http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

2.3 Quicksort

- quicksort
- selection
- duplicate keys
- system sorts

Duplicate keys

Often, purpose of sort is to bring items with equal keys together.

- Sort population by age.
- Remove duplicates from mailing list.
- Sort job applicants by college attended.

Typical characteristics of such applications.

- Huge array.
- Small number of key values.

```
Chicago 09:25:52
Chicago 09:03:13
Chicago 09:21:05
Chicago 09:19:46
Chicago 09:19:32
Chicago 09:00:00
Chicago 09:35:21
Chicago 09:00:59
Houston 09:01:10
Houston 09:00:13
Phoenix 09:37:44
Phoenix 09:00:03
Phoenix 09:14:25
Seattle 09:10:25
Seattle 09:36:14
Seattle 09:22:43
Seattle 09:10:11
Seattle 09:22:54
```

key

Duplicate keys: stop on equal keys

Our partitioning subroutine stops both scans on equal keys.

scan until $\geq$ P

scan until $\leq$ P

P	G	E	P	A	Q	B	P	Y	C	O	U	P	Z	S	R

Q. Why not continue scans on equal keys?

scan until $>$ P

scan until $<$ P

P	G	E	P	A	Q	B	P	Y	C	O	U	P	Z	S	R

Quicksort quiz 2

What is the result of partitioning the following array (skip over equal keys)?



A. 

B. 

C. 

D. *I don't know.*

Quicksort quiz 3

What is the result of partitioning the following array (stop on equal keys)?

scan until ≥ A → ← scan until ≤ A

A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

A.

A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

B.

A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

C.

A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

D. *I don't know.*

Partitioning an array with all equal keys

i	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
1	15	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
1	15	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
2	14	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
2	14	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
3	13	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
3	13	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
4	12	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
4	12	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
5	11	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
5	11	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
6	10	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
6	10	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
7	9	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
7	9	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	8	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	8	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

Duplicate keys: partitioning strategies

Bad. Don't stop scans on equal keys.

[$\sim \frac{1}{2} N^2$ compares when all keys equal]

B A A B A B B B C C C　　　A A A A A A A A A A A

Good. Stop scans on equal keys.

[$\sim N \lg N$ compares when all keys equal]

B A A B A B C C B C B　　　A A A A A A A A A A A

Better. Put all equal keys in place. How?

[$\sim N$ compares when all keys equal]

A A A B B B B B C C C　　　A A A A A A A A A A A

Dutch National Flag Problem

Problem. [Edsger Dijkstra] Given an array of N buckets, each containing a red, white, or blue pebble, sort them by color.



Operations allowed.

- $swap(i, j)$: swap the pebble in bucket i with the pebble in bucket j.
- $color(i)$: color of pebble in bucket i.

Requirements.

- Exactly N calls to $color()$.
- At most N calls to $swap()$.
- Constant extra space.

3-way partitioning

Goal. Partition array into three parts so that:

- Entries between `lt` and `gt` equal to the partition item.
- No larger entries to left of `lt`.
- No smaller entries to right of `gt`.



Dutch national flag problem. [Edsger Dijkstra]

- Conventional wisdom until mid 1990s: not worth doing.
- Now incorporated into C library `qsort()` and Java 6 system sort.

Dijkstra 3-way partitioning demo

- Let `v` be partitioning item `a[lo]`.
- Scan `i` from left to right.
  - `(a[i]  < v)`: exchange `a[lt]` with `a[i]`; increment both `lt` and `i`
  - `(a[i]  > v)`: exchange `a[gt]` with `a[i]`; decrement `gt`
  - `(a[i] == v)`: increment `i`



invariant



Dijkstra 3-way partitioning demo

- Let `v` be partitioning item `a[lo]`.
- Scan `i` from left to right.
  - `(a[i]  < v)`: exchange `a[lt]` with `a[i]`; increment both `lt` and `i`
  - `(a[i]  > v)`: exchange `a[gt]` with `a[i]`; decrement `gt`
  - `(a[i] == v)`: increment `i`



invariant



Dijkstra's 3-way partitioning: trace

v

lt	i	gt	a[0]	a[1]	a[2]	a[3]	a[4]	a[5]	a[6]	a[7]	a[8]	a[9]	a[10]	a[11]
0	0	11	R	B	W	W	R	W	B	R	R	W	B	R
0	1	11	R	B	W	W	R	W	B	R	R	W	B	R
1	2	11	B	R	W	W	R	W	B	R	R	W	B	R
1	2	10	B	R	R	W	R	W	B	R	R	W	B	W
1	3	10	B	R	R	W	R	W	B	R	R	W	B	W
1	3	9	B	R	R	B	R	W	B	R	R	W	W	W
2	4	9	B	B	R	R	R	W	B	R	R	W	W	W
2	5	9	B	B	R	R	R	W	B	R	R	W	W	W
2	5	8	B	B	R	R	R	W	B	R	R	W	W	W
2	5	7	B	B	R	R	R	R	B	R	W	W	W	W
2	6	7	B	B	R	R	R	R	B	R	W	W	W	W
3	7	7	B	B	B	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	W
3	8	7	B	B	B	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	W
3	8	7	B	B	B	R	R	R	R	R	W	W	W	W

3-way partitioning trace (array contents after each loop iteration)

3-way quicksort: Java implementation

```
private static void sort(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi)
{
   if (hi <= lo) return;
   int lt = lo, gt = hi;
   Comparable v = a[lo];
   int i = lo;
   while (i <= gt)
   {
      int cmp = a[i].compareTo(v);
      if      (cmp < 0) exch(a, lt++, i++);
      else if (cmp > 0) exch(a, i, gt--);
      else              i++;
   }

   sort(a, lo, lt - 1);
   sort(a, gt + 1, hi);
}
```



3-way quicksort: visual trace



Duplicate keys: lower bound

Sorting lower bound. If there are n distinct keys and the i^{th} one occurs x_i times, any compare-based sorting algorithm must use at least

$$\lg \left(\frac{N!}{x_1! \; x_2! \; \cdots \; x_n!} \right) \sim -\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \lg \frac{x_i}{N}$$

$N \lg N$ when all distinct;
linear when only a constant number of distinct keys

compares in the worst case.

proportional to lower bound

Proposition. [Sedgewick-Bentley 1997]
Quicksort with 3-way partitioning is entropy-optimal.
Pf. [beyond scope of course]

Bottom line. Quicksort with 3-way partitioning reduces running time from linearithmic to linear in broad class of applications.

Sorting summary

	inplace?	stable?	best	average	worst	remarks
selection	✔		$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	N exchanges
insertion	✔	✔	N	$\frac{1}{4} N^2$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	use for small N or partially ordered
shell	✔		$N \log_3 N$	?	$c\, N^{3/2}$	tight code; subquadratic
merge		✔	$\frac{1}{2} N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N \log N$ guarantee; stable
timsort		✔	N	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	improves mergesort when preexisting order
quick	✔		$N \lg N$	$2 N \ln N$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$N \log N$ probabilistic guarantee; fastest in practice
3-way quick	✔		N	$2 N \ln N$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	improves quicksort when duplicate keys
?	✔	✔	N	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	holy sorting grail