

Parameter priors for Ising models

research notes

Y. Roudi

<yasser.roudi@ntnu.no>

P.G.L. Porta Mana

<piero.mana@ntnu.no>

25 June 2018; updated 26 June 2018

Study of uniform priors in parameter space and in constraint space for Ising models

'Flat priors do not exist'
(anonymous)

1 A two-unit model with sufficient statistics

Consider a population of two binary units $s := (s_1, s_2)$ with values in $\{0, 1\}$. One observation of this population can thus give four results: $s \in \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$.

Assume that we have m observations $(s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(m)})$ of this or other populations prepared in similar conditions, so that knowledge of these observations is relevant for our forecast of a new observation s , again in similar conditions. Also assume that only the number, the mean, and the second moments of these past observations are relevant to forecast the new one; that is,

$$m, \quad \frac{1}{m}(s^{(1)} + \dots + s^{(m)}) =: a, \quad \frac{1}{m}(s_1^{(1)}s_2^{(1)} + \dots + s_1^{(m)}s_2^{(m)}) =: c \quad (1)$$

are sufficient statistics; note that the second sum contains the first as its diagonal. These assumptions are collectively denoted I . Then the Koopman-Pitman theorem says that our probabilistic forecasts must assume this general form:

$$p(s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(n)} | I) = \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^n g(s^{(i)}) \frac{\exp(\mu_1 s_1^{(i)} + \mu_2 s_2^{(i)} + \lambda s_1^{(i)} s_2^{(i)})}{Z(\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda)} \right] p(\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda | I) d\mu_1 d\mu_2 d\lambda,$$

$$\text{with } Z(\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda) := 1 + \exp(\mu_1) + \exp(\mu_2) + \exp(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \lambda). \quad (2)$$

For later convenience, denote $\theta := (\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda)$.

The distribution $g(s)$ and the density $p(\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda | I)$ in the formula above are not determined by the theorem: they need to be determined by additional assumptions. The distribution g is often determined by symmetry or combinatorial properties of the system. In the present study we assume it to be unity: $g(s) = 1$. The density $p(\theta | I)$ is called *prior parameter density*.

Geometrically, the formula above says that our probability distribution is the convex combination of probability distributions from a three-dimensional family parameterized by θ , with weights $p(\theta | I) d\theta$. The parameters θ are just coordinates of this three-dimensional manifold, and we can choose other coordinates t , the weights then being given by $p(t | I) dt$, with the densities for θ and for t related by a Jacobian determinant:

$$p(\theta | I) = p[t(\theta) | I] \det\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial \theta}\right). \quad (3)$$

Using Bayes's theorem with the probabilities (2) we find our forecast for a new observation s conditional on observations $(s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(m)})$:

$$p(s | s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(m)}, I) = \int \frac{\exp(\mu_1 s_1 + \mu_2 s_2 + \lambda s_1 s_2)}{Z(\theta)} p(\theta | s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(m)} I) d\theta \quad (4a)$$

with

$$p(\theta | s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(m)} I) \propto \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\exp(\mu_1 s_1^{(i)} + \mu_2 s_2^{(i)} + \lambda s_1^{(i)} s_2^{(i)})}{Z(\theta)} \right] p(\theta | I) = \exp\{m [\mu_1 a_1 + \mu_2 a_2 + \lambda c - \ln Z(\theta)]\} p(\theta | I). \quad (4b)$$

The density $p(\theta | s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(m)} I)$ is called *posterior parameter density*.

The last expression shows that the m observations affect our forecast only through the averages a and c , eq. (1), as we assumed.

The proportionality relation of the last formula reminds us that we must perform an integral over θ to calculate the posterior parameter density. We must also perform an integral over θ to calculate the conditional probability for s . These integrals are difficult when we consider populations with many units. When the number m of known observations is large, the posterior parameter density is often approximated by a

Dirac delta centred on the maximum of the argument of the exponential,
 $\mu_1 a_1 + \mu_2 a_2 + \lambda c$.