Allowing Responsive Web Modules

Ben Trovato
Institute for Clarity in
Documentation
1932 Wallamaloo Lane
Wallamaloo, New Zealand
trovato@corporation.com

G.K.M. Tobin
Institute for Clarity in
Documentation
P.O. Box 1212
Dublin, Ohio 43017-6221
webmaster@marysvilleohio.com

Lars Thørväld The Thørväld Group 1 Thørväld Circle Hekla, Iceland Iarst@affiliation.org

ABSTRACT

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.4 [Information Systems Applications]: Miscellaneous; D.2.8 [Software Engineering]: Metrics—complexity measures, performance measures

General Terms

Theory

Keywords

ACM proceedings, LATEX, text tagging

1. INTRODUCTION

Responsive Web Design (RWD) is an approach to make an application respond to the viewport size and device characteristics. This is currently achieved by using CSS media queries that are designed to conditionally design content by the media, such as using serif fonts when printed and sansserif when viewed on a screen.

In order to reduce complexity and enable reusability applications are typically composed of modules, i.e., interchangeable and independent parts that have a single and well-defined responsibility [3]. In order for a module to be reusable it must not assume in which context it is being used.

In this paper we focus on the presentation layer of web applications. As it stands, using media queries to make the presentation layer responsive precludes modularity. The problem is that there is no way to make a module responsive without it being context-aware, due to media queries only being able to target the viewport. Thus, a responsive module using media queries is layout dependent and has therefore limited reusability. Therefore, media queries can only be used for RWD of non-modular static applications. In a world where no better solution than media queries exists

for RWD, changing the layout of a responsive application becomes a cumbersome task.

1.1 The Problem Exemplified

Imagine an application that displays the current weather of various cities as widgets, by using a weather widget module. The module should be responsive, so that more information such as a temperature graph over time is displayed when the widget is big. When the widget is small it should only display the current temperature. Users should also be able to add, remove and resize widgets.

Such application cannot be built with media queries, since the widgets can have varying sizes independent of the viewport (e.g., the width of one widget is 30% while another is 40%). To overcome this problem we must change the application so that widgets always have the same sizes. This implies that the size of the module and the media query breakpoints are coupled/intertwined, i.e. they are proportional to each other. The problem now is that we have removed the reusability of the weather module, since it requires the specific width that is correctly proportional to the media query breakpoints.

Imagine a company working on a big application that uses media queries for responsiveness (i.e., each responsive module assumes to have a specific percentage of the viewport size). The ability to change is desired by both developers and stakeholders, but is limited by this responsive approach. The requirement of changing a menu from being a horizontal top menu to being a vertical side menu implies that all responsive modules break since the assumed proportionality of each module is changed. Even worse, if the menu is also supposed to hide on user input the responsiveness of the module breaks since the layout changes dynamically. The latter requirement is impossible to satisfy without element queries.

Additionally, it is popular to define breakpoints relative to the font size. Media queries can only target the font size of the document root, limiting the functionality drastically. With element queries, breakpoints may be defined relative to the font size of the targeted element.

As we can see, even with limited requirements there still are significant flaws with using media queries for responsive modules.

1.2 Requirements

First, a solution must provide a possibility for an element to change depending on the properties of the parent element. Elements should automatically respond to changes of the parent size so that the correct design can be presented for each size.

Second, a solution must conform to the syntax of HTML, CSS, and JavaScript so that the compatability of tools, libraries and existing projects is retained.

Third, a solution must have adequate performance for large applications that make heavy use of responsive modules.

Extensibility.

Composability.

1.3 Contributions

This paper makes the following contributions:

- A new design that enables responsive modules while conforming to the syntax of HTML, CSS, and JavaScript.
- Our approach is the first to enable nested elements that are responsive in a modular way, i.e., styling required for RWD is fully encapsulated in the module. As a side effect, responsive modules may also be arbitrarily styled with CSS independently of their context.
- An extensible library architecture that enables plugins to significantly extend the behavior of the library. This makes it possible to create plugins in order to ease integration of ELQinto existing modules or libraries.
- A new implementation technique that offers substantially higher performance than previous approaches.
 Our technique batch processes DOM operations so that layout thrashing (i.e., forcing the layout engine to perform multiple independent layouts) is avoided.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows:

2. BACKGROUND

• Explain media queries really short (simple example). Maybe explain some terminology.

3. OVERVIEW OF ELO

To enable element queries today, we have developed a novel JavaScript implementation named ELQ. Our implementation satisfied all requirements given in 1.1. The library have been designed to conform to the standards and specifications of the web languages while providing the features required of building advanced constellations of responsive modules. The novelty lies in having significantly improved performance by batch processing DOM operations, and providing an API that enables nesting of modules. ELQalso has excellent browser compatability as it supports Internet Explorer 8 and upwards.

ELQis designed to be plugin-based for increased flexibility and extensibility. By providing a good library foundation

and plugins it is up to developers to choose the right plugins for each project. In addition, by letting the plugins satisfy the requirements it is easy to extend the library with new plugins when new requirements arise.

3.1 The API

As CSS 3 does not support custom at-rules/selectors, responsive elements are annotated in HTML by element attributes. The main idea is to annotate elements with breakpoints of interest so that children can be conditionally styled in CSS by targeting the different breakpoint states. In this example, we will use the elq-breakpoints plugin that observers the element in order to automatically update the breakpoint classes. Although not written in the examples, the library also supports attributes defined with the dataprefix to conform to the HTML standard.

In listing 3.1 we see an element that has annotated two breakpoints at 300 and 500 pixels.

```
<div class="foo" elq elq-breakpoints
elq-breakpoints-widths="300 500">

When in doubt, mumble.
</div>
```

When ELQhas processed the element, it will always have two classes, one for each breakpoint, that tells if the size of the element is greater or lesser than each breakpoint. For instance, if the element is 400 pixels wide, the element has the two classes elq-min-width-300px and elq-max-width-500. Similarly, if the element is 200 pixels wide the element the classes are instead elq-max-width-300px and elq-max-width-500. So for each breakpoint only the min/max part changes. It may seem alien that the classes describe that the width of the element is both maximum 300 and 500 pixels. This is because we have taken a user-centric approach, so that when using the classes in CSS the API is similar to element queries. However, developers are free to change this API at will as ELQis plugin-based.

Now that we have defined the breakpoints of the element, we can conditionally style it by using the classes. See listing 3.1 for an example of how the classes can be used.

```
. foo.elq-min-width-300px.elq-max-width-500px {
   background-color: green;
}
. foo.elq-min-width-500px {
   background-color: blue;
}
. foo.elq-max-width-500px p {
   color: white;
}
```

3.1.1 Nested modules

This API is sufficient for applications that do not need nested breakpoint elements, and similar features is provided by related libraries. However, using such API in responsive modules still limits the reusability since the modules then may not exist in an outer responsive context. Also, it is customary to compose large/complex modules by smaller modules that may also need to be responsive [3].

The reason this API is not sufficient for nested modules is because there is no way to limit the CSS matching search of the selectors. The selector of the last example given in listing 3.1 specifies that all paragraph elements should have white text if any ancestor breakpoints element is above 500 pixels wide. Since the ancestor selector may match elements outside of the module, such selectors are dangerous to use in the context of responsive modules. The problem may be somewhat reduced by more specific selectors and such, but it cannot be fully solved for arbitrary styling.

To solve this problem, we provide a plugin that let us define elements to "mirror" the breakpoints classes of the nearest ancestor breakpoints element. Then, the conditional style of the mirror element may be written as a combinatory selector that is relative to the nearest ancestor breakpoints element. See listing 3.1.1 for example markup of the mirror plugin to enable nested modules.

```
<div class="foo" elq elq-breakpoints
elq-breakpoints-widths="300 500">

<div class="foo" elq elq-breakpoints
    elq-breakpoints-widths="300 500">

    ...
    </div>

...
</div>
</div>
```

As the paragraph elements are mirroring the nearest .foo ancestor, we can now write CSS as shown in listing 3.1.1.

```
.foo {
   /* So that the nested module
     behaves differently */
   width: 50%;
}
.foo p.elq-max-width-500px {
   color: white;
}
```

Since we in the previous examples have annotated elements manually, the power and flexibility of the API have not been properly displayed. Only when combined with JavaScript, things get more interesting.

3.1.2 A high-level API

To further prove the potential of ELQ, we have created a plugin that enables a high-level API for responsive modules. The API enables developers to use resposnive grids and utility classes very similar to the ones defined by the CSS Bootstrap framework. See listing 3.1.2 for an example grid that uses the Bootstrap API. The grid is defined to be single columned for small viewports, triple columned for medium viewports, and double columned for large viewports. It should be noted that the last column is hidden for large viewports.



Since this API uses media queries, there only exists a fixed set of breakpoints that can be used to determine when the grid should change layout. The column classes defines the behaviour of a the grid, and has the syntax col-[breakpoint]-[size]. Developers may choose which breakpoint to be used by the sm, md, lg units of a column class and the size may be between 1 and 12.

4. EXTENSIONS VIA PLUGINS

- Overall architecture
 - Plugin API
 - Example plugin

5. IMPLEMENTATION

Batching. Resize listening.

6. EMPIRICAL EVALUATION

6.1 Performance

6.2 Case studies

Plugins makes it easy to integrate.

7. DISCUSSION

- Performance, APIs, Features.
- The mirror functionality of ELQmakes it uniquely suitable for nested modules.

7.1 Element Queries

Media queries and element queries are similar in the sense that they both enable developers to define conditional style rules that are applied by specified criteria. The main difference is the type of criteria that can be used; in media queries device, document, and media criteria are used, while element criteria are used in element queries. It can somewhat simplified be described as that media queries target the document root and up (i.e., the viewport, browser, OS, device, input mechanisms, etc.) while element queries target the document root and down (i.e., elements of the document).

7.1.1 Standardization

It is stated on the W3C's www-style mailing list [4] by Zbarsky of Mozilla, Atkins of Google and Sprehn of Google that element queries are infeasible to implement without restricting them. By limiting element queries to specially separated container elements that can only be queried by child elements, many of the problems are resolved [2, 1]. Therefore, the Responsive Issues Community Group (RICG) is currently investigating the possibility of standardizing container queries.

Unfortunately, even such limited container queries requires significant effort to implement [1]. Atkins argues that a correct and full implementation is unlikely to be implemented, and therefore it might be wiser to pursue sub-standards that aids third-party solutions instead.

7.1.2 JavaScript implementations

- Why is this pragmatic? Compatability, no impact (performance, language) on apps that do not need responsive modules.
- Why must it be a client side JS library?
- Note drawbacks (but only drawbacks for added functionality!).
- Mention that there is related work.

Although an implementation in JavaScript will most probably be less performant than a native implementation, it can still be beneficial to have such feature implemented as a third-party library to avoid complex and restricting code in browsers.

8. RELATED WORK

9. CONCLUSIONS

- Production ready.
- Probably no standard (or not in a long time).

10. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This section is optional; it is a location for you to acknowledge grants, funding, editing assistance and what have you. In the present case, for example, the authors would like to thank Gerald Murray of ACM for his help in codifying this Author's Guide and the .cls and .tex files that it describes.

11. REFERENCES

- [1] Css containment draft. An issue that discusses why a special element viewport element is needed and why a standard for CQ might be hard to push.
- [2] Ricg irc log. The log where the element query limitations were discussed.
- [3] D. L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Communications of the ACM*, 15(12):1053–1058, 1972.
- [4] W3C. W3C public mail archive: The :min-width/:max-width pseudo-classes. https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/www-style/ 2013Mar/0368.html accessed 2015-04-28.