

Model-free predictions in personalized medicine with quantified uncertainty and personalized decisions: A case study on the conversion from MCI to AD

P.G.L. Porta Mana 1,2,* , Ingrid Rye 3 , Alexandra Vik 1,2 , Marek Kocinski 2,4 , Arvid Lundervold 2,4 , Astri J. Lundervold 3 , and Alexander S. Lundervold 1,2

Correspondence*: Corresponding Author pgl@portamana.org

2 ABSTRACT

- 3 [Luca & Astri, draft]
- Patients with Mild Cognitive Impairment have an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. Early identification of underlying neurodegenerative processes is essential to provide treatment before
- 6 the disease is well established in the brain. h maybe move this last part below, after "the
- 7 present work"? Here we investigate the prognostic power of a set of neuropsychological and
- 8 Magnetic Resonance Imaging examinations, demographic data, and genetic information about
- 9 APOE status, for the prediction of the onset of Alzheimer's disease in patients defined as mildly
- cognitively impaired at a baseline examination. The longitudinal data used come from the ADNI
- 11 database.
- A personalized approach for prognosis and for treatment and prevention strategies requires,
- 13 however, that the prognostic power of the predictors listed above be quantified and used in a
- 14 specific way. It is not enough that prognoses be as best as they can be for each patient (that is
- 15 an obvious requirement). Allowance must instead be made for several fundamental differences
- 16 among patients:

17

19

20

21

- the *kinds* of clinical data and evidence available for prognosis can be different;
- the *outcomes* of the same kind of clinical data and evidence can be different;
 - the kinds of treatment or prevention options can be different;
 - the advantages and disadvantages, gains and costs of the same kinds of treatment or prevention can be different; in particular, the patient has a major role in their quantification;

¹Department of Computer Science, Electrical Engineering and Mathematical Sciences, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway ²Mohn Medical Imaging and Visualization Centre (MMIV), Department of Radiology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

³Department of Biological and Medical Psychology, University of Bergen, Norway

⁴Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Norway

- finally, the initial evaluation by the clinician which often relies on too subtle clues (family history, regional history, previous case experience) to be considered as measurable data can be different.
- The quantification framework that takes into account these fundamental differences is statistical decision theory, and Medicine has the distinction of having been one of the first fields to adopt it, as exemplified in brilliant old and new textbooks on clinical decision making.
- Two main requirements must be met for optimal clinical decision making. First, the quantification 28 of prognostic evidence on one side, and of gains and costs of treatments and prevention strategies 29 on the other, must be clearly separated. Two patients can have the same prognostic evidence, 30 and yet very different prevention options. Second, the quantification of independent prognostic 31 evidence ought to be in the form of likelihoods about the health condition (or equivalently of 32 likelihood ratios, in a binary case), that is, the probabilities of the observed test outcomes given 33 the hypothesized health conditions. Likelihoods from independent clinical tests and predictors 34 can then be combined with a simple multiplication. For one patient we could have three kinds of 35 predictor available; for another, we could have five.
- The present work meets the two requirements above by quantifying the prognostic power of the 37 chosen predictors – neuropsychological examinations, demographic data, Magnetic Resonance 38 Imaging, genetic information – in the form of a combined likelihood for the onset of Alzheimer's 39 disease. As a hypothetical example application of personalized clinical decision making, three 40 patient cases are considered where a clinician starts with prognostic uncertainties (possibly 41 coming from other tests) of 50%/50%, 25%/75%, 75%/25%. It is shown how these probabilities 42 are changed by the predictors. in Maybe we can also add two examples of different clinical 43 decisions, coming from different gain/cost evaluations? 44
- The mutual dependence and relative predictive strength of the mentioned predictors is also quantified. It is found that ...
- 47 h Possibly add about the quantification of uncertainty coming from the finite sample size?
- 48 For full guidelines regarding your manuscript please refer to Author Guidelines.
- 49 As a primary goal, the abstract should render the general significance and conceptual advance of the work clearly
- 50 accessible to a broad readership. References should not be cited in the abstract. Leave the Abstract empty if your
- 51 article does not require one, please see Summary Table for details according to article type.
- 52 Keywords: keyword, keyword,

1 INTRODUCTION

- 53 [Luca, pieces of text]
- Personalized diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, and prevention strategies must make allowance for several fundamental differences among patients:
- the *kinds* of clinical data and evidence available for diagnosis or prognosis can be different;
- the *values* of the same kind of clinical data and evidence can be different;
- the kinds of treatment or prevention options can be different;

- the advantages and disadvantages, gains and costs of the same kinds of treatment or prevention can be different;
- finally, the evaluation of the clinician which often relies on too subtle clues (family history, regional history, case experience) to be considered as measurable data can be different.
- Is there really a methodological framework that can take all these differences into account? Yes, there
- 64 is, and Medicine has the distinction of having been one of the first fields to adopt it (Ledley and Lusted,
- 65 1959): Statistical Decision Theory. Its application in Medicine is explained and exemplified in several,
- 66 brilliant, old and new textbooks (Weinstein and Fineberg, 1980; Sox et al., 2013; Hunink et al., 2014). This
- 67 theory has mathematical and logical foundations and its principles constitute indeed the foundations for the
- 68 definition and realization of Artificial Intelligence (Russell and Norvig, 2022) 🔑
- The basics of clinical decision making 🎤 ..basics: each piece of evidence contributes with a likelihood or
- 70 odds; they combine together and together with the clinician's pre-data evaluation. Then they are combined
- 71 with the different gains/costs of treatments or prevention strategies to find the optimal one. Decision trees
- 72 can be necessary (but don't change this framework). Costs & gains are evaluated by clinician & patient
- 73 together.

```
post-test\ probability
p(\text{health condition}\mid \text{results of all tests, prior info}) \propto
p(\text{health condition}\mid \text{prior info}) \times
p(\text{health condition}\mid \text{prior info}) \times
p(\text{result of 1st test}\mid \text{health condition, prior info}) \times
p(\text{result of 2nd test}\mid \text{health condition, prior info}) \times
\dots
```

- For Original Research Articles (Name et al., 1996), Clinical Trial Articles (LastName1 et al., 2013), and Technology Reports
- 75 (Surname1, 2010), the introduction should be succinct, with no subheadings (Name, 1993). For Case Reports the Introduction
- should include symptoms at presentation (Surname, 2002), physical exams and lab results (LastName1 et al., 2011).

2 ARTICLE TYPES

- 77 For requirements for a specific article type please refer to the Article Types on any Frontiers journal page.
- 78 Please also refer to Author Guidelines for further information on how to organize your manuscript in the
- 79 required sections or their equivalents for your field

3 MANUSCRIPT FORMATTING

- 80 3.1 Heading Levels
- 81 3.2 Level 2
- 82 3.2.1 Level 3
- 83 3.2.1.1 Level 4
- 84 *3.2.1.1.1 Level 5*
- 85 3.3 Equations
- 86 Equations should be inserted in editable format from the equation editor.

$$\sum x + y = Z \tag{2}$$

87 3.4 Figures

- 88 Frontiers requires figures to be submitted individually, in the same order as they are referred to in the
- 89 manuscript. Figures will then be automatically embedded at the bottom of the submitted manuscript. Kindly
- 90 ensure that each table and figure is mentioned in the text and in numerical order. Figures must be of
- 91 sufficient resolution for publication see here for examples and minimum requirements. Figures which are
- 92 not according to the guidelines will cause substantial delay during the production process. Please see here
- 93 for full figure guidelines. Cite figures with subfigures as figure 2a and 2b.

94 3.4.1 Permission to Reuse and Copyright

- 95 Figures, tables, and images will be published under a Creative Commons CC-BY licence and
- 96 permission must be obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including re-
- 97 published/adapted/modified/partial figures and images from the internet). It is the responsibility of the
- 98 authors to acquire the licenses, to follow any citation instructions requested by third-party rights holders,
- 99 and cover any supplementary charges.

100 **3.5 Tables**

- Tables should be inserted at the end of the manuscript. Please build your table directly in LaTeX. Tables
- 102 provided as jpeg/tiff files will not be accepted. Please note that very large tables (covering several pages)
- 103 cannot be included in the final PDF for reasons of space. These tables will be published as Supplementary
- 104 Material on the online article page at the time of acceptance. The author will be notified during the
- 105 typesetting of the final article if this is the case.

4 NOMENCLATURE

106 4.1 Resource Identification Initiative

- To take part in the Resource Identification Initiative, please use the corresponding catalog number and
- 108 RRID in your current manuscript. For more information about the project and for steps on how to search
- 109 for an RRID, please click here.

110 4.2 Life Science Identifiers

- 111 Life Science Identifiers (LSIDs) for ZOOBANK registered names or nomenclatural acts should be listed
- in the manuscript before the keywords. For more information on LSIDs please see Inclusion of Zoological
- 113 Nomenclature section of the guidelines.

5 ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

- 114 For additional requirements for specific article types and further information please refer to Author
- 115 Guidelines.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

- 116 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
- 117 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- 118 The Author Contributions section is mandatory for all articles, including articles by sole authors. If an
- 119 appropriate statement is not provided on submission, a standard one will be inserted during the production
- 120 process. The Author Contributions statement must describe the contributions of individual authors referred
- 121 to by their initials and, in doing so, all authors agree to be accountable for the content of the work. Please
- 122 see here for full authorship criteria.

FUNDING

- 123 Details of all funding sources should be provided, including grant numbers if applicable. Please ensure to
- 124 add all necessary funding information, as after publication this is no longer possible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 125 This is a short text to acknowledge the contributions of specific colleagues, institutions, or agencies that
- 126 aided the efforts of the authors.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

- 127 Supplementary Material should be uploaded separately on submission, if there are Supplementary Figures,
- 128 please include the caption in the same file as the figure. LaTeX Supplementary Material templates can be
- 129 found in the Frontiers LaTeX folder.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

- 130 The datasets [GENERATED/ANALYZED] for this study can be found in the [NAME OF REPOSITORY]
- 131 [LINK].

REFERENCES

- 132 Hunink, M. G. M., Weinstein, M. C., Wittenberg, E., Drummond, M. F., Pliskin, J. S., Wong, J. B.,
- et al. (2014). Decision Making in Health and Medicine: Integrating Evidence and Values (Cambridge:
- 134 Cambridge University Press), 2 edn. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139506779. First publ. 2001
- 135 [Dataset] LastName1, A., LastName2, A., and LastName3, A. (2011). Data title. doi:10.000/55555
- 136 LastName1, A., LastName2, A., and LastName3, A. (2013). Article title. Frontiers in Neuroscience 30,
- 137 10127–10134. doi:10.3389/fnins.2013.12345
- 138 Ledley, R. S. and Lusted, L. B. (1959). Reasoning foundations of medical diagnosis: Symbolic logic,
- probability, and value theory aid our understanding of how physicians reason. Science 130, 9—21.
- doi:10.1126/science.130.3366.9
- Name, A. (1993). *The title of the work* (The city: The name of the publisher)
- 142 Name, C., Surname, D., and LastName, F. (1996). The title of the work. In The title of the conference
- proceedings, eds. E. Name1 and E. Name2 (The name of the publisher), 41–50
- 144 Russell, S. J. and Norvig, P. (2022). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Pearson
- 145 series in artificial intelligence (Harlow, UK: Pearson), fourth global ed. edn. http:
- 146 //aima.cs.berkeley.edu/global-index.html, https://archive.org/
- 147 details/artificial-intelligence-a-modern-approach-4th-edition. First
- 148 publ. 1995
- 149 Sox, H. C., Higgins, M. C., and Owens, D. K. (2013). Medical Decision Making (New York: Wiley), 2 edn.
- doi:10.1002/9781118341544. First publ. 1988
- 151 Surname, B. (2002). The title of the work. In *The title of the book*, ed. E. Name (The city: The name of the
- 152 publisher). 201–213
- 153 Surname1, H. (2010). The title of the work (Patent country: Patent number)
- Weinstein, M. C. and Fineberg, H. V. (1980). *Clinical Decision Analysis* (Philadelphia: Saunders)

FIGURE CAPTIONS



Figure 1. Enter the caption for your figure here. Repeat as necessary for each of your figures



Figure 2a. This is Subfigure 1.



Figure 2b. This is Subfigure 2.

Figure 2. Enter the caption for your subfigure here. **(A)** This is the caption for Subfigure 1. **(B)** This is the caption for Subfigure 2.