

VRF Construction :

Gen(L^n):

SK: $\bar{u}; u_0; u_1; \dots; u_n$

PK: $g^{\bar{u}}; g^{u_0}; g^{u_1}; \dots; g^{u_n}; h$

Eval(SK, X):

$X = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$

$Y = e(g^{\bar{u} u_0 u_1^{x_1} u_2^{x_2} \dots u_n^{x_n}}; h)$

$\pi_i = g^{\bar{u} u_1^{x_1} u_2^{x_2} \dots u_i^{x_i}}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$\pi_0 = g^{\bar{u} u_0 u_1^{x_1} u_2^{x_2} \dots u_n^{x_n}}$

Verify(PK, X, Y, π)

Check $\begin{cases} e(\pi_1, g) = e(g^{\bar{u}}, g^{u_1^{x_1}}) \\ e(\pi_i, g) = e(\pi_{i-1}, g^{u_i^{x_i}}) \forall i \end{cases}$

Check $e(\pi_0, g) = e(\pi_n, g^{u_0}), e(\pi_0, h) = Y$

Assumption: $x \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_p$

Given $g, g^x, g^{x^2}, g^{x^3}, \dots, g^{x^{l-1}}, g^{x^{l+1}}, g^{x^{l+2}}, \dots, g^x$
 $e(g, h)^{x^i}$ vs random

Reduction: $Q = \# \text{ Queries}$

$$L = 4Q(n+1), m = 4Q$$

Choose: $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, r' \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_m$

$$s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, s' \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$k \xleftarrow{R} \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

$$\text{SK: } u_1 = x^{km+r'}, \bar{u} = x^m, u_i = x^{r_i s_i}$$

$$\text{calculate: PK: } g^{x^{r_i s_i}}, g^{x^m}, g^{x^{km+r' s'}}$$

Oracle: A output $\bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^Q$

$$C(X) = km + r' + m + r_1 X_1 + r_2 X_2 + \dots + r_n X_n$$

$$J(X) = s_1^{X_1} s_2^{X_2} \dots s_n^{X_n} s'$$

$$C(X, u) = m + r_1 X_1 + r_2 X_2 + \dots + r_i X_i$$

$$J(X, u) = s_1^{X_1} s_2^{X_2} \dots s_i^{X_i}$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, Q$:

± 1 $k(\bar{x}^i) = 0$ then abort

Else calculate $Y = e(g^{x^{C(\bar{x}^i)}}, h)^{J(\bar{x}^i)}$

$$\pi_j = g^{x^{C(\bar{x}^i, j)} J(\bar{x}^i, j)}$$

Challenge: If $C(X) \neq l$ then abort

Else:

$$\text{Denote } (\bar{X}) = (\bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^Q, X')$$

$$(r) = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, \overset{\text{VKA}}{r'})$$

Begin sampling phrase:

Sampling phrase:

$$T(\bar{X}, (\Gamma), K) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } C(X') \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall_{i=L}^Q K(\bar{X}^i) =$$

$$Q_{\min} = \frac{1}{8Q(n+1)}$$

$$T = 128 \epsilon^{-2} \ln \left(\left(\frac{\epsilon}{8} \right)^{-L-L} Q_{\min}^{-L} \right) Q_{\min}$$

$$K_1, K_2, \dots, K_T \xleftarrow{R} \{0, 1\}^{n+L}$$

$$(\Gamma_1), (\Gamma_2), \dots, (\Gamma_T) \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+L}$$

$$\text{Calculate } a'_{\bar{X}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T (1 - T(\bar{X}, (\Gamma_i), K_i)).$$

If $a'_{\bar{X}} > a_{\min}$ (not), abort with $\text{pr} = \frac{Q_{\min}}{a'_{\bar{X}}}$.

Else calculate $y^{T(X')}$

Security analysis:

$$\Pr[A \text{ win}] = \sum_{(\bar{X})} \Pr[A \text{ chooses } \bar{X}] \cdot \Pr[A(\bar{X}) \text{ guess}]$$

Let $\text{abort}(\bar{X})$ denote the event A' aborts when A chooses (\bar{X})

$$\Pr[A' \text{ win}] = \sum_{(\bar{X})} (\Pr[\text{abort}(\bar{X})] \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \Pr[\overline{\text{abort}(\bar{X})}] \cdot \Pr[A(\bar{X}) \text{ guess right}])$$

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{(\bar{X})} \Pr[\overline{\text{abort}(\bar{X})}] \Pr[A \text{ choose } \bar{X}] \cdot (\Pr[A(\bar{X}) \text{ guess right}])$$

sampling phrase motivation.

Because $\Pr[A \text{ guess right}] - \frac{1}{2}$ can be negative for some (\bar{X}) , we can't estimate $\Pr[\text{abort}(\bar{X})]$

\Rightarrow We wish to make $\Pr[\text{abort}(\bar{X})]$ close to a certain value

let $a_{\bar{X}}$ denote the probability we will abort before the sampling phrase ($\Pr[r((\bar{X}), (r), k) = 0]$)

In the paper, we have: $a_{\bar{X}} \gg a_{\min}$

\Rightarrow We wish $\Pr[\text{abort}(\bar{X})] = a_{\min} \Rightarrow$ when $r((\bar{X}), (r), k) = 0$, abort with $\Pr = \frac{a_{\min}}{a_{\bar{X}}} \Rightarrow$

Impossible, since we don't know $a_{\bar{X}}$

\Rightarrow Calculate $a'_{\bar{X}}$, and see that $a'_{\bar{X}}$ is close to $a_{\bar{X}}$ with overwhelming probability

$\Rightarrow \Pr[\text{abort}(\bar{X})]$ is very close to $a_{\min} \forall (\bar{X})$

$(\bar{X})]$

$] \Pr[A \text{ chooses } \bar{X}]$

guess right] - $\frac{1}{2}$)

VKA

Chernoff bound:

X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent random variable
 $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n, \mu = E[X]$

$\forall \epsilon > 0$

$$\Pr[X \geq (1+\epsilon)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon}} \right)^\mu$$

$$\Pr[X \leq (1-\epsilon)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^{-\epsilon}}{(1-\epsilon)^{1-\epsilon}} \right)^\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } \Pr[X \geq (1+\epsilon)\mu] &= \Pr[e^{tX} \geq e^{t(1+\epsilon)\mu}] \leq \frac{E[e^{tX}]}{e^{t(1+\epsilon)\mu}} \\ &= \frac{E[e^{tX_1}] E[e^{tX_2}] \dots E[e^{tX_n}]}{e^{t(1+\epsilon)\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

$$E[e^{tX_i}] = (1-p) + pe^t \leq e^{p(e^t-1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[X \geq (1+\epsilon)\mu] \leq e^{\mu(e^t-1) - t(1+\epsilon)\mu}$$

We choose t so that $\mu(e^t-1) - t(1+\epsilon)\mu$ is min
($t = \ln(1+\epsilon)$)

$$\text{Thus } \Pr[X \geq (1+\epsilon)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon}} \right)^\mu$$

Similarly, $\Pr[X \leq (1-\epsilon)\mu] = \Pr[-X \geq (\epsilon-1)\mu]$

$$\text{Thus } \Pr[X \leq (1-\epsilon)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^{-\epsilon}}{(1-\epsilon)^{1-\epsilon}} \right)^\mu$$

We can have a less tight bound, but nicer look

$$\Pr[X \geq (1+\epsilon)\mu] \leq e^{-\mu\epsilon^2/(2+\epsilon)}$$

$$\Pr[X \leq (1-\epsilon)\mu] \leq e^{-\mu\epsilon^2/2}$$

Estimate $\Pr[\text{abort}(\bar{X})]$ and $\Pr[\overline{\text{abort}(\bar{X})}]$

We have: $E[a'_x] = a_x$

$$\Pr[Ta'_x \leq Ta_x(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{8})] < e^{-Ta_x \cdot (\frac{\epsilon}{8})^2 / 2} = a_{\min} \frac{\epsilon}{8}$$

Thus $\Pr[\text{abort}(\bar{X})] = 1 - \Pr[\overline{\text{abort}(\bar{X})}]$

$$= 1 - a_x \left(\sum_i \Pr[a'_x = i] \cdot \Pr[\text{abort sample} \mid a'_x = i] \right)$$

$$\geq 1 - a_x \left(\Pr[a'_x \leq a_x(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{8})] + \sum_{i > a_x(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{8})} \Pr[a'_x = i] \cdot \frac{a_{\min}}{a'_x} \right)$$

$$\geq 1 - a_x \left(a_{\min} \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \frac{a_{\min}}{a_x(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{8})} \right)$$

nal

$$\geq 1 - a_{\min} - a_{\min} \cdot \frac{36}{8}$$

Similarly, $\Pr[\overline{\text{abort}(\bar{X})}] \geq a_{\min} (1 - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon)$

VKA

$$P[A' \text{ win}] \rightarrow (1 - \alpha_{\min} - \alpha_{\min} \frac{3\epsilon}{8}) \frac{1}{2} + (1 - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon) \alpha_{\min}$$

$$> \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\epsilon}{64Q(n+1)} \quad \text{Clazy, just see the paper}$$

Thus, if A can win with probability $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, then A' can win with probability $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\epsilon}{64Q(n+1)}$

This is much better than Dodis-Yampovskiy's VRF.