

Supplementary Material: Spin demons in d -wave altermagnets

Pieter M. Gunnink,^{1,*} Jairo Sinova,¹ and Alexander Mook¹

¹Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Staudingerweg 7, Mainz 55128, Germany

(Dated: March 26, 2025)

I. THREE-DIMENSIONAL PLANAR d -WAVE ALTERMAGNET

We consider a three-dimensional d -wave altermagnet, where the low-energy electron dispersion of spin σ can be written as [1, 2]

$$\epsilon_k^\sigma = \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_0} + \sigma \frac{\hbar k_x^2}{2m_*} - \sigma \frac{\hbar k_y^2}{2m_*} \quad (\text{S1})$$

$$= \frac{\hbar k_x^2}{2m_x^\sigma} + \frac{\hbar k_y^2}{2m_y^\sigma} + \frac{\hbar k_z^2}{2m_z} \quad (\text{S2})$$

where m_* is the effective mass and m_* is the altermagnetic splitting mass. Note that $m_0 < m_*$. Furthermore, we have defined for convenience

$$m_x^\sigma \equiv m_0 m_*/(m_* + \sigma m_0) \quad (\text{S3})$$

$$m_x^\sigma \equiv m_0 m_*/(m_* - \sigma m_0) \quad (\text{S4})$$

$$m_z \equiv m_0. \quad (\text{S5})$$

Within this orientation, the altermagnetic spin-split plane is the xy -plane, and spin splitting is maximal for $\mathbf{k} \parallel \hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{k} \parallel \hat{y}$, while vanishing along the diagonals.

We show the dispersion in Fig. S1 along the two maximal spin splitting directions, for the parameters as used in the main text: $m_0 = 0.4$, $m_* = 1.25$ and $E_F = 0.5$ eV.




FIG. S1. Low-energy electron dispersion of spin σ , along the two maximal spin splitting directions. k is given in units of the spin-independent Fermi wave vector, as defined in Eq. (S10). The Fermi level is indicated with the horizontal dashed line.

II. SPIN RESOLVED RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

The spin resolved response function of an altermagnet are given in the random phase approximation (RPA) by [3]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{\uparrow\uparrow} & \chi_{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ \chi_{\downarrow\uparrow} & \chi_{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{\uparrow}^{(0)} & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_{\downarrow}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} - v_q \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S6})$$

where $\chi_\sigma^{(0)}$ is the spin-resolved non-interacting density-density response function and $v_q = e^2/(\epsilon q^2)$ is the three-dimensional Fourier transform of the Coulomb interaction. The spin-resolved non-interacting density-density response function can be found by evaluating the Lindhard function

$$\chi_\sigma^{(0)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \frac{n_k^\sigma - n_{k-\mathbf{q}}^\sigma}{\hbar\omega + \epsilon_k^\sigma - \epsilon_{k-\mathbf{q}}^\sigma}, \quad (\text{S7})$$

where V is the volume. This can be found from the well-known isotropic result [3] by rescaling $q_\lambda \rightarrow \sqrt{\tilde{m}/m_\lambda^\sigma} q$ and $m \rightarrow \tilde{m}$ [4], for $\lambda \in \{x, y, z\}$, where

$$\tilde{m} \equiv \sqrt[3]{m_x^\sigma m_y^\sigma m_z} \quad (\text{S8})$$

$$= m_0 \sqrt[3]{m_*/(m_*^2 - m_0^2)}. \quad (\text{S9})$$

For convenience, we define

$$k_F \equiv \sqrt{2\tilde{m}E_F}/\hbar \quad (\text{S10})$$

$$v_F \equiv \hbar k_F/\tilde{m} \quad (\text{S11})$$

as the spin-independent Fermi wave vector and velocity respectively. We stress that k_F does not correspond to the actual Fermi wave vector, which is spin and \mathbf{k} dependent.

We focus in this work on wave vectors \mathbf{q} lying in the altermagnetic spin split plane, and thus parametrize

$$\mathbf{q} = q \cos \theta \hat{x} + q \sin \theta \hat{y}. \quad (\text{S12})$$

We can then rewrite the above rescaling as $q \rightarrow \eta_\sigma q$, where

$$\eta_\sigma(\theta) \equiv \sqrt{\tilde{m}m_0 \left(1 + \sigma \frac{m_0}{m_*} \cos 2\theta\right)}, \quad (\text{S13})$$

is the projected spin splitting for spin species σ for an angle θ .

We then directly obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\text{Re}[\chi_\sigma^{(0)}]}{N_0} &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1 - \nu_{-\sigma}^2}{4\eta_\sigma \bar{q}} \log \left| \frac{\nu_{-\sigma} + 1}{\nu_{-\sigma} - 1} \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \nu_{+\sigma}^2}{4\eta_\sigma \bar{q}} \log \left| \frac{\nu_{+\sigma} + 1}{\nu_{+\sigma} - 1} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S14})$$

* pgunnink@uni-mainz.de

and

$$-\frac{\text{Im}[\chi_{\sigma}^{(0)}]}{N_0} = \frac{\pi}{4\eta_{\sigma}\bar{q}} [\Theta(1 - v_{-\sigma}^2)(1 - v_{-\sigma}^2) - \Theta(1 - v_{+\sigma}^2)(1 - v_{+\sigma}^2)], \quad (\text{S15})$$

where

$$v_{\pm\sigma} \equiv \frac{\omega}{\eta_{\sigma}qv_F} \pm \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\sigma}\bar{q} \quad (\text{S16})$$

$$\bar{q} \equiv \frac{q}{k_F}. \quad (\text{S17})$$

Additionally, the edges of the spin-resolved particle-hole continua are given by

$$\max[0, \omega_{-\sigma}] \leq |\omega| \leq \omega_{+\sigma} \quad (\text{S18})$$

where

$$\omega_{\pm\sigma} = \frac{\hbar\eta_{\sigma}^2 q^2}{2\tilde{m}} \pm \eta_{\sigma}v_F q. \quad (\text{S19})$$

Solving Eq. (S6) for $\chi_{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, we find the density-density, spin-spin and density-spin response functions

$$\chi_{nn}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)} \quad (\text{S20})$$

$$\chi_{S_zS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) - 4v_q(\mathbf{q}, \omega)P(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)} \quad (\text{S21})$$

$$\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{D(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)} \quad (\text{S22})$$

where

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \equiv 1 - v_q S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \quad (\text{S23})$$

is the complex longitudinal dielectric function and $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \sum_{\sigma} \chi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, $D(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \chi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) - \chi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ and $P(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \Pi_{\sigma} \chi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$.

Collective modes then emerge as the poles of the response functions. Since $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, $D(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ and $P(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ are smooth functions of momentum and frequency, the poles are determined by the zeroes of the longitudinal dielectric function,

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = 0. \quad (\text{S24})$$

III. DENSITY-DENSITY AND DENSITY-SPIN RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

In the main text we have focused on $\chi_{S_zS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. We discuss here the two other response functions, $\chi_{nn}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, $\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, here. We show in Fig. S2 all three response functions for a fixed $q = 0.05k_F$, where we have rescaled $\chi_{nn}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, $\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ to allow for a direct comparison. We remind the reader here that a zero of the dielectric function, Eq. (S23), will result in a collective mode in all three response function—but its actual experimental response is determined




FIG. S2. The three fundamental response functions, χ_{nn} , χ_{nS_z} and $\chi_{S_zS_z}$, where we have rescaled χ_{nn} , χ_{nS_z} to facilitate a direction comparison. Here we have set $q = 0.05k_F$.




FIG. S3. $\text{Im}[\chi_{nS_z}]$ as a function of wave vector \mathbf{q} and frequency ω , showing that χ_{nS_z} could also be used to detect the spin demon—but note that the response is a factor of 10^4 weaker than in $\text{Im}[\chi_{S_zS_z}]$. The equivalent of Fig. 2 in the main text.

by the numerator of the relevant response function, Eqs. (S20) to (S22).

We first observe that there is no well-defined quasiparticle peak in $\text{Im}[\chi_{nn}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$, in contrast to $\text{Im}[\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$ and $\text{Im}[\chi_{S_zS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$. However, the response of $\text{Im}[\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$ is much weaker than of $\text{Im}[\chi_{S_zS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$ (note the rescaling by a factor of 10^4). The conventional charge plasmon would however appear in $\chi_{nn}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, but is gapped and thus lives at much higher frequencies in a three-dimensional metal.

We therefore focus on $\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, and show $\text{Im}[\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$ as a function of wave vector \mathbf{q} and frequency ω in Fig. S3. This is the equivalent of Fig. 2 in the main text. This demonstrates that $\chi_{nS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ can also serve as a probe of the spin demon, although its signal is much weaker (a factor of 10^4) than the response in the $\chi_{S_zS_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ channel.

IV. SPIN DEMON WITH FINITE OUT-OF-PLANE WAVE VECTOR

Here, we consider the effect of a finite out-of-plane wave vector on the quality factor of the spin demon. We parametrize $\mathbf{q}^* = q(\cos\psi, 0, \sin\psi)$, and show the resulting spin-spin response function in Fig. S4, for increasing angles ψ . We first observe that for small angles ψ , there is little change to the spin demon dispersion (compare $\psi = 10^\circ, 10^\circ$ with Fig. 2(b) in the main text). The quality factor does decrease, which we also expect, since the spin splitting and thus the separation of the particle-hole continua decreases for $q_z \neq 0$. In particular, for high angles ψ , the spin demon is washed out, and is no longer well defined. Importantly, this effect becomes only apparent for higher angles ψ , and we thus expect that the spin demon is well defined even if the experimental probe is not perfectly aligned with the altermagnetic spin split plane.

V. DETAILS OF NUMERICS

The dielectric function, Eq. (S23), can be evaluated exactly using the analytical expressions Eqs. (S14) and (S15). We then numerically obtain the zeros of $\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ as a function of ω using the Roots.jl package [5].

To determine the corresponding quality factor, we perform a numerical derivative of the dielectric function at this pole by central difference as

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} \Big|_{\omega=\omega_d} \approx \frac{\epsilon|_{\omega=\omega_d+\delta_\omega} - \epsilon|_{\omega=\omega_d-\delta_\omega}}{2\delta\omega}, \quad (\text{S25})$$

where we set $\hbar\delta_\omega = 10^{-4}\epsilon_F$ and ω_d is the numerically pole of $\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ which corresponds to the spin demon. We can then directly evaluate the damping rate $\gamma = \text{Im}[\epsilon]/\partial_\omega \text{Re}[\epsilon]$.

To determine the magnetic moment, we numerically evaluate

$$\mu = -\hbar \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial B} \frac{\omega_d|_{\pm\Delta} - \omega_d|_{-\Delta}}{2\Delta}, \quad (\text{S26})$$

where $\omega_d|_{\pm\Delta}$ is the numerically obtain spin demon pole with $\pm\Delta$.

VI. ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF SPIN DEMON DISPERSION

We now turn to solving Eq. (S24) for an (approximate) solution to the dispersion of the spin demon. We first consider the case where $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{x}$. Then, spin down is the minority spin species, and from numerics [Fig. 2 in the main text], we know the spin demon to live close to the edge of the minority spin particle-hole continuum.

Following the approach by Santoro and Giuliani [6], we

therefore make the ansatz¹

$$\omega_d = v_s \eta_\downarrow(\theta) q. \quad (\text{S27})$$

Here v_s is the spin demon velocity, which is to be determined.

Additionally, we require that the spin demon lives in the pseudogap between the boundaries of the spin-down and spin-up particle hole continuum. These bounds are given by (see Eqs. (S18) and (S19))

$$\omega_{+\sigma} = \frac{\hbar\eta_\sigma^2(\theta)q^2}{2\tilde{m}} + v_F\eta_\sigma(\theta)q. \quad (\text{S28})$$

Inserting our ansatz [Eq. (S27)] into Eq. (S28), we find that we thus require that $\tilde{v}_s < \delta_q^{-1}$, where we have defined

$$\delta_q(\theta) \equiv \frac{\eta_\downarrow(\theta)}{\eta_\uparrow(\theta)} \quad (\text{S29})$$

$$\tilde{v}_s \equiv \frac{v_s}{v_F}. \quad (\text{S30})$$

As was discussed before, collective modes appear as zeros of the longitudinal dielectric function [Eq. (S23)]. We therefore insert our ansatz in Eq. (S23), and solve for v_s . Since $v_q \propto q^{-2}$, we next expand $\chi_\sigma^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}, \omega_d)$ up to second order in \tilde{q} .

Since the spin demon is always located far away from the edge of spin-up particle-hole continuum, when we insert our ansatz into the real part of $\chi_\uparrow^{(0)}$ [Eq. (S14)] we find

$$\text{Re}[\chi_\uparrow^{(0)}](\mathbf{q}, \omega_d) \approx -N_0 \left(1 - \frac{\delta_q(\theta)\tilde{v}_s}{2} \log \left[\frac{\delta_q(\theta)\tilde{v}_s + 1}{\delta_q(\theta)\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] \right) + O(\tilde{q}^2). \quad (\text{S31})$$

Similarly, we now expand $\chi_\downarrow(\mathbf{q}, \omega_d)$ in q to obtain²

$$\chi_\downarrow(\mathbf{q}, \omega_d) = \frac{N_0}{2} \left(4 - \tilde{v}_s \log \left[\frac{1 + \tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] \right) + O(\tilde{q}^2). \quad (\text{S32})$$

Since $v_q^{-1} = O(\tilde{q}^2)$, $\omega_d = v_s \eta_\downarrow(\theta) q$ is a solution to $\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega_d) = 0$ if

$$4 - \tilde{v}_s \log \left[\frac{1 + \tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] - \delta_q \tilde{v}_s \log \left[\frac{1 + \delta_q \tilde{v}_s}{\delta_q \tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] = 0. \quad (\text{S33})$$

This equation cannot be solved analytically for $\delta_q \neq 0$. However, for $\delta_q = 0$ we can perform a Laurent-Taylor expansion around the pole $\tilde{v}_s = 1$ to find

$$4 - \log \left[\frac{1 + \tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] + O(\tilde{v}_s - 1) = 0, \quad (\text{S34})$$

which can be solved to find $\tilde{v}_s = 1 + 2e^{-4} \approx 1.037$. To compare, we also numerically solve Eq. (S33) for $\delta_q = 0$ to find

$$\tilde{v}_s \approx 1.044. \quad (\text{S35})$$

¹ This ansatz is of course not made *ad hoc*, but motivated by the structure of $\chi_\sigma(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$.

² Note that the spin demon lives outside of the spin-down particle-hole continuum, and thus $\text{Im}[\chi_\downarrow(\mathbf{q}, \omega_d)]$ is strictly zero.




FIG. S4. The spin-spin response function $\chi_{S_z S_z}(\mathbf{q}^*, \omega)$, where $\mathbf{q}^* = q (\cos \psi, 0, \sin \psi)$, such that the spin demon gains a finite out-of-plane wave vector component. The dashed lines indicate the edges of the spin-resolved particle-hole continua.




FIG. S5. The zeros of Eq. (S33) as a function of δ_q . We only show solutions where the plasmon pole sits inside the pseudogap, i.e., we require $\tilde{v}_s < \delta_q^{-1}$. Identical to Fig. 3 in the main text, but reproduced here for convenience. Note that for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ we have that $\eta_{\min} = \eta_\downarrow$ and $\eta_{\text{maj}} = \eta_\uparrow$.

These result thus show that the spin demon lives at a frequency just above the edge of the particle-hole continuum, which is given by $\tilde{v}_s = 1$.

We now continue to solve \tilde{v}_s numerically as a function of δ_q , and we show the results in Fig. S5. Increasing δ_q leads to an increase in \tilde{v}_s , up until to the point where the spin demon reaches the spin-up particle-hole continuum, at the point where $\tilde{v}_s = \delta_q^{-1}$.

These numerically obtained solutions thus show that the spin-polarized plasmon has a group velocity $\eta_\downarrow(\theta)v_s > v_F$, which grows as δ_q grows—but is bounded by $\tilde{v}_s < \delta_q^{-1}$. Since δ_q depends on the direction of \mathbf{q} through $\eta_\uparrow(\theta)$, $\eta_\downarrow(\theta)$, we have now obtained numerical solutions for the dispersion of the

spin demon.

The above analysis assumes that $\eta_{\downarrow(\theta)} < \eta_{\uparrow(\theta)}$, i.e., it is only valid in the two “blue” quadrants of the altermagnetic spin split plane as indicated in Fig. 1 in the main text. In the remaining two quadrants, the above analysis can be repeated, except with the minority and majority spin species swapped.

A. Damping

The damping of the spin demon can be found by performing a Laurent-Taylor expansion around ω_d to obtain

$$\gamma = \frac{\text{Im}[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]}{\partial_\omega \text{Re}[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]} \Big|_{\omega=\omega_d}, \quad (\text{S36})$$

from which we can find the quality factor, defined as

$$Q \equiv \omega_d/\gamma. \quad (\text{S37})$$

We again first focus on the case where $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}$. The derivative is in the limit of small $\omega/\eta_\sigma q v_F$ is given by [3]

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial \text{Re}[\chi_\sigma](\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\partial \omega} &= \frac{N_0 k_F}{(\eta_\sigma)^2 v_F} [\Psi'(\omega/(\eta_\sigma q v_F) + i\eta - \eta_\sigma q/2k_F) \\ &\quad - \Psi'(\omega/(\eta_\sigma q v_F) + i\eta + \eta_\sigma q/2k_F)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S38})$$

where $\Psi'(z) \equiv 1 - \frac{z}{2} \log \left[\frac{z+1}{z-1} \right]$. Once evaluated at the pole, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial \text{Re}[\chi_\sigma](\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\partial \omega} \Big|_{\omega=\omega_d} &= N_0 \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s^2 - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\frac{1 + \tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\tilde{v}_s \delta_q^2}{\tilde{v}_s^2 \delta_q^2 - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_q \log \left[\frac{1 + \delta_q \tilde{v}_s}{\delta_q \tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] \right) \frac{1}{\eta_\downarrow \bar{q}} + O(\bar{q}^0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S39})$$

In addition, at the spin demon pole we have that $\text{Im}[\chi_\downarrow] = 0$ and $\text{Im}[\chi_\uparrow]|_{\omega=\omega_d} = -N_0\pi\tilde{v}_s\delta_q/2$. We can now directly find the quality factor as

$$Q = \frac{2c_0}{\pi\delta_q}, \quad (\text{S40})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 \equiv & \frac{\tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s^2 - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\frac{1 + \tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] \\ & + \frac{\tilde{v}_s\delta_q^2}{\tilde{v}_s^2\delta_q^2 - 1} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_q \log \left[\frac{1 + \delta_q\tilde{v}_s}{\delta_q\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S41})$$

We note here that even though this is an analytical expression, it still requires a value for \tilde{v}_s , which can only be found for $\delta_q \neq 0$ by numerically solving Eq. (S33).

We show the quality factor in Fig. S5 (dashed line), comparing against a full numerical solution (solid line), which we have obtained through taking the derivative with a finite-difference method (see Section V for details). We first observe that the analytical result overestimates the quality factor somewhat, which we attribute to the fact that the spin demon is situated close to the logarithmic singularity in $\chi^{(0)}$, and we thus expect that the Laurent-Taylor expansion around this pole to be poor. However, the overall trend of the quality factor is captured by the analytical solution, and demonstrates that as the δ_q reaches 1, the quality factor decreases—up to the point where the spin demon itself enters the spin-up particle hole continuum and disappears.

B. Magnetic moment and out-of-phase oscillations

In addition to the zeros of the dielectric function, we can also gain insight in the character of the spin-polarized plasmon by solving the eigenvalue problem defined by Eq. (S6):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Re}[\chi_\uparrow^{(0)}(\omega)]^{-1} - v_q & -v_q \\ -v_q & \text{Re}[\chi_\uparrow^{(0)}(\omega)]^{-1} - v_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow \\ \psi_\downarrow \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (\text{S42})$$

As was explained above, at the spin demon pole $\text{Re}[\chi_\uparrow] \approx -N_0 + O(\bar{q})$ and we thus have that

$$\frac{\psi_\uparrow}{\psi_\downarrow} = -\frac{v_q N_0}{1 + v_q N_0} \approx -1 + O(\bar{q}^2). \quad (\text{S43})$$

Therefore, the spin demon consists of *out of phase* oscillations of the spin densities in the altermagnet, which for finite wavevector are primarily located on the spin-down sublattices.

The analysis above was only valid if the spin-down particle-hole continuum is located below the spin-up particle-hole continuum. This is true for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{x}$, but not for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{y}$. In this case, the role of the majority and minority band is reversed, and the resulting dispersion as found above is changed by swapping spin up and spin down. We stress here that the two solutions are not connected, since for \mathbf{q} along the diagonals the spin splitting vanishes, and the spin demon therefore also vanishes.

Importantly, this implies that for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{y}$ the out-of-phase oscillations consist primarily of the opposite spin species compared to $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{x}$. To see this in more detail, we study here the effect of adding a small magnetic field B along the quantization axis, such that

$$\epsilon_k^\sigma = \frac{\hbar k_x^2}{2m_0} + \sigma \frac{\hbar k_x^2}{2m_*} - \sigma \frac{\hbar k_y^2}{2m_*} + \sigma g_e \mu_B B, \quad (\text{S44})$$

where g_e is the electron g-factor and μ_B is the Bohr magneton. Since $g_e \mu_B B \ll \epsilon_F$, the shift in the DOS can be approximated as [7]

$$N_\sigma(\epsilon_F) \approx N_0(\epsilon_F) + \sigma g_e \mu_B B N'(\epsilon_F) \quad (\text{S45})$$

where $N'(\epsilon) \equiv \partial N(\epsilon)/\partial\epsilon$, while the Fermi level ϵ_F remains magnetic field independent, as do v_F and k_F . We can now repeat the analysis as performed above to find the spin-polarized plasmon dispersion. Inserting the spin-dependent DOS N_σ in Eqs. (S31) and (S32), and making the ansatz $\omega_d = v_s \eta_\downarrow q$, we find that this is a solution if

$$4 - (1 - \Delta)\tilde{v}_s \log \left[\frac{1 + \tilde{v}_s}{\tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] - (1 + \Delta)\delta_q \tilde{v}_s \log \left[\frac{1 + q_\delta \tilde{v}_s}{q_\delta \tilde{v}_s - 1} \right] = 0. \quad (\text{S46})$$

where $\Delta \equiv g_e \mu_B B N'(\epsilon_F)/N_0(\epsilon_F)$. To gain insight, we again perform a Laurent-Taylor expansion around $\tilde{v}_s = 1$ and set $\delta_q = 0$, to find the solutions

$$\tilde{v}_s = 1 + 2e^{\frac{4}{\Delta-1}}. \quad (\text{S47})$$

For typical magnetic fields, $\Delta \ll 1$, and we thus obtain that

$$\tilde{v}_s = \tilde{v}_s^0 - \tilde{v}'_s \Delta + O(\Delta^2), \quad (\text{S48})$$

where

$$\tilde{v}'_s = 8e^{-4}. \quad (\text{S49})$$

The above derivation clearly demonstrates that the spin-polarized plasmon has a finite magnetic moment, defined as

$$\mu \equiv -\hbar \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial B} \quad (\text{S50})$$

$$= g_e \mu_B \hbar \frac{N'(\epsilon_F)}{N_0(\epsilon_F)} v_F \eta_\downarrow \tilde{v}'_s q. \quad (\text{S51})$$

Importantly, for a spin demon travelling along y , the magnetic moment changes sign, as can be seen by reversing the majority and minority spin species in the derivation above.

As was also noted before, the analysis as performed here is only valid for $\delta_q = 0$. In the main text, we therefore evaluate the magnetic moment of the spin-polarized plasmon numerically, as outlined in Section V. For completeness, we give the magnetic moment for $q = 0.05k_F$ in Fig. S6. This corresponds to the colorscale in Fig. 4 in the main text. The magnetic moment switches signs as it rotates through the plane, and reaches a maximum as the spin demon approaches a quality factor of unity, where it is no longer well-defined. The high magnetic moments might therefore not be measurable, since these correspond to low quality factors.




FIG. S6. For angles between 0° and 180° , the magnetic moment of the spin demon. The equivalent of the colorscale in Fig. 4 in the main text.

C. Conventional plasmon

The conventional plasmon is also present, but lives outside of the electron-hole continuum of either spin species. It can therefore be found by taking the dynamical long-wavelength limit,³ such that $\chi_\sigma^{(0)} = \frac{n_0 \eta_\sigma^2 q_\sigma^2}{\tilde{m} \omega^2}$ [3]. This gives the conventional solutions to $\text{Re}[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)] = 0$ as

$$\omega^2 = \frac{n_0 e^2}{\tilde{m} \epsilon_0} + O(\bar{q}^2), \quad (\text{S52})$$

which is a gapped plasmon, with a gap $\hbar \sqrt{\frac{n_0 e^2}{\tilde{m} \epsilon_0}} \approx 4\epsilon_F$. Here, $n_0 \equiv \sum_\sigma n_\sigma = \frac{4}{3} N_0 \epsilon_F$.

VII. SPIN DEMON FOR LARGER WAVE VECTORS

In the main text, we consider the limit $q/k_F \ll 1$, for which the spin demon dispersion is approximately linear in q . Here we investigate the dispersion and damping of the spin demon for larger wave vectors, and show the spin-spin response function $\text{Im}[\chi_{S_z S_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$ for a larger range of wave vector q in Fig. S7. We first observe that for small wave vectors q/k_F , there is a well-defined spin demon. At approximately $q/k_F \approx 0.5$, the spin demon becomes overdamped, and ceases to be well defined. These results suggest that over a large range of wave vectors, the spin demon is well defined and can be measured.




FIG. S7. The spin-spin response function $\text{Im}[\chi_{S_z S_z}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]$ for $\mathbf{q} = q\hat{x}$, for a larger range of wave vector q . At $q/k_F \approx 0.5$ the spin demon becomes overdamped, and ceases to be well defined. The dashed lines indicate the edges of the spin-up and spin-down particle-hole continuum, ω_{\pm} .

VIII. TWO DIMENSIONS

We now consider a two-dimensional d -wave altermagnet, with the dispersion

$$\epsilon_\mathbf{k}^\sigma = \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_0} + \sigma \frac{\hbar k_x^2}{2m_*} - \sigma \frac{\hbar k_y^2}{2m_*} \quad (\text{S53})$$

$$= \frac{\hbar k_x^2}{2m_x^\sigma} + \frac{\hbar k_y^2}{2m_y^\sigma} \quad (\text{S54})$$

where m_* is the effective mass and m_* is the altermagnetic splitting mass. Note that $m_0 < m_*$. Furthermore, $m_x^\sigma \equiv m_0 m_*/(m_* + \sigma m_0)$, $m_y^\sigma \equiv m_0 m_*/(m_* - \sigma m_0)$. Again, within this orientation, the altermagnetic spin splitting is maximal along x, y .

In two dimensions, the Lindhard function at zero temperature is given by [4]

$$-\frac{\text{Re}[\chi_\sigma^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]}{N_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{\eta_\sigma \bar{q}} \left[\text{sign}(\nu_{-\sigma}) \Theta(\nu_{-\sigma}^2 - 1) \sqrt{\nu_{-\sigma}^2 - 1} \right. \\ \left. + \text{sign}(\nu_{+\sigma}) \Theta(\nu_{+\sigma}^2 - 1) \sqrt{\nu_{+\sigma}^2 - 1} \right] \quad (\text{S55})$$

and

$$-\frac{\text{Im}[\chi_\sigma^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]}{N_0} = \Theta(1 - \nu_{-\sigma}^2) \sqrt{1 - \nu_{-\sigma}^2} \\ - \Theta(1 - \nu_{+\sigma}^2) \sqrt{1 - \nu_{+\sigma}^2}, \quad (\text{S56})$$

where η_σ is defined in Eq. (S13), but with $\tilde{m} \equiv \sqrt{m_x m_y}$. The definition of $\nu_{\pm\sigma}$ is unchanged. At $m_x = m_y$ this reduces to the well-known isotropic result [3]. Finally, $N_0 = \tilde{m}/2\pi\hbar^2$ is the density of states per spin. Additionally, we now have that $v_q = e^2/(2\pi\epsilon_0 q)$.

As before, we first take $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{x}$. Then we have that

³ This corresponds to expanding in small $v_F q/\omega$ along the ω axis.




FIG. S8. In two dimensions, $\text{Im}[\chi_{S_zS_z}]$ compared against the spin demon dispersion found in Eq. (S59). We only show the spin demon solution where the condition in Eq. (S60) is fulfilled.

$\text{Re}[\chi_{\uparrow}^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)] = -N_0$,⁴ and

$$-\chi_{\downarrow}^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)/N_0 = 1 + \frac{k_F}{\eta_{\downarrow} q} \left[\sqrt{\nu_{-}^2 - 1} - \sqrt{\nu_{+}^2 - 1} \right]. \quad (\text{S57})$$

In contrast to the three-dimensional case, we can now find exact solutions to $\text{Re}[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)] = 0$ as [8]

$$\omega = \eta_{\downarrow} q v_F \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - V_q^2} + \frac{(\eta_{\downarrow} q)^2}{4k_F^2 V_q^2}}, \quad (\text{S58})$$

where $V_q \equiv \frac{v_q N_0}{1 + 2v_q N_0}$. The solutions for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ then follow analogously, by changing \downarrow to \uparrow .

Taking the limit of $q \rightarrow 0$, we have that $V_q = 1/2$ and thus

$$\omega_d = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} v_F \eta_{\downarrow} q. \quad (\text{S59})$$

Secondly, we require that $\omega_d < \omega_{+\downarrow}$, which implies that (up to order $O(\bar{q}^2)$),

$$\frac{\eta_{\uparrow}}{\eta_{\downarrow}} < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad (\text{S60})$$

which can be solved to give the critical angle

$$\cos 2\theta_c = \frac{m_*}{7m_0}, \quad (\text{S61})$$

which for the parameters used in the main text is $\theta_c = 39.9^\circ$.

We compare this result in Fig. S8 with the numerical results, by overlaying the resulting dispersion with $\text{Im}[\chi_{S_zS_z}]$. We observe that close to the x, y -axis, the analytical spin demon dispersion closely reproduces the numerical results. For angles away from the x, y -axis, this is no longer the case, and the spin demon frequency is overestimated. In addition, the numerical results indicate that the spin demon persists for angles close to $\theta = 45^\circ$, whilst our analysis predicts a critical angle of 39.9° .

A. Damping

We determine the damping of the spin demon analogous to the three-dimensional altermagnet [Section VI A]. Again, the imaginary part of the dielectric function is only governed by the majority-spin electrons, such that

$$\text{Im}[\epsilon] = v_q N_0 \frac{k_F}{\eta_{\uparrow} q} \left[\sqrt{1 - \nu_{-}^2} - \sqrt{1 - \nu_{+}^2} \right] \quad (\text{S62})$$

$$\stackrel{\omega \rightarrow \omega_d}{=} N_0 v_q \frac{2\eta_{\downarrow}}{\sqrt{4\eta_{\downarrow}^2 - 3\eta_{\uparrow}^2}} + O(\bar{q}^2) \quad (\text{S63})$$

where in the second line we have inserted the spin demon solution and expanded up to second order in \bar{q} . The derivative of the dielectric function is given by

$$\partial_{\omega} \text{Re}[\epsilon] = -\frac{v_q N_0 k_F}{\eta_{\downarrow} q} \partial_{\omega} \left(\sqrt{\nu_{-}^2 - 1} - \sqrt{\nu_{+}^2 - 1} \right) \quad (\text{S64})$$

$$\stackrel{\omega \rightarrow \omega_d}{=} N_0 \frac{3\sqrt{3}v_q}{v_F q \eta_{\downarrow}} + O(\bar{q}^0) \quad (\text{S65})$$

$$= N_0 \frac{6v_q}{\omega_d} + O(\bar{q}^0) \quad (\text{S66})$$

where we have again inserted the spin demon solution and expanded up to zeroth order in \bar{q} . The damping rate is now given by

$$\gamma = \omega_d \frac{\eta_{\downarrow}}{3\sqrt{4\eta_{\downarrow}^2 - 3\eta_{\uparrow}^2}} \quad (\text{S67})$$

and the quality factor thus is

$$Q = \frac{3\sqrt{4\eta_{\downarrow}^2 - 3\eta_{\uparrow}^2}}{\eta_{\downarrow}}. \quad (\text{S68})$$

B. Magnetic moment and out-of-phase oscillations

In addition, we can find the corresponding amplitudes of the spin-polarized modes by solving the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Re[\chi_{\uparrow}^{(0)}(\omega)]^{-1} - v_q & -v_q \\ -v_q & \Re[\chi_{\downarrow}^{(0)}(\omega)]^{-1} - v_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\uparrow} \\ \psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{S69})$$

which can again be solved by noting that for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, $\text{Re}[\chi_{\uparrow}] = -N_0$, to find

$$\frac{\psi_{\uparrow}}{\psi_{\downarrow}} = -\frac{v_q N_0}{1 + v_q N_0} = -1 + O(\bar{q}). \quad (\text{S70})$$

Thus, at finite wavelength the spin-polarized plasmon correspond to out of phase oscillations of the spin up and down modes, which are dominated by the spin-down component for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}$.

⁴ In contrast to the three-dimensional case, in two dimensions the particle-hole continuum is constant for $\omega < \omega_+$ and this result is thus exact.




FIG. S9. In two dimensions, the frequency and magnetic moment (color scale) of the spin demon as a function of angle θ . The magnetic moment has a value of $|\mu_p| \approx 0.1\mu_B$ for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{y}}$

To determine the magnetic moment of the spin demon, we again introduce a small magnetic field along the quantization axis, such that

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} = \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_0} + \sigma \frac{\hbar k_x^2}{2m_*} - \sigma \frac{\hbar k_y^2}{2m_*} + \sigma g_e \mu_B B. \quad (\text{S71})$$

This results in a shift of the Fermi wave vector, $k_F^{\sigma} \rightarrow k_F \sqrt{1 - \sigma g_e \mu_B B / \epsilon_F}$. We can then directly write down the resulting dispersion relation from Eq. (S59) as

$$\omega_d = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} v_F \eta_{\downarrow} q \sqrt{1 + g \mu_B B / \epsilon_F}. \quad (\text{S72})$$

The resulting magnetic moment, as defined in Eq. (S50) is then

$$\mu_p = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} v_F \eta_{\downarrow} q \frac{g \mu_B}{\epsilon_F} + O((g \mu_B B / \epsilon_F)^2), \quad (\text{S73})$$

where we remind the reader that this result only holds for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, and for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ we obtain the opposite magnetic moment, since the roles of the minority and majority spin species is reversed. We show the resulting magnetic moment in Fig. S9, where we observe the same features as in the three-dimensional case: the magnetic moment follows the d -wave symmetry, changing sign in the four different quadrants of the plane. Additionally, the magnetic moment has a value of $|\mu_p| \approx 0.1\mu_B$ for $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{q} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, and grows to $|\mu_p| \approx 0.35\mu_B$ close to the diagonals—but we remind the reader that the quality factor drops off sharply, and the spin demon will thus be significantly damped. These are comparable in magnitude to the three-dimensional altermagnet.

C. Conventional plasmon

If we instead take the dynamical long-wavelength limit, such that $\chi_{\sigma}^{(0)} = \frac{n_0 \tilde{q}_{\sigma}^2}{\tilde{m} \omega^2}$, we obtain the conventional plasmon with

$$\omega^2 = \frac{2\pi e^2 n_0}{\epsilon m_0} q + O(\tilde{q}^2), \quad (\text{S74})$$

where $n_0 = \sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} = 2N_0 \epsilon_F q$ is the total electron density.

IX. g -WAVE ALTERMAGNET

Here, we show that the splitting of the particle-hole continua in a higher order altermagnet is not sufficient for the emergence of a spin demon. Specifically, we consider a three-dimensional g -wave altermagnet, where the low-energy electron dispersion of spin σ can be written as [9]

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_0} + \sigma \Delta k_x k_z (k_x^2 - 3k_y^2) \quad (\text{S75})$$

Here Δ is the strength of the splitting. In contrast to the d -wave altermagnet, the spin splitting parameter Δ cannot have an arbitrary strength, since for strong Δ the curvature of the bands is negative. Specifically, at the Fermi level, along one of the maximum-splitting directions [such as $\mathbf{k} = k(\sqrt{3}/2, 0, 1/2)$], we have that

$$k_F^{\sigma} = \frac{2}{3^{3/4}} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\hbar^4 + 3\sqrt{3}\sigma E_F m_0^2 \Delta} - \hbar^2}{m_0 \Delta}} \quad (\text{S76})$$

and we find that the group velocity at the Fermi level is positive for both spin σ if

$$\Delta < \frac{\hbar^2}{3\sqrt{3}E_F m_0}. \quad (\text{S77})$$

We thus have a constraint on the spin splitting Δ , which also constraints the separation of the spin-polarized particle-hole continua.

We now numerically calculate $\chi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for a g -wave altermagnet. We show $\chi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ in Fig. S10, together with the complex longitudinal dielectric function, $\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, along a maximal-splitting direction $\mathbf{q} = q(\sqrt{3}/2, 0, 1/2)$. Here, we have chosen $q = 0.05k_F^0$ and $\Delta = \hbar^2/3\sqrt{3}E_F m_0$, in order to obtain maximal separation of the spin-polarized particle-hole continua. Finally, we set $e^2/\epsilon = 80E_F/k_F^0$. Here, we have defined $k_F^0 \equiv \sqrt{2m_0 E_F}/\hbar$ for convenience.

We observe that the particle-hole continua are separated, similar to the d -wave altermagnet, except that the separation is much smaller [compare with Fig. 2 in the main text]. Importantly, it is not possible to further increase the splitting by increasing Δ , since this would violate condition Eq. (S77). We therefore conclude that the long-wavelength dispersion of a g -wave altermagnet is ill-suited for the emergence of a spin demon.




FIG. S10. For a bulk g -wave altermagnet, the real and imaginary part of χ_σ , and the real part of the complex longitudinal dielectric function.

- [1] L. Šmejkal, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Emerging Research Landscape of Altermagnetism, *Physical Review X* **12**, 040501 (2022).
- [2] L. Šmejkal, A. H. MacDonald, J. Sinova, S. Nakatsuji, and T. Jungwirth, Anomalous Hall antiferromagnets, *Nature Reviews Materials* **7**, 482 (2022).
- [3] G. Giuliani and G. Vignale, *Quantum Theory of the Electron Liquid* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
- [4] S. Ahn and S. Das Sarma, Anisotropic fermionic quasiparticles, *Physical Review B* **103**, 045303 (2021).
- [5] J. Verzani, Roots.jl: Root finding functions for Julia (2020).
- [6] G. E. Santoro and G. F. Giuliani, Acoustic plasmons in a con-
ducting double layer, *Physical Review B* **37**, 937 (1988).
- [7] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Saunders College Publishing, 1976).
- [8] A. Agarwal, M. Polini, G. Vignale, and M. E. Flatté, Long-lived spin plasmons in a spin-polarized two-dimensional electron gas, *Physical Review B* **90**, 155409 (2014).
- [9] L. Šmejkal, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Beyond Conventional Ferromagnetism and Antiferromagnetism: A Phase with Nonrelativistic Spin and Crystal Rotation Symmetry, *Physical Review X* **12**, 031042 (2022).