Taiwan ISSP 2017 – Social Networks and Social Resources Study Description

ISSP Study Description Form Please use this form for reporting on Module 2006 and later!

Study title: 'official' title of the study/survey in your country

Taiwan Social Change Survey: 2017, Questionnaire II

Fieldwork dates: start and end dates of field-work, please use format yyyy-mm-dd

2017-08-06~2017-12-12

Principal name and institution

investigators: Yang-chih Fu, Institute of Sociology, Academia Sinica

Sample type: description of the sampling procedure

Three-stage Stratified PPS Sampling

(1) PSU=township, (2) village or "li" (administrative unit under

township), (3) individual person

Fieldwork institute: institute which conducted the survey

Center for Survey Research, RCHSS, Academia Sinica

Fieldwork methods: mode of interview

Face-to-face interview (CAPI & pencil and paper)

N. of respondents: number of respondents in the final ISSP file: 1955

Details about issued

sample:

Please follow the standards laid down in AAPOR/WAPOR, Standard Definitions: http://www.aapor.org/uploads/standarddefs-4.pdf. The numbers in the parentheses are those used in Tables 2 and 3 of Standard Definitions.

	-
1. Total number of starting or issued	4022
names/addresses (gross sample size) *	
2. Interviews (1.0)	1955
3. Eligible, Non-Interview	1925
A. Refusal/Break-off (2.10)	939
B. Non-Contact (2.20)	902
C. Other	0
i. Language Problems (2.33)	2
ii. Miscellaneous Other (2.31, 2.32, 2.35)	82
3. Unknown Eligibility, Non-Interview (3.0)	4
4. Not Eligible	138
A. Not a Residence (4.50)	0
B. Vacant Residence (4.60)	44
C. No Eligible Respondent (4.70)	94
D. Other (4.10,4.90)	0

^{*} When new sample units are added during the field period via a new dwelling units list or other standard updating procedure, these additional issued units are added to the starting number of units to make up the total gross sample size. Also, when substitution is used, the total must include the originally drawn cases plus all substitute cases. See AAPOR/WAPOR Standard Definitions, pp. 9-10 for further clarification.

Language(s): language or languages of the field instrument

Mandarin Chinese, Fukien dialect, or Hakka dialect

Weight present: yes or no, whether a weighting factor exists in the data-set

Yes

Weighting procedure: exact description of the weighting procedure / algorithm

The data was weighted including design weight and an iterative proportional raking scheme. For each respondent, sample data were weighted by sex, age, urbanization and education-degree groups. Weights were then generated to match the population characteristics of Taiwan.

Known systematic description of biases or other deviations of the sample: A non-response bias comes from the use of household register data in which some household

members in fact do not live in the household.

Deviations from ISSP e

esp. questions omitted, or added, or asked in a different format than

questionnaire: the Basic Questionnaire prescribes

Publications: list of publications using the present data set