# Modern theory of detection and estimation Lab 3: Linear filtering

Miguel Lázaro Gredilla

### Introduction

In this lab session you will perform Bayesian and non-Bayesian filtering, first on toy data to grasp the basic concepts, and then on a real echocancellation scenario.

# 1. Working with toy data

We will consider first a toy data scenario. You will be generating observed data yourself and then trying to estimate the filter you used.

Use this code at the beginning of your script:

```
1 var_s = 1;
2 var_n = 0.2;
3 N = 100;
4
5 s = [0.54 1.83 -2.26 0.86 0.32]';
6 randn('seed',0); rand('seed',0);
```

This sets the variance of the filter weights  $\sigma_s^2$ , the variance of the noise  $\sigma_\varepsilon^2$ , the length of the input and output signals, the filter **s** itself, and resets the random number generator, so that hopefully, despite using random numbers in this section, everyone gets the same results.

1.a) Start by generating an input signal u[n],  $0 \le n < N$  by creating a random vector of length N containing independent realizations from the pdf  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . Filter it with  $\mathbf{s}$  and add white Gaussian noise of variance  $\mathbf{var}_{\mathbf{n}}$  to produce signal  $\mathbf{x}$ , which will also be of length N.

In order to create matrix **U** you might want to check MatLAB's function toeplitz.

You can check that the output of the filter (before adding the Gaussian noise) is the same as the one obtained with MatLAB's function filter. Though you could use filter at this point and avoid building matrix U altogether, you will be needing it later, so it's recommended that you only use the filter command as a correctness check.

1.b) Compute the predictive probability density of the filter given the observed output  $p(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{x})$ . You should be getting something like this:

```
1 \text{ mean}_s =
2
       0.5204
       1.7575
      -2.3716
5
6
       0.8857
       0.3142
7
8
   cov_s =
10
       0.0022
                   0.0002
                              0.0001
                                          0.0002
                                                    -0.0001
12
       0.0002
                   0.0023
                               0.0002
                                          0.0002
                                                      0.0002
13
       0.0001
                   0.0002
                               0.0023
                                          0.0002
                                                      0.0002
14
       0.0002
                   0.0002
                               0.0002
                                          0.0023
                                                      0.0002
                   0.0002
                                          0.0002
      -0.0001
                               0.0002
                                                      0.0023
```

Provide an interpretation for this result.

1.c) Let's turn now to prediction. If the next input sample, u[100], was equal to zero, what would the predictive probability density of the corresponding output x[100] (after traversing the filter and i.i.d. Gaussian noise is added) be? Compare your result with the correct one:

```
1 mu_star =
2    2.3322
3
4 v_star =
5    0.2036
```

#### 2. Echo cancellation scenario

In this section we consider a scenario of voice chat over a noisy channel with echo.

You will find two appropriately named variables in the attached data file data.mat. These are the voice registers, sampled at 22.05 KHz and containing 100 Ksamples each. The provided vectors include data for  $0 \le n \le N-1$ , with N=100000.

Variable voiceout contains the voice of the local speaker as recorded on the local machine, whereas variable voicein contains the voice of the remote speaker as received on the local machine plus a distorted version of the local speaker's voice after traversing the channel to the remote machine and back (out-going and incoming channels are not necessarily identical).

More formally:

$$\operatorname{voicein}[n] = \operatorname{voiceremote}[n] + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{voiceout}[n-k]s_n[k] \tag{1}$$

where  $s_n[k]$  is a causal, time-varying (hence the subscript n) FIR filter that models the full round trip of the local voice. Signal voiceremote includes any distortion to the original remote speaker's voice that is introduced by the incoming channel, as well as any additional noise — we will not attempt to revert those, but only remove distortions related to voiceout.

MatLAB provides functions that will allow you to play these files (as well as any reconstruction of voiceremote that you may have). You can use this to informally test the quality of your reconstruction.

The round-trip channel model  $s_n[k]$  is known to have very few non-zero values. More specifically,  $s_n[k]$  is non-zero only for the following values of k:

```
nonzerolags = [3122 5953 9999 14999 18999 29295 39385];
```

From a programmatic point of view, be reminded that, in mathematical notation,  $s_n[k]$  is a zero-based vector, whereas MatLAB uses one-based vectors.

2.a) For this part, disregard the time variation of the round-trip channel, and model it as a constant round-trip channel s[k].

Provide a probabilistic estimation of s[k]. You know that only a few values are non-zero, so focus on those elements only. You can use the following ground-truth values in this case:

```
1 var_s = 0.2;
2 var_n = 4e-5;
```

Pitfall: If you try to use the standard equations from the notes in this case, you will run into trouble twice. First, when you try to compute  $\mathbf{U}$ , you will, almost surely, run out of memory. Second, even if you used a computer from the future, applying the standard equations to compute the probabilistic prediction will result in placing non-zero probability on non-zero values for all coefficients of s[k]. And we know that most coefficients are exactly zero, so that belief is incorrect.

Hint: Follow the derivations in the notes, but use the fact that only a few values on s[k] are non-zero to obtain a very similar, yet much faster equation to compute a solution that provides a joint posterior for the non-zero coefficients (and by definition predicts exactly zero for all the remaining coefficients).

You should be getting something like this:

```
1
  mean_s =
2
       1.3189
3
4
       0.5548
       0.5585
5
       0.0007
7
       0.2646
       0.2187
8
       0.2594
9
10
11
  cov_s =
13
      1.0e-06 *
14
15
       0.2043
                 -0.0087
                              0.0001
                                        -0.0000
                                                    -0.0031
16
           0.0002
                     -0.0000
      -0.0087
                  0.2042
                             -0.0001
                                         0.0002
                                                     0.0006
17
          0.0018
                  -0.0000
```

18		0.2040	0.0003	0.0005	
19	0.0053 0.000 -0.0000 0.0002	0.0003	0.2039	0.0014	
20	-0.0003 0.002 $-0.0031$ 0.0006	4 0.0005	0.0014	0.2039	
20	0.0001 0.0002				• • •
21	0.0002 $0.0018$ $0.2040$ $-0.000$	0.0053	-0.0003	0.0001	• • •
22		0.0000	0.0024	0.0002	
	-0.0002 0.203	9			

2.b) Provide an MMSE estimation of voiceremote. Listen to the provided voicein and voiceout and then to your obtained estimation of voiceremote.

When listening to your estimation, you should be able to hear some echos of voiceout and someone else talking faintly that could not be heard when listening to voicein or voiceout.

2.c) Now make use the LMS algorithm. As mentioned in class, this algorithm enjoys adaptivity properties, so it should be able to track the channel, which is actually time-varying. Remember that  $s_n[k]$  is non-zero only for the values of k mentioned before.

Also, note that the LMS algorithm provides an online estimation of voiceremote. I.e., after you process sample n from voiceout and voicein, you already get an estimation of sample n of voiceremote. This means that estimations can be provided on-the-fly, instead of in batch form as we did previously.

Hint: Using the vanilla LMS algorithm will result in unnecessary overhead. You can simplify it by taking advantage of having just a handful of non-zero coefficients. This is not required, but will turn out to be convenient.

If you listen to voiceremote\_LMS, you should be able to hear a distorted version of the remote speaker without clear echos of voiceout.

2.d) You can check that this result is better than the previous one just by listening to it and proiding your subjective impresion. Can you think of a way to provide an objective assessment of the quality of this estimation as compared to your previous estimation?

#### 3. Extension exercise

3.a) The evolution of every non-zero coefficient at lag k follows this equation

$$s_n[k] = c^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{10} a_j^{(k)} \cos(2\pi n j / f_s + \phi_j^{(k)}).$$

with  $f_s = 22050$  Hz. I.e., each coefficient is the composition of a constant term plus up to 10 sinusoids. We say "up to", because we can remove any or even all sinusoids for a given coefficient by setting the corresponding  $a_i^{(k)}$ 's to zero.

Estimate the values of  $\{c^{(k)}, a_j^{(k)}, \phi_j^{(k)}\}$  for every k corresponding to a non-zero coefficient and  $1 \le j \le 10$ .

Hint: Cast the problem as a linear regression problem.

3.b) Use the previous estimation of  $s_n[k]$  to estimate voiceremote. If you listen to it you should hear an almost perfect reconstruction: No echos, no sound distortion.

## 4. Reference implementation

As a reference, a complete implementation of the solution to this questions took less than 70 lines of MatLAB code (including comments and proper spacing) and takes less than 10 seconds to run on a mid-2012 macbook air. Part 3.a) is the most computationally intense and can take up to 1GB of memory.