsymbol{V}$ is a Killing vector.

For the special case $\boldsymbol{V} = \partial_\alpha$ the formula above becomes

$$\mathrm{d}(T^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}\ \mathrm{d}^3_{\mu}) = T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\ \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha}\ \mathrm{d}^4 \qquad\Longleftrightarrow\qquad \partial_\mu T^{\mu}{}_{\alpha} = T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\ \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha} \tag{40}$$

Consider a region where there is a non-vanishing matter current $\boldsymbol{N}$ with associated four-velocity $\boldsymbol{U}$, and define

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{U}} = -\frac{1}{c}\,\boldsymbol{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{U} \tag{41}$$

which statisfies

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\cdot\boldsymbol{U} = 1\,, \qquad \nabla\boldsymbol{U}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{U}} = 0\,. \tag{42}$$

The last equality can be proved from $\nabla\boldsymbol{g} = 0$ and

$$0 = -\nabla(c^2) = \nabla(\boldsymbol{U}\cdot\boldsymbol{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{U}) = 2\,(\nabla\boldsymbol{U})\cdot\boldsymbol{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{U}\,. \tag{43}$$

We can associate with the matter a four-stress $\boldsymbol{T}$ which can be decomposed as follows:

$$\begin{gathered}\boldsymbol{T} = -\epsilon\,\boldsymbol{N}\otimes\bar{\boldsymbol{U}} + \boldsymbol{N}\otimes\boldsymbol{P} - (\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\wedge\boldsymbol{Q})\otimes\bar{\boldsymbol{U}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\wedge\boldsymbol{S}\\ \text{with}\quad \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{U} = 0 \quad \boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\boldsymbol{U} = 0 \quad \boldsymbol{U}\cdot\boldsymbol{S} = 0 \quad \boldsymbol{S}\cdot\boldsymbol{U} = 0\end{gathered} \tag{44}$$

where

- ϵ is a scalar, the molar energy density.
- $\boldsymbol{P}$ is a 1-covector, the molar momentum density.
- $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is a 2-covector, the areic energy-flux density.
- $\boldsymbol{S}$ is a 1-covector-valued 2-covector, the three-stress related to the Cauchy stress.

Using the four-velocity $\boldsymbol{U}$ associated with the matter current we obtain what could be called the "internal-energy current":

$$\boldsymbol{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{U} \equiv -\epsilon\,\boldsymbol{N} - \bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\,\boldsymbol{Q} \tag{45}$$

which, from eqs (39), (41), (27), satisfies the balance law

$$\mathrm{d}\big(\boldsymbol{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{U}\big) = -\boldsymbol{T} \curlywedge \nabla\boldsymbol{U} \tag{46}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\big(-\epsilon\,\boldsymbol{N} - \bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\,\boldsymbol{Q}\big) = \big(\epsilon\,\boldsymbol{N}\otimes\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\big)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{U} - \big(\boldsymbol{N}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}\big)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{U}&\\ + \big(\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\,\boldsymbol{Q}\otimes\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\big)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{U} - \bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\,\boldsymbol{S}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{U}&\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

or simply

$$\boldsymbol{N}\,\mathrm{d}\epsilon + \bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{Q}\,\mathrm{d}\bar{\boldsymbol{U}} = -\boldsymbol{N}\,\nabla\boldsymbol{U}\cdot\boldsymbol{P} - (\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}\,\boldsymbol{S})\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{U}\ . \tag{48}$$

The last balance equation corresponds to eq. (28) in Eckart (1940), except for the fact that here we are keeping the momentum $\boldsymbol{P}$ and heat flux $\boldsymbol{Q}$ distinct.

6 Electromagnetic field

The electromagnetic field, represented by the Faraday 2-covector, is typically decomposed as[3]

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{F} &= \boldsymbol{E}\,\mathrm{d}t + \boldsymbol{B}\\ &\equiv E_x\,\mathrm{d}^2xt + E_y\,\mathrm{d}^2yt + E_z\,\mathrm{d}^2zt + B^x\,\mathrm{d}^2yz + B^y\,\mathrm{d}^2zx + B^z\,\mathrm{d}^2xy\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

The conservation of magnetic flux is expressed by

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{F} = 0 \tag{50}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_i B^i = 0 && (\mathrm{d}^3xyz\ \text{component})\\ &\partial_t B^x + \partial_y E_z - \partial_z E_y = 0 && (\mathrm{d}^3tyz)\\ &\partial_t B^y + \partial_z E_x - \partial_x E_z = 0 && (\mathrm{d}^3tzx)\\ &\partial_t B^z + \partial_x E_y - \partial_y E_x = 0 && (\mathrm{d}^3txy)\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Appendices

A Matrix representation

Column-vectors, row-vectors, and matrices are useful to encode the components of geometric objects, but they are too few to faithfully encode the difference between co- and contra-variant objects and multivectors of different orders. Here are some useful conventions and procedures.

1-vectors represented by column-matrices

1-covectors represented by row-matrices

[3] Frankel 1979 ch. 9.

3-vectors represented by row-matrices

3-covectors represented by column-matrices

objects such as metrics, covector-valued 3-covectors, tensor products of 1-vector and 1-covector, and similar must be represented by matrices.

Here are the matrix representations of several operations. Consider these objects:

- $\boldsymbol{u}^{\bullet}$ is a 1-vector, represented by the column-matrix $\boldsymbol{u}$.
- Similarly for $\boldsymbol{v}^{\bullet}$.
- $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\bullet}$ is a 1-covector, represented by the row-matrix $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.
- $\boldsymbol{g}_{\bullet\bullet}$ is a co-covector, represented by the matrix $\boldsymbol{g}$. The rows of the matrix represent the left side of the tensor product; and the columns, the right side.
- $\boldsymbol{g}^{-1^{\bullet\bullet}}$ is a contra-contravector, inverse of $\boldsymbol{g}$, that is: $\boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{1} = \mathbf{id}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}$. The rows of the matrix represent the left side of the tensor product; and the columns, the right side.
- $\boldsymbol{Q}_{|\bullet\bullet\bullet|}$ is a 3-covector, represented by the column-matrix $\boldsymbol{Q}$.
- $\boldsymbol{T}_{|\bullet\bullet\bullet|\bullet}$ is a 1-covector-valued 3-covector, represented by the matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$. The rows represent the 3-covector components; the columns, the 1-covector components.
- $\gamma_{|\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet|}$ is a 4-covector, represented by the number γ.
- $\gamma^{-1^{|\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet|}}$ is a 4-vector, represented by the number γ^{-1}
- The Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial x'}{\partial x}$ from "old" coordinates x to "new" coordinates x' is represented by the matrix $\boldsymbol{J}$. The rows correspond to the new coordinates x'; the columns, to the old x.
- The inverse-Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial x}{\partial x'}$ from new coordinates x' to old coordinates x' is represented by the matrix $\boldsymbol{J}^{-1}$. The rows correspond to the old coordinates x; the columns, to the new x'.

Then – note that the order on the right side is important:

- Contractions, index raising and lowering

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{(object)} && \text{(matrix repr)} && (52)\\
&\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{u} && \boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{u} \equiv \boldsymbol{u}^{\intercal}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\intercal} \quad \text{(number)} && (53)\\
&\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{u} && \boldsymbol{v}^{\intercal}\boldsymbol{g}\boldsymbol{u} \quad \text{(number)} && (54)\\
&\boldsymbol{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{u} && \boldsymbol{u}^{\intercal}\boldsymbol{g}^{\intercal} \quad \text{(row-matrix)} && (55)\\
&\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{g}^{-1} && \boldsymbol{g}^{-\intercal}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\intercal} \quad \text{(column-matrix)} && (56)\\
&\gamma^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{Q} && \gamma^{-1}\boldsymbol{Q} \quad \text{(column-matrix)} && (57)\\
&\gamma^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{T} && \gamma^{-1}\boldsymbol{T} \quad \text{(column-matrix)} && (58)\\
&\boldsymbol{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{u} && \boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{u} \quad \text{(column-matrix)} && (59)\\
&\boldsymbol{g}\cdot(\gamma^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{T})\cdot\boldsymbol{u} && \gamma^{-1}\,\boldsymbol{g}\boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{u} \quad \text{(matrix)} && (60)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{(old coords)} &\mapsto \text{(new coords)} && (61)\\
\boldsymbol{u} &\mapsto \boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{u} && (62)\\
\boldsymbol{\omega} &\mapsto \boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{J}^{-1} && (63)\\
\boldsymbol{g} &\mapsto \boldsymbol{J}^{-\intercal}\boldsymbol{g}\boldsymbol{J}^{-1} && (64)\\
\boldsymbol{Q} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\det\boldsymbol{J}}\,\boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{Q} && (65)\\
\gamma &\mapsto \frac{1}{\det\boldsymbol{J}}\,\gamma && (66)\\
\gamma^{-1} &\mapsto \det\boldsymbol{J}\;\gamma^{-1} && (67)\\
\boldsymbol{T} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\det\boldsymbol{J}}\,\boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{J}^{-1} && (68)
\end{aligned}
$$

B Checks about optimal representation of four-stress

First a lemma about the exterior derivative of some outer-oriented 3-covectors:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{d}\big(f\;\mathrm{d}^3_t\big) = \mathrm{d}\Big(f\;\mathrm{d}^3\widetilde{xyz}\Big) = \partial_t f\,\mathrm{d}t\wedge\mathrm{d}^3\widetilde{xyz} + 0 = \partial_t f\;\mathrm{d}^4\widetilde{txyz}\\
\mathrm{d}\big(f\;\mathrm{d}^3_x\big) = -\mathrm{d}\Big(f\;\mathrm{d}^3\widetilde{tyz}\Big) = -\partial_x f\,\mathrm{d}x\wedge\mathrm{d}^3\widetilde{tyz} + 0 = \partial_x f\;\mathrm{d}^4\widetilde{txyz}\\
\mathrm{d}\big(f\;\mathrm{d}^3_i\big) = \partial_i f\;\mathrm{d}^4\widetilde{txyz}
\end{gathered}
\qquad (69)
$$

And a lemma about the covariant derivative of some inner-oriented 1-covectors:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\mathrm{d}t) &= -\Gamma^{t}_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}t\otimes\mathrm{d}t - \Gamma^{t}_{tj}\,\mathrm{d}t\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{j} - \Gamma^{t}_{it}\,\mathrm{d}x^{i}\otimes\mathrm{d}t - \Gamma^{t}_{ij}\,\mathrm{d}x^{i}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{j}\\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}\big(\mathrm{d}x^{k}\big) &= -\Gamma^{k}_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}t\otimes\mathrm{d}t - \Gamma^{k}_{tj}\,\mathrm{d}t\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{j} - \Gamma^{k}_{it}\,\mathrm{d}x^{i}\otimes\mathrm{d}t - \Gamma^{k}_{ij}\,\mathrm{d}x^{i}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{j}\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

If $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a 3-covector, $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ a 1-covector, and D the exterior covariant derivative, then

$$\mathrm{D}(\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi})\otimes\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\phi}\wedge\nabla\boldsymbol{\omega} \tag{71}$$

and in particular

$$\mathrm{D}\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha}\big) = (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi})\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\,\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}\big)\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}\ . \tag{72}$$

Let's also consider the contraction with a 1-vector $\boldsymbol{u}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{D}(\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}) &= \mathrm{D}(\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\omega})\cdot\boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\omega})\curlywedge\nabla\boldsymbol{u}\\ &\equiv \mathrm{D}(\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\omega})\cdot\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\phi}\wedge\nabla\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}\\ &= \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\phi}\wedge\nabla\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\phi}\wedge\nabla\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

and in particular

$$\mathrm{D}(\boldsymbol{\phi}\otimes\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}) = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}\,u^{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\phi}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^{\beta}\,\partial_{\beta}u^{\alpha} \tag{74}$$

A balance equation with the exterior covariant derivative then becomes

$$\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{T} = 0 \qquad\Longrightarrow\qquad \mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}) = -\boldsymbol{T}\curlywedge\nabla\boldsymbol{u} \tag{75}$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{T} \\
&= \mathrm{D}\big(-e\,\mathrm{d}^3_t \otimes \mathrm{d}t - q^i\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \otimes \mathrm{d}t + p_j\,\mathrm{d}^3_t \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j + \pi^i_j\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j\big) \\
&= -\partial_t e\;\mathrm{d}^4 \otimes \mathrm{d}t - \partial_i q^i\,\mathrm{d}^4 \otimes \mathrm{d}t + \partial_t p_j\,\mathrm{d}^4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j + \partial_i \pi^i_j\,\mathrm{d}^4 \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j - {} \\
&\quad \Big[e\,\Gamma^t_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}^3_t \wedge \mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}t + e\,\Gamma^t_{tj}\,\mathrm{d}^3_t \wedge \mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j + 0 \\
&\quad q^i\,\Gamma^t_{it}\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}t + q^i\,\Gamma^t_{ij}\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j + 0 \\
&\quad - p_j\,\Gamma^j_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}^3_t \wedge \mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}t - p_k\,\Gamma^k_{tj}\,\mathrm{d}^3_t \wedge \mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j + 0 \\
&\quad - \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{it}\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}t - \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{ij}\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j\Big] \\
&= \mathrm{d}^4 \otimes \Big[\\
&\quad - \partial_t e\;\mathrm{d}t + e\,\Gamma^t_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}t + e\,\Gamma^t_{tj}\,\mathrm{d}x^j \\
&\quad - \partial_i q^i\,\mathrm{d}t + q^i\,\Gamma^t_{it}\,\mathrm{d}t + q^i\,\Gamma^t_{ij}\,\mathrm{d}x^j \\
&\quad + \partial_t p_j\,\mathrm{d}x^j - p_j\,\Gamma^j_{tt}\,\mathrm{d}t - p_k\,\Gamma^k_{tj}\,\mathrm{d}x^j \\
&\quad + \partial_i \pi^i_j\,\mathrm{d}x^j - \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{it}\,\mathrm{d}t - \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{ij}\,\mathrm{d}x^j \\
&\quad \Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{76}
$$

The equation above corresponds to the four balance equations

$$
\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = e\,\Gamma^t_{tt} + q^i\,\Gamma^t_{it} - p_j\,\Gamma^j_{tt} - \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{it} \tag{77}
$$

$$
\partial_t p_j + \partial_i \pi^i_j = -e\,\Gamma^t_{tj} - q^i\,\Gamma^t_{ij} + p_k\,\Gamma^k_{tj} + \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{ij} \tag{78}
$$

For $\boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$ we find first

$$
\boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = (-e\,u^t + p_j\,u^j)\,\mathrm{d}^3_t + (-q^i\,u^t + \pi^i_j\,u^j)\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \tag{79}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{T} \curlywedge \nabla\boldsymbol{u} &= (-e\,\partial_t u^t + p_j\,\partial_t u^j)\,\mathrm{d}^3_t \wedge \mathrm{d}t + (-q^i\,\partial_i u^t + \pi^i_j\,\partial_i u^j)\,\mathrm{d}^3_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^i \\
&\qquad + \Gamma \text{ terms}
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}
$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t(-e\,u^t + p_j\,u^j) + \partial_i(-q^i\,u^t + \pi^i_j\,u^j) = {}&\\
&-e\,\partial_t u^t + p_j\,\partial_t u^j - q^i\,\partial_i u^t + \pi^i_j\,\partial_i u^j\\
&-(e\,\Gamma^t_{tt} + q^i\,\Gamma^t_{it} - p_j\,\Gamma^j_{tt} - \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{it})\,u^t\\
&-(e\,\Gamma^t_{tj} + q^i\,\Gamma^t_{ij} - p_k\,\Gamma^k_{tj} - \pi^i_k\,\Gamma^k_{ij})\,u^j
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Radial case

$$\partial_t e + \partial_r q^r = e\,\Gamma^t_{tt} + q^r\,\Gamma^t_{rt} + p_r\,\Gamma^r_{tt} + \pi^r_r\,\Gamma^r_{rt} \tag{82}$$

$$\partial_t p_r + \partial_r \pi^r_r = e\,\Gamma^t_{tr} + q^r\,\Gamma^t_{rr} + p_r\,\Gamma^r_{tr} + \pi^r_r\,\Gamma^r_{rr} \tag{83}$$

$$\partial_t e + \partial_r q^r = q^r\,\frac{g}{c^2} + p_r\,g \tag{84}$$

$$\partial_t p_r + \partial_r \pi^r_r = e\,\frac{g}{c^2} \tag{85}$$

Let's consider a Cartesian coordinate system over a small neighbourhood on the Earth's surface, with z pointing upwards. In the Newtonian approximation we have[4]

$$\Gamma^t_{jt} = \Gamma^t_{tj} = \frac{GM}{c^2}\frac{x^j}{r^3} \approx \frac{g}{c^2} \qquad \Gamma^j_{tt} = GM\frac{x^j}{r^3} \approx g \tag{86}$$

where g is the standard acceleration, considered positive. Take also $p_j \approx mv_j$ and $e \approx mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$.

The balances above become

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = q^z\,\frac{g}{c^2} + p_z\,g \tag{87}$$

$$\partial_t p_z + \partial_i \pi^i_z = e\,\frac{g}{c^2} \tag{88}$$

Also,

[4] Poisson & Will 2014 § 5.2.3.

$$\Gamma^{t}_{tt} \approx -2\frac{g}{c^2}v(t) \qquad \Gamma^{t}_{jt} = \Gamma^{t}_{tj} \approx \frac{g}{c^2}$$
$$\Gamma^{j}_{tt} \approx g - 2\frac{g}{c^2}\,v(t)^2 - \dot{v}(t) \qquad \Gamma^{j}_{jt} = \Gamma^{j}_{tj} \approx \frac{g}{c^2}v(t) \tag{89}$$

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = -e\,2\frac{g}{c^2}v(t) + q^z\,\frac{g}{c^2} + p_j\left(g - 2\frac{g}{c^2}\,v(t)^2 - \dot{v}(t)\right) + \pi^z_z\,\frac{g}{c^2}v(t) \tag{90}$$

$$\partial_t p_z + \partial_i \pi^i_z = e\,\frac{g}{c^2} + p_z\,\frac{g}{c^2}v(t) \tag{91}$$

C Works with useful content

- Eq. (21) in[5]: fluid with heat conduction.
- Factor $1/2$ in kinetic energy: § 6.3.3 in[6]

For transformation or raising:[7].

$$\gamma \cdot (B \wedge A) = (-1)^{\deg A \deg B}\gamma \cdot (A \wedge B) \tag{92}$$

with $\deg(B) = n - \deg(A)$[8]

Compound matrices:[9]

Bibliography

(“de *X*” is listed under D, “van *X*” under V, and so on, regardless of national conventions.)

Barnabei, M., Brini, A., Rota, G.-C. (1985): *On the exterior calculus of invariant theory*. J. Algebra **96**[1], 120–160. DOI:10.1016/0021-8693(85)90043-2.

Choquet-Bruhat, Y., DeWitt-Morette, C., Dillard-Bleick, M. (1996): *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics. Part I: Basics*, rev. ed. (Elsevier, Amsterdam). First publ. 1977.

Eckart, C. (1940): *The thermodynamics of irreversible processes. III. Relativistic theory of the simple fluid*. Phys. Rev. **58**[10], 919–924. DOI:10.1103/PhysRev.58.919.

Frankel, T. (1979): *Gravitational Curvature: An Introduction to Einstein's Theory*. (W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco).

Gantmacher, F. R. (2000): *The Theory of Matrices. Vol. 1*, repr. (American Mathematical Society, Providence, USA). https://archive.org/details/gantmacher-the-theory-of-matrices-vol-1-1959. First publ. in Russian 1959. Transl. by K. A. Hirsch.

Gotay, M. J., Marsden, J. E. (1992): *Stress-energy-momentum tensors and the Belinfante-Rosenfeld formula*. Contemp. Math. **132**, 367–392. https://www.cds.caltech.edu/~marsden/bib/1992/05-GoMa1992/, DOI:https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/132.

[5] Maugin 1974. [6] Gourgoulhon 2012. [7] Gantmacher 2000 § I.4 eq. (33). [8] Barnabei et al. 1985 prop. 4.1. [9] Choquet-Bruhat et al. 1996 § IV.A.1 p. 199, Problem 1 p. 270.

Gourgoulhon, É. (2012): *3+1 Formalism in General Relativity: Bases of Numerical Relativity*. (Springer, Heidelberg). First publ. 2007 as arXiv doi:10.48550/arXiv.gr-qc/0703035. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-24525-1.

Maugin, G. A. (1974): *Constitutive equations for heat conduction in general relativity*. J. Phys. A **7**⁴, 465–484. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/7/4/010.

Poisson, E., Will, C. M. (2014): *Gravity: Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge). doi:10.1017/CBO9781139507486.