Applied Materialization

When Macros Meet Implicits

Eugene Burmako

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne http://scalamacros.org/

13 June 2013

Agenda

My yesterday's talk at ScalaDays was about:

- ▶ New developments in macros after 2.10.0
- Reflection on our experience with macros
- ▶ The future of macros in Scala 2.10+

Today we will:

- ► Take on the challenge of generic programming
- Code up a couple of macros using new features from macro paradise
- Discuss materialization, my favorite application of macros

Setting the stage

Our running example

```
trait Pickler[T] {
  def pickle(x: T): Pickle
}

def toPickle[T: Pickler](x: T): Pickle = {
  implicitly[Pickler[T]].pickle(x)
}
```

- This is an example of typeclass-based design
- ▶ Type classes are an idiomatic way of writing extensible code in Scala
- ▶ But let's leave off the type class discussion until Vlad's talk in July

```
def toPickle[T: Pickler](x: T) = {
  implicitly[Pickler[T]].pickle(x)
}

def toPickle[T](x: T)(implicit evidence$1: Pickler[T]) = {
  implicitly[Pickler[T]](evidence$1).pickle(x)
}
```

- The context bound is desugared into an implicit parameter
- ▶ The call to implicitly summons that implicit and calls it to action

```
def toPickle[T](x: T)(implicit p: Pickler[T]): Pickle = ...
implicit object IntPickler extends Pickler[Int] { ... }

toPickle(42) // toPickle(42)(IntPickler)
toPickle("42") // compile-time error
```

- ► To use toPickle we declared implicit picklers in scope
- ▶ The compiler is then smart enough to figure them out

```
def toPickle[T](x: T)(implicit p: Pickler[T]): Pickle = ...
object IntPickler extends Pickler[Int] { ... }
implicit def listPickler[T: Pickler]: List[Pickler[T]] = ...
toPickle(List(42)) // toPickle(...)(listPickler(IntPickler))
```

- It gets even better
- ► For instance, implicits are composable
- Here a List[T] pickler gets built up from a pickler for T

- ▶ The strength of the typeclass-based design lies in its flexibility
- Implicits are composable
- ▶ Implicits can be scoped
- Implicits allow for unanticipated evolution
- ► Though again I'm getting ahead of the upcoming July talk

The problem statement

```
def toPickle[T: Pickler](x: T): Pickle = ...
case class Person(name: String)
toPickle(Person("Eugene"))
```

- ▶ How do we make this code snippet compile?
- Is our approach going to be scalable?

A closer look

Straightforward approach

```
case class Person(name: String)
implicit val personPickler = new Pickler[Person] {
  def pickle(x: Person): Pickle = {
    emptyPickle + toPickle(x.name)
  }
}
```

- Straightforward and obvious
- But what if we have some more classes?
- ▶ Do we copy/paste the code changing names and adding more fields?
- We need some technique to abstract the tedium away

Reflective approach

```
implicit def genericPickler[T: TypeTag]: Pickler[T] =
  new Pickler[T] { def pickle(x: T) = reflect(x) }
def reflect(x: T): Pickle = {
  val fields = typeOf[T].declarations.collect {
    case sym: MethodSymbol if sym.isGetter => sym }
  val m = currentMirror.reflect(x)
  fields.foldLeft(emptyPickle)((p, f) => {
    p + toPickle(m.reflectMethod(g)())
  })
```

- ▶ Properly generalizes over case classes, no client code required at all
- But has subpar performance
- And is not type-safe (can you spot the bug?)

Reflective approach

```
implicit def genericPickler[T: TypeTag]: Pickler[T] =
  new Pickler[T] { def pickle(x: T) = reflect(x) }
def reflect(x: T): Pickle = {
  val fields = typeOf[T].declarations.collect {
    case sym: MethodSymbol if sym.isGetter => sym }
  val m = currentMirror.reflect(x)
  fields.foldLeft(emptyPickle)((p, f) => {
    p + toPickle(m.reflectMethod(g)(): Any)
  })
```

- ▶ Properly generalizes over case classes, no client code required at all
- But has subpar performance
- ▶ And is not type-safe, because the reflective invocation returns Any

- ▶ The good thing about reflection is that it can treat data uniformly
- ▶ The bad thing is that the representation it uses is dynamically typed
- Luckily there exists a statically typed solution
- ► Enter HLists

```
case class Apple() extends Fruit
case class Pear() extend Fruit

val a: Apple = Apple()
val p: Pear = Pear()

val hlist = a :: p :: a :: p :: HNil
val list = a :: p :: a :: p :: Nil
```

▶ On the surface HList is quite similar to List

```
case class Apple() extends Fruit
case class Pear() extend Fruit

val a: Apple = Apple()
val p: Pear = Pear()

type APAP = Apple :: Pear :: Apple :: Pear :: HNil
val hlist: APAP = a :: p :: a :: p :: HNil
val list: List[Fruit] = a :: p :: a :: p :: Nil
```

- ▶ On the surface HList is quite similar to List
- But it is much more precise type-wise
- Yesterday at ScalaDays Miles worked magic enabled by HLists
- ► And Alois brought HLists even further by adding labels

```
trait Generic[T, R] {
  def to(t: T): R
  def from(r: R): T
}

implicit val persIso = new Generic[Person, String :: HNil] {
  def to(t: Person) = t.name :: HNil
  def from(r: String :: HNil) = Person(r.head)
}
```

- After the uniform representation for data is picked
- For every data type we define an isomorphism to the repr

```
implicit val hnilPickler: Pickler[HNil] =
 new Pickler[HNil] { def pickle(x: HNil) = emptyPickle }
implicit def hlistPickler[H, T <: HList]</pre>
  (implicit ph: Pickler[H],
            pt: Pickler[T]): Pickler[H :: T] = {
 new Pickler[H :: T] {
   def pickle(x: H :: T) =
      ph.pickle(x.head) + pt.pickle(x.tail)
```

- Now the compiler knows how to treat our data types uniformly
- ▶ Therefore a single serializer for repr will make all our data serializable
- ► This is type-safe and overall cool, but still not very performant

A detour: the bootstrapping challenge

```
implicit val persIso = new Generic[Person, String :: HNil] {
  def to(t: Person) = t.name :: HNil
  def from(r: String :: HNil) = Person(r.head)
}
```

- ▶ To serialize data types generically, we need to isomorphize them
- But isomophization itself is a generic programming task!
- ▶ Which comes first, the chicken or the egg?

A detour: the bootstrapping challenge

```
implicit val persIso = new Generic[Person, String :: HNil] {
  def to(t: Person) = t.name :: HNil
  def from(r: String :: HNil) = Person(r.head)
}
```

- ▶ To serialize data types generically, we need to isomorphize them
- But isomophization itself is a generic programming task!
- Which comes first, the chicken or the egg?
 - ► The chicken
 - ▶ Isomophization can be treated differently from the other GP problems
 - Once somehow solved, it will take care of everything else

Summary of generic programming techniques

Technique	Client-side convenience ¹	Performance ²	Library-side convenience ³
Manual*	Bad	Excellent	Excellent
Reflection	Excellent	Bad	Decent
Typelevel	Good	Good	Good

^{*} Not a generic programming technique, is here just for comparison

¹ How much effort is required to add support for a new data type?

² How does the performance fare against manually written code?

³ How much effort is required from a library author?

Level 1: def macros

Macro-based approach

```
implicit val personPickler = new Pickler[Person] {
  def pickle(x: Person): Pickle = {
    emptyPickle + toPickle(x.name)
  }
}
```

- ► Temporarily back to square one
- ▶ We will start with the simplest thing possible
- And will make it enjoyable to use

Macro-based approach

implicit val personPickler = Pickler.genericPickler[Person]

- Def macros expand method calls into code blocks
- Expansion happens at compile-time when compiler sees a macro call
- ▶ When invoked, macros programmatically construct their expansion
- Arguments of a macro call are available via the reflection API
- ▶ Therefore we can write a macro to generate the body of the implicit

Let's write a macro

- ► Compile-time reflection has the same API as runtime reflection
- ▶ With the newly introduced quasiquotes code generation is a breeze
- ▶ Therefore we can easily turn our reflective pickler into a macro!
- For details tag along or follow our documentation

Before we begin

- ▶ Some of the features we are going to use aren't yet in Scala 2.10
- ► Those features come from macro paradise, an experimental fork of scalac, available for 2.10.x and 2.11.0 (details at docs.scala-lang.org)
- ▶ A lot of new developments from paradise are going to end up in 2.11.0
- ▶ When introducing features, I will be mentioning their Scala versions

Step 1: Start with a reflective pickler

```
import scala.reflect.runtime.universe._
object Pickler {
  implicit def genericPickler[T: TypeTag]: Pickler[T] = {
    val T = typeOf[T]
    val fields = T.declarations.collect { ... }
    def reflect(x: T): Pickle = ...
    new Pickler[T] { def pickle(x: T) = reflect(x) }
  }
}
```

Step 2: Rebrand it as a macro

```
def genericPickler[T]: Pickler[T] =
  macro PicklerMacro.genericPickler[T]
trait PicklerMacro extends scala.reflect.macros.Macro {
  def genericPickler[T: TypeTag]: Pickler[T] = {
    val T = typeOf[T]
    val fields = T.declarations.collect { ... }
    def reflect(x: T): Pickle = ...
    new Pickler[T] { def pickle(x: T) = reflect(x) }
```

Step 3: Make it produce trees

```
def genericPickler[T]: Pickler[T] =
  macro PicklerMacro.genericPickler[T]
trait PicklerMacro extends scala.reflect.macros.Macro {
  def genericPickler[T: WeakTypeTag]: Tree = {
    val T = weakTypeOf[T]
    val fields = T.declarations.collect { ... }
    def reflect: Tree = ...
    q"new Pickler[$T] { def pickle(x: $T) = $reflect }"
```

- ▶ This macro can be written in Scala 2.10.0, yet in a very verbose way
- ▶ However here we use quasiquotes planned for 2.11.0-M4 (8 Jul 2013)
- ▶ Those who wrote macros in 2.10, note how easy it is to do it now!

Step 4: Enjoy!

```
In the source file you write:
implicit val personPickler = Pickler.genericPickler[Person]
Under the covers it becomes:
implicit val personPickler = new Pickler[Person] {
  def pickle(x: Person): Pickle = {
    emptyPickle + toPickle(x.name)
```

Summary of generic programming techniques

Technique	Client-side convenience	Performance	Library-side convenience
Manual	Bad	Excellent	Excellent
Reflection	Excellent	Bad	Decent
Typelevel	Good	Good	Good
Macros [†]	Good	Excellent	Decent

[†] Requires def macros (Scala 2.10.0+)

Level 2: materialization

A detour: synergy

In Scala, macros work in harmony with rich syntax and static types:

- ► String interpolation + macros
- ► Implicits + macros
- ► Types + macros
- ► Annotations + macros

More on that in my recent paper:

"Scala Macros: Let Our Powers Combine!"

Revisiting our current solution

```
def genericPickler[T]: Pickler[T] = macro ...
implicit val personPickler = Pickler.genericPickler[Person]
implicit val repoPickler = Pickler.genericPickler[Repo]
implicit val commitPickler = Pickler.genericPickler[Commit]
```

- One generic implementation
- One line of code per data type

Implicit macros

```
implicit def genericPickler[T]: Pickler[T] = macro ...
```

- One generic implementation
- Zero lines of code per data type
- When a Pickler is missing, one is generated on the fly
- ▶ This is a new feature in Scala 2.10.2+

```
trait Pickler[T] { def pickle(x: T): Pickle }

object Pickler {
  implicit def genericPickler[T]: Pickler[T] = macro ...
}
```

- ▶ When scalac looks for implicits, it traverses the implicit scope
- Implicit scope transcends lexical scope
- ▶ Among others it includes members of the target's companion

```
implicit def genericPickler[T]: Pickler[T] = macro ...

trait PicklerMacro extends Macro {
  def genericPickler[T: WeakTypeTag]: Tree = {
    ...
    q"new Pickler[$T] { def pickle(x: $T) = $reflect }"
  }
}
```

- ▶ Here's our def macro from before
- We have just made it implicit
- ► Are we done yet? No!

```
case class List(head: Int, tail: List)
val list: List = ...
toPickle(list)
```

- ▶ To illustrate the caveat let's take a recursive data type
- And see how its materializer is going to expand

```
case class List(head: Int, tail: List)
val list: List = ...
toPickle(list)({
  new Pickler[List] {
    def pickle(x: List) = {
      emptyPickle +
      toPickle(x.head) +
      toPickle(x.tail)
```

- After the first expansion we get two recursive calls to toPickle
- ▶ The first one will be resolved to IntPickler, that's easy
- But what about the second one?

```
case class List(head: Int, tail: List)
val list: List = ...
toPickle(list)({
  new Pickler[List] {
    def pickle(x: List) = {
      emptyPickle +
      toPickle(x.head)(IntPickler) +
      toPickle(x.tail)(new Pickler[List] { ... })
```

- After the first expansion we get two recursive calls to toPickle
- The first one will be resolved to IntPickler, that's easy
- But what about the second one? Uh-oh!

```
case class List(head: Int, tail: List)
val list: List = ...
toPickle({
  implicit object ListPickler extends Pickler[List] {
    def pickle(x: List) = {
      emptyPickle +
      toPickle(x.head)(IntPickler) +
      toPickle(x.tail)(ListPickler)
  ListPickler
})
```

- We also need to deal with possible recursion
- And we do that by tying the knot using implicits themselves!

Nitpicking time!

```
case class List(head: Int, tail: List)
val list: List = ...
toPickle(list)
```

- Our design has just stood up to a serious test
- ▶ But, in fact, this very example is spectacularly incomplete
- ▶ It hints at design issues we haven't yet discussed. What are they?

Nitpicking time!

```
case class List(head: Int, tail: List)
val list: List = ...
toPickle(list)
```

- Our design has just stood up to a serious test
- ▶ But, in fact, this very example is spectacularly incomplete
- ▶ It hints at design issues we haven't yet discussed. What are they?
 - Are algebraic data types supported?
 - Can we declare head to be polymorphic?
 - If not polymorphic, can it be Any?

Heather's recent paper answers all these questions, and her cool new scala-pickling project makes the dreams come true!

Summary of generic programming techniques

Technique	Client-side convenience	Performance	Library-side convenience
Manual	Bad	Excellent	Excellent
Reflection	Excellent	Bad	Decent
Typelevel	Good	Good	Good
Macros [†]	Good / Excellent [‡]	Excellent	Decent

[†] Requires def macros (Scala 2.10.0+)

[‡] Requires implicit macros (Scala 2.10.2+)

Level 3: fundep materialization

Isomorphisms

```
trait Generic[T, R] {
  def to(t: T): R
  def from(r: R): T
}
```

- ▶ Let's use our newly acquired proficiency in materialization
- ▶ By writing a materializer for the isomorphisms mentioned earlier

Materializing isomorphisms

```
implicit def materialize[T]: Generic[T] =
   macro GenericMacro.materialize[T]

trait GenericMacro extends Macro {
   def materialize[T: WeakTypeTag]: Tree = {
     val T = weakTypeOf[T]
     val R = calculateRepr(T)
     q"new Generic[$T, $R] { ... }"
   }
}
```

- Copy/pasting, adjusting okay, so far so good
- ▶ There is a mistake here. Where is it?

Materializing isomorphisms

```
implicit def materialize[T, R]: Generic[T, R] =
   macro GenericMacro.materialize[T]

trait GenericMacro extends Macro {
   def materialize[T: WeakTypeTag]: Tree = {
     val T = weakTypeOf[T]
     val R = calculateRepr(T)
     q"new Generic[$T, $R] { ... }"
   }
}
```

- Copy/pasting, adjusting okay, so far so good
- ▶ There is a mistake here. Where is it?
 - ▶ We forgot the second type parameter of Generic

Using the materializer

- Double materialization!
- ► Requests for a pickler for T will be processed by genericPickler
- ▶ That will materialize Generic[T, R] which will figure out R
- ► And that will materialize Pickler[R] that does the heavylifting

- We have overlapping implicits
- Is that going to be a problem?

Non-problem #1

- We have overlapping implicits
- Is that going to be a problem?
 - Not really
 - ▶ For any given T, scalac can figure out the most specific one

```
09:09 ~/Projects/210x $ scalac Test.scala -Xlog-implicits
Test.scala:6: error: could not find implicit value
for parameter iso: Generic[Test.Foo,R]
   toPickle(Person("Eugene"))
```

- ► The ever so helpful missing implicit message!
- ▶ Let's figure out what went wrong with the help of -Xlog-implicits

```
09:09 ~/Projects/210x $ scalac Test.scala -Xlog-implicits
Test.scala:13: materialize is not a valid implicit value
for Generic[Person, R] because:
hasMatchingSymbol reported error: type mismatch;
found : Generic[Person, String :: HNil]
required: Generic[Person, Nothing]
toPickle(Person("Eugene"))
```

What's going on? Where did the Nothing come from?

```
toPickle(Person("Eugene"))
```



```
toPickle(Person("Eugene"))(materialize[?, ?])
```

- ▶ When inferring the implicit argument for the call to toPickle
- scalac finds Generic.materialize as a candidate
- ▶ And then it needs to check whether the type parameters work out
- ▶ The first is replacing all of them with unknowns

```
toPickle(Person("Eugene"))(materialize[?, ?])
```



toPickle(Person("Eugene"))(materialize[Person, ?])

- ▶ Using the information provided in the call to toPickle
- scalac is able to infer T to Person
- ▶ However R remains unknown, because nothing hints scalac about it

toPickle(Person("Eugene"))(materialize[Person, ?])



toPickle(Person("Eugene"))(materialize[Person, Nothing])

- ▶ Macros cannot expand with uninferred type arguments
- ▶ Therefore scalac has to go to extreme measures
- Inferring R to a default, which is in this case Nothing

```
toPickle(...)(materialize[Person, Nothing])
```



```
toPickle(...)(new Generic[Person, String :: HNil] { ... })
```

- ▶ Now the macro gets to finally expand
- ▶ But unfortunately typer now expects Generic [Person, Nothing]
- And that leads to the compilation error we observed

Let's take a step back

```
implicit val personIso:
  Generic[Person, String :: HNil] = ...
implicit val repoIso:
  Generic[Repo, Url :: String :: HNil] = ...
implicit val commitIso:
  Generic[Commit, Id :: Array[Byte] :: HNil] = ...
implicit def genericPickler[T, R]
  (implicit iso: Generic[T, R],
            p: Pickler[R]): Pickler[T] = ...
toPickle(Person("Eugene"))
```

- ▶ No macros for now. Can the compiler figure out this one?
- ▶ Yes, it can, because we don't have conflicting implicit instances
- ▶ Therefore all that we need here is just a little nudge from the macro

Fundep materialization

- My first try was the onInfer callback (New Year's Eve 2013)
- ▶ But later we found out a beautifully simple solution (May 2013)
- ▶ Let macros expand even if they contain undertermined type params
- ▶ The type of the expansion will help the compiler infer the undets!

Summary of generic programming techniques

Technique	Client-side convenience	Performance	Library-side convenience
Manual	Bad	Excellent	Excellent
Reflection	Excellent	Bad	Decent
Typelevel	Good / Excellent [§]	Good	Good
Macros [†]	Good / Excellent [‡]	Excellent	Decent

[†] Requires def macros (Scala 2.10.0+)

[‡] Requires implicit macros (Scala 2.10.2+)

[§] Requires fundep materialization (Paradise, maybe Scala 2.11.0+)

Summary

Summary

- Macros can effectively abstract away boilerplate
- ▶ In Scala 2.10 macros are useful
- ▶ In Scala 2.11 macros will become enjoyable
- ▶ Typelevel programming can sometimes be a viable alternative

Summary of generic programming techniques

Technique	Client-side convenience	Performance	Library-side convenience
Manual	Bad	Excellent	Excellent
Reflection	Excellent	Bad	Decent
Typelevel	Good / Excellent [§]	Good	Good
Macros [†]	Good / Excellent [‡]	Excellent	Decent

[†] Requires def macros (Scala 2.10.0+)

[‡] Requires implicit macros (Scala 2.10.2+)

[§] Requires fundep materialization (Paradise, maybe Scala 2.11.0+)