Date: November 20, 2022

Include proper citations including online resources as in [?, Chap.I, Theorem 1.1]. For other results, state these.

Collaborators: Tyler and Praveeni

## **Problem 1.** Problem #1 was chosen.

**Solution:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen such that  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ . Choose  $c = \varepsilon^2(1 - \varepsilon) > 0$ . We will show that the given c works. Take any  $u \in H^1(0,1)$  and by the hint on the problem we see that

$$\int_0^x (u')^2 = u^2(x) - u^2(0)$$

by the fundamental theorem of calculus on (0,1) and implies,

$$u^2 \le u^2(0) + \int_0^1 2uu'$$

for all  $x \in (0,1)$  and given that  $(u')^2 = 2uu'$ . Then, since  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , we may multiply by one and use Young's Inequality to obtain the following estimates

$$u^{2} \leq u^{2}(0) + \int_{0}^{1} \left( 2\varepsilon u \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u' \right)$$

$$\leq u^{2}(0) + \int_{0}^{1} \left( \varepsilon^{2} u^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} u' \right)$$

$$= u^{2}(0) + \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{1} u^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} u'$$

$$= u^{2}(0) + \varepsilon^{2} ||u||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} ||u'||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}.$$

Manipulating the above and integrating on (0,1) gives

$$||u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \varepsilon^2 ||u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \le u^2(0) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} ||u'||_{L^2(0,1)}^2$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{2}(1-\varepsilon^{2})||u||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \le \varepsilon^{2}u^{2}(0) + ||u'||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \le u^{2}(0) + ||u'||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}$$

because  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ . Therefore, there exists some  $c = \varepsilon^2 (1 - \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$c\int_0^1 u^2 = \varepsilon^2 (1 - \varepsilon^2) ||u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \le u^2(0) + ||u'||_{L^2(0,1)}^2$$

for any  $u \in H^1(0,1)$ .

#### Solution:

Assume that  $c:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  is a function that is bounded on  $\Omega$  such that

(1) 
$$\beta_c \le c(x) \le \beta_b \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

Through discussions, we found that the most general constraint to place on

(2) 
$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11}(x) & k_{12}(x) \\ k_{21}(x) & k_{22}(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } k_{ij} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$$

is that there needs to exist some constant  $k_c$  and  $k_b$  that are real, nonzero, and positive such that

(3) 
$$k_c||x||_V = k_c x^T x \le x^T K x \le k_b x^T x = k_b||x||_V$$

holds for all nonzero  $x \in \Omega$  and, which in doing so implies that K is symmetric positive definite.

Coercive: Using the left hand side of the inequalities in Equations (??) and (??), we compute

$$|a(u,u)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (K\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u + \int_{\Omega} c(x)u^{2} \right|$$

$$\geq \left| k_{c} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla u) + \beta_{c} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} \right|$$

$$\geq k_{c} ||\partial u||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + \beta_{c} ||u||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}$$

where we have obtained the last inequality because we have assumed that  $(K\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u = \nabla u^T K \nabla u$  and,  $\nabla u \in H^1(0,1)$  and  $\nabla u \in H^1_0(0,1)$ . If we take the constant of coercivity to be  $c = \min\{k_c, \beta_c\} > 0$ , then  $|a(u,u)| \ge c||u||^2_{H^1(0,1)}$  which shows that a is coercive. Otherwise, if  $u \in H^1_0(0,1)$  and  $\beta_c > k_c > 0$  then,

$$|a(u,u)| \ge k_c ||\partial u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \beta_c ||u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \ge k_c ||\partial u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 = k_c ||u||_{H_0^1(0,1)}^2$$

where we take the constant of coercivity to be  $c = k_c$  on  $H_0^1(0,1)$ . In either case, we have shown that a is coercive, as desired. There are a few cases that I thought up of in class for which are weaker assumptions that shows  $a(\cdot,\cdot)$  is coersive on  $H^1(0,1)$  and  $H_0^1(0,1)$ . The proofs will be at the end of the problem.

## **Bounded:**

Similarly, assume the right hand side of the inequalities in Equations (??) and (??). For any  $u \in V$  we have that

$$|a(u,u)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (K\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u + \int_{\Omega} c(x)u^{2} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| k_{b} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla u) + \beta_{b} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} \right|$$

$$\leq k_{b} ||\partial u||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + \beta_{b} ||u||_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}$$

and take  $b = \max\{k_b, \beta_b\} > 0$  we see that

$$|a(u,u)| \le b \left( ||\partial u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + ||u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right) = b||u||_{H^1(0,1)}^2$$

for all  $u \in H^1(0,1)$  and otherwise, if  $u \in H^1_0(0,1)$  then we may use the Poincare Friedrich's inequality to obtain,

$$|a(u,u)| \le b \left( ||\partial u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + ||u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right) \le b \left( ||\partial u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C_{PF}||\partial u||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right) \le b' ||u||_{H_0^1(0,1)}^2$$

with a different bounding cosntant  $b' = \max\{k_b, \beta_b\}(1 + C_{PF})$ .

Therefore with the assumptions given in (??) and (??), we have shown that a is coercive on both spaces.

# Solution for weaker conditions on K that show the coercive property:

There are a few weaker conditions that we could place on K to ensure that  $a(\cdot,\cdot)$  is coersive. If we further assume that  $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ , then we can apply the Poincare Inequality such that

$$||u||_{L^2}^2 \le C_{\rm PF}||\partial u||_{L^2}^2.$$

If  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then we have that

(4) 
$$||\partial u||_{L^2}^2 \le ||\partial u||_{L^2}^2 + ||u||_{L^2}^2 \le ||\partial u||_{L^2}^2 + C_{\text{PF}}||\partial u||_{L^2}^2$$

which implies that

(5) 
$$\frac{1}{1 + C_{\text{PF}}} ||\partial u||_{L^2}^2 \le ||\partial u||_{L^2}^2$$

Now,  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is said to be coersive if there exists some constant c such that  $|a(u, u)| \ge c||u||_V$ . Hence, we consider the following,

(6) 
$$|a(u,u)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (K\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u + \int_{\Omega} c(x)u^2 \right|$$

and we have the following cases to show that  $a(\cdot,\cdot)$  is coersive on  $V=H^1(\Omega)$  and  $V=H^1(\Omega)$ .

Case 1: Assume that K is a diagonal matrix and  $k_1(x)$  and  $k_2(x)$  are functions that are bounded below such that  $k = \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{k_1(x), k_2(x)\} > 0$ . Assume  $c : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function that

is bounded below by some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we estimate using Equation ?? and find that

$$|a(u,u)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (K\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u + \int_{\Omega} c(x)u^{2} \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{\Omega} \left( k_{1}(x)(u_{x})^{2} + k_{2}(x)(u_{y})^{2} \right) + \int_{\Omega} c(x)u^{2} \right|$$

$$\geq \left| k \int_{\Omega} \left( (u_{x})^{2} + (u_{y})^{2} \right) + \beta \int_{\Omega} u^{2} \right|$$

$$\geq \left| k \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla u) + \beta \int_{\Omega} u^{2} \right|$$

$$\geq k ||\partial u||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \beta ||u||_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

$$(7)$$

If  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then we use Equation ?? and conclude that

$$|a(u,u)| \ge \left(k + \frac{\beta}{1 + C_{PF}}\right) ||\partial u||_{L^2}^2 = \left(k + \frac{\beta}{1 + C_{PF}}\right) ||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2$$

which further assumes that  $k > \frac{\beta}{1+C_{PF}}$  since  $\beta$  could be negative. On the other side, if  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  we use line (??) from above and we set  $c = \min\{k, \beta\}$  to conclude that

$$|a(u,u)| \ge \min\{k,\beta\} \left( ||\partial u||_{L^2}^2 + ||u||_{L^2}^2 \right) = c||u||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

Case 2: If K is such that  $k_{11}(x)$  and  $k_{22}(x)$  are functions that are bounded below such that  $k = \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{k_{11}(x), k_{22}(x)\}$  and  $k_{12}(x) = -k_{21}(x)$  and c is bounded below by  $\beta$ , then we can use the same conclusions in Case 1 because  $(K\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u$  becomes

 $k_{11}(x)(u_x)^2 + (k_{12}(x) + k_{21}(x))u_xu_y + k_{22}(x)(u_y)^2 = k_{11}(x)(u_x)^2 + k_{22}(x)(u_y)^2$ and we may conclude that a is coersive.

### References

- [1] Ralph Showalter, Hilbert Space Methods in Partial Differentia; Leguations, Dover, (2010)
- [2] CTAN archive of the LaTeX package listings https://ctan.org/pkg/listings