## Appendix: The process of proofing the Theorem 1 Dynamic Random Testing:

Given a test suite TS classified into m partitions (denoted  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$ ), suppose that a test case from  $s_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ ) is selected and executed. If this test case reveals a fault,  $\forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$  and  $j \neq i$ , we then set

$$p'_{j} = \begin{cases} p_{j} - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} & \text{if } p_{j} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} \\ 0 & \text{if } p_{j} < \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} \end{cases}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a probability adjusting factor, and then

$$p_i' = 1 - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^{m} p_j'. \tag{2}$$

Alternatively, if the test case does not reveal a fault, we set

$$p_i' = \begin{cases} p_i - \varepsilon & \text{if } p_i \ge \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } p_i < \varepsilon \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

and then for  $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j \neq i$ , we set

$$p'_{j} = \begin{cases} p_{j} + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} & \text{if } p_{i} \ge \varepsilon \\ p_{j} + \frac{p'_{i}}{m-1} & \text{if } p_{i} < \varepsilon \end{cases}$$
 (4)

**Theorem 1.** For failure rate  $\theta_{min} = min\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$ ,  $\theta_M > \theta_{min}$ , if  $0 < \theta_{min} < \frac{1}{2}$ , the following condition is sufficient to guarantee that  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ :

$$\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}} < \varepsilon < \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)}.$$
 (5)

Before giving the proofs, we first need to explore the relationship between  $p_i^{n+1}$  and  $p_i^n$ , we calculate the conditional probability,  $p(i|\delta)$ , of the following four situations (denoted  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ , and  $\delta_4$ , respectively):

Situation 1 ( $\delta_1$ ):

1) If  $t_n \notin s_i$  and a fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_1)$  is calculated according to Formula 1:

$$p(i|\delta_1) = \sum_{i \neq j} \theta_j (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}).$$

2) If  $t_n \in s_i$  and a fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_2)$  is calculated according to Formula 2:

$$p(i|\delta_2) = \theta_i(p_i^n + \varepsilon).$$

3) If  $t_n \in s_i$  and no fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_3)$  is calculated according to Formula 3:

$$p(i|\delta_3) = (1 - \theta_i)(p_i^n - \varepsilon).$$

4) If  $t_n \notin s_i$  and no fault is detected by  $t_n$ , then  $p(i|\delta_4)$  is calculated according to Formula 4:

$$p(i|\delta_4) = \sum_{i \neq j} (1 - \theta_j)(p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}).$$

Therefore,  $p_i^{n+1}$  for all cases together is:

$$\begin{split} p_i^{n+1} &= p_i^n \theta_i(p_i^n + \varepsilon) + p_i^n (1 - \theta_i)(p_i^n - \varepsilon) \\ &+ \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j(p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n (1 - \theta_j)(p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \\ &= (p_i^n)^2 \theta_i + p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon + (p_i^n)^2 - p_i^n \varepsilon - (p_i^n)^2 \theta_i + p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon \\ &+ (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j + (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \\ &- (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\ &= (p_i^n)^2 + 2 p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon - p_i^n \varepsilon + (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} - p_i^n) \\ &- \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j + (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1})(1 - p_i^n) \\ &= p_i^n + (p_i^n)^2 - (p_i^n)^2 + 2 p_i^n \theta_i \varepsilon - p_i^n \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} - \\ &- \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} p_i^n - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\ &= p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2 p_i^n \theta_i m - p_i^n m - 2 p_i^n \theta_i + 1) \\ &- \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j \\ &= p_i^n + Y_i^n, \end{split}$$

where

$$Y_i^n = \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2p_i^n \theta_i m - p_i^n m - 2p_i^n \theta_i + 1) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_j^n \theta_j.$$

$$(7)$$

From Formula 7, we have:

$$\begin{split} Y_{M}^{n} - Y_{i}^{n} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M}m - p_{M}^{n}m - 2p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M} + 1) \\ &- \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq M} p_{j}^{n}\theta_{j} - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i}m - p_{i}^{n}m \\ &- 2p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i} + 1) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} p_{j}^{n}\theta_{j} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} (2m(p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M} - p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i}) - m(p_{M}^{n} - p_{i}^{n}) - \\ &2(p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M} - p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i})) - \sum_{j \neq M} p_{j}^{n}\theta_{j} + \sum_{j \neq i} p_{j}^{n}\theta_{j} \\ &= \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} (m(p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M} - p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i}) - \frac{m(p_{M}^{n} - p_{i}^{n})}{2} - \\ &(p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M} - p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i})) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} (p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M} - p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i}) \\ &= \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1} (m(p_{M}^{n}\theta_{M} - p_{i}^{n}\theta_{i}) - \frac{m(p_{M}^{n} - p_{i}^{n})}{2}). \end{split}$$

Then we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If  $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$ , then  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

*Proof:* The condition  $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$  can be equivalently expressed as:

$$\frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2} < p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i. \tag{9}$$

From Formula 9,  $(p_M^n \theta_M - p_i^n \theta_i) - \frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2} > 0$ , and because  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , and m > 1, therefore:

$$\frac{2m\varepsilon}{m-1}((p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - \frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2}) > 0.$$
(10)

Furthermore:

$$\frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}\left(m(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - \frac{m(p_M^n - p_i^n)}{2}\right) > 0.$$
(11)

According to Formulas 11 and 8, if  $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$ , then  $Y_M^n - Y_i^n > 0$ . Also, because  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^{n+1} = 1$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^n = 1$ , therefore  $Y_M^n > 0$ , and thus  $\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i^n = 0$ . According to Formula 6,  $p_M^{n+1} = p_M^n + Y_M^n$ . Because  $Y_M^n > 0$ , therefore  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ . Accordingly, we can now present how to proof Theorem . 

*Proof:* In order to guarantee  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ , we consider the following three situations (where  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and  $i \neq M$ ).

**Situation 1** ( $p_i^n = p_M^n$ ): Because  $\theta_i < \theta_M$ , therefore  $(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M) < 0$ .

Therefore,  $(p_i^{n_i} - p_M^{n_i}) > 2(p_i^n \theta_i - p_M^n \theta_M)$ .

According to Lemma 1, we have  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

**Situation 2** ( $p_i^n > p_M^n$ ): According to Formula 5, we have the following:

$$\varepsilon > \frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}}.$$

Because

$$\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1-2\theta_{min}} = \frac{\theta_{min}}{1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m},$$

we have the following:

$$\varepsilon > \frac{\theta_{min}}{1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m}.$$

Because  $\theta_{min} < 1/2$ , therefore  $1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m > 0$  and  $\varepsilon(1/2m\theta - 1/m) > \theta_{min}$ , which gives  $\varepsilon/2m\theta_{min} > \theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m$ .

Because  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and m > 1, therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\theta_{min}} > \frac{(\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m)}{(\varepsilon/m)}.$$

 $(1/2\theta_{min})(p_i^n-p_M^n)>(p_i^n-p_M^n)(\theta_{min}+\varepsilon/m)/(\varepsilon/m)$  as  $p_i^n>p_M^n$ , and

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n) \frac{\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m}{\varepsilon/m}.$$

Because  $(\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m)/(\varepsilon/m) > 1$ , therefore

$$2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n) \frac{\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m}{\varepsilon/m} > 2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n).$$

Because  $\theta_{min} < \theta_M$ , therefore

$$2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n) > 2(p_i^n \theta_{min} - p_M^n \theta_M).$$

Thus,

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n \theta_{min} - p_M^n \theta_M).$$

According to Lemma 1, we have  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

**Situation 3** ( $p_i^n < p_M^n$ ): For this proof, we make the assumption that  $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$ .

Because we have

$$\varepsilon < \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)}$$

and

$$\frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)} = \frac{2m - (m+1)}{2(m+1)}m\theta_{min},$$

thus

$$\varepsilon < (\frac{m}{m+1} - \frac{1}{2})m\theta_{min}.$$

Obviously,  $\varepsilon/m < (m/(m+1) - 1/2)\theta_{min}$  as m > 1.

Furthermore, we have

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{m} > (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{m+1})\theta_{min}$$

and

$$\frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m} > \frac{\theta_{min}}{2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m}$$

which means that

$$\frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} > \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{min} + \frac{4\varepsilon}{m}).$$

It follows that

$$(m\theta_{min}/(m+1) + \varepsilon/m)/(4\varepsilon/m + \theta_{min}) > 1/2$$

for any  $m > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $0 < \theta_{min} < 1$ .

Because  $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$ , therefore  $(m\theta_{min}/(m+1) + \varepsilon/m)/(4\varepsilon/m + \theta_{min}) > 1/2\theta_M$ .

Thus, we have

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{min}} > p_M^n - p_i^n$$

as  $p_M^n > p_i^n$ .

Because  $\varepsilon/m < 4\varepsilon/m$ , and  $m\theta_{min}/(m+1) < \theta_{min}$ , therefore

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\frac{m}{m}} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1} < 1$$

$$\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{min}$$

and

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M > 2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M \frac{\varepsilon}{\frac{\varepsilon}{m}} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m}} + \theta_{min}$$

Hence we have

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M > p_M^n - p_i^n,$$

which can be equivalently expressed as

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n - p_M^n)\theta_M.$$

Because  $\theta_{min} < \theta_M$ , therefore  $2(p_i^n - p_M^n)\theta_M > 2(p_i^n \theta_{min} - p_M^n \theta_M)$ , and thus

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n \theta_{min} - p_M^n \theta_M).$$

According to Lemma 1, we have  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ .

In summary, when  $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$ , there is always an interval E:

$$\varepsilon \in \left(\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}}, \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)}\right) \tag{12}$$

where  $\theta_{min} \leq \theta_i, i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , and  $\theta_i \neq 0$ , which can guarantee  $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$ . From the proof above, it is clear that the value of  $\theta_M$  affects the upper bound  $(E_{upper})$  of E. When  $\theta_{min} < \theta_M < \frac{1}{2}$ , the value of  $E_{upper}$  should close to the lower bound of E. In practice, we should set

$$\varepsilon \approx \frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}}. (13)$$