

Feasibility Study

- What is a feasibility study?
 - What to study and conclude?
- Types of feasibility
 - Technical
 - Economic
 - Schedule
 - Operational
- Quantifying benefits and costs
 - Payback analysis
 - Net Present Value Analysis
 - Return on Investment Analysis
- Comparing alternatives

Why a feasibility study?

- Objectives:
 - To find out if an system development project can be done:
 - ...is it possible?
 - ...is it justified?
 - To suggest possible alternative solutions.
 - To provide management with enough information to know:
 - Whether the project can be done
 - Whether the final product will benefit its intended users
 - What the alternatives are (so that a selection can be made in subsequent phases)
 - Whether there is a preferred alternative
- A management-oriented activity:
 - After a feasibility study, management makes a “go/no-go” decision.
 - Need to examine the problem in the context of broader business strategy

Content of a feasibility study

- The present organizational system
 - Stakeholders, users, policies, functions, objectives,...
- Problems with the present system
 - inconsistencies, inadequacies in functionality, performance,...
- Goals and other requirements for the new system
 - Which problem(s) need to be solved?
 - What would the stakeholders like to achieve?
- Constraints
 - including nonfunctional requirements on the system (preliminary pass)
- Possible alternatives
 - “Sticking with the current system” is always an alternative
 - Different business processes for solving the problems
 - Different levels/types of computerization for the solutions
- Advantages and disadvantages of the alternatives
- Things to conclude:
 - Feasibility of the project
 - The preferred alternative.

- Useful for identifying operational problems to be solved, and their urgency
- **P**erformance
 - Is current throughput and response time adequate?
- **I**nformation
 - Do end users and managers get timely, pertinent, accurate and usefully formatted information?
- **E**conomy
 - Are services provided by the current system cost-effective?
 - Could there be a reduction in costs and/or an increase in benefits?

The “PIECES” framework

- **Control**
 - Are there effective controls to protect against fraud and to guarantee information accuracy and security?
- **Efficiency**
 - Does current system make good use of resources: people, time, flow of forms,...?
- **Services**
 - Are current services reliable? Are they flexible and expandable?

the PIECES Framework

A checklist for identifying problems with an existing information system.

- Performance
 - Throughput
 - Response Time
- Information (and Data)
 - Outputs
 - Lack of any information
 - Lack of necessary information
 - Lack of relevant information
 - Too much information – information overload
 - Information that is not in a useful format
 - Information that is not accurate
 - Information that is difficult to produce
 - Information that is not timely to its subsequent use
 - Inputs
 - Data is not captured
 - Data is not captured in time to be useful
 - Data is not accurately captured – contains errors
 - Data is difficult to capture
 - Data is captured redundantly – same data is captured more than once
 - Too much data is captured
 - Illegal data is captured
 - Stored Data
 - Data is stored redundantly in multiple files and/or databases
 - Stored data is not accurate
 - Data is not secure from accident or vandalism
 - Data is not well organized
 - Data is not flexible – not easy to meet new information needs from stored data
 - Data is not accessible
- Economics
 - Costs
 - Costs are unknown
 - Costs are untraceable
 - Costs are too high
 - Profits
 - New markets can be explored
 - Current marketing can be improved
- Control (and Security)
 - Too little security or control
 - Input data is not adequately edited
 - Crimes (e.g. fraud, embezzlement) are (or can be) committed against the data
 - Ethics are breached on data or information – refers to data or information getting to unauthorized people
 - Redundantly stored data is inconsistent in different files or databases
 - Data privacy regulations or guidelines are being (or can be) violated
 - Processing errors are occurring (either by people, machines, or software)

- Decision- making errors are occurring
- Too much control or security
 - Bureaucratic red tape slows the system
 - Controls inconvenience customers or employees
 - Excessive controls cause processing delays
- Efficiency
 - People, machines, or computers waste time
 - Data is redundantly input or copied
 - Data is redundantly processed
 - Information is redundantly generated
 - People, machines, or computers waste materials and suppliers
 - Effort required for tasks is excessive
 - Materials required for tasks is excessive
- Service
 - The system produces inaccurate results
 - The system produces inconsistent results
 - The system produces unreliable results
 - The system is not easy to learn
 - The system is not easy to use
 - The system is awkward to use
 - The system is inflexible to new or exceptional situations
 - The system is inflexible to change
 - The system is incompatible with other systems
 - The system is not coordinated with other systems

Technical feasibility

- Is the project possible with current technology?
- What technical risk is there?
- Availability of the technology:
 - Is it available locally?
 - Can it be obtained?
 - Will it be compatible with other systems?

Economic feasibility

- Is the project possible, given resource constraints?
- What are the benefits?
 - Both tangible and intangible
- Quantify them!
- What are the development and operational costs?

Schedule feasibility

- Is it possible to build a solution in time to be useful?
 - What are the consequences of delay?
 - Any constraints on the schedule?
 - Can these constraints be met?

Operational feasibility

- If the system is developed, will it be used?
- Human and social issues...
 - Potential labour objections?
 - Manager resistance?
 - Organizational conflicts and policies?
 - Social acceptability?
 - legal aspects and government regulations?

- Is the proposed technology or solution practical?
 - Do we currently possess the necessary technology?
 - Do we possess the necessary technical expertise
 - ...and is the schedule reasonable for this team?
 - Is relevant technology mature enough to be easily applied to our problem?
- What kinds of technology will we need?
 - Some organizations like to use state-of-the-art technology
 - ...but most prefer to use mature and proven technology.
 - A mature technology has a larger customer base for obtaining advice concerning problems and improvements.

- Is the required technology available “in house”?
 - If the technology is available:
 - ...does it have the capacity to handle the solution?
 - If the technology is not available:
 - ...can it be acquired?

- Can the bottom line be quantified yet?
 - Very early in the project...
 - a judgement of whether solving the problem is worthwhile.
 - Once specific requirements and solutions have been identified...
 - ...the costs and benefits of each alternative can be calculated

- **Cost-benefit analysis**

- Purpose - answer questions such as:

- Is the project justified (I.e. will benefits outweigh costs)?
 - What is the minimal cost to attain a certain system?
 - How soon will the benefits accrue?
 - Which alternative offers the best return on investment?

- Examples of things to consider:

- Hardware/software selection
 - Selection among alternative financing arrangements (rent/lease/purchase)

- Difficulties

- benefits and costs can both be intangible, hidden and/or hard to estimate
 - ranking multi-criteria alternatives

Benefits

- **Tangible Benefits**

- Readily quantified as \$ values

- Examples:

- increased sales
 - cost/error reductions
 - increased throughput/efficiency
 - increased margin on sales
 - more effective use of staff time

- **Intangible benefits**

- Difficult to quantify

- But maybe more important!
 - business analysts help estimate \$ values

- Examples:

- increased flexibility of operation
 - higher quality products/services
 - better customer relations
 - improved staff morale

- **How will the benefits accrue?**

- When - over what timescale?

- Where in the organization?

- **Development costs (OTO)**

- Development and purchasing costs:

- Cost of development team
 - Consultant fees
 - software used (buy or build)?
 - hardware (what to buy, buy/lease)?
 - facilities (site, communications, power,...)

- Installation and conversion costs:

- installing the system,
 - training personnel,
 - file conversion,....

- **Operational costs (on-going)**

- System Maintenance:

- hardware (repairs, lease, supplies,...),
 - software (licenses and contracts),
 - facilities

- Personnel:

- For operation (data entry, backups,...)
 - For support (user support, hardware and software maintenance, supplies,...)
 - On-going training costs

Example: costs for small Client-Server project

Personnel:

2	System Analysts (400 hours/ea \$35.00/hr)	\$28,000
4	Programmer/Analysts (250 hours/ea \$25.00/hr)	\$25,000
1	GUI Designer (200 hours/ea \$35.00/hr)	\$7,000
1	Telecommunications Specialist (50 hours/ea \$45.00/hr)	\$2,250
1	System Architect (100 hours/ea \$45.00/hr)	\$4,500
1	Database Specialist (15 hours/ea \$40.00/hr)	\$600
1	System Librarian (250 hours/ea \$10.00/hr)	\$2,500

Expenses:

4	Smalltalk training registration (\$3500.00/student)	\$14,000
---	---	----------

New Hardware & Software:

1	Development Server (Pentium Pro class)	\$18,700
1	Server Software (operating system, misc.)	\$1,500
1	DBMS server software	\$7,500
7	DBMS Client software (\$950.00 per client)	\$6,650

Total Development Costs:

\$118,200

PROJECTED ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS

Personnel:

2	Programmer/Analysts (125 hours/ea \$25.00/hr)	\$6,250
1	System Librarian (20 hours/ea \$10.00/hr)	\$200

Expenses:

1	Maintenance Agreement for Pentium Pro Server	\$995
1	Maintenance Agreement for Server DBMS software	\$525
	Preprinted forms (15,000/year @ .22/form)	\$3,300

Total Projected Annual Costs:

\$11,270



UNIVERSITY of
GREENWICH
Alliance with FPT Education

Analyzing Costs vs. Benefits

- Identify costs and benefits
 - Tangible and intangible, one-time and recurring
 - Assign values to costs and benefits
- Determine Cash Flow
 - Project the costs and benefits over time, e.g. 3-5 years
 - Calculate **Net Present Value** for all future costs/benefits
 - determines future costs/benefits of the project in terms of today's dollar values
 - A dollar earned today is worth more than a potential dollar earned next year

 UNIVERSITY of
GREENWICH
Alliance with FPT Education

Analyzing Costs vs. Benefits

- Do cost/benefit analysis

- Calculate **Return on Investment**:

- Allows comparison of lifetime profitability of alternative solutions.

$$\text{ROI} = \frac{\text{Total Profit}}{\text{Total Cost}} = \frac{\text{Lifetime benefits} - \text{Lifetime costs}}{\text{Lifetime costs}}$$

- Calculate **Break-Even point**:

- how long will it take (in years) to pay back the accrued costs:

@T (Accrued Benefit > Accrued Cost)

- A dollar today is worth more than a dollar tomorrow...
 - Your analysis should be normalized to “current year” dollar values.
- The discount rate
 - measures opportunity cost:
 - Money invested in this project means money not available for other things
 - Benefits expected in future years are more prone to risk
 - This number is company- and industry-specific.
 - “what is the average annual return for investments in this industry?”

- **Present Value:**

- The “current year” dollar value for costs/benefits n years into the future
 - ... for a given discount rate i

$$\text{Present_Value}(n) = \frac{1}{(1 + i)^n}$$

- E.g. if the discount rate is 12%, then
 - $\text{Present_Value}(1) = 1/(1 + 0.12)^1 = 0.893$
 - $\text{Present_Value}(2) = 1/(1 + 0.12)^2 = 0.797$

- Measures the total value of the investment
 - ...with all figures adjusted to present dollar values
 - $NPV = \text{Cumulative PV of all benefits} - \text{Cumulative PV of all costs}$
 - Assuming subsequent years are like year 4...
 - the net present value of this investment in the project will be:
 - after 5 years, \$13,652
 - after 6 years, \$36,16

Cash Flow	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	
Dev. Costs	(\$100,000)					
Oper. Costs		(\$4,000)	(\$4,500)	(\$5,000)	(\$5,500)	
Present Value	1	0.893	0.797	0.712	0.636	
Time-adj Costs	(\$100,000)	(\$3,572)	(\$3,587)	(\$3,560)	(\$3,816)	
Cumulative Costs	(\$100,000)	(\$103,572)	(\$107,159)	(\$110,719)	(\$114,135)	
Benefits	0	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$35,000	\$50,000	
T-adj Benefits	0	\$22,325	\$23,910	\$24,920	\$31,800	
Cumulative Benefits	0	\$22,325	\$46,235	\$71,155	\$102,955	
Net Costs+Benefits	(\$100,000)	(\$81,243)	(\$60,924)	(\$39,564)	(\$11,580)	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	Payback Analysis for Client-Server System Alternative								
2	(Numbers rounded to nearest \$1)								
3									
4	Cash flow description	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	
5	Development cost:	(\$418,040)							
6	Operation & maintenance cost:		(\$15,045)	(\$16,000)	(\$17,000)	(\$18,000)	(\$19,000)	(\$20,000)	
7	Discount factors for 12%:	1.000	0.893	0.797	0.712	0.636	0.567	0.507	
8	Time-adjusted costs (adjusted to present)	(\$418,040)	(\$13,435)	(\$12,752)	(\$12,104)	(\$11,448)	(\$10,773)	(\$10,140)	
9	Cumulative time-adjusted costs over	(\$418,040)	(\$431,475)	(\$444,227)	(\$456,331)	(\$467,779)	(\$478,552)	(\$488,692)	
10									
11	Benefits derived from operation of new	\$0	\$150,000	\$170,000	\$190,000	\$210,000	\$230,000	\$250,000	
12	Discount factors for 12%:	1.000	\$0.89	\$0.80	\$0.71	\$0.64	\$0.57	\$0.51	
13	Time-adjusted benefits (current of present)	\$0	\$133,950	\$135,490	\$135,280	\$133,560	\$130,410	\$126,750	
14	Cumulative time-adjusted benefits over	\$0	\$133,950	\$269,440	\$404,720	\$538,280	\$668,690	\$795,440	
15		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
16	Cumulative lifetime time-adjusted costs +	(\$418,040)	(\$297,525)	(\$174,787)	(\$51,611)	\$70,501	\$190,138	\$306,748	
17	<div style="text-align: center;"> Payback Analysis </div>								
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									

Computing the payback period

- Can compute the break-even point:
 - when does lifetime benefits overtake lifetime costs?
 - Determine the fraction of a year when payback actually occurs:

$$\frac{|\text{beginningYear amount}|}{\text{endYear amount} + |\text{beginningYear amount}|}$$

- For our last example, $51,611 / (70,501 + 51,611) = 0.42$
- Therefore, the payback period is approx 3.4 years

Return on Investment (ROI) analysis

- For comparing overall profitability
 - Which alternative is the best investment?
 - ROI measures the ratio of the value of an investment to its cost.

- ROI is calculated as follows:

$$\text{ROI} = \frac{\text{Estimated lifetime benefits} - \text{Estimated lifetime costs}}{\text{Estimated lifetime costs}}$$

or:

$$\text{ROI} = \frac{\text{Net Present value}}{\text{Estimated lifetime costs}}$$

For our example

- $\text{ROI} = (795,440 - 488,692) / 488,692 \approx 63\%$,
- or $\text{ROI} = 306,748 / 488,692 \approx 63\%$
- Solution with the highest ROI is the best alternative
 - But need to know payback period too to get the full picture
 - E.g. A lower ROI with earlier payback may be preferable in some circumstances

- How long will it take to get the technical expertise?
 - We may have the technology, but that doesn't mean we have the skills required to properly apply that technology.
 - May need to hire new people
 - Or re-train existing systems staff
 - Whether hiring or training, it will impact the schedule.
- Assess the schedule risk:
 - Given our technical expertise, are the project deadlines reasonable?
 - If there are specific deadlines, are they mandatory or desirable?
 - If the deadlines are not mandatory, the analyst can propose several alternative schedules.

- What are the real constraints on project deadlines?
 - If the project overruns, what are the consequences?
 - Deliver a properly functioning information system two months late...
 - ...or deliver an error-prone, useless information system on time?
 - Missed schedules are bad, but inadequate systems are worse!

- How do end-users and managers feel about...
 - ...the problem you identified?
 - ...the alternative solutions you are exploring?
- You must evaluate:
 - Not just whether a system **can** work...
 - ... but also whether a system **will** work.

- Any solution might meet with resistance:
 - Does **management** support the project?
 - How do the **end users** feel about their role in the new system?
 - Which users or managers may resist (or not use) the system?
 - People tend to resist change.
 - Can this problem be overcome? If so, how?
 - How will the working environment of the end users change?
 - Can or will end users and management adapt to the change?

Feasibility Study Contents

1. Purpose & scope of *the study*

- Objectives (of the study)
- who commissioned it & who did it,
- sources of information,
- process used for the study,
- how long did it take,...

2. Description of present situation

- organizational setting, current system(s).
- Related factors and constraints.

3. Problems and requirements

- What's wrong with the present situation?
- What changes are needed?

4. Objectives of the new system.

- Goals and relationships between them

5. Possible alternatives

- ...including 'do nothing'.

6. Criteria for comparison

- definition of the criteria

7. Analysis of alternatives

- description of each alternative
- evaluation with respect to criteria
- cost/benefit analysis and special implications.

8. Recommendations

- what is recommended and implications
- what to do next;
 - E.g. may recommend an interim solution and a permanent solution

9. Appendices

- to include any supporting material.

Comparing Alternatives

- How do we compare alternatives?
 - When there are multiple selection criteria?
 - When none of the alternatives is superior across the board?
- Use a Feasibility Analysis Matrix!
 - The columns correspond to the candidate solutions;
 - The rows correspond to the feasibility criteria;
 - The cells contain the feasibility assessment notes for each candidate;
 - Each row can be assigned a rank or score for each criterion
 - e.g., for operational feasibility, candidates can be ranked 1, 2, 3, etc.
 - A final ranking or score is recorded in the last row.
- Other evaluation criteria to include in the matrix
 - quality of output
 - ease of use
 - vendor support
 - cost of maintenance
 - load on system

	Weighting	Candidate 1	Candidate 1	Candidate 1
Description				
Operational Feasibility				
Technical Feasibility				
Schedule Feasibility				
Economic Feasibility				
Ranking				

Feasibility Criteria	Wt.	Candidate 1	Candidate 2	Candidate 3	Candidate ...
Operational Feasibility	30%	Score: 60	Score: 100	Score: 100	
Technical Feasibility	30%	Score: 50	Score: 95	Score: 100	
Economic Feasibility Cost to develop: Payback period (discounted): Net present value: Detailed calculations:	30%	Approximately \$350,000. Approximately 4.5 years. Approximately \$210,000. See Attachment A. Score: 60	Approximately \$418,040. Approximately 3.5 years. Approximately \$306,748. See Attachment A. Score: 85	Approximately \$400,000. Approximately 3.3 years. Approximately \$325,500. See Attachment A. Score: 90	
Schedule Feasibility An assessment of how long the solution will take to design and implement.	10%	Less than 3 months. Score: 95	9-12 months Score: 80	9 months Score: 85	
Ranking	100%	60.5	92	83.5	

		Alternatives			
		Wt	Vendor 1	Vendor 3	Vendor 4
Criteria	Cost	.30	4	4	4
	Response time	.17	3	3	5
	Training time	.17	2	4	5
	Ease of use	.17	1	4	4
	Strong team	.10	3	4	2
	Team experience	.10	3	4	2
Total		1.0	16	23	22
Weighted total			2.8	3.8	3.9