What Are Macros Good For?

Eugene Burmako

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne http://scalamacros.org/

17 July 2013

This talk has a newer revision that lives at scalamacros.org/paperstalks/2013-12-02-WhatAreMacrosGoodFor.pdf

What are macros good for?

- ► Code generation
- Static checks
- ► Domain-specific languages

Macros 101

What are macros?

- ▶ An experimental feature of 2.10.x and 2.11.0
- ▶ You write functions against the reflection API
- Compiler invokes them during compilation

Macro flavors

- lacktriangle Many ways to hook into the compiler o many macro flavors
- ▶ Type macros, annotation macros, untyped macros, etc
- ► However in 2.10.x and 2.11.0 there are only def macros

```
log(Error, "does not compute")
```



```
if (Config.loggingEnabled)
  Config.logger.log(Error, "does not compute")
```

- ▶ Def macros replace well-typed terms with other well-typed terms
- Generated code might contain arbitrary Scala constructs
- Codegeneration might involve arbitrary computations

```
def log(severity: Severity, msg: String): Unit = ...
```

▶ Macro signatures look like signatures of normal methods

```
def log(severity: Severity, msg: String): Unit = macro impl
def impl(c: Context)
          (severity: c.Expr[Severity],
          msg: c.Expr[String]): c.Expr[Unit] = ...
```

- Macro signatures look like signatures of normal methods
- Macro bodies are just stubs, implementations are defined outside

```
def log(severity: Severity, msg: String): Unit = macro impl
def impl(c: Context)
    (severity: c.Expr[Severity],
    msg: c.Expr[String]): c.Expr[Unit] = {
  import c.universe._
  reify {
    if (Config.loggingEnabled)
      Config.logger.log(severity.splice, msg.splice)
```

- Macro signatures look like signatures of normal methods
- Macro bodies are just stubs, implementations are defined outside
- ▶ Implementations use reflection API to analyze and generate code

Summary

```
log(Error, "does not compute")
```



```
if (Config.loggingEnabled)
  Config.logger.log(Error, "does not compute")
```

- ▶ Local expansion of method calls
- Well-formed and well-typed arguments
- ▶ Now what is this good for?

Code generation

Code generation

- Create code on-the-fly
- ▶ More convenient and robust than textual codegen
- ► Impossible to create globally visible classes

```
def createArray[T: ClassTag](size: Int, el: T) = {
  val a = new Array[T](size)
  for (i <- 0 until size) a(i) = el
  a
}</pre>
```

- ▶ We want to write beautiful generic code, and Scala makes that easy
- Unfortunately, abstractions oftentimes bring overhead
- ▶ E.g. in this case erasure will cause boxing leading to a slowdown

```
def createArray[@specialized T: ClassTag](...) = {
  val a = new Array[T](size)
  for (i <- 0 until size) a(i) = el
   a
}</pre>
```

- ▶ Methods can be @specialized, but it's viral and heavyweight
- Viral = the entire call chain needs to be specialized
- ► Heavyweight = specialization leads to duplication of bytecode

```
def createArray[T: ClassTag](size: Int, el: T) = {
  val a = new Array[T](size)
  def specBody[@specialized T](el: T) {
    for (i <- 0 until size) a(i) = el
  }
  classTag[T] match {
    case ClassTag.Int => specBody(el.asInstanceOf[Int])
  а
```

- We want to specialize just as much as we need
- ► As described in the fresh Bridging Islands of Specialized Code paper
- ▶ But that's tiresome to do by hand, and here's where macros shine

```
def specialized[T: ClassTag](code: => T) = macro ...

def createArray[T: ClassTag](size: Int, el: T) = {
  val a = new Array[T](size)
  specialized[T] {
   for (i <- 0 until size) a(i) = el
  }
  a
}</pre>
```

- specialized macro gets pretty code and transforms it into fast code
- ► This is a typical scenario of using macros for performance
- ► Can be non-trivial to implement, but things should become better

Example #2 - Materialization

```
trait Reads[T] {
  def reads(json: JsValue): JsResult[T]
}

object Json {
  def fromJson[T](json: JsValue)
      (implicit fjs: Reads[T]): JsResult[T]
}
```

- ▶ Type classes are an idiomatic way of writing extensible code in Scala
- ▶ This is an example of typeclass-based design in Play

Example #2 - Materialization

```
def fromJson[T](json: JsValue)
  (implicit fjs: Reads[T]): JsResult[T]

implicit val IntReads = new Reads[Int] {
  def reads(json: JsValue): JsResult[T] = ...
}

fromJson[Int](json) // you write
fromJson[Int](json)(IntReads) // you get
```

- With type classes we externalize the moving parts
- Instances of type classes are provided once
- And then scalac fills them in automatically

Example #2 - Before macros

```
case class Person(name: String, age: Int)
implicit val personReads = (
   (__ \ 'name).reads[String] and
   (__ \ 'age).reads[Int]
)(Person)
```

- Everything is done manually, hence boilerplate
- ▶ There are alternatives, but they have downsides

Example #2 - Vanilla macros (2.10.0)

```
implicit val personReads = Json.reads[Person]
```

- Boilerplate can be generated by a macro
- ▶ The code ends up being the same as if it were written manually
- ▶ Therefore performance remains excellent

```
// no code necessary
```

- ▶ Implicit values can be generated by a macro
- ► Used with great success in scala-pickling

```
trait Reads[T] { def reads(json: JsValue): JsResult[T] }
object Reads {
  implicit def materializeReads[T]: Reads[T] = macro ...
}
```

- ▶ When scalac looks for implicits, it traverses the implicit scope
- Implicit scope transcends lexical scope
- ▶ Among others it includes members of the targets companion

fromJson[Person](json)



fromJson[Person](json)(materializeReads[Person])



fromJson[Person](json)(new Reads[Person]{ ... })

- Every time a Reads [T] isn't found, the compiler will call our macro
- More information in my talk about materialization

```
implicit def listShowable[T](implicit s: Showable[T]) =
  new Showable[List[T]] {
    def show(x: List[T]) = {
        x.map(s.show).mkString("List(", ", ", ")")
    }
}
show(List(42))
// prints: List(42)
```

- This is an example of how macros synergize with other features
- ▶ Here a macro is transparently integrated with a vanilla listShowable
- ▶ We will see a couple more applications of the same principle today

Example #2 - A #typelevel alternative

```
product :: C[A] => C[B] => C[(A, B)]
coproduct :: C[A] => C[B] => C[Either[A, B]]
project :: C[B] => (A => B, B => A) => C[A]
```

- ► Lars Hupel recently introduced an approach inspired by Haskell's GP
- ▶ Uniform representation + type class combinators = materialization
- Beautiful, but has some problems with performance

```
println(Db.Coffees.all)
Db.Coffees.insert("Brazilian", 99, 0)
```

- ▶ In F# one can generate wrappers over datasources
- ▶ These wrappers can then be used in a strongly-typed manner
- Can this be implemented with def macros?

```
def h2db(connString: String): Any = macro ...
val db = h2db("jdbc:h2:coffees.h2.db")
val db = {
  trait Db {
    case class Coffee(...)
    val Coffees: Table[Coffee] = ...
  new Db {}
```

- Unfortunately for this use case, def macros expand locally
- Therefore the best we can have is a bunch of local classes

```
scala> val db = h2db("jdbc:h2:coffees.h2.db")
db: AnyRef {
  type Coffee { val name: String; val price: Int; ... }
  val Coffees: Table[this.Coffee]
} = $anon$1...
scala> db.Coffees.all
res1: List[Db$1.this.Coffee] = List(Coffee(Brazilian,99,0))
```

- Luckily for us, local classes are erased to structural types
- This means that we are strongly-typed (static checking, completions)
- But we have to live with reflective overhead of structural types

```
class Coffee(row: Row["...".type]) with Dynamic {
  def selectDynamic = macro ...
}
db: AnyRef{type Coffee <: Dynamic; ...}
coffee.name // rewritten to: coffee.selectDynamic("name")</pre>
```

- coffee.row["name"].asInstanceOf[String]
 - ▶ It turns out that we don't need to go through structural types
 - ▶ Dynamic typing + compile-time macros = static typing
 - ▶ But now we lost IDEs, because we no longer expose any members

```
class Coffee(row: Row["...".type]) {
  def name: String = macro ...
}
db: AnyRef{type Coffee <: AnyRef{def name: String}; ...}
coffee.name</pre>
```



coffee.row["name"].asInstanceOf[String]

- ► Therefore we need to go even deeper
- ▶ Reflective calls + compile-time macros = static calls
- Meet structural types powered by vampire methods

```
@H2Db("jdbc:h2:coffees.h2.db") object Db
println(Db.Coffees.all)
Db.Coffees.insert("Brazilian", 99, 0)
```

- ▶ This was a fun exercise stretching the boundaries of macrology
- ▶ The technique was discovered just last weekend, so use it with care
- ▶ In the meanwhile keep an eye on macro annotations

Static checks

Static checks

- Check your program during compilation
- Report errors and warnings
- ► Impossible to do global checks

Example #4 - Strongly-typed strings

```
scala> val x = "42"
x: String = 42

scala> "%d".format(x)
j.u.IllegalFormatConversionException: d != java.lang.String
  at java.util.Formatter$FormatSpecifier.failConversion...
```

Strings are typically perceived to be unsafe

Example #4 - Strongly-typed strings

```
scala> val x = "42"
x: String = 42

scala> "%d".format(x)
j.u.IllegalFormatConversionException: d != java.lang.String
  at java.util.Formatter$FormatSpecifier.failConversion...

scala> f"$x%d"
<console>:31: error: type mismatch;
```

▶ Strings are typically perceived to be unsafe

found : String
required: Int

- Though with macros they don't have to be
- ▶ Even more so with the new string interpolation

Example #4 - Strongly-typed strings

```
implicit class Formatter(c: StringContext) {
  def f(args: Any*): String = macro ???
}
val x = "42"
f"$x%d" // rewritten into: StringContext("", "%d").f(x)
  val arg$1: Int = x // doesn't compile
  "%d".format(arg$1)
}
```

- ▶ f is a macro that inserts type ascriptions in strategic places
- Similar techniques can be used for regexen, binary literals, etc

Example #4 - Quasiquotes

reify(List[T](element.splice))



q"List[\$T](\$element)"

- Now our strings are both flexible and statically checked
- ► This means that we can robustly embed entire languages
- ► That's how quasiquotes were born (already in 2.11.0-M4)

Example #5 - Akka typed channels

```
trait Request
case class Command(msg: String) extends Request

trait Reply
case object CommandSuccess extends Reply
case class CommandFailure(msg: String) extends Reply

val actor = someActor
actor ! Command
```

- Akka actors are dynamically typed, i.e. the ! method takes Any
- This loosens type guarantees provided by Scala

Example #5 - Akka typed channels

```
trait Request
case class Command(msg: String) extends Request
trait Reply
case object CommandSuccess extends Reply
case class CommandFailure(msg: String) extends Reply
type Spec = (Request, Reply) :+: TNil
val actor = new ChannelRef[Spec](someActor)
actor <-!- Command // doesn't compile
```

- ▶ We can implement type specification for actors even in standard Scala
- But this became practical only when we got macros
- Akka typed channels are specifically designed to make use of macros

Example #5 - Akka typed channels

```
type Spec = (Request, Reply) :+: TNil
val actor = new ChannelRef[Spec](someActor)
actor <-!- Command // doesn't compile</pre>
```

- ► The <-!- macro takes the type of its prefix and extracts the spec
- ▶ Then it takes the argument type and validates it against the spec
- ► This all can be done with implicits and type-level computations
- ▶ But it will be non-trivial both for the programmer and for the users

Example #6 - Spores

```
def future[T](body: => T) = ...

def receive = {
   case Request(data) =>
    future {
     val result = transform(data)
     sender ! Response(result)
   }
}
```

- Capturing sender in the above closure is dangerous
- ▶ That's because sender is not a value, but a stateful method
- ► To validate captures we can use macros: SIP-21 Spores

Example #6 - Spores

```
def future[T](body: Spore[T]) = ...
def spore[T](body: => T): Spore[T] = macro ...
def receive = {
  case Request(data) =>
    future(spore {
      val result = transform(data)
      sender ! Response(result) // doesn't compile
   })
```

- ▶ The spore macro takes its body and figures out all free variables
- ▶ If any of the free variables are deemed dangerous, an error is reported

Example #6 - Spores

```
def future[T](body: Spore[T]) = ...
implicit def anyToSpore[T](body: => T): Spore[T] = macro ...
def receive = {
  case Request(data) =>
    future {
      val result = transform(data)
      sender ! Response(result) // doesn't compile
```

- The conversion to Spore can be made implicit
- That will verify closures without bothering the user

Domain-specific languages

Domain-specific languages

- ► Take a program written in an internal or external DSL
- ▶ Work with it as with a domain-specific data structure

```
val usersMatching = query[String, (Int, String)](
   "select id, name from users where name = ?")
usersMatching("John")
```

Database queries can be written in SQL

```
val usersMatching = query[String, (Int, String)](
   "select id, name from users where name = ?")
usersMatching("John")

case class User(id: Column[Int], name: Column[String])
users.filter(_.name === "John")
```

- Database queries can be written in SQL
- ▶ They can also be written in a DSL, at times slightly awkward

```
val usersMatching = query[String, (Int, String)](
   "select id, name from users where name = ?")
usersMatching("John")

case class User(id: Column[Int], name: Column[String])
users.filter(_.name === "John")

case class User(id: Int, name: String)
users.filter(_.name == "John")
```

- Database queries can be written in SQL
- They can also be written in a DSL, at times slightly awkward
- Or they can be written in Scala and virtualized by a macro

```
trait Query[T] {
  def filter(p: T => Boolean): Query[T] = macro ...
}

val users: Query[User] = ...
users.filter(_.name == "John")
```



Query(Filter(users, Equals(Ref("name"), Literal("John"))))

- ▶ The filter macro turns a method call on a query into another query
- ▶ The derived query captures the previous one and the predicate
- We've built a deeply-embedded query DSL

Example #7 - Comparison with staging

users.filter(_.name == "John")

```
implicit def queryOps[T](q: Rep[Query[T]]) = new {
  def filter(p: Rep[T] => Rep[Boolean]): Rep[Query[T]] = ...
}
val users: Rep[Query[User]] = ...
```

- ▶ In order to deeply embed your DSL, work with Rep[T] instead of T
- ▶ Powered by implicits and scala-virtualized, a fork of scalac
- Staged execution: compile your program, stage it, run the result
- ► This technique is called lightweight modular staging

Example #7 - Comparison with staging

- ▶ Macros allow for earlier error detection
- Macros don't need stage annotations
- Staging composes better

Example #7 - Comparison with staging

```
case class Coffee(name: String, price: Double)
val coffees: Queryable[Coffee] = Db.coffees

// closed world
coffees.filter(c => c.price < 10)

// open world
def isAffordable(c: Coffee) = c.price < 10
scoffees.filter(isAffordable)</pre>
```

- Code is only processed specially within macro arguments
- Therefore separate compilation doesn't work out of the box
- We experiment with ways of dealing with that

Example #8 - Async

```
val futureDOY: Future[Response] =
 WS.url("http://api.day-of-year/today").get
val futureDaysLeft: Future[Response] =
 WS.url("http://api.days-left/today").get
futureDOY.flatMap { doyResponse =>
 val dayOfYear = doyResponse.body
 futureDaysLeft.map { daysLeftResponse =>
   val daysLeft = daysLeftResponse.body
    Ok(s"$dayOfYear: $daysLeft days left!")
```

- ► Turning a synchronous program into an async one isn't easy
- One has to manually manage callbacks, introduce temps, etc

Example #8 - Async

```
def async[T](body: => T): Future[T] = macro ...
def await[T](future: Future[T]): T = macro ...
async {
  val dayOfYear = await(futureDOY).body
  val daysLeft = await(futureDaysLeft).body
  Ok(s"$dayOfYear: $daysLeft days left!")
}
```

- Turning a synchronous program into an async one isn't easy
- ► Macros can do the transformation automatically: SIP-22 Async
- ightharpoonup C# yield and Python generators can also be emulated by macros

Example #9 - Datomisca

- Macros can also deeply embed external DSLs
- ▶ In this example a query to Datomic becomes first-class Scala citizen
- ▶ This means static validation, typechecking and maybe even interop

Summary

What are macros good for?

- ► Code generation
- Static checks
- ► Domain-specific languages