


# Kinematics and dynamics from a modern perspective

P.G.L. Porta Mana 

Western Norway University of Applied Sciences [pgl@portamana.org](mailto:pgl@portamana.org)

20 July 2022; updated 22 July 2022

The kinematics of mechanical, thermodynamic, and electromagnetic phenomena is developed in such a way as to be used for Newtonian, Lorentzian, and general relativity. The same is done, as much as possible, for their dynamics as well

## 1 Scribbles and memos

### 1.1 Twisted objects

Denote the wedge product by juxtaposition:  $dt \wedge dx =: dt \, dx$  and so on; abbreviate  $dt \, dx =: dtx$  and so on; and denote twisted differential forms by  $\underline{d}$ .

Where an ordered set of coordinate functions  $(t, x, y, z)$  is chosen, the twisted unit  $\underline{1}$  is defined. It has unit magnitude and outer-orientation  $txyz$ , and the property  $\underline{1} \cdot \underline{1} = 1$ . In general it is only defined in chart domain, where coordinate functions can be defined, but not globally. We obtain twisted vectors and covectors by multiplying their non-twisted counterparts by the twisted unit. For a vector or covector  $\omega$  we have that the orientation of its twisted counterpart is such that (Burke 1987 eq. (28.1))

$$\{\{\omega\}, \{\omega\}\} = \{\underline{1}\} . \quad (1)$$

Said otherwise, in the product  $\underline{1} \cdot \omega$  the *right* side of the orientation of  $\underline{1}$  cancels out with the orientation of  $\omega$ . This rule must be respected even if we invert the product order, so  $\underline{1} \cdot \omega \equiv \omega \cdot \underline{1}$ .

Multiplying a twisted vector or covector by the twisted unit, we obtain its non-twisted counterpart. The resulting orientation is obtained by cancelling out the orientation of the twisted object and the *left* side of the orientation of  $\underline{1}$ .

We have:

$$\{\underline{1}\} = txyz ; \quad (2)$$

$$\{\underline{dt}\} = -xyz , \quad \{\underline{dx}\} = tyz , \quad \{\underline{dy}\} = tzx , \quad \{\underline{dz}\} = txy ; \quad (3)$$

$$\{\underline{dtx}\} = yz , \quad \{\underline{dty}\} = zx , \quad \{\underline{dtz}\} = xy , \quad (4)$$

$$\{\underline{dxy}\} = tz , \quad \{\underline{dyz}\} = tx , \quad \{\underline{dzx}\} = ty ; \quad (5)$$

$$\{\underline{dtyz}\} = -x , \quad \{\underline{dtzx}\} = -y , \quad \{\underline{dtxy}\} = -z , \quad \{\underline{dxyz}\} = t ; \quad (6)$$

$$\{\underline{dtxyz}\} = +1 . \quad (7)$$

The minus signs appear in the odd ranks when we have  $t$  and an even number of other coordinates after the “ $\underline{d}$ ”. These minus signs flip if we keep  $t$  always to the right, with orientation  $xyzt$ .

Note that considering, say, the *function*  $x$ , we have

$$\{\underline{x}\} = \begin{cases} \{txyz\} & \text{if } x > 0 , \\ -\{txyz\} & \text{if } x < 0 . \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

## 1.2 Charge and current densities

We can represent charge density and current density in one geometrical entity. Consider an ordered coordinate system  $(t, x, y, z)$ . The charge-current density is

$$\begin{aligned} Q &:= \rho \underline{dxyz} - j_x \underline{dtyz} - j_y \underline{dtzx} - j_z \underline{dtxy} \\ &\equiv \rho \underline{dxyz} - dt (j_x \underline{dyz} + j_y \underline{dzx} + j_z \underline{dxy}) . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It has the dimensions of charge (current  $\cdot$  time). The minus signs appear so that the  $x$ -component of the current, for example, is positive when  $j_x > 0$  and so on.

This object automatically give us net volume charge when integrated over a three-dimensional region at constant time, or the net flux of charge when integrated over a two-dimensional surface – possibly even moving – over a lapse of time. Consider for example a 3-volume  $V$  at constant time, having *outer* orientation in the positive  $t$  direction and parameterized by

$$(u, v, w) \mapsto (t_0, u, v, w) . \quad (10)$$

On it, the basis twisted 1-forms map to (Burke 1987 p. 192)

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \underset{-xyz}{dt} \right|_V &= 0, \quad \left. \underset{tyz}{dx} \right|_V = \underset{vw}{du}, \quad \left. \underset{tzx}{dy} \right|_V = \underset{wu}{dv}, \quad \left. \underset{txy}{dz} \right|_V = \underset{uv}{dw}, \\ \left. \underset{t}{dxyz} \right|_V &= \underset{+}{duvw}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Then we have

$$(\rho \, \underset{t}{d}xyz - j_x \, \underset{t}{d}tyz - j_y \, \underset{t}{d}tzx - j_z \, \underset{t}{d}txy) \Big|_V = \rho \, \underset{+}{duvw} \quad (12)$$

and the current density gives no contribution.

The charge-current density also correctly transform under coordinate changes. Consider for example

$$(t', x', y', z') = (t, x - vt, y, z), \quad (t, x, y, z) = (t', x' + vt', y', z'), \quad (13)$$

for which

$$dt = dt', \quad dy = dy', \quad dz = dz', \quad dx = dx' + v dt'; \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} dx \, dy \, dz &= (dx' + v dt') \, dy' \, dz' = dx' y' z' + v dt' y' z', \\ dt \, dz \, dx &= dt' \, dz' (dx' + v dt') = dt' \, dz' \, dx', \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$dt \, dx \, dy = dt' (dx' + v dt') \, dy' = dt' \, dx' \, dy'.$$

The charge-current density can then be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \rho \, \underset{t}{d}xyz - j_x \, \underset{t}{d}tyz - j_y \, \underset{t}{d}tzx - j_z \, \underset{t}{d}txy \\ &= \rho \, \underset{t}{d}x'y'z' - (j_x - \rho v) \, \underset{t}{d}t'y'z' - j_y \, \underset{t}{d}t'z'x' - j_z \, \underset{t}{d}t'x'y', \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which is indeed the correct transformation for the charge density and the  $x$ -component of the current density (Kovetz 2000 eq. (5.8)). It is important to note that we did not make any assumptions regarding spacetime symmetries and metric. The transformation (13) is a Galilei boost between Galileian inertial frames, if we assume Newtonian relativity, and a non-symmetry preserving coordinate transformation in Lorentzian or general relativity. So there is no contradiction with any of these theories. If we assume that Lorentzian relativity holds and  $(t, x, y, z)$  is a Lorentzian inertial frame, then a metric-preserving transformation would instead be

$$\begin{aligned} (t', x', y', z') &= \left( (t - x v / c^2) / \gamma, (x - vt) / \gamma, y, z \right), \\ \gamma &:= \sqrt{1 - v^2 / c^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and a calculation similar to the previous one shows that the components of the charge-density would again transform as expected (Kovetz 2000 eqs (12.17)–(12.18)).

The law of charge conservation is simply expressed by

$$dQ = 0, \quad (18)$$

which leads to, considering permutations and antisymmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = dQ &= \partial_t \rho \, \underline{dtxyz} - \partial_x j_x \, \underline{dtxyz} - \partial_y j_y \, \underline{dytzx} - \partial_z j_z \, \underline{dztxy} \\ &= (\partial_t \rho + \partial_x j_x + \partial_y j_y + \partial_z j_z) \, \underline{dtxyz}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

implying the familiar (Kovetz 2000 eq. (1.14))

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x j_x + \partial_y j_y + \partial_z j_z = 0, \quad (20)$$

but now shown to be *valid in any coordinate system*.

All we have done in this section holds also for mass density and mass flux. It is important to keep mass flux and momentum separate, as they are not the same in Lorentzian and general relativity.

### 1.3 Electromagnetic field

We can represent the electric field and magnetic flux in one geometrical entity as well:

$$\begin{aligned} F &:= B_x \, dyz + B_y \, dzx + B_z \, dxy - E_x \, dtx - E_y \, dty - E_z \, dtz \\ &\equiv B_x \, dyz + B_y \, dzx + B_z \, dxy - dt \, (E_x \, dx + E_y \, dy + E_z \, dz). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Under the coordinate transformation (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} dt \, dx &= dt' \, dx', \quad dt \, dy = dt' \, dy', \quad dt \, dz = dt' \, dz', \\ dy \, dz &= dy' \, dz', \\ dz \, dx &= dz' \, dx' - v \, dt' \, dz', \quad dx \, dy = dx' \, dy' + v \, dt' \, dy', \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F &= B_x \, dy'z' + B_y \, (dz'x' - v \, dt'z') + B_z \, (dx'y' + v \, dt'y') \\ &\quad - E_x \, dt'x' - E_y \, dt'y' - E_z \, dt'z' \\ &\equiv B_x \, dy'z' + B_y \, dz'x' + B_z \, dx'y' \\ &\quad - E_x \, dt'x' - (E_y - v \, B_z) \, dt'y' - (E_z + v \, B_y) \, dt'z'. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which is again as expected in the Galileian case (Kovetz 2000 eq. (11.3)).

The conservation of electromagnetic flux is simply expressed by

$$dF = 0 , \quad (24)$$

which in coordinates becomes, keeping only terms that will not vanish owing to antisymmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = dF &= (\partial_t B_x dt + \partial_x B_x dx) dyz \\ &\quad + (\partial_t B_y dt + \partial_y B_y dy) dzx \\ &\quad + (\partial_t B_z dt + \partial_z B_z dz) dxy \\ &\quad - (\partial_y E_x dy + \partial_z E_x dz) dtx \\ &\quad - (\partial_z E_y dz + \partial_x E_y dx) dty \\ &\quad - (\partial_x E_z dx + \partial_y E_z dy) dtz \\ &= (\partial_x B_x + \partial_y B_y + \partial_z B_z) dxyz \\ &\quad + (\partial_t B_x + \partial_y E_z - \partial_z E_y) dtxy \\ &\quad + (\partial_t B_y + \partial_z E_x - \partial_x E_z) dtzy \\ &\quad + (\partial_t B_z + \partial_x E_y - \partial_y E_x) dtxy , \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where all four components must vanish, implying the familiar

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x B_x + \partial_y B_y + \partial_z B_z &= 0 \\ \partial_t B_x + \partial_y E_z - \partial_z E_y &= 0 \\ \partial_t B_y + \partial_z E_x - \partial_x E_z &= 0 \\ \partial_t B_z + \partial_x E_y - \partial_y E_x &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Also these equations are *valid in any coordinate system*.

## Bibliography

- (“de X” is listed under D, “van X” under V, and so on, regardless of national conventions.)
- Burke, W. L. (1987): *Applied Differential Geometry*, repr. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge). [doi:10.1017/CB09781139171786](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139171786). First publ. 1985.
- Kovetz, A. (2000): *Electromagnetic Theory*. (Oxford University Press, Oxford).