|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NAME OF STUDENT/S:  1.  2.  3. | **DATE/ TIME/ ROOM:** | | |
| **PROPOSED TITLE/TOPIC:** | **PANEL:** | | |
| **CRITERIA** | | | **PERCENTAGE (%)** | **SCORE** |
| **Content and Scientific Merit:**  • Clarity of Research Objective: Does the presenter clearly state the research objective and its significance? | | | 30 | \_\_\_ |
| **Presentation:**  • Clarity: Was the presenter's speech clear, articulate, and easy to understand?  • Grammar: Did the presenter use correct grammar and pronunciation?  • Understandability: Did the presenter convey complex ideas in a manner that the audience can easily comprehend?  • Engagement and Attention: Was the presenter able to maintain the audience's attention throughout the presentation?  • Logical Flow: Did the presentation follow a logical sequence of ideas and transitions between sections?  • Time Management: Did the presenter adhere to the allocated presentation time without rushing or exceeding it? | | | 20 | \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_ |
| **Research Salesmanship:**  • Response to Questions: How well did the presenters respond to questions from the judges?  • Accurate and sound logic: Was the presenter able to present arguments and data logically and accurately?  • Critical thinking and presence of mind: Was the presenter able to think critically and respond effectively to unexpected questions or challenges?  • Clear flow of thought, spontaneity: Was the presenter able to maintain a clear, spontaneous flow of thought throughout the presentation? | | | (10) | \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_ |
| **Visual Aid:**  • Effective and interesting content: Is the information presented directly related to the research and supports the overall narrative?  • Clear and readable text: Are the text clear and readable enough?  • Follows the given format: Does the visual aid significantly contribute to the clarity and impact of the presentation? | | | (10) | \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_ |
| **Relevance to Community/Industry Challenges:**  • Contribution to Health Research: How significant is the research's contribution to the community and industry?  • Applicability: How relevant is the research to addressing challenges and needs of community or industry?  • Potential Impact: Does the research have the potential to make a positive impact on the community or industry? | | | (30) | \_\_\_  \_\_\_  \_\_\_ |
| **TOTAL** | | | **100** | \_\_\_ |

**Please check the appropriate blank:**

\_\_\_ I hereby recommend the approval of the title proposal as presented. (No revisions required.)

\_\_\_ I hereby recommend the approval of the title proposal with minor revisions. (Revisions will be reflected in the capstone examination.)

\_\_\_ I hereby recommend the approval of the title proposal with major revisions. (Revised title proposal must be submitted in writing and re-approval by the examination committee.)

\_\_\_ I do not recommend the approval of the title proposal. (Student must submit and present a new proposal.)

|  |
| --- |
| **Remarks:** |



**Signature over Printed Name PANEL MEMBER**