Notes on general-relativistic continuum electromagneto-thermo-mechanics

P.G.L. Porta Mana

Western Norway University of Applied Sciences <pgl@portamana.org> 20 July 2022; updated 10 September 2024 [draft]

Personal notes on topics in general-relativistic continuum electromagnetothermo-mechanics.

1 Bases of multivector spaces

Take an ordered coordinate system (t, x, y, z), which also defines an orientation. We shall usually assume that t has dimensions of time and x, y, z of length, and usually that they are orthogonal if a metric is defined.

There are 18 basic types of geometric objects, which represent physical quantities of different types. We have inner-oriented scalars, inner-oriented 1-vectors up to 4-vectors, inner-oriented 1-covectors up to 4-covectors, and all their outer-oriented counterparts.

The exterior product ' \wedge ' of vectors and covectors is usually represented just by juxtaposition, and a shortened notation is used for exterior products of several exterior derivatives 'd'. For instance

$$d^2xy := dx \wedge dy \qquad \partial^2_{xy} := \partial_x \wedge \partial_y . \tag{1}$$

The associated bases for inner-oriented covector fields are

$$dt dx dy dz$$
 (2)

$$d^2tx \quad d^2ty \quad d^2tz \quad d^2yz \quad d^2zx \quad d^2xy \tag{3}$$

$$d^3xyz - d^3tyz - d^3tzx - d^3txy$$
 (4)

$$d^4txyz (5)$$

and analogously for inner-oriented vector fields. The particular choice of ordering and sign is such that these bases are related by volume duality, see below.

The outer-oriented unit scalar is $\tilde{1}$, with outer orientation txyz; note that it's only defined on a coordinate patch. It is idempotent: $\tilde{1}\tilde{1}=1$.

A twisted or outer-oriented 3-covector such as $d^3 \tilde{x} yz$ has an associated outer direction, in this case positive t. We adopt this shorter notation for the outer-oriented versions of the bases above (analogous to the notation in Gotay & Marsden 1992 § 2 p. 371):

$$-d_{xyz} \quad d_{tyz} \quad d_{tzx} \quad d_{txy} \tag{6a}$$

$$d_{yz}^2$$
 d_{zx}^2 d_{xy}^2 d_{tx}^2 d_{ty}^2 d_{tz}^2 (6b)

$$d_t^3 d_x^3 d_y^3 d_z^3$$
 (6c)

$$d^4 (6d)$$

so that $-d_{xyz} := d\tilde{t}$ and so on. Similar notation is used for outer-oriented multivector fields; for instance $-\partial xyz := \partial_{\tilde{t}}$. Here is an example of an outer-oriented 3-covector written in terms of the bases and notation above. Note the position of the super- and sub-scripts:

$$n_{xyz} d^3 \tilde{x} y z - n_{tyz} d^3 \tilde{t} y z - n_{tzx} d^3 \tilde{t} z x - n_{txy} d^3 \tilde{t} x y$$

$$\equiv n^t d_t^3 + n^x d_x^3 + n^y d_y^3 + n^z d_z^3$$
with $n^t \equiv n_{xyz}$, $n^x \equiv n_{tyz}$, and so on.

Contraction or dot-product of vectors and covectors is denoted by '·', and always contracts the maximal possible amount of contiguous elements. For instance

$$d^3xyz \cdot \partial_{yz}^2 = dx \qquad -\partial_{txy}^3 \cdot dx = \partial_{ty}^2 . \tag{8}$$

Contractions with the 4-vector ∂^4 and 4-covector d^4 establish a duality between outer n-covectors and inner (4 - n)-vectors:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\partial_{xyz}^{4} & \partial_{tyz}^{3} & \partial_{tzx}^{3} & \partial_{txy}^{3} \\
\partial_{tx}^{2} & \partial_{ty}^{2} & \partial_{tz}^{2} & \partial_{zx}^{2} & \partial_{xy}^{2} \\
\partial_{tx}^{2} & \partial_{ty}^{3} & \partial_{tz}^{3} & \partial_{z}^{2} & \partial_{zx}^{2} & \partial_{xy}^{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\xrightarrow{\cdot d^{4}}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{1} \\
d_{xyz} & d_{tyz} & d_{tzx} & d_{txy} \\
d_{yz}^{2} & d_{zx}^{2} & d_{zx}^{2} & d_{tx}^{2} & d_{tz}^{2} \\
d_{yz}^{3} & d_{xy}^{3} & d_{xy}^{3} & d_{z}^{3} \\
d_{t}^{3} & d_{x}^{3} & d_{y}^{3} & d_{z}^{3}
\end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

These duals have special properties. For instance, for any 3-covector N, we have

$$N \cdot (\partial^4 \cdot N) \cdot N = 0 \tag{10}$$

that is, the dual of N is a vector belonging in the kernel of N.

If γ is a non-zero 4-covector and γ^{-1} the inverse 4-vector, that is, $\gamma \cdot \gamma^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \cdot \gamma = 1$, and if N is a 3-covector and ϕ a 1-covector, we have the useful identity

$$N \wedge \phi = (N \cdot \gamma^{-1} \cdot \phi) \gamma , \qquad (11)$$

which also holds as long as the degrees of N and ϕ sum up to 4.

We often consider vector- or covector-valued covectors, written as sums of tensor products. They can be outer- or inner-oriented. For instance

$$d_t^3 \otimes dx \equiv d^3 \tilde{x} y z \otimes dx , \qquad d_x^3 \otimes \partial_y . \tag{12}$$

The operation A between a vector-valued covector and a covector-valued covector is the contraction of their vector- and covector-valued parts and the exterior product of their covector parts. For instance, if ϕ and ψ are covectors, ω is a covector, and u is a vector, then

$$(\phi \otimes \omega) \wedge (\psi \otimes u) := (\phi \wedge \psi) \otimes (\omega \cdot u) \tag{13}$$

As another example,

$$(\mathbf{d}_t^3 \otimes \mathbf{d}x) \wedge (\mathbf{d}_t \otimes \mathbf{d}_x) = (\mathbf{d}_t^3 \wedge \mathbf{d}_t) (\mathbf{d}x \cdot \mathbf{d}_x) = -\mathbf{d}^4. \tag{14}$$

2 Linear transformations of multivector bases

Often we must consider multiplication of the basis of vectors or covectors by a square matrix, say

$$M_{\alpha\beta}\,\mathrm{d} u^{\beta}$$
,

leading to an object in a vector space of the same dimension. The two most important examples for us are:

· coordinate transformations, for example

$$d\alpha' = \frac{\partial \alpha'}{\partial \alpha} d\alpha$$

• raising or lowering of indices with the metric, for example

$$d\alpha \mapsto g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\beta}$$
.

The corresponding operations for multivectors involve compound matrices of the original transformation matrix¹. For the spaces of 3-vectors and 3-covectors we have simplified formulae²:

$$d_{\alpha'}^{3} = \det\left(\frac{\partial \alpha'}{\partial \alpha}\right) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha'} d_{\alpha}^{3}$$

$$\partial^{3} \alpha' = \det\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha'}\right) \frac{\partial \alpha'}{\partial \alpha} \partial^{3} \alpha$$
(15)

note how the 3-covectors almost transforms as 1-vectors and 3-vectors and 1-covectors, apart from a determinant factor.

In an analogous way

$$d_{\alpha}^{3} \mapsto \frac{1}{|g|} g_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{3}\beta$$

$$\partial^{3}\alpha \mapsto |g| g^{\alpha\beta} d_{\beta}^{3}$$
(16)

3 Metric

We take the metric g to have signature (-,+,+,+) and dimensions of area. The determinant and the negative of its square root are denoted shortly

$$g := \det \mathbf{g} \qquad \sqrt{g} := \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} \ .$$
 (17)

The volume element induced by the metric g has dimensions of volume-time and is denoted (note the boldface)

$$\gamma := \frac{\sqrt{g}}{c} d^4 \tilde{t} x y z \equiv \frac{\sqrt{g}}{c} d^4$$
 (18)

and its corresponding inverse, a twisted 4-vector:

$$\gamma^{-1} \coloneqq \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} \, \partial^4 \,. \tag{19}$$

¹ Choquet-Bruhat et al. 1996 § IV.A.1 p. 199. ² Gantmacher 2000 § I.4 eq. (33).

Contraction with the volume element or its inverse establishes a "volume duality" between outer n-covectors and inner (4 - n)-vectors:

This is the reason why in older literature an outer-oriented n-covector is treated as a (4 - n)-"vector density", that is, a vector divided by the square root of the volume element.

The metric on the space of 1-vectors also induces metrics on the spaces of 1-covectors, 2-vectors and 2-covectors, and so on. In particular, the metrics g^{3-1} on the space of 3-covectors and g^{3} on the space of 3-vectors can be written in coordinates as

$$g^{3-1} = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{g} \partial^3 \mu \otimes \partial^3 \nu$$
 with dimensions length⁻⁶ (21)

$$g^{3} = g g^{\mu\nu} d_{\mu}^{3} \otimes d_{\nu}^{3} \quad \text{with dimensions length}^{6}.$$
 (22)

With these we can define squared norms $\|.\|^2$ on all those spaces. Note in particular the following identity:

$$\|\gamma^{-1} \cdot N\|^2 = -c^2 \|N\|$$
 for every 3-covector N . (23)

If the coordinates are orthonormal at some point, then the metric at that point has components

$$\begin{bmatrix} -c^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{24}$$

and the volume element is simply d^4 .

4 Matter current

The amount-of-matter current *N* is an outer-oriented 3-covector

$$N = N d_t^3 + J^i d_i^3 \tag{25}$$

of dimensions "amount of matter", typically measured in moles, where

- *N* is the volumic amount of matter, measured per unit coordinate volume.
- *J*^{*i*} is the aeric flux of amount of matter (equivalent to a molar flux), measured per unit coordinate area and unit coordinate time.

The current for every particular kind of matter satisfies the conservation law

$$dN = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t N + \partial_i J^i = 0 \tag{26}$$

independent of any metric.

The common contravariant form of the matter current, " N^{μ} ", is obtained by contracting the matter current with the inverse volume element:

$$'N^{\mu'} = \gamma^{-1} \cdot N = \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} N \partial_t + \frac{c}{\sqrt{g}} J^i \partial_t . \tag{27}$$

If a metric is present, a four-velocity U can be associated with the matter current N, defined by the following properties and identity:

$$\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{N} = 0 \qquad \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 = -c^2 \tag{28}$$

$$U = \frac{1}{|||N|||} \gamma^{-1} \cdot N \tag{29}$$

which also implies (for normal matter)

$$N = ||N|| U \cdot \gamma \tag{30}$$

For normal matter (as opposed to antimatter) $||N||^2 \ge 0$.

5 Four-stress

The stress-energy-momentum tensor, or simply four-stress, is a covector-valued 3-covector field, the 3-covector being outer-oriented. It has the dimensions of an action, and can be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{T} = T^{\mu}_{\ \nu} \ \mathbf{d}^{3}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{\nu}$$

$$= -\epsilon \ \mathbf{d}^{3}_{t} \otimes \mathbf{d}t - q^{i} \ \mathbf{d}^{3}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{d}t + p_{j} \ \mathbf{d}^{3}_{t} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} + \pi^{i}_{j} \ \mathbf{d}^{3}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j}$$
(31)

the indices i, j running over x, y, z, and where:

- The energy ϵ is a density per unit *coordinate* volume xyz, and possibly includes a conversion factor for the time unit. If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this energy comprises "rest" energy, kinetic energy, potential gravitational energy, and centrifugal potential energy.
- The energy flux q^j is an energy per coordinate area and coordinate time, and possibly includes a conversion factor for the time unit. If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this flux term includes transport of the energy term above and also heat flux.
- The momentum p_i is a momentum density per unit coordinate volume, and includes a conversion factor for the length x^i .
- The compressive three-stress π^i_j are forces per unit coordinate area, possibly including conversion factors for the time unit and the length x^j . If the point at which the four-stress is considered has a matter-current, then this stress comprises momentum transport and internal stresses.

Suppose the coordinates txyz are orthogonal and the metric at a point has diagonal components

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{tt} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{zz} \end{bmatrix} . {32}$$

The diagonal elements g_{tt} ,... include a dimensions or unit transformation factor. For instance, if x has dimensions of angle, then g_{xx} has dimensions of area per squared angle.

The common contra-contra-variant form of the stress, " $T^{\mu\nu}$ ", is obtained by contracting the four-stress with the inverse volume element and the inverse metric:

$$'T^{\mu\nu}' \triangleq \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{-1} = -\frac{c g^{tt}}{\sqrt{g}} \epsilon \, \partial_{t} \otimes \partial_{t} - \frac{c g^{tt}}{\sqrt{g}} q^{i} \, \partial_{i} \otimes \partial_{t}$$

$$+ \sum_{j} \frac{c g^{jj}}{\sqrt{g}} p_{j} \, \partial_{t} \otimes \partial_{j} + \sum_{j} \frac{c g^{jj}}{\sqrt{g}} \pi_{j}^{i} \, \partial_{i} \otimes \partial_{j} .$$

$$(33)$$

One important detail in finding the Newtonian approximation of "energy density" is that one takes different zeros of energy density in different coordinate systems: the zero is taken as the molar mass times the molar density in the current coordinate system. By 'zero' I mean the arbitrary separation between "mass" and "energy".

The total four-stress satisfies the balance equation

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{7} = 0 \tag{34}$$

which is equivalent to the four balance equations

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = e \, \Gamma_{tt}^t + q^i \, \Gamma_{it}^t - p_j \, \Gamma_{tt}^j - \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{it}^k
\partial_t p_j + \partial_i \pi_j^i = -e \, \Gamma_{tj}^t - q^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^t + p_k \, \Gamma_{tj}^k + \pi_k^i \, \Gamma_{ij}^k$$
(35)

In general relativity the total four-stress also satisfies

$$(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{-1})^{\mathsf{T}} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{-1} = 0.$$
 (36)

The four-stress determines an association between any 1-vector *V* field and an outer-oriented 3-covector field, interpreted as a current:

$$V \mapsto \mathbf{T} \cdot V$$
 (37)

This current satisfies the balance equation

$$d(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{V}) = -\mathbf{T} \wedge \nabla \mathbf{V} = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}) \, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \tag{38}$$

which is a conservation law if *V* is a Killing vector.

For the special case $V = \partial_{\alpha}$ the formula above becomes

$$d(T^{\mu}_{\alpha} d^{3}_{\mu}) = T^{\mu}_{\nu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha} d^{4} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \partial_{\mu} T^{\mu}_{\alpha} = T^{\mu}_{\nu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha}$$
(39)

Consider a region where there is a non-vanishing matter current N with associated four-velocity U, and define

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{U}} = -\frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} \tag{40}$$

which statisfies

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{U}} \cdot \boldsymbol{U} = 1$$
, $\nabla \boldsymbol{U} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{U}} = 0$. (41)

The last equality can be proved from $\nabla \mathbf{g} = 0$ and

$$0 = -\nabla(c^2) = \nabla(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{U}) = 2(\nabla \mathbf{U}) \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{U} . \tag{42}$$

We can associate with the matter a four-stress *T* which can be decomposed as follows:

$$T = -\epsilon N \otimes \bar{U} + N \otimes P - (\bar{U} \wedge Q) \otimes \bar{U} + \bar{U} \wedge S$$
with $P \cdot U = 0$ $Q \cdot U = 0$ $U \cdot S = 0$ $S \cdot U = 0$ (43)

where

- ϵ is a scalar, the molar energy density.
- *P* is a 1-covector, the molar momentum density.
- *Q* is a 2-covector, the areic energy-flux density.
- **S** is a 1-covector-valued 2-covector, the three-stress related to the Cauchy stress.

Using the four-velocity U associated with the matter current we obtain what could be called the "internal-energy current":

$$\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{U} \equiv -\epsilon \, \mathbf{N} - \bar{\mathbf{U}} \, \mathbf{Q} \tag{44}$$

which, from eqs (38), (40), (26), satisfies the balance law

$$d(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{U}) = -\mathbf{T} \wedge \nabla \mathbf{U} \tag{45}$$

or

$$d(-\epsilon N - \bar{U} Q) = (\epsilon N \otimes \bar{U}) \cdot \nabla U - (N \otimes P) \cdot \nabla U + (\bar{U} Q \otimes \bar{U}) \cdot \nabla U - \bar{U} S \cdot \nabla U$$
(46)

or simply

$$N d\epsilon + \bar{\mathbf{U}} d\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q} d\bar{\mathbf{U}} = -N \nabla \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{P} - (\bar{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{S}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U} . \tag{47}$$

The last balance equation corresponds to eq. (28) in Eckart (1940), except for the fact that here we are keeping the momentum P and heat flux Q distinct.

When P, S, Q are zero, one speaks of the four-stress of "dust". It is noteworthy that in this case the four-stress is essentially proportional to the matter 3-covector, multiplied by a vector collinear with the matter four-velocity:

$$\mathbf{T} = -\mathbf{N} \otimes (\epsilon \, \bar{\mathbf{U}}) \,. \tag{48}$$

If we take the four-velocity itself as reference, given that $\bar{U}U = -c^2$, the energy 3-covector we obtain is proportional to the matter 3-covector:

$$-c^2 \epsilon N \tag{49}$$

For this reason ϵ is called the "proper internal energy". If we take other vector fields as reference, then this energy will pick up further multiplicative terms consisting in the projection of the matter four-velocity \boldsymbol{U} onto the reference vector field. In particular taking ∂_t or ∂_i as reference we'll have the projections of the four-velocity onto the coordinate covectors as multiplicative factors.

6 Electromagnetic field

The electromagnetic field, represented by the Faraday 2-covector, is typically decomposed as³

$$F = E dt + B$$

$$\equiv E_x d^2xt + E_y d^2yt + E_z d^2zt + B^x d^2yz + B^y d^2zx + B^z d^2xy$$
(50)

The conservation of magnetic flux is expressed by

$$d\mathbf{F} = 0 \tag{51}$$

³ Frankel 1979 ch. 9.

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{i}B^{i} &= 0 & (d^{3}xyz \text{ component}) \\
\partial_{t}B^{x} &+ \partial_{y}E_{z} - \partial_{z}E_{y} &= 0 & (d^{3}tyz) \\
\partial_{t}B^{y} &+ \partial_{z}E_{x} - \partial_{x}E_{z} &= 0 & (d^{3}tzx) \\
\partial_{t}B^{z} &+ \partial_{x}E_{y} - \partial_{y}E_{x} &= 0 & (d^{3}txy)
\end{aligned} (52)$$

Appendices

A Matrix representation

Column-vectors, row-vectors, and matrices are useful to encode the components of geometric objects, but they are too few to faithfully encode the difference between co- and contra-variant objects and multivectors of different orders. Here are some useful conventions and procedures.

1-vectors represented by column-matrices

1-covectors represented by row-matrices

3-vectors represented by row-matrices

3-covectors represented by column-matrices

objects such as metrics, covector-valued 3-covectors, tensor products of 1-vector and 1-covector, and similar must be represented by matrices.

Here are the matrix representations of several operations. Consider these objects:

- u^{\bullet} is a 1-vector, represented by the column-matrix u.
- Similarly for v^* .
- ω_{\bullet} is a 1-covector, represented by the row-matrix ω .
- **g..** is a co-covector, represented by the matrix **g**. The rows of the matrix represent the left side of the tensor product; and the columns, the right side.
- g^{-1} is a contra-contravector, inverse of g, that is: $g \cdot g^1 = id$. The rows of the matrix represent the left side of the tensor product; and the columns, the right side.
- $Q_{|\cdots|}$ is a 3-covector, represented by the column-matrix Q.

*T*_{|•••|•} is a 1-covector-valued 3-covector, represented by the matrix
 T. The rows represent the 3-covector components; the columns, the 1-covector components.

- $\gamma_{|\cdots|}$ is a 4-covector, represented by the number γ .
- γ^{-1} is a 4-vector, represented by the number γ^{-1}
- The Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial x'}{\partial x}$ from "old" coordinates x to "new" coordinates x' is represented by the matrix J. The rows correspond to the new coordinates x'; the columns, to the old x.
- The inverse-Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial x}{\partial x'}$ from new coordinates x' to old coordinates x' is represented by the matrix J^{-1} . The rows correspond to the old coordinates x; the columns, to the new x'.

Then – note that the order on the right side is important:

Contractions, index raising and lowering

(object)

 $\omega \cdot u$

 $v \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot u$

$\mathbf{g} \cdot u$	u'g' (row-matrix)	(56)
$\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot \boldsymbol{g}^{-1}$	$\mathbf{g}^{-T}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{T}$ (column-matrix)	(57)
$\gamma^{-1}\cdot Q$	$\gamma^{-1}Q$ (column-matrix)	(58)
$oldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1}\cdot oldsymbol{T}$	γ^{-1} T (column-matrix)	(59)
$T \cdot u$	T <i>u</i> (column-matrix)	(60)
$\mathbf{g}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{T})\cdot\mathbf{u}$	$\gamma^{-1} gTu$ (matrix)	(61)

(matrix repr)

 $\omega u \equiv u^{\mathsf{T}} \omega^{\mathsf{T}}$ (number)

 $v^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{g}u$ (number)

(53)

(54)

(55)

Transformations

$$(old coords) \mapsto (new coords)$$
 (62)

$$u \mapsto Iu$$
 (63)

$$\omega \mapsto \omega J^{-1}$$
 (64)

$$\mathbf{g} \mapsto \mathbf{J}^{-\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{J}^{-1}$$
 (65)

$$Q \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{1}{\det I} JQ \tag{66}$$

$$\gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{\det J} \gamma \tag{67}$$

$$\gamma^{-1} \mapsto \det J \gamma^{-1} \tag{68}$$

$$\gamma^{-1} \mapsto \det I \gamma^{-1}$$
 (68)

$$T \mapsto \frac{1}{\det I} JTJ^{-1}$$
 (69)

Checks about optimal representation of four-stress В

First a lemma about the exterior derivative of some outer-oriented 3-covectors:

$$d(f d_t^3) = d(f d^3 \tilde{x} y z) = \partial_t f dt \wedge d^3 \tilde{x} y z + 0 = \partial_t f d^4 \tilde{t} \tilde{x} y z$$

$$d(f d_x^3) = -d(f d^3 \tilde{t} y z) = -\partial_x f dx \wedge d^3 \tilde{t} y z + 0 = \partial_x f d^4 \tilde{t} \tilde{x} y z$$

$$d(f d_x^3) = \partial_t f d^4 \tilde{t} \tilde{x} y z$$

$$(70)$$

And a lemma about the covariant derivative of some inner-oriented 1-covectors:

$$\nabla(\mathrm{d}t) = -\Gamma_{tt}^t \,\mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}t - \Gamma_{tj}^t \,\mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j - \Gamma_{it}^t \,\mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}t - \Gamma_{ij}^t \,\mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j$$

$$\nabla(\mathrm{d}x^k) = -\Gamma_{tt}^k \,\mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}t - \Gamma_{tj}^k \,\mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j - \Gamma_{it}^k \,\mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}t - \Gamma_{ij}^k \,\mathrm{d}x^i \otimes \mathrm{d}x^j$$
(71)

If ω is a 3-covector, ϕ a 1-covector, and D the exterior covariant derivative, then

$$D(\phi \otimes \omega) = (d\phi) \otimes \omega - \phi \wedge \nabla \omega \tag{72}$$

and in particular

$$D(\phi \otimes dx^{\alpha}) = (d\phi) \otimes dx^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} (\phi \wedge dx^{\mu}) \otimes dx^{\nu}.$$
 (73)

Let's also consider the contraction with a 1-vector *u*:

$$D(\phi \otimes \omega \cdot u) = D(\phi \otimes \omega) \cdot u - (\phi \otimes \omega) \wedge \nabla u$$

$$\equiv D(\phi \otimes \omega) \cdot u - \phi \wedge \nabla u \cdot \omega$$

$$= d\phi \otimes \omega \cdot u - \phi \wedge \nabla \omega \cdot u - \phi \wedge \nabla u \cdot \omega .$$
(74)

and in particular

$$D(\phi \otimes dx^{\alpha} \cdot u) = d\phi u^{\alpha} - \phi \wedge dx^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} u^{\alpha}$$
 (75)

A balance equation with the exterior covariant derivative then becomes

$$D\mathbf{T} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad d(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}) = -\mathbf{T} \wedge \nabla \mathbf{u} \tag{76}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{T} \\ & = \mathbf{D} \left(-e \, \mathbf{d}_{t}^{3} \otimes \mathbf{d}t - q^{i} \, \mathbf{d}_{i}^{3} \otimes \mathbf{d}t + p_{j} \, \mathbf{d}_{t}^{3} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} + \pi_{j}^{i} \, \mathbf{d}_{i}^{3} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} \right) \\ & = -\partial_{t}e \, \mathbf{d}^{4} \otimes \mathbf{d}t - \partial_{i}q^{i} \, \mathbf{d}^{4} \otimes \mathbf{d}t + \partial_{t}p_{j} \, \mathbf{d}^{4} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} + \partial_{i}\pi_{j}^{i} \, \mathbf{d}^{4} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} - \\ & \left[e \, \Gamma_{tt}^{t} \, \mathbf{d}_{t}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}t \otimes \mathbf{d}t + e \, \Gamma_{tj}^{t} \, \mathbf{d}_{t}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}t \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} + 0 \right. \\ & \left. - q^{i} \, \Gamma_{it}^{t} \, \mathbf{d}_{i}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}x^{i} \otimes \mathbf{d}t + q^{i} \, \Gamma_{ij}^{t} \, \mathbf{d}_{i}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}x^{i} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} + 0 \right. \\ & \left. - p_{j} \, \Gamma_{tt}^{j} \, \mathbf{d}_{t}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}t \otimes \mathbf{d}t - p_{k} \, \Gamma_{tj}^{k} \, \mathbf{d}_{t}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}t \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} + 0 \right. \\ & \left. - \pi_{k}^{i} \, \Gamma_{it}^{k} \, \mathbf{d}_{i}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}x^{i} \otimes \mathbf{d}t - \pi_{k}^{i} \, \Gamma_{ij}^{k} \, \mathbf{d}_{i}^{3} \wedge \mathbf{d}x^{i} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j} \right] \\ & = \mathbf{d}^{4} \otimes \left[\\ & \left. - \partial_{t}e \, \mathbf{d}t + e \, \Gamma_{tt}^{t} \, \mathbf{d}t + e \, \Gamma_{tj}^{t} \, \mathbf{d}x^{j} \right. \\ & \left. - \partial_{i}q^{i} \, \mathbf{d}t + q^{i} \, \Gamma_{it}^{t} \, \mathbf{d}t - p_{k} \, \Gamma_{tj}^{k} \, \mathbf{d}x^{j} \right. \\ & \left. + \partial_{t}p_{j} \, \mathbf{d}x^{j} - p_{j} \, \Gamma_{tt}^{j} \, \mathbf{d}t - p_{k} \, \Gamma_{tj}^{k} \, \mathbf{d}x^{j} \right. \\ & \left. + \partial_{i}\pi_{j}^{i} \, \mathbf{d}x^{j} - \pi_{k}^{i} \, \Gamma_{it}^{k} \, \mathbf{d}t - \pi_{k}^{i} \, \Gamma_{ij}^{k} \, \mathbf{d}x^{j} \right. \end{aligned}$$

The equation above corresponds to the four balance equations

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = e \Gamma_{tt}^t + q^i \Gamma_{it}^t - p_i \Gamma_{tt}^j - \pi_k^i \Gamma_{it}^k \tag{78}$$

(77)

$$\partial_t p_j + \partial_i \pi_i^i = -e \Gamma_{ti}^t - q^i \Gamma_{ii}^t + p_k \Gamma_{ti}^k + \pi_k^i \Gamma_{ii}^k \tag{79}$$

For $T \cdot u$ we find first

$$\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u} = (-e \ u^t + p_j \ u^j) \ \mathbf{d}_t^3 + (-q^i \ u^t + \pi_j^i \ u^j) \ \mathbf{d}_i^3$$
(80)
$$\mathbf{T} \wedge \nabla \mathbf{u} = (-e \ \partial_t u^t + p_j \ \partial_t u^j) \ \mathbf{d}_t^3 \wedge \mathbf{d}t + (-q^i \ \partial_i u^t + \pi_j^i \ \partial_i u^j) \ \mathbf{d}_i^3 \wedge \mathbf{d}x^i$$
$$+ \Gamma \text{ terms}$$
(81)

and therefore

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t}(-e\,u^{t}+p_{j}\,u^{j}) + \partial_{i}(-q^{i}\,u^{t}+\pi^{i}_{j}\,u^{j}) &= \\ &-e\,\partial_{t}u^{t}+p_{j}\,\partial_{t}u^{j}-q^{i}\,\partial_{i}u^{t}+\pi^{i}_{j}\,\partial_{i}u^{j} \\ &-(e\,\Gamma^{t}_{tt}+q^{i}\,\Gamma^{t}_{it}-p_{j}\,\Gamma^{j}_{tt}-\pi^{i}_{k}\,\Gamma^{k}_{it})\,u^{t} \\ &-(e\,\Gamma^{t}_{tj}+q^{i}\,\Gamma^{t}_{ij}-p_{k}\,\Gamma^{k}_{tj}-\pi^{i}_{k}\,\Gamma^{k}_{ij})\,u^{j} \end{split} \tag{82}$$

Radial case

$$\partial_t e + \partial_r q^r = e \Gamma_{tt}^t + q^r \Gamma_{rt}^t + p_r \Gamma_{tt}^r + \pi_r^r \Gamma_{rt}^r \tag{83}$$

$$\partial_t p_r + \partial_r \pi_r^r = e \, \Gamma_{tr}^t + q^r \, \Gamma_{rr}^t + p_r \, \Gamma_{tr}^r + \pi_r^r \, \Gamma_{rr}^r \tag{84}$$

$$\partial_t e + \partial_r q^r = q^r \frac{g}{c^2} + p_r g \tag{85}$$

$$\partial_t p_r + \partial_r \pi_r^r = e \, \frac{g}{c^2} \tag{86}$$

Let's consider a Cartesian coordinate system over a small neighbourhood on the Earth's surface, with z pointing upwards. In the Newtonian approximation we have⁴

$$\Gamma_{jt}^t = \Gamma_{tj}^t = \frac{GM}{c^2} \frac{x^j}{r^3} \approx \frac{g}{c^2} \qquad \Gamma_{tt}^j = GM \frac{x^j}{r^3} \approx g$$
 (87)

where *g* is the standard acceleration, considered positive. Take also $p_j \approx mv_j$ and $e \approx mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$.

⁴ Poisson & Will 2014 § 5.2.3.

The balances above become

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = q^z \frac{g}{c^2} + p_z g \tag{88}$$

$$\partial_t p_z + \partial_i \pi_z^i = e \, \frac{g}{c^2} \tag{89}$$

Also,

$$\Gamma_{tt}^{t} \approx -2\frac{g}{c^{2}}v(t) \qquad \Gamma_{jt}^{t} = \Gamma_{tj}^{t} \approx \frac{g}{c^{2}}$$

$$\Gamma_{tt}^{j} \approx g - 2\frac{g}{c^{2}}v(t)^{2} - \dot{v}(t) \qquad \Gamma_{jt}^{j} = \Gamma_{tj}^{j} \approx \frac{g}{c^{2}}v(t)$$

$$(90)$$

$$\partial_t e + \partial_i q^i = -e \, 2 \frac{g}{c^2} v(t) + q^z \, \frac{g}{c^2} + p_j \left(g - 2 \frac{g}{c^2} \, v(t)^2 - \dot{v}(t) \right) + \pi_z^z \, \frac{g}{c^2} v(t)$$
(91)

$$\partial_t p_z + \partial_i \pi_z^i = e \frac{g}{c^2} + p_z \frac{g}{c^2} v(t) \tag{92}$$

C Works with useful content

- Eq. (21) in⁵: fluid with heat conduction.
- Factor 1/2 in kinetic energy: § 6.3.3 in⁶

For transformation or raising:7.

$$\gamma \cdot (B \wedge A) = (-1)^{\deg A \deg B} \gamma \cdot (A \wedge B) \tag{93}$$

with $deg(B) = n - deg(A)^8$

Compound matrices:9

Bibliography

("de X" is listed under D, "van X" under V, and so on, regardless of national conventions.)

Barnabei, M., Brini, A., Rota, G.-C. (1985): On the exterior calculus of invariant theory. J. Algebra **96**¹, 120–160. DOI:10.1016/0021-8693(85)90043-2.

Choquet-Bruhat, Y., DeWitt-Morette, C., Dillard-Bleick, M. (1996): *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics. Part I: Basics*, rev. ed. (Elsevier, Amsterdam). First publ. 1977.

Maugin 1974.
 Gourgoulhon 2012.
 Gantmacher 2000 § I.4 eq. (33).
 Barnabei et al. 1985 prop. 4.1.
 Choquet-Bruhat et al. 1996 § IV.A.1 p. 199, Problem 1 p. 270.

Eckart, C. (1940): The thermodynamics of irreversible processes. III. Relativistic theory of the simple fluid. Phys. Rev. 58¹⁰, 919–924. DOI:10.1103/PhysRev.58.919.

- Frankel, T. (1979): Gravitational Curvature: An Introduction to Einstein's Theory. (W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco).
- Gantmacher, F. R. (2000): *The Theory of Matrices. Vol.* 1, repr. (American Mathematical Society, Providence, USA). https://archive.org/details/gantmacher-the-theory-of-matrices-vol-1-1959. First publ. in Russian 1959. Transl. by K. A. Hirsch.
- Gotay, M. J., Marsden, J. E. (1992): Stress-energy-momentum tensors and the Belinfante-Rosenfeld formula. Contemp. Math. 132, 367–392. https://www.cds.caltech.edu/~marsden/bib/1992/05-GoMa1992/, DOI:https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/132.
- Gourgoulhon, É. (2012): 3+1 Formalism in General Relativity: Bases of Numerical Relativity. (Springer, Heidelberg). First publ. 2007 as arXiv DOI:10.48550/arXiv.gr-qc/0703035. DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-24525-1.
- Maugin, G. A. (1974): Constitutive equations for heat conduction in general relativity. J. Phys. A 74, 465–484. DOI:10.1088/0305-4470/7/4/010.
- Poisson, E., Will, C. M. (2014): *Gravity: Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic.* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge). DOI:10.1017/CB09781139507486.