

**Problem 2.** Let  $s_i \in [n]$  be the pair's location at time  $i \in [m]$ . Let  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be the odometer reading at time  $i \in [m]$ .

For  $i, j \in [n]$ , define  $LP_{ij}$  with variable  $x$  and  $n \times n$  matrix of variables  $A$ :

max  $a_{ij}$ , subject to

- $a_{kk} = 0$  for all  $k \in [n]$
- $a_{rs} \leq a_{rk} + a_{ks}$  for all  $r, s, k \in [n]$
- $d_k \leq x + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a_{s_r, s_{r+1}} \leq d_k + 1$  for all  $k \in [m]$
- $x \geq 0$  and  $a_{rs} \geq 0$  for all  $r, s \in [n]$ .

The output is  $\hat{A}$  where  $\hat{A}_{ij} = \text{value}(LP_{ij})$ .

Intuitively,  $x$  represents the initial (real-valued) reading of the odometer and  $a_{rs}$  represents the shortest distance from city  $r$  to city  $s$ . The 2nd set of constraints encodes the requirement that the shortest path from  $r$  to  $s$  through  $k$  consists of the shortest path from  $r$  to  $k$  and the shortest path from  $k$  to  $s$ . The 3rd set of constraints encodes the requirement that the distances are consistent with the travel log readings.

Let  $D_{ij}$  be the optimal upper bound on the shortest-path distance from city  $i$  to city  $j$  which can be inferred from the travel log. For  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , let  $LP_{ij}^\epsilon$  denote  $LP_{ij}$ , but with the 3rd set of constraints replaced by

$$d_k \leq x + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a_{s_r, s_{r+1}} \leq d_k + 1 - \epsilon$$

If  $\epsilon' < \epsilon < 1$ , then  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}^{\epsilon'}) \geq \text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon)$  since we're relaxing a constraint. In particular,  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}) \geq \text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon)$ . Moreover, for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,

$$\text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon) \leq D_{ij}$$

since this implies there exists a shortest-path matrix which is consistent with the odometer readings where the distance from  $i$  to  $j$  equals  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon)$ . ( $D_{ij} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon)$ )

Since  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon)$  is monotone increasing as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , it follows that  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon)$  converges to a finite number or  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . In the latter case, it follows that there is no path from  $i$  to  $j$  through a sequence of consecutive cities visited in the travel log (if there were, we could find a finite bound on  $a_{ij}$ ), so no finite upper bound can be inferred. So assume  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon)$  converges to a finite value, say  $L$ .

Ideally, we'd want to show  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}) = L$ , which would follow if we could argue that  $\text{value}(LP_{ij}) - \text{value}(LP_{ij}^\epsilon) \leq k\epsilon$  for some fixed constant  $k$ , but I don't see a way to do this.