

School of Informatics



Informatics Project Proposal Benchmarking Graph Processing Systems

B138641
April 2019

Abstract

The aim of this project is to examine state-of-the-art graph processing systems and compare their performance and scalability in both local and parallel settings. More specifically, the project focuses on showing that often times scalability comes at a cost, and in many cases such an approach is not worth the time and effort. In our research we will prove this by conducting several experiments that will demonstrate that a single-core set-up outperforms executions on multi-core environments due to the parallelization overhead that incurs.

Date: Monday 1st April, 2019

Tutor: Pablo León-Villagrà
Supervisor: Dr Milos Nikolic

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Problem Statement	2
1.2	Research Hypothesis and Objectives	2
1.3	Timeliness and Novelty	3
1.4	Significance	3
1.5	Feasibility	3
1.6	Beneficiaries	3
2	Background	4
3	Methodology	4
3.1	Approach	4
3.2	Graph Processing Systems	4
3.3	Algorithms	5
3.4	Environment Settings	5
3.5	Limitations	5
4	Evaluation	5
5	Expected Outcomes	5
6	Research Plan, Milestones and Deliverables	6

1 Introduction

Modern computer applications and services have evolved to an extent that they have become difficult to manage. The amount of data handled in such applications is only growing while the industry and the research community have built new systems and infrastructures to be able to keep up with this growth rate. Additionally, applications and services now feature relational data, which are often expressed as graph structures, fact that makes things even more demanding and complicated.

To extract useful information and perform data analytics tasks, many different graph data processing systems have been developed. Although these systems have been extensively used in various applications, many people argue that their performance is questionable and that there is a massive overhead that incurs due to the way these systems scale.

Moreover, there is no clear indication of which system is appropriate for each occasion. For this reason, there have been many researchers focusing on creating benchmarking systems in order to evaluate the performance of such systems and observe their strengths and weaknesses.

While research on benchmarking graph processing systems has provided information about the

performance of several graph processing systems, it tends to be quite specific and concerns certain aspects of these frameworks. This project was motivated by the need for a more thorough investigation that covers a broader range of cases and systems. Our research aims at showing that scalability is not always the best approach by performing various experiments under different conditions, that is, measuring performance using many different algorithms, systems and other parameters. The main goal is to demonstrate how in many cases running such tasks in single node settings is more efficient performance-wise than distributed and multi-node settings. Afterwards, if it is feasible time-wise, a second goal is to indicate how some tasks can be carried out with the use of higher-level systems, such as graph database systems.

We will now break down the problem and briefly mention some of its aspects along with how this project contributes to the domain of graph processing and why it is important that such an investigation is conducted. In Section 2 we will discuss necessary and relevant knowledge to the problem’s domain. Afterwards, we consider specific parts of our research and analyse steps in Section 3. We then focus on the evaluation of our results which will be detailed in Section 4 and highlight what we expect to see in our results in Section 5. Lastly, we state a detailed report of the project’s plan, its objectives and the deliverables in Section 6.

1.1 Problem Statement

While there is research on individual graph processing systems that measures performance under certain circumstances, there hasn’t been extensive work that exposes a more objective benchmark for these systems’ performance, such as one that features the use of a wide variety of algorithms, multiple set-ups that range from one to many cores, as well as more realistic use cases. Our work focuses on these aspects and will try to provide a more broad benchmark that will be able to better indicate if such systems are worth using and, if so, under which settings.

1.2 Research Hypothesis and Objectives

Our hypothesis is that graph processing systems do not scale as well as they claim to do for common tasks. We were able to identify these common tasks and other useful information from a survey [1]. Moreover, this survey revealed how the graph research community and the industry perform their analysis tasks, as well as what data they use, what kind of algorithms they run, and so forth; all these were very helpful for formulating our objectives.

We aim to support our hypothesis by conducting experiments that will demonstrate how the selected graph processing systems perform in single-core settings, as well as when scaled up, and hope to show through our results that for common tasks executions in single-core settings perform better.

As mentioned in Section 1, a secondary objective of our work will be to provide alternatives to approaches using less primitive systems, such as graph database systems. More specifically, graph processing has become more and more popular and has gained a lot of attention over the past few years. The people that get involved with such tasks are not necessarily researchers of this field or experienced programmers, so the use of these primitive systems can be quite challenging. For this reason, many people use alternatives, instead, such as graph database systems or querying systems to conduct their work. Thus, examining such systems and comparing results against graph processing systems will also provide very useful information and

will result to our work covering a broader range of cases, which of course also grows the size of our audience.

1.3 Timeliness and Novelty

Advances in technology nowadays have become more sophisticated and involve an extensive amount of data processing, a lot of which is expressed via graph representations. The popularity of this domain is constantly growing, given that more and more researchers and companies analyse graph data and perform graph processing tasks. Consequently, carrying out this research at this point is crucial since it will provide insights and indications as to how analyses and graph processing tasks can be performed, which will greatly impact the way researchers and developers approach their problems.

1.4 Significance

The more we know, the better we understand and the better we decide. As such, possessing information related to various aspects of a researcher's or developer's approach for graph data processing tasks is of great significance. The reason for this is that a thorough experimentation that covers many use cases, some of which will probably be similar to the intended task, will help in the process of the decision making with regards to the chosen technologies that will be used, the resources required, and others. This will result to better approaching a problem and providing more timely and efficient solutions.

1.5 Feasibility

While our task seems to be quite feasible, we will nonetheless present some factors that may constitute an obstacle to our research or may limit it to an extent in some of its aspects.

Firstly, an important factor to take into account regards the configurations of the graph processing systems used. In detail, while the single-core approach is fairly easy to implement, the scaled versions require more attention, as they demand more resources. The difficulty we might face concerns our resources, given that as it is, we they are limited to 8 cores. Obtaining access to a cluster environment or some computational nodes will significantly help our research, although, in case such thing cannot be arranged, our work will be limited to single-core experimentation.

Afterwards, with regards to the scope of our work, we have already briefly mentioned in Section 1 and Section 1.2 that in case we have enough time we will try to provide alternatives to the means of carrying out the graph processing tasks. Therefore, another part of our work that may or may not be feasible to complete is performing the graph processing tasks by using these graph database systems.

1.6 Beneficiaries

The outcome of this work will either implicitly, or even explicitly, impact the graph research community, as well as the industry, where developers and analysts use graph processing systems to perform their data analysis tasks. In detail, given that the results will provide insight as to whether a scaled approach that involves distributed graph processing systems is needed, or if a more simple, single-core, implementation is more preferable, researchers and small to medium

sized companies can benefit from possessing such information, because it can potentially result to them saving time, effort, and money.

This is due to the fact that scaled approaches demand more resources, which often come at a big cost. Additionally, setting up and managing these resources requires a lot of time and effort. Therefore, having a priori knowledge about the resources needed for a specific task, or knowing the performance of an algorithm that might be similar to the one being developed, for instance, can benefit a project's planning and budget.

2 Background

TODO.

3 Methodology

We will now present the way by which our research will be conducted; that is, how we plan to approach the problem stated in Section 1.1, as well as the steps that will be followed in order to fulfill our project's purpose.

3.1 Approach

At first, we discuss on a high level how we aim to solve the problem. The core idea is to perform several graph data processing tasks, with a number of different datasets, using several processing systems. Given that there are numerous different systems, as well as algorithms, the number of cases to examine is extremely large. For this reason, we consider a set of each category, based on the popularity and use of each system, as well as the types of tasks carried out, both of which were retrieved from [1].

We now move on to mentioning specifics with regards to the systems and tasks that will be under consideration in Section 3.2 and Section 3.3, respectively.

3.2 Graph Processing Systems

Initially, we aim at examining the following graph processing systems:

- Apache Flink [2]
- Apache Giraph [3]
- Apache Spark [4]

The basis for our selection of graph processing systems is strictly their popularity [1].

Afterwards, a secondary goal is to also carry out our tasks using graph database systems. In case we have time to consider this category of systems, we hope to test the following systems:

- Neo4j [5]

- OrientDB [6]
- ArangoDB [7]
- EmptyHeaded [8] [9]

3.3 Algorithms

Similarly to the choice of graph processing systems, our choice of algorithms is based on the popularity of the task type [1]. As such, we will consider the following types of computations:

- Finding connected components
- Neighborhood queries
- Finding short or shortest paths
- Subgraph matching
- Ranking and centrality scores
- Aggregations
- Reachability queries

3.4 Environment Settings

We aim at providing two types of environment settings for our experimentations. Firstly, all experiments will be executed on a single-core setting. Afterwards, depending on the resources, experiments will also be executed in scaled environments, which will consist of multiple nodes. The scaling factor cannot be determined yet since it is dependent on the amount of resources that we will have in our availability.

3.5 Limitations

TODO.

4 Evaluation

After having completed all steps and tasks mentioned in Section 3, we will carry on to analysing and interpreting our results.

TODO.

5 Expected Outcomes

We will now review what we hope to achieve through our research and discuss how our contribution will impact the graph research community, as well as companies or individual developers that perform graph data processing tasks.

TODO.

6 Research Plan, Milestones and Deliverables

TODO.

References

- [1] Siddhartha Sahu, Amine Mhedhbi, Semih Salihoglu, Jimmy Lin, and M. Tamer Özsu. The ubiquity of large graphs and surprising challenges of graph processing. *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, 11(4):420–431, December 2017.
- [2] Apache Flink. <https://flink.apache.org/>. Accessed: 2019-04-01.
- [3] Apache Giraph. <https://giraph.apache.org/>. Accessed: 2019-04-01.
- [4] Apache Spark Graph X. <https://spark.apache.org/graphx/>. Accessed: 2019-04-01.
- [5] Neo4j. <https://neo4j.com/>. Accessed: 2019-04-01.
- [6] OrientDB. <https://orientdb.com/>. Accessed: 2019-04-01.
- [7] ArangoDB. <https://www.arangodb.com/>. Accessed: 2019-04-01.
- [8] Christopher R. Aberger, Andrew Lamb, Susan Tu, Andres Nötzli, Kunle Olukotun, and Christopher Ré. Emptyheaded: A relational engine for graph processing. *ACM Trans. Database Syst.*, 42(4):20:1–20:44, October 2017.
- [9] EmptyHeaded. <https://github.com/HazyResearch/EmptyHeaded>. Accessed: 2019-04-01.