

Note on conditional exchangeability

P.G.L. Porta Mana 

Western Norway University of Applied Sciences pgl@portamana.org

8 November 2021; updated 8 November 2021

1 Exchangeability of pairs

Our domain of discourse consists of a countable set of atomic statements

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ni}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, i \in \mathfrak{X}, \quad & X_{na}, X_{nb} \text{ mutually contradictory for } a \neq b, \\ Y_{nj}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, j \in \mathfrak{Y}, \quad & Y_{na}, Y_{nb} \text{ mutually contradictory for } a \neq b. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Typically these statements express observations or measurement outcomes of some quantities and have the form “ $Z_n = z_i$ ”.

A probability distribution is called *jointly exchangeable* in X and Y if

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{1i_1}, Y_{1j_1}, \dots, X_{ni_n}, Y_{nj_n}, \dots, X_{mi_m}, Y_{mj_m}, \dots | H) = \\ P(X_{1i_1}, Y_{1j_1}, \dots, X_{ni_m}, Y_{nj_m}, \dots, X_{mi_n}, Y_{mj_n}, \dots | H) \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } m, n. \quad (2)$$

A probability distribution is called *conditionally exchangeable* in Y given X if

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_{1j_1}, \dots, Y_{nj_n}, \dots, Y_{mj_m}, \dots | X_{1i_1}, \dots, X_{ni_n}, \dots, X_{mi_m}, \dots, H) = \\ P(Y_{1j_1}, \dots, Y_{nj_m}, \dots, Y_{mj_n}, \dots | X_{1i_1}, \dots, X_{ni_m}, \dots, X_{mi_n}, \dots, H) \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } m, n. \quad (3)$$

This definition correspond to the alternative definition given in Appendix 2 of Lindley & Novick (1981).

If the probabilities for X, Y are jointly exchangeable then the conditional probabilities for Y given X are conditionally exchangeable. If the conditional probabilities for Y given X are conditionally exchangeable and X is exchangeable, then X, Y are jointly exchangeable.

If the conditional probability for Y given X is conditionally exchangeable, then we can write

$$P(Y_{1j_1}, Y_{2j_2}, Y_{3j_3}, \dots \mid X_{1i_1}, X_{2i_2}, X_{3i_3}, \dots, H) = \int \left(\prod_n F_{j_n|i_n} \right) p \left[(F_{b|a}) \mid \bigwedge_n X_{ni_n}, H \right] d(F_{b|a}) \quad (4)$$

Note in particular that the probability $p \left[(F_{b|a}) \mid \dots, H \right] d(F_{b|a})$ can depend on the long-run frequencies of X in the conditional.

Bibliography

(“de X ” is listed under D, “van X ” under V, and so on, regardless of national conventions.)

Lindley, D. V., Novick, M. R. (1981): *The role of exchangeability in inference*. Ann. Stat. **9**¹, 45–58. [DOI:10.1214/aos/1176345331](https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176345331).