Appendix: The process of proofing the Theorem 1

Dynamic Random Testing:

Given a test suite *TS* classified into m partitions (denoted $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$), suppose that a test case from s_i ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$) is selected and executed. If this test case reveals a fault, $\forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $j \neq i$, we then set

$$p'_j = \begin{cases} p_j - \dfrac{\varepsilon}{m-1} & \text{if } p_j \geq \dfrac{\varepsilon}{m-1} \\ 0 & \text{if } p_j < \dfrac{\varepsilon}{m-1} \end{cases}, \tag{1}$$

where ε is a probability adjusting factor, and then

$$p'_i = 1 - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{m} p'_j. \tag{2}$$

Alternatively, if the test case does not reveal a fault, we set

$$p'_i = \begin{cases} p_i - \varepsilon & \text{if } p_i \geq \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } p_i < \varepsilon \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

and then for $\forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $j \neq i$, we set

$$p'_j = \begin{cases} p_j + \dfrac{\varepsilon}{m-1} & \text{if } p_i \geq \varepsilon \\ p_j + \dfrac{p'_i}{m-1} & \text{if } p_i < \varepsilon \end{cases}. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 1. For failure rate $\theta_{min} = min\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m\}$, $\theta_M > \theta_{min}$, if $0 < \theta_{min} < \frac{1}{2}$, the following condition is sufficient to guarantee that $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$:

$$\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}} < \varepsilon < \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)}. \tag{5}$$

Before giving the proofs, we first need to explore the relationship between p_i^{n+1} and p_i^n, we calculate the conditional probability, $p(i|\delta)$, of the following four situations (denoted $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$, and δ_4, respectively):

Situation 1 (δ_1):

1) **If $t_n \notin s_i$ and a fault is detected by t_n**, then $p(i|\delta_1)$ is calculated according to Formula 1:

$$p(i|\delta_1) = \sum_{i \neq j} \theta_j (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}).$$

2) **If $t_n \in s_i$ and a fault is detected by t_n**, then $p(i|\delta_2)$ is calculated according to Formula 2:

$$p(i|\delta_2) = \theta_i (p_i^n + \varepsilon).$$

3) **If $t_n \in s_i$ and no fault is detected by t_n**, then $p(i|\delta_3)$ is calculated according to Formula 3:

$$p(i|\delta_3) = (1 - \theta_i)(p_i^n - \varepsilon).$$

4) **If $t_n \notin s_i$ and no fault is detected by t_n**, then $p(i|\delta_4)$ is calculated according to Formula 4:

$$p(i|\delta_4) = \sum_{i \neq j} (1 - \theta_j)(p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}).$$

Therefore, p_i^{n+1} for all cases together is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_i^{n+1} =& p_i^n\theta_i(p_i^n+\varepsilon) + p_i^n(1-\theta_i)(p_i^n-\varepsilon) \\
&+ \sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j(p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n(1-\theta_j)(p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}) \\
=& (p_i^n)^2\theta_i + p_i^n\theta_i\varepsilon + (p_i^n)^2 - p_i^n\varepsilon - (p_i^n)^2\theta_i + p_i^n\theta_i\varepsilon \\
&+ (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1})\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j + (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1})\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n \\
&- (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1})\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j \\
=& (p_i^n)^2 + 2p_i^n\theta_i\varepsilon - p_i^n\varepsilon + (p_i^n - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} - p_i^n \\
&- \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1})\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j + (p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1})(1-p_i^n) \\
=& p_i^n + (p_i^n)^2 - (p_i^n)^2 + 2p_i^n\theta_i\varepsilon - p_i^n\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1} - \\
&\frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}p_i^n - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j \\
=& p_i^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}(2p_i^n\theta_i m - p_i^n m - 2p_i^n\theta_i + 1) \\
&- \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j \\
=& p_i^n + Y_i^n,
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_i^n =& \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}(2p_i^n\theta_i m - p_i^n m - 2p_i^n\theta_i + 1) \\
&- \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

From Formula 7, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_M^n - Y_i^n =& \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}(2p_M^n\theta_M m - p_M^n m - 2p_M^n\theta_M + 1) \\
& - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}\sum_{j\neq M} p_j^n\theta_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}(2p_i^n\theta_i m - p_i^n m \\
& - 2p_i^n\theta_i + 1) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}\sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j \\
=& \frac{\varepsilon}{m-1}(2m(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - m(p_M^n - p_i^n) - \\
& 2(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i)) - \sum_{j\neq M} p_j^n\theta_j + \sum_{j\neq i} p_j^n\theta_j \\
=& \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}(m(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - \frac{m(p_M^n - p_i^n)}{2} - \\
& (p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i)) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) \\
=& \frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}(m(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - \frac{m(p_M^n - p_i^n)}{2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n\theta_i - p_M^n\theta_M)$, then $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$.

Proof: The condition $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n\theta_i - p_M^n\theta_M)$ can be equivalently expressed as:

$$\frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2} < p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i. \tag{9}$$

From Formula 9, $(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - \frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2} > 0$, and because $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and $m > 1$, therefore:

$$\frac{2m\varepsilon}{m-1}((p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - \frac{p_M^n - p_i^n}{2}) > 0. \tag{10}$$

Furthermore:

$$\frac{2\varepsilon}{m-1}(m(p_M^n\theta_M - p_i^n\theta_i) - \frac{m(p_M^n - p_i^n)}{2}) > 0. \tag{11}$$

According to Formulas 11 and 8, if $p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i n\theta_i - p_M^n\theta_M)$, then $Y_M^n - Y_i^n > 0$.
Also, because $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^{n+1} = 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^n = 1$, therefore $Y_M^n > 0$, and thus $\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i^n = 0$.
According to Formula 6, $p_M^{n+1} = p_M^n + Y_M^n$. Because $Y_M^n > 0$, therefore $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$. □

Accordingly, we can now present how to proof Theorem .

Proof: In order to guarantee $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$, we consider the following three situations (where $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and $i \neq M$).

Situation 1 ($p_i^n = p_M^n$): Because $\theta_i < \theta_M$, therefore $(p_i^n\theta_i - p_M^n\theta_M) < 0$.
Therefore, $(p_i^n - p_M^n) > 2(p_i^n\theta_i - p_M^n\theta_M)$.
According to Lemma 1, we have $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$.

Situation 2 ($p_i^n > p_M^n$): According to Formula 5, we have the following:

$$\varepsilon > \frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}}.$$

Because

$$\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1 - 2\theta_{min}} = \frac{\theta_{min}}{1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m},$$

we have the following:

$$\varepsilon > \frac{\theta_{min}}{1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m}.$$

Because $\theta_{min} < 1/2$, therefore $1/2m\theta_{min} - 1/m > 0$ and $\varepsilon(1/2m\theta - 1/m) > \theta_{min}$, which gives $\varepsilon/2m\theta_{min} > \theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m$.

Because $\varepsilon > 0$, and $m > 1$, therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\theta_{min}} > \frac{(\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m)}{(\varepsilon/m)}.$$

$(1/2\theta_{min})(p_i^n - p_M^n) > (p_i^n - p_M^n)(\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m)/(\varepsilon/m)$ as $p_i^n > p_M^n$, and

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n)\frac{\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m}{\varepsilon/m}.$$

Because $(\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m)/(\varepsilon/m) > 1$, therefore

$$2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n)\frac{\theta_{min} + \varepsilon/m}{\varepsilon/m} > 2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n).$$

Because $\theta_{min} < \theta_M$, therefore

$$2\theta_{min}(p_i^n - p_M^n) > 2(p_i^n\theta_{min} - p_M^n\theta_M).$$

Thus,

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n\theta_{min} - p_M^n\theta_M).$$

According to Lemma 1, we have $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$.

Situation 3 ($p_i^n < p_M^n$): For this proof, we make the assumption that $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$.

Because we have

$$\varepsilon < \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)}$$

and

$$\frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)} = \frac{2m-(m+1)}{2(m+1)}m\theta_{min},$$

thus

$$\varepsilon < (\frac{m}{m+1} - \frac{1}{2})m\theta_{min}.$$

Obviously, $\varepsilon/m < (m/(m+1) - 1/2)\theta_{min}$ as $m > 1$.

Furthermore, we have

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{m} > (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{m+1})\theta_{min}$$

and

$$\frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m} > \frac{\theta_{min}}{2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{m}$$

which means that

$$\frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} > \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{min} + \frac{4\varepsilon}{m}).$$

It follows that

$$(m\theta_{min}/(m+1) + \varepsilon/m)/(4\varepsilon/m + \theta_{min}) > 1/2$$

for any $m > 1, \varepsilon > 0$, and $0 < \theta_{min} < 1$.

Because $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$, therefore $(m\theta_{min}/(m+1) + \varepsilon/m)/(4\varepsilon/m + \theta_{min}) > 1/2\theta_M$.

Thus, we have

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{min}} > p_M^n - p_i^n$$

as $p_M^n > p_i^n$.

Because $\varepsilon/m < 4\varepsilon/m$, and $m\theta_{min}/(m+1) < \theta_{min}$, therefore

$$\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{min}} < 1$$

and

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M > 2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{m\theta_{min}}{m+1}}{\frac{4\varepsilon}{m} + \theta_{min}}$$

Hence we have

$$2(p_M^n - p_i^n)\theta_M > p_M^n - p_i^n,$$

which can be equivalently expressed as

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n - p_M^n)\theta_M.$$

Because $\theta_{min} < \theta_M$, therefore $2(p_i^n - p_M^n)\theta_M > 2(p_i^n\theta_{min} - p_M^n\theta_M)$, and thus

$$p_i^n - p_M^n > 2(p_i^n\theta_{min} - p_M^n\theta_M).$$

According to Lemma 1, we have $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$. □

In summary, when $\frac{1}{2} < \theta_M < 1$, there is always an interval E:

$$\varepsilon \in (\frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1-2\theta_{min}}, \frac{(m-1)m\theta_{min}}{2(m+1)}) \tag{12}$$

where $\theta_{min} \leq \theta_i, i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, and $\theta_i \neq 0$, which can guarantee $p_M^{n+1} > p_M^n$.

From the proof above, it is clear that the value of θ_M affects the upper bound (E_{upper}) of E. When $\theta_{min} < \theta_M < \frac{1}{2}$, the value of E_{upper} should close to the lower bound of E. In practice, we should set

$$\varepsilon \approx \frac{2m\theta_{min}^2}{1-2\theta_{min}}. \tag{13}$$