How to derive Aigagais NBL Let w:= w(e) and R:= 1+r Budget constrict:

CE + acti & wee + Rat

Question to ask: What is the most one could borrow sustainably (i.e., in a P.V. bught feasibility sense)?

Let this maximal borrowy at dake the denoted by a_t .

Intriviely. If I hit the "shortest" asset poortion at now, the best I need to do is not to consume any of my current and fisher endowments:

 $C_t = 0$ for all $t \ge 0$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \underline{a_t} = \frac{1}{R} \left[\underline{a_{t+1}} - w e_t \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{R} \left[\frac{1}{R} \left(\underbrace{a_{t+2}}_{mw} - we_{t+1} \right) - we_{t} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{R^2} \left[\frac{1}{R} \left(9_{t+3} - 4 e_{t+2} \right) \right]$$

•

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{R} = -\frac{1}{R} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{we_{t+j}}{R^{j}}$$

$$NBL = Natural burrowny unwit$$

If
$$4 = 0$$
 for $t \ge 0$ $\Leftrightarrow a_t = a_t$

$$a_{t} \geq \underline{\alpha}_{t} = -\frac{1}{1+r} \sum_{\hat{j}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(e) e_{t+j}}{(1+r)^{j}}$$

as nhe stides, p.10.

A Kanish - Kuhn - Tucker problem:

Given r:

$$V(z,e) = \max_{\alpha'} \begin{cases} u(z-\alpha') + \beta E_{\alpha'} V(z',e') | e_{\alpha'} \end{cases}$$

$$S.t.$$

$$(1) z' = \omega e' + (1+r) \hat{\alpha}' - r \phi$$

$$(2) \hat{\alpha}' \leq z$$

$$def: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (3) \quad 0 \leq \hat{\alpha}'$$

We can write this as a KRT problem.

$$V(z,e) = \max \left\{ u(z-\hat{a}') \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} v[ve' + (i+r)\hat{a}' - r\phi, e'] | e^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$- \hat{\lambda} \left[\hat{a}' - z \right]$$

$$- \hat{\lambda} \left[o - \hat{a}' \right] \right\}$$

Envelop BS:

$$V_{z}(z,e) = U_{c}(z-\hat{\alpha}') = U_{c}(c)$$

$$\Rightarrow V_{z}(z',e') = U_{c}(z'-\hat{\alpha}'') = U_{c}(c') (4)$$

$$-u_{c}(c) + \beta E \begin{cases} v_{z}(z',e') \cdot (1+r) | e \end{cases} \\ -\bar{\lambda} + \underline{\lambda} = 0 \quad (5)$$

KICT Complementing Mackinen:

$$\bar{\lambda} \geqslant 0$$
 , $\bar{\lambda}(\hat{a}'-z) = 0$ (6)

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \geq 0 \qquad \qquad \underline{\lambda} \, \hat{a}' = 0 \qquad \qquad (7)$$

Assume $u(\cdot)$ s.t. we always have $\hat{a}' < z$ $\Leftrightarrow \bar{\lambda} = 0$. [i.e., one never optimal choose c = 0!]

So we four on
$$\lambda \geq 0$$
.

•
$$\frac{\lambda}{2} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{a}' = 0$$
 (ad-hoc BL birding)

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} = 0 \implies \hat{a}' > 0 \pmod{3}$$

(4), (t) and (7) together imply:
$$\hat{a}'>0$$
 $U_{c}(c) = \beta(1+r) \mathbb{E} \left\{ U_{c}(c') \mid e^{2} \right\} \quad \text{if } \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} = 0 \right)$
 $U_{c}(c) > \beta(1+r) \mathbb{E} \left\{ U_{c}(c') \mid e^{2} \right\} \quad \text{if } \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} > 0 \right)$
 $\hat{a}'=0$

As seen on slide 19