

FSM: Correspondenceless scan-matching of panoramic 2D range scans

Alexandros Filotheou, Antonis Dimitriou & Georgios Sergiadis

Aristotelian University of Thessaloniki (AUTH), Greece



ΑΡΙΣΤΟΤΕΛΕΙΟ
ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟ
ΘΕΣΣΑΛΟΝΙΚΗΣ

Summary

- It is possible to match 2D range scans **without establishing correspondences** if their FOV is 2π rad

Summary

- It is possible to match 2D range scans **without establishing correspondences if their FOV is 2π rad**
- Leads to increased accuracy & robustness in the face of sensor noise and user-set parameters

Why dispense with correspondences?

Euclidean Space

Correspondences' space

Figure: Matching with correspondences in ideal conditions. Sensor noise: $\mathcal{N}(0, 0)$ [m,m²]. Final estimation errors: 0.0023 m, 0.006 rad. Adapted from <https://nbviewer.org/github/niosus/notebooks/blob/master/icp.ipynb>, courtesy of Igor Bogoslavskyi

Why dispense with correspondences?

Euclidean Space

Correspondences' space

Figure: Matching with correspondences in real conditions. Sensor noise: $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.10^2)$ [m,m²]. Final estimation errors: 0.035 m, 0.011 rad. Adapted from <https://nbviewer.org/github/niosus/notebooks/blob/master/icp.ipynb>, courtesy of Igor Bogoslavskyi

Why dispense with correspondences?

Euclidean Space

Correspondences' space

Figure: Matching with correspondences in real conditions, with outliers/void correspondences. Sensor noise: $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.10^2)$ [m, m^2]. Adapted from <https://nbviewer.org/github/niosus/notebooks/blob/master/icp.ipynb>, courtesy of Igor Bogoslavskyi

Why dispense with correspondences?

Ultimately:

- Due to sensor noise (higher as sensor cost decreases)
- Void (and false) correspondences' rejection is based on offline- and user-set parameters

More details:

Filotheou, A. et al. "Passive Global Localisation of Mobile Robot via 2D Fourier-Mellin Invariant Matching". In *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems* 104 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10846-021-01535-7>

Why dispense with correspondences?

Figure: Matching without correspondences in real conditions, with outliers/void correspondences. Sensor noise: $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.10^2)$ [m,m²]. Final estimation errors: 0.018 m, 0.0008 rad

The Fourier Scan Matcher (FSM)

- Operates on panoramic scans ($\text{FOV} = 2\pi \text{ rad}$)
- Does not deal in correspondences between inputs
- Requires minimal (if any) tuning

Correspondenceless solution to orientation estimation

- Via 1D Phase-only Matched Filtering + angular oversampling

Correspondenceless solution to translation estimation


- Continuous space solution; feedback of DFT difference between scans

More details:


Filotheou, A. "Correspondenceless scan-to-map-scan matching of homoriented 2D scans for mobile robot localisation". In *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 149 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2021.103957>

FSM alignment progress and properties


FSM alignment progress and properties




$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x &= -0.00085 \text{ m} \\ \Delta y &= 0.00337 \text{ m} \\ \Delta\theta &= -0.00346 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$




$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x &= 0.00643 \text{ m} \\ \Delta y &= 0.00371 \text{ m} \\ \Delta\theta &= 0.00194 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$





FSM alignment progress and properties




$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x &= -0.00085 \text{ m} \\ \Delta y &= 0.00337 \text{ m} \\ \Delta\theta &= -0.00346 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$




$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x &= 0.00643 \text{ m} \\ \Delta y &= 0.00371 \text{ m} \\ \Delta\theta &= 0.00194 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$







FSM alignment progress and properties



$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x &= -0.00085 \text{ m} \\ \Delta y &= 0.00337 \text{ m} \\ \Delta\theta &= -0.00346 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x &= 0.00643 \text{ m} \\ \Delta y &= 0.00371 \text{ m} \\ \Delta\theta &= 0.00194 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$



Laser odometry comparison


Sensor: YDLIDAR TG30

Range d [mm]	Mean error [mm]
50-5000	$\leq \pm 60$
5000-20000	$\leq \pm 40$
20000-30000	$\leq \pm 100$


Table: Sensor noise properties (knowledge of distribution N/A). Source:

[www.ydlidar.com/Public/upload/files/2022-06-21/YDLIDAR%20TG30%20Data%20Sheet%20V1.4\(211230\).pdf](http://www.ydlidar.com/Public/upload/files/2022-06-21/YDLIDAR%20TG30%20Data%20Sheet%20V1.4(211230).pdf)


Laser odometry comparison




Laser odometry comparison



Laser odometry comparison



Laser odometry comparison



FSM: Correspondenceless scan-matching of panoramic 2D range scans

Thank you for your attention

- Presentation available at https://github.com/li9i/fsm_presentation_iros22
- Code available at www.github.com/li9i/fsm