

# Investigating Car Following Model

Kaeshav Danesh and Kevin Phan

April 10, 2022

## **Abstract**

Traffic flow dynamics is generally split into either macroscopic models or microscopic models. For this paper, we will focus on car following models which is a type of microscopic model. We first introduce the assumptions and the mathematical description car following model and the numerical scheme used to compute them. Then, we give the full velocity difference model. Finally, we examine different scenarios such as car crashes, phantom traffic, traffic light, and lane changes and analyze the behavior of cars.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>General Model</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Mathematical Formulation . . . . .	3
2.2	Numerical Scheme . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Car Following Model</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Full Velocity Difference Model . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Examining Different Scenarios</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Homogeneous Traffic . . . . .	5
4.1.1	Simulation . . . . .	6
4.1.2	Pitfalls . . . . .	6
4.2	Bottleneck . . . . .	6
4.2.1	Implementation . . . . .	6
4.2.2	Simulation . . . . .	6
4.2.3	Analysis . . . . .	6
4.3	Phantom Traffic: Local Perturbation . . . . .	6
4.3.1	Implementation . . . . .	6
4.4	Lane Changes . . . . .	6
4.4.1	Implementation . . . . .	7
4.5	Multi-lanes Bottleneck . . . . .	7
4.5.1	Implementation . . . . .	7
4.5.2	Simulation . . . . .	7
4.5.3	Analysis . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Further Remarks</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>List of Symbols and Constants</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 Introduction

Some questions to answer:

- Why are traffic models important? Applications?
- At what scale does our model operate at? Macroscopic and microscopic?
- What assumptions are we making?

## 2 General Model

### 2.1 Mathematical Formulation

We follow the mathematical formulation of car following model in §10.2 of [TK13]. Suppose that there are  $n$  cars in the simulation using the car following model. We index the 1st car by 1, the 2nd car by 2, the  $\alpha$ th car by  $\alpha$ , and so on. The state variables of car  $\alpha$  are position  $x_\alpha$ , velocity  $v_\alpha$ , and acceleration  $a_\alpha$ . Furthermore, we are also assuming that the cars in the model has some kind of width. Thus, the position  $x_\alpha$  of car  $\alpha$  is defined as the front bumper of the car. Another useful variable to define is gap. We define the gap of car  $\alpha$  by the difference in distance between the back bumper of car  $\alpha - 1$  and the front bumper of car  $\alpha$ . Mathematically, the gap  $s_\alpha$  is defined as

$$s_\alpha = x_{\alpha-1} - l_{\alpha-1} - x_\alpha \quad (1)$$

where  $x_{\alpha-1}$  is the position of car  $\alpha - 1$ ,  $l_{\alpha-1}$  is the length of car  $\alpha - 1$ , and  $x_\alpha$  is the position of car  $\alpha$ . We note that the gap is not defined for a car with no cars in front of it.




Figure 1: Defining index, position, velocity, and gap of a car.

For simpler notation, we will now refer to the car in front of car  $\alpha$  by the leader car  $l$ . For a single lane, car  $l$  is car  $\alpha - 1$ . However, it is not necessarily true that car  $l$  is car  $\alpha - 1$  for multiple lanes.

Taking the time derivatives of  $x_\alpha(t)$  and  $v_\alpha(t)$  lead to the general coupled differential equation describing velocity and acceleration respectively:

$$\frac{dx_\alpha(t)}{dt} = v_\alpha(t), \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dv_\alpha(t)}{dt} = a_{\text{mic}}(s_\alpha, v_\alpha, v_l). \quad (3)$$

Each car following model has a specific acceleration function:  $a_{\text{mic}}$ <sup>1</sup>. For the simulation, we will use the Full Velocity Difference Model (FVDM) which is described in section (3.1).

## 2.2 Numerical Scheme

The standard way of numerically solving a system of coupled differential equations would be to use a fourth order Runge Kutta method. However, higher order methods all assume higher orders of smoothness in the differential equation and its solution [[Ste11]]. Our model is only smooth to first order because suddenly changes in acceleration is common, for example, during lane changes. So, using a high order method could be worse than a simple first order method.

Among first order methods, our options are either the forward or backwards (implicit) Euler methods. The main difference between these methods is that the backwards Euler method involves solving an implicit equation and is generally more stable.

We are using the forward Euler method because we expect our solution to be stable and solving an implicit equation every timestep would add extra complexity to our implementation.

Implementing the forwards Euler method scheme for a car following model gives us two coupled differential equations for each of our states:

$$v_\alpha(t + \Delta t) = v_\alpha(t) + a_{\text{mic}}(s_\alpha(t), v_\alpha(t), v_l(t))\Delta t, \quad (4)$$

$$x_\alpha(t + \Delta t) = x_\alpha(t) + \frac{v_\alpha(t) + v_\alpha(t + \Delta t)}{2}\Delta t, \quad (5)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We use  $a_{\text{mic}}$  for the acceleration function and  $a$  to describe the state variable acceleration.

where  $\delta t$  is the time step and  $a_{\text{mic}}$  is the acceleration function defined by the car following model used. This acceleration function is described in section(3.1).

When solving the equations above numerically, we must also consider the interactions between cars. The velocity equation uses  $v_l(t)$ , the velocity of the leading car at time  $t$ . So, when calculating the state of a car at each timestep, it would be easier to start from the backmost car and work up. This allows us to use the most recent velocity value from the leading car.

## 3 Car Following Model

### 3.1 Full Velocity Difference Model

We followed the details of the Full Velocity Difference Model in The Full Velocity Difference Model (FVDM) is given by the acceleration function:

$$a_{\text{mic}}(s_\alpha, v_\alpha, v_l) = \frac{v_{\text{opt}}(s) - v_\alpha}{\tau} - \gamma \Delta v \quad (6)$$

where  $v_{\text{opt}}$  is the optimal velocity function,  $\tau$  is the speed adaptation time,  $\gamma$  is the speed difference sensitivity, and  $\Delta v = v_\alpha - v_l$  is the difference between in velocities of the car  $\alpha$  and the leader car.

A simple choice for  $v_{\text{opt}}$  is

$$v_{\text{opt}}(s) = \max \left( 0, \min \left( v_0, \frac{s - s_0}{T} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

where  $v_0$  is the desired speed,  $s_0$  is the minimum distance gap, and  $T$  is the time gap.

TODO: Include a graph of  $v_{\text{opt}}$ , include typical parameters, look at the pitfalls (since gap is used, stuff from far away can affect it and unrealistic acceleration which can be included in section 4.1 maybe?), explanation of the parameters and what they mean

## 4 Examining Different Scenarios

### 4.1 Homogeneous Traffic

TODO: Give basic graphs so that we can compare to in the next sections and add any pitfalls with the model (unrealistic acceleration)

#### **4.1.1 Simulation**

#### **4.1.2 Pitfalls**

### **4.2 Bottleneck**

TODO: Add time versus position graph and label the different situations, density graph, analysis

#### **4.2.1 Implementation**

#### **4.2.2 Simulation**

#### **4.2.3 Analysis**

### **4.3 Phantom Traffic: Local Perturbation**

#### **4.3.1 Implementation**

TODO: Look at how stopping suddenly propagate through the cars. Something about waves?

### **4.4 Lane Changes**

TODO: Average lane speed (How much faster is one lane with and without lane changes) and bottleneck revisited (make it from 2 lanes to one lane) and how number of lanes affect flow rate. The grass is greener on the other side paradox (in the textbook)

#### 4.4.1 Implementation

### 4.5 Multi-lanes Bottleneck

#### 4.5.1 Implementation

#### 4.5.2 Simulation

#### 4.5.3 Analysis

## 5 Conclusion

## 6 Further Remarks

### List of Symbols and Constants

$x$	position [see ch. 2.1].
$v$	velocity [see ch. 2.1].
$a$	acceleration [see ch. 2.1].
$t$	time [see ch. 2.1].
$s$	gap [see ch. 2.1].
$v_0$	desired speed (typically 33 m/s) [see ch. 3.1]
$\tau$	adaptation time (typically 0.65 s)
$\gamma$	speed difference sensitivity

## References

- [Ste11] David E. Stewart. *Dynamics with Inequalities*. SIAM, 2011. ISBN: 978-1-611970-70-8.
- [TK13] Martin Treiber and Arne Kesting. *Traffic Flow Dynamics: Data, Models and Simulation*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2013. ISBN: 978-3-642-32459-8.