
Corinne Riddell (Instructor: Tomer Altman)

September 29, 2025


Learning objectives for today

- ▶ Learn about the Normal distribution centered at μ with a standard deviation of σ
- \blacktriangleright Learn about the standard Normal distribution where $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$ and compute z-scores
- Calculate cumulative probabilities below or above a given value for any specified Normal distribution using R
- ▶ Perform simple calculations by hand (using the 68-95-99.7 rule)


- Here is the Normal distribution with mean of 0 (μ) and standard deviation of 1 (σ) .
- ► It is:
 - symmetric
 - ightharpoonup centered at μ




► Let's add another Normal distribution, this one centered at 2, with the same standard deviation



- ▶ Let's add a third Normal distribution, this one centered at −2, with a standard deviation of 0.5
- Notice how the distribution is narrowed (i.e., the spread is reduced)
- Why is the distribution "taller"?



Can you guess what a Normal distribution with $\mu=1$ and $\sigma=1.5$ would look like compared to the others?



Properties of the Normal distribution

- The density can be drawn by knowing just two parameters , the mean (μ) and SD (σ) : $f(x) = \phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$
- ightharpoonup The mean μ can be any value, positive or negative
- ightharpoonup The standard deviation σ must be a positive number
- ▶ The mean is equal to the median (both = μ)
- ► The standard deviation captures the spread of the distribution
- ► The area under the Normal distribution is equal to 1 (i.e., it is a density function)

The 68-95-99.7 rule for all Normal distributions

- Approximately 68% of the data fall within one standard deviation of the mean
- ► Approximately 95% of the data fall within two standard deviations of the mean
- ► Approximately 99.7% of the data fall within three standard deviations of the mean


Written probabilistically:

- $P(\mu \sigma < X < \mu + \sigma) \approx 68\%$
- $P(\mu 2\sigma < X < \mu + 2\sigma) \approx 95\%$
- ► $P(\mu 3\sigma < X < \mu + 3\sigma) \approx 99.7\%$

Calculations using the 68-95-99.7 rule

Example 11.1 from Baldi & Moore on the heights of young women. The distribution of heights of young women is approximately Normal, with mean $\mu=64.5$ inches and standard deviation $\sigma=2.5$ inches.

We use notation to represent when a random variable follows a specific distribution. For example, letting H represent the random variable for the height of a young woman, we can then write $H \sim N(64.5, 2.5)$, to say that the random variable Hfollows a Normal distribution with a mean of 64.5 and a




Calculations using the 68-95-99.7 rule

- ▶ What calculations could you do with these data alone?
- P(62 < H < 67) = ?
- P(H > 62) = ?

The standard Normal distribution

- The standard Normal distribution is the Normal distribution with $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$.
- We write: N(0,1) to denote this distribution
- X ~ N(0,1), implies that the random variable X is Normally distributed.



- Any random variable that follows a Normal distribution can be standardized. This means we can transform its distribution from being centred at μ with a standard deviation of σ to another Normal distributuin with $\mu=0$ and standard deviation of $\sigma=1$
- If x is an observation from a distribution that has a mean μ and a standard deviation σ , the standardized value of x is calculated in the following way:

$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$$

- ► A standardized value is often called a **z-score**
- ▶ Interpretation: *z* is the number of standard deviations that *x* is above or below the mean of the data.
- ▶ We standardize values so that we can have this interpretation, which is agnostic to the underlying mean, standard deviation, and units of measure. Standardizing Normally-distributed data


is a quick way to determine if a specific value is much higher or



Source: Intergrowth 21st Century

In this image, the solid red line shows the average birthweight as a function of gestational age for boys and girls.

What is the approximate average birthweight in kilograms for a boy delivered at 33 weeks?



Reference

	The International Newborn Standards Birth weight (Boys)						
OXFORD Gestational age (weeks+days)						INTER	INTERGROWTH-21*
	z scores						
	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
33+0	0.63	1.13	1.55	1.95	2.39	2.88	3.47
33+1	0.67	1.17	1.59	1.99	2.43	2.92	3.51
33+2	0.71	1.21	1.63	2.03	2.47	2.96	3.55
33+3	0.75	1.25	1.67	2.07	2.50	2.99	3.59
33+4	0.79	1.29	1.71	2.11	2.54	3.03	3.62
33+5	0.83	1.33	1.75	2.15	2.58	3.07	3.66
33+6	0.87	1.37	1.79	2.18	2.62	3.11	3.70
34+0	0.91	1.40	1.82	2.22	2.65	3.14	3.73
34+1	0.95	1.44	1.86	2.26	2.69	3.18	3.77
34+2	0.98	1.48	1.90	2.29	2.73	3.21	3.80
34+3	1.02	1.51	1.93	2.33	2.76	3.25	3.84
34+4	1.05	1.55	1.97	2.36	2.80	3.28	3.87
34+5	1.09	1.58	2.00	2.40	2.83	3.32	3.91
34+6	1.12	1.62	2.04	2.43	2.86	3.35	3.94
35+0	1.16	1.65	2.07	2.47	2.90	3.38	3.97

- Birthweight z-scores for boys
- ▶ How does this relate to what you see on the previous slide?

Simulating Normally distributed data in R

We can plot the histogram of Suppose that we measured 1,000 heights for young women: the heights, and see that they # students, rnorm() is important to follow, from a Normal # this line of code generates distribution. The green curve is # from a Normal distribution with black curve is the density plot # the specified mean and sd. heights.women \leftarrow rnorm(n = 100^{based} on the actual data: 0.50# this line of code puts this heights.women <- data.frame(he


To standardize these data, we can apply the formula to compute the z-score:

```
heights.women <- heights.women %>% mutate(mean = mean(heights.sd = sd(heights.sd = sd(hei
```


```
##
     heights.women
                                  sd
                       mean
          65.57326 64.42304 2.496155 0.4607942
## 1
## 2
          66.33945 64.42304 2.496155 0.7677445
## 3
          60.56791 64.42304 2.496155 -1.5444292
## 4
          63.20279 64.42304 2.496155 -0.4888551
## 5
          65.59697 64.42304 2.496155 0.4702940
## 6
          65.73489 64.42304 2.496155 0.5255476
```

What would the distribution of the standardized heights look like?

How are these plots different from the previous ones? Hint: look at the \times axis.




- A cumulative probability for a value x in a distribution is the proportion of observations in the distribution that lie below x
- ► Here is the cumulative probability for x = 1.2



Plot of Cumulative Standard Normal Distribution

- There are different ways to display a distribution such as the density and the cumulative distribution
- ► The cumulative distribution can be shown as a graph of the probability of being below a value on the x-axis.




- ► Recall that 100% of the sample space for the random variable *X* lies under its probability density function
- ▶ What is the amount of the area that is below x = 1.2?
- ▶ To answer this question we use the pnorm() function
 - ▶ Mnemonic: the **p** in pnorm stands for probability
 - The norm in pnorm stands for normal curve

```
pnorm(q = 1.2, mean = 0, sd = 1)
```

```
## [1] 0.8849303
```


► This says that approximately 88% of the probability lies below 1.2.

What if we wanted the reverse:



```
1 - pnorm(q = 1.2, mean = 0, s
## [1] 0.1150697
Alternatively:
   pnorm(q = 1.2, mean = 0, sd =
## [1] 0.1150697
So, 11.51% of the data is above
x = 1.2.
```

- ▶ What if we wanted two "tail" probabilities?
- ▶ P(x < -1.2 or x > 1.2)




The trick: find one of the tails and then double the area because the distribution is symmetric:

```
pnorm(q = -1.2, mean = 0, sd = 1)*2
```

```
## [1] 0.2301393
```

What if we wanted a range in the middle?: P(-0.8 < x < 1.5)?



```
# step 1: calculate the probability *below* the upper boun
pnorm(q = 1.5, mean = 0, sd = 1)
## [1] 0.9331928
# step 2: calculate the probability *below* the lower boun
pnorm(q = -0.8, mean = 0, sd = 1)
## [1] 0.2118554
# step 3: take the difference between these probabilities
# the middle
pnorm(q = 1.5, mean = 0, sd = 1) - pnorm(q = -0.8, mean = 0)
## [1] 0.7213374
```

▶ Thus, 72.13% of the data is in the range -0.8 < x < 1.5

Your turn

- ► To diagnose osteoporosis, bone mineral density is measured
- ▶ The WHO criterion for osteoporosis is a BMD score below -2.5
- Women in their 70s have a much lower BMD than younger women
 - \triangleright BMD $\sim N(-2,1)$
- What proportion of these women have a BMD below the WHO cutoff?
 - ► Hint: you do not need to find a z-score!

#to fill in during class

Recap of functions used

- rnorm(n = 100, mean = 2, sd = 0.4), to generate
 Normally distributed data from the specified distribution
- pnorm(q = 1.2, mean = 0, sd = 2), to calculate the cumulative probability below a given value