


# Notes on general-relativistic continuum electromagneto-thermo-mechanics

P.G.L. Porta Mana 

Western Norway University of Applied Sciences [pgl@portamana.org](mailto:pgl@portamana.org)

20 July 2022; updated 3 December 2023 [draft]

Personal notes on topics in general-relativistic continuum electromagneto-thermo-mechanics.

## 1 Bases of multivector spaces

Take an ordered coordinate system  $(t, x, y, z)$ , which also defines an orientation. We shall usually assume that  $t$  has dimensions of time and  $x, y, z$  of length, and usually that they are orthogonal if a metric is defined.

The associated bases for inner-oriented multivector fields are

$$dt \quad dx \quad dy \quad dz \quad (1)$$

$$d^2tx \quad d^2ty \quad d^2tz \quad d^2yz \quad d^2zx \quad d^2xy \quad (2)$$

$$d^3xyz \quad -d^3tyz \quad -d^3tzx \quad -d^3txy \quad (3)$$

$$d^4txyz \quad (4)$$

and analogously for inner-oriented multivector fields. The particular choice of ordering and sign is such that these bases are related by volume duality, see below.

The outer-oriented unit scalar is  $\tilde{1}$ , with outer orientation  $txyz$ ; note that it's only defined on a coordinate patch. A twisted or outer 3-covector such as  $d^3\tilde{x}yz$  has an associated outer direction, in this case positive  $t$ . We adopt this shorter notation for the outer-oriented versions of the bases above:

$$-d_{xyz} \quad d_{tyz} \quad d_{tzx} \quad d_{txy} \quad (5)$$

$$d_{yz}^2 \quad d_{zx}^2 \quad d_{xy}^2 \quad d_{tx}^2 \quad d_{ty}^2 \quad d_{tz}^2 \quad (6)$$

$$d_t^3 \quad d_x^3 \quad d_y^3 \quad d_z^3 \quad (7)$$

$$d^4 \quad (8)$$

so that  $-d_{xyz} := d\tilde{t}$  and so on. Similar notation is used for outer-oriented multivector fields; for instance  $-\partial_{xyz} := \partial_{\tilde{t}}$ .

Here is an example of an outer-oriented 3-covector written in terms of the bases and notation above. Note the position of the super- and sub-scripts:

$$\begin{aligned} & n_{xyz} d^3 \tilde{x} y z - n_{tyz} d^3 \tilde{t} y z - n_{tzz} d^3 \tilde{t} z x - n_{txy} d^3 \tilde{t} x y \\ & \equiv n^t d_t^3 + n^x d_x^3 + n^y d_y^3 + n^z d_z^3 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with  $n^t \equiv n_{xyz}$ ,  $n^x \equiv n_{tyz}$ , and so on.

## 2 Linear transformations of multivector bases

Often we must consider multiplication of the basis of vectors or covectors by a square matrix, say

$$M_{\alpha\beta} du^\beta,$$

leading to an object in a vector space of the same dimension. The two most important examples for us are:

- coordinate transformations, for example

$$d\alpha' = \frac{\partial \alpha'}{\partial \alpha} d\alpha$$

- raising or lowering of indices with the metric, for example

$$d\alpha \mapsto g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta.$$

The corresponding operations for multivectors involve compound matrices of the original transformation matrix<sup>1</sup>. For the spaces of 3-vectors and 3-covectors we have simplified formulae<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha'}^3 &= \det\left(\frac{\partial \alpha'}{\partial \alpha}\right) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha'} d_\alpha^3 \\ \partial^3 \alpha' &= \det\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha'}\right) \frac{\partial \alpha'}{\partial \alpha} \partial^3 \alpha \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

note how the 3-covectors almost transforms as 1-vectors and 3-vectors and 1-covectors, apart from a determinant factor.

In an analogous way

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha^3 &\mapsto \frac{1}{|g|} g_{\alpha\beta} \partial^3 \beta \\ \partial^3 \alpha &\mapsto |g| g^{\alpha\beta} d_\beta^3 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

<sup>1</sup> Choquet-Bruhat et al. 1996 § IV.A.1 p. 199.    <sup>2</sup> Gantmacher 2000 § I.4 eq. (33).

### 3 Metric

We take the metric  $g$  to have signature  $(-, +, +, +)$  and dimensions of area. The determinant and the negative of its square root are denoted shortly

$$g := \det g \quad \sqrt{g} := \sqrt{-\det g} . \quad (12)$$

The volume element induced by the metric  $g$  has dimensions of volume-time and is denoted (note the boldface)

$$\gamma := \frac{\sqrt{g}}{c} d^4 \tilde{t} x y z \equiv \frac{\sqrt{g}}{c} d^4 \quad (13)$$

and its corresponding inverse, a twisted 4-vector:

$$\gamma^{-1} := \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} \partial^4 . \quad (14)$$

Contraction with the volume element or its inverse establishes a “volume duality” between outer  $n$ -covectors and inner  $(4 - n)$ -vectors:

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc} \partial_{xyz}^3 & \partial_{tyz}^3 & \partial_{tzx}^3 & \partial_{txy}^3 \\ \partial_{tx}^2 & \partial_{ty}^2 & \partial_{tz}^2 & \partial_{yz}^2 & \partial_{zx}^2 & \partial_{xy}^2 \\ \partial_t & \partial_x & \partial_y & \partial_z \\ \partial_{txyz}^4 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\frac{\sqrt{g}}{c} \gamma^{-1}} \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}}} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} d_{xyz} & d_{tyz} & d_{tzx} & d_{txy} \\ d_{yz}^2 & d_{zx}^2 & d_{xy}^2 & d_{tx}^2 & d_{ty}^2 & d_{tz}^2 \\ d_t^3 & d_x^3 & d_y^3 & d_z^3 \\ d^4 \end{array} \right) \quad (15)$$

This is the reason why in older literature an outer-oriented  $n$ -covector is treated as a  $(4 - n)$ -“vector density”, that is, a vector divided by the square root of the volume element.

The metric on the space of 1-vectors also induces metrics on the spaces of 1-covectors, 2-vectors and 2-covectors, and so on. In particular, the metrics  $\overset{3}{g}^{-1}$  on the space of 3-covectors and  $\overset{3}{g}$  on the space of 3-vectors can be written in coordinates as

$$\overset{3}{g}^{-1} = \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{g} \partial^3 \mu \otimes \partial^3 \nu \quad \text{with dimensions length}^{-6} \quad (16)$$

$$\overset{3}{g} = g g^{\mu\nu} d_\mu^3 \otimes d_\nu^3 \quad \text{with dimensions length}^6 . \quad (17)$$

With these we can define squared norms  $\|\cdot\|^2$  on all those spaces. Note in particular the following identity:

$$\|\gamma^{-1} \cdot N\|^2 = -c^2 \|N\| \quad \text{for every 3-covector } N. \quad (18)$$

If the coordinates are orthonormal at some point, then the metric at that point has components

$$\begin{bmatrix} -c^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

and the volume element is simply  $d^4$ .

#### 4 Matter current

The amount-of-matter current  $N$  is an outer-oriented 3-covector

$$N = N d_t^3 + J^i d_i^3 \quad (20)$$

of dimensions “amount of matter”, typically measured in moles, where

- $N$  is the volumic amount of matter, measured per unit coordinate volume.
- $J^i$  is the aeric flux of amount of matter (equivalent to a molar flux), measured per unit coordinate area and unit coordinate time.

The current for every particular kind of matter satisfies the conservation law

$$dN = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t N + \partial_i J^i = 0 \quad (21)$$

independent of any metric.

The common contravariant form of the matter current, “ $N^\mu$ ”, is obtained by contracting the matter current with the inverse volume element:

$${}^{\prime}N^\mu \triangleq \gamma^{-1} \cdot N = \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} N \partial_t + \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} J^i \partial_i. \quad (22)$$

If a metric is present, a four-velocity  $\mathbf{U}$  can be associated with the matter current  $N$ , defined by the following properties and identity:

$$\mathbf{U} \cdot N = 0 \quad \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 = -c^2 \quad (23)$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\|N\|} \gamma^{-1} \cdot N. \quad (24)$$

For normal matter (as opposed to antimatter),  $\|N\|^2 \geq 0$ .

## 5 Four-stress

The stress-energy-momentum tensor, or simply four-stress, is a covector-valued 3-covector field, the 3-covector being outer-oriented. It has the dimensions of an action, and can be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{T} = -\epsilon \, d_t^3 \otimes dt - q^i \, d_t^3 \otimes dt + p_j \, d_t^3 \otimes dx^j + \pi_j^i \, d_t^3 \otimes dx^j \quad (25)$$

the indices  $i, j$  running over  $x, y, z$ , and where:

- The energy  $\epsilon$  is a density per unit *coordinate* volume  $xyz$ , and possibly includes a conversion factor for the time unit. If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this energy comprises “rest” energy, kinetic energy, potential gravitational energy, and centrifugal potential energy.
- The energy flux  $q^j$  is an energy per coordinate area and coordinate time, and possibly includes a conversion factor for the time unit. If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this flux term includes transport of the energy term above and also heat flux.
- The momentum  $p_i$  is a momentum density per unit coordinate volume, and includes a conversion factor for the length  $x^i$ .
- The compressive three-stress  $\pi_j^i$  are forces per unit coordinate area, possibly including conversion factors for the time unit and the length  $x^j$ . If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this stress comprises momentum transport and internal stresses.

Suppose the coordinates  $txyz$  are orthogonal and the metric at a point has diagonal components

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{tt} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{zz} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

The diagonal elements  $g_{tt}, \dots$  include a dimensions or unit transformation factor. For instance, if  $x$  has dimensions of angle, then  $g_{xx}$  has dimensions of area per squared angle.

The common contra-contra-variant form of the stress, “ $T^{\mu\nu}$ ”, is obtained by contracting the four-stress with the inverse volume element and the inverse metric:

$$\begin{aligned} 'T^{\mu\nu}' \triangleq \gamma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} = & -\frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{g^{tt}}{\sqrt{g}} \epsilon \partial_t \otimes \partial_t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{g^{tt}}{\sqrt{g}} q^i \partial_i \otimes \partial_t \\ & + \sum_j \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{g^{jj}}{\sqrt{g}} p_j \partial_i \otimes \partial_j + \sum_j \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{g^{jj}}{\sqrt{g}} \pi_j^i \partial_i \otimes \partial_j . \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The *total* four-stress satisfies the balance equation

$$D\mathbf{T} = 0 \quad (28)$$

which is equivalent to the four balance equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t e + \partial_i q^i &= e \Gamma_{tt}^t + q^i \Gamma_{it}^t - p_j \Gamma_{tt}^j - \pi_k^i \Gamma_{it}^k \\ \partial_t p_j + \partial_i \pi_j^i &= -e \Gamma_{tj}^t - q^i \Gamma_{ij}^t + p_k \Gamma_{tj}^k + \pi_k^i \Gamma_{ij}^k \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In general relativity the *total* four-stress also satisfies

$$(\gamma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1})^\top - \gamma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} = 0 . \quad (30)$$

The four-stress determines an association between any 1-vector  $V$  field and an outer-oriented 3-covector field, interpreted as a current:

$$V \mapsto \mathbf{T} \cdot V . \quad (31)$$

This current satisfies the balance equation

$$d(\mathbf{T} \cdot V) = -\mathbf{T} \lrcorner \nabla V \quad (32)$$

which is a conservation law if  $V$  is a Killing vector

## Appendices

### A Matrix representation

Column-vectors, row-vectors, and matrices are useful to encode the components of geometric objects, but they are too few to faithfully encode the difference between co- and contra-variant objects and multivectors of different orders. Here are some useful conventions and procedures.

**1-vectors** represented by column-matrices

**1-covectors** represented by row-matrices

**3-vectors** represented by row-matrices

**3-covectors** represented by column-matrices

objects such as metrics, covector-valued 3-covectors, tensor products of 1-vector and 1-covector, and similar must be represented by matrices.

Here are the matrix representations of several operations. Consider these objects:

- $u^\bullet$  is a 1-vector, represented by the column-matrix  $u$ .
- Similarly for  $v^\bullet$ .
- $\omega_\bullet$  is a 1-covector, represented by the row-matrix  $\omega$ .
- $g_{\bullet\bullet}$  is a co-covector, represented by the matrix  $g$ . The rows of the matrix represent the left side of the tensor product; and the columns, the right side.
- $g^{-1\bullet\bullet}$  is a contra-contravector, inverse of  $g$ , that is:  $g \cdot g^1 = \text{id}_\bullet$ . The rows of the matrix represent the left side of the tensor product; and the columns, the right side.
- $Q_{|\bullet\bullet\bullet|}$  is a 3-covector, represented by the column-matrix  $Q$ .
- $T_{|\bullet\bullet\bullet|}$  is a 1-covector-valued 3-covector, represented by the matrix  $T$ . The rows represent the 3-covector components; the columns, the 1-covector components.
- $\gamma_{|\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet|}$  is a 4-covector, represented by the number  $\gamma$ .
- $\gamma^{-1|\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet|}$  is a 4-vector, represented by the number  $\gamma^{-1}$ .
- The Jacobian matrix  $\frac{\partial x'}{\partial x}$  from “old” coordinates  $x$  to “new” coordinates  $x'$  is represented by the matrix  $J$ . The rows correspond to the new coordinates  $x'$ ; the columns, to the old  $x$ .
- The inverse-Jacobian matrix  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial x'}$  from new coordinates  $x'$  to old coordinates  $x$  is represented by the matrix  $J^{-1}$ . The rows correspond to the old coordinates  $x$ ; the columns, to the new  $x'$ .

Then – note that the order on the right side is important:

- Contractions, index raising and lowering

$$\text{(object)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(matrix repr)} \qquad \qquad \qquad (33)$$

$$\omega \cdot u \qquad \qquad \qquad \omega u \equiv u^\top \omega^\top \quad \text{(number)} \qquad (34)$$

$$v \cdot g \cdot u \qquad \qquad \qquad v^\top g u \quad \text{(number)} \qquad (35)$$

$$g \cdot u \qquad \qquad \qquad u^\top g^\top \quad \text{(row-matrix)} \qquad (36)$$

$$\omega \cdot g^{-1} \qquad \qquad \qquad g^{-\top} \omega^\top \quad \text{(column-matrix)} \qquad (37)$$

$$\gamma^{-1} \cdot Q \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma^{-1} Q \quad \text{(column-matrix)} \qquad (38)$$

$$\gamma^{-1} \cdot T \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma^{-1} T \quad \text{(column-matrix)} \qquad (39)$$

$$T \cdot u \qquad \qquad \qquad T u \quad \text{(column-matrix)} \qquad (40)$$

$$g \cdot (\gamma^{-1} \cdot T) \cdot u \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma^{-1} g T u \quad \text{(matrix)} \qquad (41)$$

- Transformations

$$\text{(old coords)} \quad \mapsto \quad \text{(new coords)} \qquad (42)$$

$$u \quad \mapsto \quad J u \qquad (43)$$

$$\omega \quad \mapsto \quad \omega J^{-1} \qquad (44)$$

$$g \quad \mapsto \quad J^{-\top} g J^{-1} \qquad (45)$$

$$Q \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{1}{\det J} J Q \qquad (46)$$

$$\gamma \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{1}{\det J} \gamma \qquad (47)$$

$$\gamma^{-1} \quad \mapsto \quad \det J \gamma^{-1} \qquad (48)$$

$$T \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{1}{\det J} J T J^{-1} \qquad (49)$$

## B Checks about optimal representation of four-stress

First a lemma about the exterior derivative of some outer-oriented 3-covectors:

$$\begin{aligned} d(f d_t^3) &= d(f d^3 \tilde{x} y z) = \partial_t f dt \wedge d^3 \tilde{x} y z + 0 = \partial_t f d^4 \tilde{x} y z \\ d(f d_x^3) &= -d(f d^3 \tilde{t} y z) = -\partial_x f dx \wedge d^3 \tilde{t} y z + 0 = \partial_x f d^4 \tilde{t} x y z \\ d(f d_i^3) &= \partial_i f d^4 \tilde{t} x y z \end{aligned} \qquad (50)$$



And a lemma about the covariant derivative of some inner-oriented 1-covectors:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla(dt) &= -\Gamma_{tt}^t dt \otimes dt - \Gamma_{tj}^t dt \otimes dx^j - \Gamma_{it}^t dx^i \otimes dt - \Gamma_{ij}^t dx^i \otimes dx^j \\ \nabla(dx^k) &= -\Gamma_{tt}^k dt \otimes dt - \Gamma_{tj}^k dt \otimes dx^j - \Gamma_{it}^k dx^i \otimes dt - \Gamma_{ij}^k dx^i \otimes dx^j\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

If  $\omega$  is a 3-covector,  $\phi$  a 1-covector, and  $D$  the exterior covariant derivative, then

$$D(\phi \otimes \omega) = (d\phi) \otimes \omega - \phi \wedge \nabla \omega \quad (52)$$

and in particular

$$D(\phi \otimes dx^\alpha) = (d\phi) \otimes dx^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha (\phi \wedge dx^\mu) \otimes dx^\nu. \quad (53)$$

Let's also consider the contraction with a 1-vector  $u$ :

$$\begin{aligned}D(\phi \otimes \omega \cdot u) &= D(\phi \otimes \omega) \cdot u - (\phi \otimes \omega) \wedge \nabla u \\ &\equiv D(\phi \otimes \omega) \cdot u - \phi \wedge \nabla u \cdot \omega \\ &= d\phi \otimes \omega \cdot u - \phi \wedge \nabla \omega \cdot u - \phi \wedge \nabla u \cdot \omega.\end{aligned}\quad (54)$$

and in particular

$$D(\phi \otimes dx^\alpha \cdot u) = d\phi u^\alpha - \phi \wedge dx^\beta \partial_\beta u^\alpha \quad (55)$$

A balance equation with the exterior covariant derivative then becomes

$$DT = 0 \quad \implies \quad d(T \cdot u) = -T \wedge \nabla u \quad (56)$$

Then

$$0 = D\mathbf{T}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= D(-e \, d_t^3 \otimes dt - q^i \, d_i^3 \otimes dt + p_j \, d_t^3 \otimes dx^j + \pi_j^i \, d_i^3 \otimes dx^j) \\
 &= -\partial_t e \, d^4 \otimes dt - \partial_i q^i \, d^4 \otimes dt + \partial_t p_j \, d^4 \otimes dx^j + \partial_i \pi_j^i \, d^4 \otimes dx^j - \\
 &\quad \left[ e \, \Gamma_{tt}^t \, d_t^3 \wedge dt \otimes dt + e \, \Gamma_{tj}^t \, d_t^3 \wedge dt \otimes dx^j + 0 \right. \\
 &\quad q^i \, \Gamma_{it}^t \, d_i^3 \wedge dx^i \otimes dt + q^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^t \, d_i^3 \wedge dx^i \otimes dx^j + 0 \\
 &\quad - p_j \, \Gamma_{tt}^j \, d_t^3 \wedge dt \otimes dt - p_k \, \Gamma_{tj}^k \, d_t^3 \wedge dt \otimes dx^j + 0 \\
 &\quad \left. - \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{it}^k \, d_i^3 \wedge dx^i \otimes dt - \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^k \, d_i^3 \wedge dx^i \otimes dx^j \right] \\
 &= d^4 \otimes [ \\
 &\quad - \partial_t e \, dt + e \, \Gamma_{tt}^t \, dt + e \, \Gamma_{tj}^t \, dx^j \\
 &\quad - \partial_i q^i \, dt + q^i \, \Gamma_{it}^t \, dt + q^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^t \, dx^j \\
 &\quad + \partial_t p_j \, dx^j - p_j \, \Gamma_{tt}^j \, dt - p_k \, \Gamma_{tj}^k \, dx^j \\
 &\quad + \partial_i \pi_j^i \, dx^j - \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{it}^k \, dt - \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^k \, dx^j \\
 &\quad ] \\
 &\tag{57}
 \end{aligned}$$

The equation above corresponds to the four balance equations

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = e \, \Gamma_{tt}^t + q^i \, \Gamma_{it}^t - p_j \, \Gamma_{tt}^j - \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{it}^k \tag{58}$$

$$\partial_t p_j + \partial_i \pi_j^i = -e \, \Gamma_{tj}^t - q^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^t + p_k \, \Gamma_{tj}^k + \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^k \tag{59}$$

For  $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  we find first

$$\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u} = (-e \, u^t + p_j \, u^j) \, d_t^3 + (-q^i \, u^t + \pi_j^i \, u^j) \, d_i^3 \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{T} \wedge \nabla \mathbf{u} &= (-e \, \partial_t u^t + p_j \, \partial_t u^j) \, d_t^3 \wedge dt + (-q^i \, \partial_i u^t + \pi_j^i \, \partial_i u^j) \, d_i^3 \wedge dx^i \\
 &\quad + \Gamma \text{ terms} \\
 &\tag{61}
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t(-e u^t + p_j u^j) + \partial_i(-q^i u^t + \pi_j^i u^j) = \\
 -e \partial_t u^t + p_j \partial_t u^j - q^i \partial_i u^t + \pi_j^i \partial_i u^j \\
 - (e \Gamma_{tt}^t + q^i \Gamma_{it}^t - p_j \Gamma_{tt}^j - \pi_k^i \Gamma_{it}^k) u^t \\
 - (e \Gamma_{tj}^t + q^i \Gamma_{ij}^t - p_k \Gamma_{tj}^k - \pi_k^i \Gamma_{ij}^k) u^j \quad (62)
 \end{aligned}$$

### Radial case

$$\partial_t e + \partial_r q^r = e \Gamma_{tt}^t + q^r \Gamma_{rt}^t + p_r \Gamma_{tt}^r + \pi_r^r \Gamma_{rt}^r \quad (63)$$

$$\partial_t p_r + \partial_r \pi_r^r = e \Gamma_{tr}^t + q^r \Gamma_{rr}^t + p_r \Gamma_{tr}^r + \pi_r^r \Gamma_{rr}^r \quad (64)$$

$$\partial_t e + \partial_r q^r = q^r \frac{g}{c^2} + p_r g \quad (65)$$

$$\partial_t p_r + \partial_r \pi_r^r = e \frac{g}{c^2} \quad (66)$$

Let's consider a Cartesian coordinate system over a small neighbourhood on the Earth's surface, with  $z$  pointing upwards. In the Newtonian approximation we have<sup>3</sup>

$$\Gamma_{jt}^t = \Gamma_{tj}^t = \frac{GM}{c^2} \frac{x^j}{r^3} \approx \frac{g}{c^2} \quad \Gamma_{tt}^j = GM \frac{x^j}{r^3} \approx g \quad (67)$$

where  $g$  is the standard acceleration, considered positive. Take also  $p_j \approx mv_j$  and  $e \approx mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$ .

The balances above become

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = q^z \frac{g}{c^2} + p_z g \quad (68)$$

$$\partial_t p_z + \partial_i \pi_z^i = e \frac{g}{c^2} \quad (69)$$

Also,

---

<sup>3</sup> Poisson & Will 2014 §5.2.3.

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{tt}^t &\approx -2\frac{g}{c^2}v(t) & \Gamma_{jt}^t &= \Gamma_{tj}^t \approx \frac{g}{c^2} \\ \Gamma_{tt}^j &\approx g - 2\frac{g}{c^2}v(t)^2 - \dot{v}(t) & \Gamma_{jt}^j &= \Gamma_{tj}^j \approx \frac{g}{c^2}v(t)\end{aligned}\quad (70)$$

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = -e 2\frac{g}{c^2}v(t) + q^z \frac{g}{c^2} + p_j \left( g - 2\frac{g}{c^2}v(t)^2 - \dot{v}(t) \right) + \pi_z^z \frac{g}{c^2}v(t) \quad (71)$$

$$\partial_t p_z + \partial_i \pi_z^i = e \frac{g}{c^2} + p_z \frac{g}{c^2}v(t) \quad (72)$$

### C Works with useful content

- Eq. (21) in<sup>4</sup>: fluid with heat conduction.
- Factor 1/2 in kinetic energy: § 6.3.3 in<sup>5</sup>

For transformation or raising:<sup>6</sup>.

$$\gamma \cdot (B \wedge A) = (-1)^{\deg A \deg B} \gamma \cdot (A \wedge B) \quad (73)$$

with  $\deg(B) = n - \deg(A)$ <sup>7</sup>

Compound matrices:<sup>8</sup>

### Bibliography

(“de  $X$ ” is listed under D, “van  $X$ ” under V, and so on, regardless of national conventions.)

Barnabei, M., Brini, A., Rota, G.-C. (1985): *On the exterior calculus of invariant theory*. J. Algebra **96**<sup>1</sup>, 120–160. [doi:10.1016/0021-8693\(85\)90043-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(85)90043-2).

Choquet-Bruhat, Y., DeWitt-Morette, C., Dillard-Bleick, M. (1996): *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics. Part I: Basics*, rev. ed. (Elsevier, Amsterdam). First publ. 1977.

Gantmacher, F. R. (2000): *The Theory of Matrices. Vol. 1*, repr. (American Mathematical Society, Providence, USA). <https://archive.org/details/gantmacher-the-theory-of-matrices-vol-1-1959>. First publ. in Russian 1959. Transl. by K. A. Hirsch.

Gourgoulhon, É. (2012): *3+1 Formalism in General Relativity: Bases of Numerical Relativity*. (Springer, Heidelberg). First publ. 2007 as arXiv [doi:10.48550/arXiv.gr-qc/0703035](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.gr-qc/0703035). [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-24525-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-24525-1).

Maugin, G. A. (1974): *Constitutive equations for heat conduction in general relativity*. J. Phys. A **7**<sup>4</sup>, 465–484. [doi:10.1088/0305-4470/7/4/010](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/7/4/010).

Poisson, E., Will, C. M. (2014): *Gravity: Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge). [doi:10.1017/CB09781139507486](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139507486).

<sup>4</sup> Maugin 1974. <sup>5</sup> Gourgoulhon 2012. <sup>6</sup> Gantmacher 2000 § I.4 eq. (33). <sup>7</sup> Barnabei et al. 1985 prop. 4.1. <sup>8</sup> Choquet-Bruhat et al. 1996 § IV.A.1 p. 199, Problem 1 p. 270.