

Evaluation of the written dissertation		Reader : PHAM Hoang Son	Student(s) : Roudoly Yves Mbonya Ndumbe					
Criteria		Lettered grades						
Content	Consistency and relevance of the basics and the topic	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Formulation and clarity of the objectives, relevance and completeness of the state of the art, current status, soundness of theoretical/technical foundations, context</i>							
	Appropriate methodology and use of tools	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Adequate choice of experiments, models, computations, simulations, tests, and laboratory works, or software platform</i>							
	Amount of results	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Amount of work, number of results, completeness of the investigation</i>							
	Validity of the produced results	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Norms, rules, accuracy, validation, robustness of the results</i>							
	Innovation, originality	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Novelty, creativity</i>							
Form	Scientific quality of the argumentation, critical mind, discussions	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Critical analysis, connection with the literature, perspectives, horizons, meeting or going beyond the objectives, critical view on the contributions</i>							
	Application of norms, rules, and good practice (technical, jurisdictional, ethical)	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
<i>Terminology, rules, safety, human experiment, environmental aspects</i>								
Form	Writing quality	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Care, spelling, layout, correct use of the language, conciseness and ability to synthesize, respect of length criteria</i>							
	Figures and illustrations (of methodology and results)	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
<i>Readability, choice, relevance</i>								
Form	Consistency in writing	A	B	C	D	E	F	NA
	<i>Clear and accurate explanations, consistent structure, adequate scientific / technical guideline, perceivable guideline</i>							
Global numeric grade		13 / 20						