Probability Sampling

Corinne Riddell (Instructor: Tomer Altman)

September 17, 2025

Learning objectives

- ► Learn how to take a random sample (i.e., a probability sample) in R
- Know the difference between a simple random sample, a proportionate stratified sample, a disproportionate stratified sample, and a multi-stage sample
- Know how to take a simple random sample and a proportionate stratified sample in R
- ► Introduce the idea of probability
- ► See how the quality of a probability estimate is related to the size of the sample chosen from the underlying population

Recall from last class

- Last class we discussed non-probability studies (e.g., convenience samples) and how they are not necessarily representative of an underlying population from which they were sampled
- To obtain a more representative sample, we can take a probability sample
- ► The most common type of probability sample is a simple random sample (SRS)

Simple random sample (SRS)

- Simple random sample (SRS): A sample chosen by chance, where each individual in the data set has the same chance of being selected
- ▶ We can easily choose an SRS from a data frame in R

327

2356

580

dim(CS data)

5

6

First read in the hospital cesarean data

```
CS_data <- read_xlsx("./data/kozhimannil.xlsx", sheet = 1)
CS_data <- CS_data %>% mutate(ID = row number())
head(CS data)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 7
```

```
##
     Births HOSP BEDSIZE cesarean rate lowrisk cesarean
                                                           db:
```

##	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<d< th=""></d<>
## 1	767	1	0.344	0.1
ππ О	100	4	0.454	0.1

## 1	767	1	0.344	0.10
## 2	183	1	0.454	0.18
тт О	660	4	0 420	0.10

## 1	767	1	0.344	0.10
## 2	183	1	0.454	0.18
## 3	668	1	0.430	0.19

##	1	767	1	0.344	0.1
##	2	183	1	0.454	0.1
##	3	668	1	0.430	0.19

## 1 767	1	0.344	0.10	
## 2	183	1	0.454	0.186
## 3	668	1	0.430	0.19

i 2 more variables: `Low Risk Cesarean rate*100` <dbl:

0.306

0.301

0.119

0.066

In the following code chunk, we use $slice_sample(n = 100)$ to take an SRS of 100 records (here, hospitals) from CS_data:

Suppose we took a second sample. . .

Do you expect head(CS_100_1) to equal head(CS_100_2)?

```
head(CS_100_1 %>% select(Births, HOSP_BEDSIZE, cesarean_ra-
## # A tibble: 6 x 4
##
    Births HOSP BEDSIZE cesarean rate
                                     ID
     <dbl>
                 <dbl>
##
                            <dbl> <int>
    401
                             0.411 162
## 1
## 2 710
                    3
                            0.379 402
## 3 2443
                            0.402 137
                            0.601 44
## 4
    198
## 5 5774
                    3
                           0.414 541
## 6 1056
                            0.464 45
```

5 1136

356

6

```
head(CS_100_2 %>% select(Births, HOSP_BEDSIZE, cesarean_ra-
## # A tibble: 6 x 4
##
    Births HOSP BEDSIZE cesarean rate
                                      ID
     <dbl>
                 <dbl>
##
                           <dbl> <int>
    443
                              0.312 101
## 1
## 2 2042
                     3
                             0.312 332
## 3 524
                             0.277 251
## 4 335
                            0.424 109
```

3

0.298 369

0.281

113

```
identical(CS_100_1, CS_100_2)
```

[1] FALSE

Question: Why are the first six lines different, when the slice_sample(n=100) code is the same? So far with the functions we've learned in class, if we wrote the same line of code, we would generate the same result. What is going on?

Answer: Anytime you do something in R that involves a *random* process, the results will be different (i.e., non-deterministic). This is a good thing! This allows you to pick many different random samples. In future weeks we will do this many times.



What if you want to ensure that you pick the same SRS as a friend? Or you want to make sure that you can reproduce a great result that you found before?

Then you need to use set.seed(). Here we set the seed to 123 before running the sampling code. This ensures that the random samples generated are the same.

Try to run this code again with a number other than 123:

```
set.seed(123)
CS_100_1 <- CS_data %>% slice_
set.seed(123)
CS_100_2 <- CS_data %>% slice_
identical(CS_100_1, CS_100_2)
## [1] TRUE
```

SRS by Proportion in R

Another way to take a random sample is to specify the **prop**ortion of the dataset that you'd like to include in your sample using slice_sample(prop = 0.05). Here, we sample 5% of the records (here, hospitals):

```
CS_5percent <- CS_data %>% slice_sample(prop = 0.05)
```

Proportionate Stratified Sampling in R

Suppose you want to take a 10% sample of individuals from within each county in California. This is called a "proportionate stratified sample". To do this you would use the following code:

```
CA_data %>% group_by(county) %>% slice_sample(prop =
0.1)
```

Here is an example using the CS_data:

```
## Perform a proportionate stratified sampling of the CS_da
CS_10percent_grouped <- CS_data %>%
    group_by(HOSP_BEDSIZE) %>%
    slice_sample(prop = 0.1)

dim(CS_10percent_grouped)
```

```
## [1] 57 7
```

```
Proportionate Stratified sampling in R
  How to check you really did
                                   Then in the sample:
  sample 10% of each
                                    CS_10percent_grouped%>%group_t
  HOSP BEDSIZE group?
                                    ## # A tibble: 3 x 2
  First see how many hospitals fall
                                       # Groups: HOSP_BEDSIZE [3
  into each category in the original
                                         HOSP_BEDSIZE
                                    ##
  data
  CS_data %>% group_by(HOSP_BEDS_TZE)
                                                 <dbl> <int>
                                         %>% summarize(counts
  ## #
        A tibble: 3 \times 2
        HOSP BEDSIZE counts
  ##
                                    ## 3
  ##
                <dbl>
                       <int>
  ## 1
                          131
                          179
  ## 2
                    3
                          270
```

27 (summarize(counts = n()) is almost the same as count()) In this example, proportionate ## 3 stratified SRS assembles a sample that maintains the

relative proportions of

nonulation

HOSP BEDSIZE in the chosen sample compared to the

Disproportionate Stratified Sampling in R

- ▶ When might you want to over-represent certain groups?
- Example: Estimating infant mortality by race/ethnicity when some race/ethnic groups are very small (e.g., indigenous groups in U.S./Canada)
- Then, you may want to over-sample certain groups so you can better estimate infant mortality in those groups than if you sampled proportionately
- ▶ In this case, you could filter your sample into different race/ethnic groups and take samples of different sizes (or fractions) from each group. You won't be asked how to do this in R, but rather, know what a disproportionate stratified sample is and why you might want to take this kind of sample.

Multi-Stage Sampling

- Multi-stage sampling occurs when you first sample a clustering unit and then within the clustering unit select individuals
- Examples of clustering units include hospitals, schools, counties, etc.
- ► For example, sampling schools using an SRS, and then sampling students within those schools.
- ► Think about the differences between taking a multi-stage sample of students within schools vs. an SRS of students across a set of schools. How would these samples look different?
- Suppose you are taking measurements from blood tests from the students, which sample is more practical to conduct? Which one allows you to estimate some quantities for all the schools?

Applied Example: Using a Sample to Estimate Low Birthweight in U.S. Territories

Description of the Data

- ► These data are births by place of occurrence for U.S. territories (American Samoa, Guam, North Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, and U.S. Virgin Islands) from the year 2015
- ► This is a subset of the data downloaded from the National Bureau of Economic Research
- You can find more information about the data set in the User Guide

Data Dictionary

Here is the data dictionary for this dataset:

Variable	Description
babyID	Unique identifier: row number
dbwt	Birth weight in Grams: 227-8165 grams
combgest	Combined gestation, in weeks: 17th to 47th week of gestation
sex dob_mm	Assigned sex at birth: M (Male) or F (Female) Birth month
cig_rec	If the mother reports smoking in any of the three trimesters of pregnancy she is classified as a smoker: (Y) Yes, (N) No, or (U) Unknown

Import the Data into R

6

```
library(tidyverse)
birth_data <- read_csv(file = "./data/L03_US-territories-bases)
birth_data <- birth_data%>% select(-...1)
head(birth data)
## # A tibble: 6 x 6
    babyID dbwt combgest sex dob_mm cig_rec
##
##
     <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <chr> <dbl> <chr>
## 1
        1 2977
                    37 M
                                1 N
## 2
        2 3191
                    41 M
                                1 Y
## 3 3 1786
                    32 F
                                1 N
## 4
    4 4489
                    39 M
                              1 N
## 5 5 3203
                              1 N
                    38 M
        6 3203
                                1 N
```

39 F

Overview of the Applied Example

- ► Take a simple random sample from the population of births. We will use this sample to estimate the sample proportion of babies with low birth weight in the population.
- ➤ To know how close our **estimate** is to the **true proportion**, we will first calculate the **population proportion** of an infant being born less that 5 pounds 8 ounces, or 2,500 grams, which is the traditional cutoff used to classify an infant as having low birth weight.
- ▶ In real life settings, we would not know the true proportion, we would only know the value we estimate from our sample. But here, we can investigate how close the sample estimate is to the true parameter and see what we can do to make our estimate even better.
- ▶ We will see how well we can estimate the true probability based on random samples of varying sizes.

Step 1: Add a Variable to the Dataset for Low Birth Weight (LBW)

```
birth_data <- birth_data %>% mutate(lbw = dbwt < 2500)</pre>
```

- ▶ What does the variable 1bw store?
- ► 1bw stores "logical" values, which means it is either equal to TRUE or FALSE
- Variables that store only two values are called binary variables. They are most commonly stored as logical data (TRUE/FALSE), numeric (0/1) or categorical ("Yes"/"No").
- ▶ In the above code, R evaluates whether birth weight (dbwt) is less than 2,500 grams for each birth. If it is, then 1bw = TRUE for that birth, and if not then 1bw = FALSE for that birth.

Step 2: Calculate the Proportion of Low Birth Weight Infants in Population Across All U.S. Territories

```
lbw_population <- birth_data %>% summarize(true_prob_lbw =
lbw_population
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
## true_prob_lbw
## <dbl>
## 1 0.102
```

- Question: How did we take a mean of values equal to TRUE or FALSE?
- Answer: R treats TRUE as equivalent to 1 and FALSE as equivalent to 0. The mean of a variable coded as 0 or 1 is the **proportion** (or **probability**) of individuals who have low birth weight.
- ► Remember: We do not usually know the true value because we rarely have data on every individual in a population

Step 3: Take a Random Sample of Size n=10

```
random_sample_n10 <- birth_data %>%
    slice_sample(n = 10) %>%
    mutate(sample_size = n())
#the last line of code adds the sample size to every row of
#we will want to reference this information later
```

Step 4: Progressively Increase the Sample Size and Store those Samples

Sample the rows of data using the following sample sizes. Assign each of your samples to a different R object.

- 1. 10
- 2. 50
- 3. 100
- 4. 200
- 5. 500
- 6. 1000
- 7. 5000
- 8. 10000
- 9. 36724 (i.e, the entire target population)

Step 4 Code

```
random_sample_n200 <- birth_data %>% slice_sample(n = 200)
random_sample_n500 <- birth_data %>% slice_sample(n = 500)
random sample n1000 <- birth data %>% slice sample(n = 1000
random_sample_n5000 <- birth_data %>% slice_sample(n = 5000
random sample n10000 <- birth data %>% slice sample(n = 100
whole_pop <- birth_data %>% slice_sample(n = nrow(birth_data
```

random_sample_n50 <- birth_data %>% slice_sample(n = 50)

random_sample_n100 <- birth_data %>% slice_sample(n = 100)

Step 4 Side Note

By default slice_sample(n=100) takes a sample of size 100 without replacement. This means that each individual can only be included in the sample at most one time.

In future classes, we will introduce methods where we select a sample **with replacement**. We will talk more about how this works in the coming weeks.

Step 5: Calculate the Estimate of the Proportion of LBW for Each Random Sample

- ► For each sample, we want to estimate the proportion of LBW babies to see how much it differs from the true proportion in the entire population
- We could do this using summarize for each sample and by writing that code ten times, but there is an easier way

Step 5: Code to Estimate the Proportions More Efficiently

The function bind_rows(df1, df2, df3, ...) can be used to stack multiple data frames (e.g. df1, df2, df3, ...) on top of each other when they all contain the same variables. Bind together the nine data frames created in the previous code chunk using bind_rows() and assign the stacked data frame the name stacked samples:

```
stacked_samples <- bind_rows(random_sample_n10, random_sample_n100, random_sample_n100, random_sample_n500, random_sample_n5000, random_sample_n5000, random_sample_pop)</pre>
```

Step 5: Code to Estimate the Proportions More Efficiently

Estimate the proportion of babies with low birth weight using each of your samples in stacked_samples. Hint: group_by() and summarize() will come in handy! Assign the output to a data frame called sample_estimates

```
sample_estimates <- stacked_samples %>%
  group_by(sample_size) %>%
  summarize(estimated_proportion_lbw = mean(lbw))
sample_estimates
```

```
## # A tibble: 9 x 2
##
     sample_size estimated_proportion_lbw
##
            <int>
                                       <dbl>
                                       0.1
## 1
               10
## 2
               50
                                       0.22
## 3
              100
                                       0.12
                                       0.115
## 4
              200
              500
                                       0.106
## 5
```

Step 6: Visualize the Results

- Make a line plot of the estimates of the proportions versus the sample size
- Add a horizontal line to the line plot at the population proportion that we are striving to estimate
- We also add points on top of the line to see exactly where the estimates are

```
ggplot(sample_estimates, aes()
  geom line(col = "blue") +
  geom_point(col = "blue") +
  geom_hline(yintercept = lbw
  labs(y = "Sample estimate of
  theme_minimal(base_size = 15
```

Step 6: Visualize the Results

Because the scale of the x axis is so large, try using scale_x_log10() to convert the x-axis to a logarithmic scale

```
ggplot(sample_estimates, aes(x
  geom_line(col = "blue") +
  geom_point(col = "blue") +
  geom_hline(yintercept = lbw_
  scale_x_log10() +
  labs(y = "Sample estimate of
  theme_minimal(base_size = 15
```

Check Your Understanding!

- 1) What happens as the sample size increases?
- 2) Will it **always** be the case that a higher sample size produces an estimate closer to the true value than a lower sample size?