

## Appendix: The process of proofing the Theorem 1

### Dynamic Random Testing:

Given a test suite  $TS$  classified into  $m$  partitions (denoted  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ ), suppose that a test case from  $s_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) is selected and executed. If this test case reveals a fault,  $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j \neq i$ , we then set

$$p'_j = \begin{cases} p_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} & \text{if } p_j \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} \\ 0 & \text{if } p_j < \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a probability adjusting factor, and then

$$p'_i = 1 - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^m p'_j. \quad (2)$$

Alternatively, if the test case does not reveal a fault, we set

$$p'_i = \begin{cases} p_i - \varepsilon & \text{if } p_i \geq \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } p_i < \varepsilon \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

and then for  $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j \neq i$ , we set

$$p'_j = \begin{cases} p_j + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} & \text{if } p_i \geq \varepsilon \\ p_j + \frac{p'_i}{m-1} & \text{if } p_i < \varepsilon \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 1.** For failure rate  $\theta_{min} = \min\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$ ,  $\theta_M > \theta_{min}$ , if  $0 < \theta_{min} < \frac{1}{2}$ , the following condition is sufficient to guarantee that  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ :

$$\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}} < \varepsilon < \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)}. \quad (5)$$

Before giving the proofs, we first need to explore the relationship between  $p_i^{n+1}$  and  $p_i^n$ , we calculate the conditional probability,  $p(i|\delta)$ , of the following four situations (denoted  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ , and  $\delta_4$ , respectively):

Situation 1 ( $\delta_1$ ):

1) If  $t_n \notin s_i$  and a fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_1)$  is calculated according to Formula 1:

$$p(i|\delta_1) = \sum_{i \neq j} \theta_j (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}).$$

2) If  $t_n \in s_i$  and a fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_2)$  is calculated according to Formula 2:

$$p(i|\delta_2) = \theta_i (p_i^n + \varepsilon).$$

3) If  $t_n \in s_i$  and no fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_3)$  is calculated according to Formula 3:

$$p(i|\delta_3) = (1 - \theta_i) (p_i^n - \varepsilon).$$

4) If  $t_n \notin s_i$  and no fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_4)$  is calculated according to Formula 4:

$$p(i|\delta_4) = \sum_{i \neq j} (1 - \theta_j) (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}).$$

Therefore,  $p_i^{n+1}$  for all cases together is:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_i^{n+1} &= p_i^n \theta_i (p_i^n + \varepsilon) + p_i^n (1 - \theta_i) (p_i^n - \varepsilon) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n (1 - \theta_j) (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \\
&= (p_i^n)^2 \theta_i + p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon + (p_i^n)^2 - p_i^n \varepsilon - (p_i^n)^2 \theta_i + p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon \\
&\quad + (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j + (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \\
&\quad - (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\
&= (p_i^n)^2 + 2p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon - p_i^n \varepsilon + (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} - p_i^n \\
&\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j + (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) (1 - p_i^n) \\
&= p_i^n + (p_i^n)^2 - (p_i^n)^2 + 2p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon - p_i^n \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} - \\
&\quad \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} p_i^n - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\
&= p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2p_i^n \theta_i m - p_i^n m - 2p_i^n \theta_i + 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\
&= p_i^n + Y_i^n,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_i^n &= \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2p_i^n \theta_i m - p_i^n m - 2p_i^n \theta_i + 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From Formula 7, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_M^n - Y_i^n &= \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2p_M^n \theta_M m - p_M^n m - 2p_M^n \theta_M + 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq M} p_j^n \theta_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2p_i^n \theta_i m - p_i^n m \\
&\quad - 2p_i^n \theta_i + 1) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2m(p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) - m(p_M^n - p_i^n) - \\
&\quad 2(p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i)) - \sum_{j \neq M} p_j^n \theta_j + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\
&= \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} (m(p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) - \frac{m(p_M^n - p_i^n)}{2} - \\
&\quad (p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i)) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} (p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) \\
&= \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} (m(p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) - \frac{m(p_M^n - p_i^n)}{2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If  $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$ , then  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

*Proof:* The condition  $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$  can be equivalently expressed as:

$$\frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2} < p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i. \tag{9}$$

From Formula 9,  $(p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) - \frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2} > 0$ , and because  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , and  $m > 1$ , therefore:

$$\frac{2m\varepsilon}{m-1} ((p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) - \frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2}) > 0. \tag{10}$$

Furthermore:

$$\frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} (m(p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) - \frac{m(p_M^n - p_i^n)}{2}) > 0. \tag{11}$$

According to Formulas 11 and 8, if  $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$ , then  $Y_M^n - Y_i^n > 0$ .

Also, because  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^{n+1} = 1$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^n = 1$ , therefore  $Y_M^n > 0$ , and thus  $\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i^n = 0$ .

According to Formula 6,  $p_M^{n+1} = p_M^n + Y_M^n$ . Because  $Y_M^n > 0$ , therefore  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .  $\square$

Accordingly, we can now present how to proof Theorem .

*Proof:* In order to guarantee  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ , we consider the following three situations (where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $i \neq M$ ).

**Situation 1** ( $p_i^n = p_M^n$ ): Because  $\theta_i < \theta_M$ , therefore  $(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M) < 0$ .

Therefore,  $(p_i^n - p_M^n) > 2(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$ .

According to Lemma 1, we have  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

**Situation 2** ( $p_i^n > p_M^n$ ): According to Formula 5, we have the following:

$$\varepsilon > \frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}}.$$

Because

$$\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}} = \frac{\theta_{min}}{1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m},$$

we have the following:

$$\varepsilon > \frac{\theta_{min}}{1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m}.$$

Because  $\theta_{\min} < 1/2$ , therefore  $1/2m\theta_{\min} - 1/m > 0$  and  $\varepsilon(1/2m\theta - 1/m) > \theta_{\min}$ , which gives  $\varepsilon/2m\theta_{\min} > \theta_{\min} + \varepsilon/m$ .

Because  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $m > 1$ , therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\theta_{\min}} > \frac{(\theta_{\min} + \varepsilon/m)}{(\varepsilon/m)}.$$

$(1/2\theta_{\min})(p_i^n - p_M^n) > (p_i^n - p_M^n)(\theta_{\min} + \varepsilon/m)/(\varepsilon/m)$  as  $p_i^n > p_M^n$ , and

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2\theta_{\min}(p_i^n - p_M^n) \frac{\theta_{\min} + \varepsilon/m}{\varepsilon/m}.$$

Because  $(\theta_{\min} + \varepsilon/m)/(\varepsilon/m) > 1$ , therefore

$$2\theta_{\min}(p_i^n - p_M^n) \frac{\theta_{\min} + \varepsilon/m}{\varepsilon/m} > 2\theta_{\min}(p_i^n - p_M^n).$$

Because  $\theta_{\min} < \theta_M$ , therefore

$$2\theta_{\min}(p_i^n - p_M^n) > 2(p_i^n\theta_{\min} - p_M^n\theta_M).$$

Thus,

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n\theta_{\min} - p_M^n\theta_M).$$

According to Lemma 1, we have  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

**Situation 3** ( $p_i^n < p_M^n$ ): For this proof, we make the assumption that  $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$ .

Because we have

$$\varepsilon < \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{\min}}{2(m+1)}$$

and

$$\frac{(m-1)m\theta_{\min}}{2(m+1)} = \frac{2m - (m+1)}{2(m+1)}m\theta_{\min},$$

thus

$$\varepsilon < \left(\frac{m}{m+1} - \frac{1}{2}\right)m\theta_{\min}.$$

Obviously,  $\varepsilon/m < (m/(m+1) - 1/2)\theta_{\min}$  as  $m > 1$ .

Furthermore, we have

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{m} > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{m+1}\right)\theta_{\min}$$

and

$$\frac{m\theta_{\min}}{m+1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m} > \frac{\theta_{\min}}{2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m}$$

which means that

$$\frac{m\theta_{\min}}{m+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} > \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{\min} + \frac{4\varepsilon}{m}).$$

It follows that

$$(m\theta_{\min}/(m+1) + \varepsilon/m)/(4\varepsilon/m + \theta_{\min}) > 1/2$$

for any  $m > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $0 < \theta_{\min} < 1$ .

Because  $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$ , therefore  $(m\theta_{\min}/(m+1) + \varepsilon/m)/(4\varepsilon/m + \theta_{\min}) > 1/2\theta_M$ .

Thus, we have

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{m\theta_{\min}}{m+1}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{\min}} > p_M^n - p_i^n$$

as  $p_M^n > p_i^n$ .

Because  $\varepsilon/m < 4\varepsilon/m$ , and  $m\theta_{min}/(m+1) < \theta_{min}$ , therefore

$$\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{min}} < 1$$

and

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M > 2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{min}}$$

Hence we have

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M > p_M^n - p_i^n,$$

which can be equivalently expressed as

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n - p_M^n)\theta_M.$$

Because  $\theta_{min} < \theta_M$ , therefore  $2(p_i^n - p_M^n)\theta_M > 2(p_i^n\theta_{min} - p_M^n\theta_M)$ , and thus

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n\theta_{min} - p_M^n\theta_M).$$

According to Lemma 1, we have  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ . □

In summary, when  $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$ , there is always an interval  $E$ :

$$\varepsilon \in \left( \frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}}, \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)} \right) \quad (12)$$

where  $\theta_{min} \leq \theta_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , and  $\theta_i \neq 0$ , which can guarantee  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

From the proof above, it is clear that the value of  $\theta_M$  affects the upper bound ( $E_{upper}$ ) of  $E$ . When  $\theta_{min} < \theta_M < \frac{1}{2}$ , the value of  $E_{upper}$  should close to the lower bound of  $E$ . In practice, we should set

$$\varepsilon \approx \frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}}. \quad (13)$$