

<sup>1</sup> **Stability analysis of arbitrarily high-index positive  
<sup>2</sup> delay-descriptor systems**

<sup>3</sup> **Phan Thanh Nam · Ha Phi**

<sup>4</sup>

<sup>5</sup> Received: April 27, 2021 / Accepted: date

<sup>6</sup> **Abstract** This paper deals with the stability analysis of positive delay-descrip-  
<sup>7</sup> tor systems with arbitrarily high index. First we discuss the solvability problem  
<sup>8</sup> (i.e., about the existence and uniqueness of a solution), which is followed by  
<sup>9</sup> the study on characterizations of the (internal) positivity. Finally, we discuss  
<sup>10</sup> the stability analysis. Numerically verifiable conditions in terms of matrix in-  
<sup>11</sup> equality for the system's coefficients are proposed, and are examined in several  
<sup>12</sup> examples.

<sup>13</sup> **Keywords** Positivity · Delay · Descriptor systems · Strangeness-index .

<sup>14</sup> **Nomenclature**

$\mathbb{N} (\mathbb{N}_0)$	the set of natural numbers (including 0)
$\mathbb{R} (\mathbb{C})$	the set of real (complex) numbers
$\mathbb{C}_-$	the set $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0\}$
$I (I_n)$	the identity matrix (of size $n \times n$ )
$x^{(j)}$	the $j$ -th derivative of a function $x$
$C^p([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$	the space of $p$ -times continuously differentiable functions from $[-\tau, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ (for $0 \leq p \leq \infty$ )
$\ \cdot\ _\infty$	the norm of the Banach space $C^0([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ .
$\operatorname{im}_+ W$	the space $\{Ww_1 \text{ for all } w_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\}$ .

Phan Thanh Nam  
 Technische Universität Berlin, Strasse de 17. Juni 136, Berlin, Germany  
 E-mail: mehrmann@math.tu-berlin.de

Phi Ha  
 Hanoi University of Science, VNU  
 Nguyen Trai Street 334, Thanh Xuan, Hanoi, Vietnam  
 E-mail: haphi.hus@vnu.edu.vn

---

**16 1 Introduction**

Our focus in the present paper is on the positivity and stability analysis of linear, constant coefficients *delay-descriptor systems* of the form

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + A_dx(t - \tau) + Bu(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0, t_f], \\ y(t) &= Cx(t), \end{aligned} \quad \{ \text{sec1} \} \quad (1) \quad \{\text{delay-descriptor}\}$$

<sup>17</sup> where  $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n,p}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{q,n}$ ,  $x : [t_0 - \tau, t_f] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f : [t_0, t_f] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
<sup>18</sup> and  $\tau > 0$  is a constant delay. Together with (1), we are also concern with  
<sup>19</sup> the associated *zero-input system*

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_dx(t - \tau), \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0, t_f]. \quad (2) \quad \{\text{zero-input system}\}$$

<sup>20</sup> Systems of the form (1) can be considered as a general combination of two  
<sup>21</sup> important classes of dynamical systems, namely *differential-algebraic equations*  
<sup>22</sup> (*descriptor systems*) (DAEs)

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad (3) \quad \{\text{eq1.2}\}$$

<sup>23</sup> where the matrix  $E$  is allowed to be singular ( $\det E = 0$ ), and *delay-differential*  
<sup>24</sup> *equations* (DDEs)

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_dx(t - \tau) + Bu(t). \quad (4) \quad \{\text{eq1.3}\}$$

<sup>25</sup> delay-descriptor systems of the form (1) have been arisen in various applica-  
<sup>26</sup> tions, see Ascher and Petzold [1995], Campbell [1980], Hale and Lunel [1993],  
<sup>27</sup> Shampine and Gahinet [2006], Zhu and Petzold [1997] and the references there  
<sup>28</sup> in. From the theoretical viewpoint, the study for such systems is much more  
<sup>29</sup> complicated than that for standard DDEs or DAEs. The dynamics of DDAEs  
<sup>30</sup> has been strongly enriched, and many interesting properties, which occur nei-  
<sup>31</sup> ther for DAEs nor for DDEs, have been observed for DDAEs Campbell [1995],  
<sup>32</sup> Du et al. [2013], Ha and Mehrmann [2012, 2016]. Due to these reasons, re-  
<sup>33</sup> cently more and more attention has been devoted to DDAEs, Campbell and  
<sup>34</sup> Linh [2009], Fridman [2002], Ha and Mehrmann [2012, 2016], Michiels [2011],  
<sup>35</sup> Shampine and Gahinet [2006], Tian et al. [2014], Linh and Thuan [2015].

<sup>36</sup>  
<sup>37</sup>  $[....]$   
<sup>38</sup>

<sup>39</sup> The short outline of this work is as follows. Firstly, in Section 2, we briefly  
<sup>40</sup> recall the solvability analysis to system (1), which is followed by an imporant  
<sup>41</sup> result about solution comparison for system (2) (Theorem 3). Based on the  
<sup>42</sup> explicit solution representation in Section 2, we characterize the posivity of  
<sup>43</sup> system (1) in Section 3. We establish there algebraic, numerically verifiable  
<sup>44</sup> conditions in terms of the system matrix coefficients. To follow, in Section  
<sup>45</sup> 4 we discuss further about the zero-input system (2) under biconditional re-  
<sup>46</sup> quirements: stability and positivity. Finally, we conclude this research with  
<sup>47</sup> some discussion and open questions.

---

**48 2 Preliminaries**

In this section we discuss the solvability analysis, including the solution representation and the comparison principal for the corresponding IVP to system (1), which reads in details

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_dx(t - \tau) + Bu(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0, t_f], \\ x|_{[t_0 - \tau, t_0]} = \varphi : [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5) \quad \{\text{initial condition}\}$$

- 49 Here,  $\varphi$  is a prescribed initial trajectory (preshape function), which is necessary  
 50 to achieve uniqueness of solutions. Without loss of generality, we assume that  
 51  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_f = n_f\tau$ , where  $n_f \in \mathbb{N}$ .

52 **2.1 Existence, uniqueness and explicit solution formula**

53 It is well-known (e.g. Du et al. [2013]) that we may consider different solution  
 54 concepts for system (1). The reason is, that  $E(0)\dot{x}(0^+)$  which arises from the  
 55 right hand side in (1) at 0 may not be equal to  $E(0)\dot{\varphi}(0^-)$ . Moreover, it has  
 56 been observed in Baker et al. [2002], Campbell [1980], Guglielmi and Hairer  
 57 [2008] that a discontinuity of  $\dot{x}$  at  $t = 0$  may propagate with time, and typically  
 58  $\dot{x}$  is discontinuous at every point  $j\tau$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  or it may not even exist. To deal  
 59 with this property of DDAEs, we use the following solution concept.

60 **Definition 1** Let us consider a fixed input function  $u(t)$ .

- 61 i) A function  $x : [-\tau, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *piecewise differentiable solution* of  
 62 (1), if  $Ex$  is piecewise continuously differentiable,  $x$  is continuous and satisfies  
 63 (1) at every  $t \in [t_0, t_f) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \{j\tau\}$ .  
 64 ii) A function  $x : [-\tau, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *classical solution* of (1) if it is at  
 65 least continuous and satisfies (1) at every  $t \in [t_0, t_f]$ .

66 Throughout this paper whenever we speak of a solution, we mean a piece-  
 67 wise differentiable solution. Notice that, like DAEs, DDAEs are not solvable  
 68 for arbitrary initial conditions, but they have to obey certain consistency con-  
 69 ditions.

70 **Definition 2** An initial function  $\varphi$  is called *consistent* with (1) if the associ-  
 71 ated initial value problem (IVP) (1), (5) has at least one solution. System (1)  
 72 is called *solvable* (resp. *regular*) if for every consistent initial function  $\varphi$ , the  
 73 IVP (1), (5) has a solution (resp. has a unique solution).

Introducing sequences of matrix-valued and vector-valued functions  $f_j, u_j,$   
 $x_j$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , on the time interval  $[0, \tau]$  via

$$f_j(t) = f(t + (j - 1)\tau), \quad u_j(t) = u(t + (j - 1)\tau), \\ x_j(t) = x(t + (j - 1)\tau), \quad x_0(t) := \varphi(t - \tau),$$

- 74 we can rewrite the IVP (1)-(5) as a sequence of non-delayed descriptor systems

$$E\dot{x}_j(t) = Ax_j(t) + A_dx_{j-1}(t) + Bu_j(t), \quad (6) \quad \{\text{j-th DAE}\}$$

75 for all  $t \in (0, \tau)$  and for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_f$ . We notice, that for each  $j$ , the  
 76 initial condition  $x_j(0)$  is given due to the continuity of the solution  $x(t)$  at the  
 77 point  $(j-1)\tau$ , i.e.,

$$x_j(0) = x_{j-1}(\tau) . \quad (7) \quad \{\text{continuity condition}\}$$

78 In particular,  $x_1(0) = \phi(0)$  and the function  $x_0$  is given.

79  
 80 It is well-known (see e.g. Bellman and Cooke [1963], Hale and Lunel [1993])  
 81 that in general, time-delayed systems has been classified into three different  
 82 types (retarded, neutral, advanced). For example, the time-delayed equation

$$a_0\dot{x}(t) + a_1\dot{x}(t - \tau) + b_0x(t) + b_1x(t - \tau) = f(t)$$

83 is retarded if  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $a_1 = 0$ ; is neutral if  $a_0 \neq 0$ ,  $a_1 \neq 0$ ; is advanced  
 84 if  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_0 \neq 0$ . Obviously, this classification is based on the  
 85 smoothness comparison between  $x(t)$  and  $x(t - \tau)$ . In literature, not only  
 86 the theoretical but also numerical solution has been studied mainly for non-  
 87 advanced systems (i.e., retarded or neutral), due to their appearance in various  
 88 applications. For this reason, in Ha [2015], Ha and Mehrmann [2016], Unger  
 89 [2018] the authors proposed a concept of *non-advancedness* for (1) (see Definition  
 90 below). We also notice, that even though not clearly proposed, due to  
 91 the author's knowledge, so far results for delay-descriptor are only obtained  
 92 for certain classes of non-advanced systems, e.g. Ascher and Petzold [1995],  
 93 Shampine and Gahinet [2006], Zhu and Petzold [1997, 1998], Michiels [2011].

94 **Definition 3** A regular delay-descriptor system (1) is called *non-advanced* if  
 95 for any consistent and continuous initial function  $\varphi$ , there exists a piecewise  
 96 differentiable solution  $x(t)$  to the IVP (1), (5).

97 **Definition 4** Consider the DDAE (1). The matrix triple  $(E, A, B)$  is called  
 98 *regular* if the (two variable) *characteristic polynomial*  $\det(\lambda E - A - \omega B)$  is  
 99 not identically zero. If, in addition,  $B = 0$  we say that the matrix pair  $(E, A)$   
 100 (or the pencil  $\lambda E - A$ ) is regular. The sets  $\sigma(E, A, B) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \det(\lambda E -$   
 101  $A - e^{-\lambda\tau}B) = 0\}$  and  $\rho(E, A, B) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(E, A, B)$  are called the *spectrum* and  
 102 the *resolvent set* of (1), respectively.

103 Provided that the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular, we can transform them to the  
 104 Kronecker-Weierstraß canonical form (see e.g. Dai [1989], Kunkel and Mehrmann  
 105 [2006]). That is, there exist regular matrices  $W, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  such that

$$(E, A) = \left( W \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} T, W \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} T \right) , \quad (8) \quad \{\text{KW form}\}$$

106 where  $N$  is a nilpotent matrix of nilpotency index  $\nu$ . We also say that the pair  
 107  $(E, A)$  has a *differentiation index*  $\nu$ , i.e.,  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ .

108 *Remark 1* Two concepts non-advancedness and differentiation index are inde-  
 109 pendent. In details, a non-advanced system can have arbitrarily high index, as  
 110 can be seen in the following example.

{def2}

{regularity}

{example 1}  
 111 Example 1 Consider the following systems with the parameters  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ .

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_E \dot{x}(t) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_A x(t) + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_1 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_d} x(t - \tau). \quad (9) \quad \{\text{eq11}\}$$

112 It is well-known that in this example  $\text{ind}(E, A) = 2$ . Furthermore, depending  
113 on the value of  $\varepsilon_2$ , the system will be advanced (if  $\varepsilon_2 \neq 0$ ) and be non-advanced  
114 (if  $\varepsilon_2 = 0$ ). Analogously, one can construct a non-advanced system which has  
115 an arbitrarily high index.

116 Let  $E$  have index  $\tilde{\nu}$ , i.e.,  $\text{ind}(E, I_n) = \tilde{\nu}$ , the Drazin inverse  $E^D$  of  $E$  is  
117 uniquely defined by the properties

$$E^D E = E E^D, \quad E^D E E^D = E^D, \quad E^D E^{\tilde{\nu}+1} = E^{\tilde{\nu}}. \quad (10)$$

118 Lemma 1 Kunkel and Mehrmann [2006] Let  $(E, A)$  be a regular matrix pair.  
119 Then for any  $\lambda \in \rho(E, A)$ , two following matrices commute.

$$\hat{E} := (\lambda E - A)^{-1} E, \quad \hat{A} := (\lambda E - A)^{-1} A. \quad (11) \quad \{\text{eq20}\}$$

120 Furthermore, the following commutative identities hold true.

$$\hat{E} \hat{A}^D = \hat{A}^D \hat{E}, \quad \hat{E}^D \hat{A} = \hat{A} \hat{E}^D, \quad \hat{E}^D \hat{A}^D = \hat{A}^D \hat{E}^D.$$

121 We notice that the matrix products  $\hat{E}^D \hat{E}$ ,  $\hat{E}^D \hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{E} \hat{A}^D$ ,  $\hat{E}^D \hat{B}$ ,  $\hat{A}^D \hat{B}$  do  
122 not depend on the choice of  $\lambda$  (see e.g. Dai [1989]). Furthermore, they can  
123 be numerically computed by transforming the pair  $(E, A)$  to their Weierstrass  
124 canonical form (8) (see e.g. Gerdts [2005], Virnik [2008]).

125 For any  $\lambda \in \rho(E, A)$ , we denote

$$\hat{A}_d := (\lambda E - A)^{-1} A_d, \quad \hat{B} := (\lambda E - A)^{-1} B. \quad (12) \quad \{\text{eq21}\}$$

126 Making use of the Drazin inverse, in the following theorem we present the  
127 explicit solution representation of system (1).

**Theorem 1** Consider the delay-descriptor system (1). Assume that  $(E, A)$  is a regular matrix pair with a differentiation index  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ . Let  $\hat{E}$ ,  $\hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{A}_d$ ,  $\hat{B}$  be defined as in (11), (12). Furthermore, assume that  $u$  is sufficiently smooth. Then, every solution  $x_j$  of the DAE (6) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} x_j(t) &= e^{\hat{E}^D \hat{A} t} \hat{E}^D \hat{E} v_j + \int_0^t e^{\hat{E}^D \hat{A}(t-s)} \hat{E}^D \left( \hat{A}_d x_{j-1}(s) + \hat{B} u_j(s) \right) ds \\ &+ (\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \left( \hat{A}_d x_{j-1}^{(i)}(t) + \hat{B} u_j^{(i)}(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (13) \quad \{\text{j-th solution}\}$$

128 for some vector  $v_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

{sol. rep. DAE}

<sup>129</sup> *Proof.* The proof is straightly followed from the explicit solution of DAEs, see  
<sup>130</sup> [Kunkel and Mehrmann, 2006, Chap. 2].  $\square$

<sup>131</sup> Making use of (7), we directly obtain the following corollary.

<sup>132</sup> **Corollary 1** *The solution  $x(t)$  of system (1) is continuous at the point  $(j-1)\tau$*   
<sup>133</sup> *if and only if the following condition holds.*

$$(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) x_{j-1}(\tau) = (\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \left( \hat{A}_d x_{j-1}^{(i)}(0) + \hat{B} u_j^{(i)}(0) \right).$$

<sup>134</sup> In particular, for the preshape function  $\varphi(t)$ , we must require

$$(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \left( \varphi(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \left( \hat{A}_d \varphi^{(i)}(-\tau) + \hat{B} u^{(i)}(0) \right) \right) = 0.$$

<sup>135</sup> Following from (13), we directly obtain a simpler form in case of non-  
<sup>136</sup> advanced system as follows.

**Corollary 2** *Consider system (1) and assume that it is regular and non-advanced. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} x_j(t) &= e^{\hat{E}^D \hat{A} t} \hat{E}^D \hat{E} v_j + \int_0^t e^{\hat{E}^D \hat{A}(t-s)} \hat{E}^D \left( \hat{A}_d x_{j-1}(s) + \hat{B} u_j(s) \right) ds \\ &+ (\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \left( \hat{A}^D \hat{A}_d x_{j-1}(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \hat{B} u_j^{(i)}(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14) \quad \{\text{sol. formula non-advanced}\}$$

<sup>137</sup> Furthermore, the consistency condition at  $t = 0$  reads

$$(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \left( \varphi(0) + \hat{A}^D \hat{A}_d \varphi(-\tau) + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \hat{B} u^{(i)}(0) \right) = 0. \quad (15) \quad \{\text{consistency}\}$$

## <sup>138</sup> 2.2 A simple check for the non-advancedness

<sup>139</sup> Assume that the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular with index  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ . We want  
<sup>140</sup> to give a simple check whether the corresponding system (2) is non-advanced  
<sup>141</sup> or not. In analogous to the case of DAEs Brenan et al. [1996], Kunkel and  
<sup>142</sup> Mehrmann [2006], we aim to extract the so-called *underlying delay equation*  
<sup>143</sup> of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = \bar{A}x(t) + \bar{A}_{d0}x(t-h) + \bar{A}_{d1}\dot{x}(t-h), \quad (16) \quad \{\text{underlying DDEs}\}$$

<sup>144</sup> from system (2) and its derivatives, which read in details

$$Ex^{(i)}(t) = \bar{A}x^{(i-1)}(t) + \bar{A}_d x^{(i-1)}(t-h), \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, \nu.$$

We rewrite these equations into the so-called *inflated system*

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} E \\ -A & E \\ \ddots & \ddots \\ & -A & E \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \ddot{x} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(\nu+1)} \end{bmatrix}}_{x^{(\nu+1)}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(\nu)} \end{bmatrix}}_{x^{(\nu)}} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A_d & & & \\ & A_d & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_d \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}_d} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x(t-h) \\ \dot{x}(t-h) \\ \vdots \\ x^{(\nu)}(t-h) \end{bmatrix}}_{x^{(\nu)}(t-h)}. \quad (17) \quad \{\text{inflated}\}$$

Here the matrix coefficients are  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{(\nu+1)n, (\nu+1)n}$ . For the reader's convenience, below we will use MATLAB notations. System of the form (16) can be extracted from (17) if and only if there exists a matrix  $P = [P_0 \ P_1 \ \dots \ P_\nu]^T$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{(\nu+1)n, n}$  such that

$$P^T \mathcal{E} = [I_n \ 0_{n, \nu n}]$$

$$P^T \mathcal{A}_d = [* \ * \ 0_{n, (\nu-1)n}],$$

<sup>145</sup> where  $*$  stands for an arbitrary matrix. Consequently,  $P$  is the solution to the  
<sup>146</sup> following linear systems

$$[\mathcal{E} \ \mathcal{A}(:, 2n+1 : end)]^T P = [I_n \ 0_{n, \nu n} \ 0_{n, (\nu-1)n}]^T. \quad (18) \quad \{\text{adv. check eq.}\}$$

<sup>147</sup> Therefore, making use of Crammer's rule we directly obtain the simple check  
<sup>148</sup> for the non-advancedness of system (2) in the following theorem.

<sup>149</sup> **Theorem 2** Consider the zero-input descriptor system (2) and assume that  
<sup>150</sup> the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular with index  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ . Then, this system is non-  
<sup>151</sup> advanced if and only if the following rank condition is satisfied

$$\text{rank} \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{E}^T & \\ \hline \mathcal{A}(:, 2n+1 : end)^T & \end{array} \right] = \text{rank} \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{E}^T & I_n \\ \mathcal{A}(:, 2n+1 : end)^T & 0_{(2\nu-1)n, n} \end{array} \right]$$

<sup>152</sup> Theorem 2 applied to the index two case straightly gives us the following  
<sup>153</sup> corollary.

<sup>154</sup> **Corollary 3** Consider the zero-input descriptor system (2) and assume that  
<sup>155</sup> the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular with index  $\text{ind}(E, A) = 2$ . Then, system (2) is non-  
<sup>156</sup> advanced if and only if the following identity hold true.

$$\text{rank} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} E^T & -A^T & 0 \\ 0 & E^T & -A^T \\ 0 & 0 & A_d^T \end{array} \right] = n + \text{rank} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} E^T & -A^T \\ 0 & E^T \\ 0 & A_d^T \end{array} \right]. \quad (19) \quad \{\text{check advanced}\}$$

<sup>157</sup> *Example 2* Let us reconsider system (9) in Example 1. Numerical verification  
<sup>158</sup> of non-advancedness via condition (19) completely agrees with theoretical ob-  
<sup>159</sup> servation.

{thm check advancedness}

160 2.3 Comparison principal

161 **Lemma 2** *If the system is non-advanced ... It suffices to prove that if  $u_j(t) \leqslant$   
 162  $\tilde{u}_j(t)$  and  $x_{j-1}(t) \leqslant \tilde{x}_{j-1}(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$  then it follows that  $x_j(t) \leqslant \tilde{x}_j(t)$   
 163 for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$ .*

164 By simple induction, making use of Lemma 2, we obtain the solution com-  
 165 parison for system (1).

166 **Theorem 3** *Consider system (1) and assume that it is positive. Furthermore,  
 167 assume that  $(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I)(\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \hat{B} \geq 0$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, \nu - 1$ . Let  $x(t)$   
 168 (resp.  $\tilde{x}(t)$ ) be a state function corresponds to a reference input  $u(t)$  (resp.  
 169  $\tilde{u}(t)$ ) and a preshape function  $\varphi(t)$  (resp.  $\tilde{\varphi}(t)$ ). Furthermore, assume that the  
 170 following conditions hold.*

171 i)  $\varphi(t) \leqslant \tilde{\varphi}(t)$  for all  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ ,  
 172 ii)  $u^{(i)}(t) \leqslant \tilde{u}^{(i)}(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and all  $i \leqslant (\nu - 1) \lfloor t/\tau \rfloor$ . Then we have  
 173  $x(t) \leqslant \tilde{x}(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

174 *Proof.*

{\texttt{lem2b}}}

{solution comparison 1}

### 177 3 Characterizations of positive delay-descriptor system

178 Since most systems occur in application are non-advanced, in this section we  
 179 focus on the characterization for positivity of non-advanced delay descriptor  
 180 systems. We, furthermore, notice that the non-advancedness is a necessary  
 181 condition for the stability (in the Lyapunov sense) of any time-delayed system,  
 182 see e.g. Hale and Lunel [1993], Du et al. [2013].

{sec3}

183 **Definition 5** Consider the delay-descriptor system (1) and assume that it is  
 184 non-advanced, and that the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular with  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ . We call  
 185 (1) positive if for all  $t \geq 0$  we have  $x(t) \geq 0$  and  $y(t) \geq 0$  for any input function  
 186  $u$  and any consistent initial function  $\varphi(t)$  that satisfy two following conditions.  
 187 i)  $\varphi(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ ,  
 188 ii)  $u^{(i)}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and all  $i \leqslant (\nu - 1) \lfloor t/\tau \rfloor$ .

189 Let us denote

$$\mathcal{K}_\nu(\hat{E}\hat{A}^D, \hat{A}^D\hat{B}) := [\hat{A}^D\hat{B}, \hat{E}\hat{A}^D\hat{A}^D\hat{B}, \dots, (\hat{E}\hat{A}^D)^{\nu-1}\hat{A}^D\hat{B}] .$$

Since our systems is linear, time invariant coefficients, it would be sufficient to study the positivity on the first time interval  $[0, \tau]$ . Making use of (14), and

let  $j = 1$ , we can split the solution  $x_1 = x|_{[0,\tau]}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= \underbrace{e^{\hat{E}^D \hat{A}t} \hat{E}^D \hat{E} v_1 + \int_0^t e^{\hat{E}^D \hat{A}(t-s)} \hat{E}^D \hat{A}_d x_0(s) + (\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \hat{A}^D \hat{A}_d x_0(t)}_{x_{zi}(t)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_0^t e^{\hat{E}^D \hat{A}(t-s)} \hat{E}^D \hat{B} u_j(s) + (\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \hat{B} u_j^{(i)}(t)}_{x_{zs}(t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (20) \quad \{\text{eq16}\}$$

where  $x_{zi}(t)$  (resp.  $x_{zs}(t)$ ) is often called (in the theory of linear systems) the *zero input* (resp. *zero state*) solution.

**Lemma 3** Let  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{p,n}$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  and consider the linear system  $\dot{z}(t) = Mz(t)$ . Then, the following implication holds true: {Castelan'93}

$$[Fz(0) \geq 0] \Rightarrow [Fz(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0]$$

if and only if there exists a Metzler matrix  $H$  such that  $FM = HF$ .

**Proposition 1** Rami and Napp [2012] The following statements are equivalent. {Rami12}

- i) The differential-algebraic equation  $E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$  is positive.
- ii) There exists a Metzler matrix  $H$  such that  $\hat{E}^D \hat{A} = H \hat{E}^D \hat{E}$ .
- iii) There exists a matrix  $D$  such that  $H := \bar{A} + D(I - P)$  is Metzler.

**Lemma 4** Consider the delay-descriptor system (1) and assume that it is non-advanced, and the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular with index  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ . Let the input  $u = 0$ . Then, system (1) has a solution  $x(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and all consistent initial function  $\varphi(t) \geq 0$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

- i) There exists a Metzler matrix  $H$  s.t.  $\hat{E}^D \hat{A} = H \hat{E}^D \hat{E}$ .
- ii)  $\hat{E}^D \hat{A}_d \geq 0$ ,  $(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \hat{A}^D \hat{A}_d \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 5** Consider the delay-descriptor system (1) and assume that it is non-advanced, and the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular with index  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ . Furthermore, assume that  $(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) (\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \hat{B} \geq 0$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, \nu - 1$ . Then, system (1) is positive if and only if the following conditions hold.

- i)  $\hat{E}^D \hat{A} = H \hat{E}^D \hat{E}$  for some Metzler matrix  $H$ .
- ii)  $\hat{E}^D \hat{A}_d \geq 0$ ,  $(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \hat{A}^D \hat{A}_d \geq 0$ ,  $\hat{E}^D \hat{B} \geq 0$ ,
- iii)  $C$  is non-negative on the subspace

$$\mathcal{X} := \text{im}_+ \left[ \hat{E}^D \hat{E}, (\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \hat{A}^D \hat{A}_d, (\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I) \mathcal{K}_\nu(\hat{E} \hat{A}^D, \hat{A}^D \hat{B}) \right]. \quad (21) \quad \{\text{reachable subspace}\}$$

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Due to Lemma 4, we only need to prove part 3.

$\Leftarrow$  Quite simple.  $\square$

If we restrict ourself to the non-delayed case (i.e.  $A_d = 0$ ), the direct corollary of Theorem 5 is straightforward. We, moreover, notice that this corollary has slightly improved the result [Virnik, 2008, Thm. 3.4].

**Corollary 4** Consider the descriptor system (3) and assume that the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular with index  $\text{ind}(E, A) = \nu$ . Furthermore, assume that the inequalities  $(\hat{E}^D \hat{E} - I)(\hat{E}^D \hat{A})^i \hat{A}^D \hat{B} \geq 0$  hold true for  $i = 0, \dots, \nu - 1$ . Then, system (3) is positive if and only if the following conditions hold.

- i)  $\hat{E}^D \hat{A} = H \hat{E}^D \hat{E}$  for some Metzler matrix  $H$ .
- ii)  $\hat{E}^D \hat{B} \geq 0$ ,
- iii)  $C$  is non-negative on the subspace  $\mathcal{X}$ .

## 4 Stability of positive delay-descriptor system

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have discussed the positivity of strangeness-free descriptor systems in continuous time. Beside that, the characterization of positive delay-descriptor systems has been treated as well. The theoretical results are obtained mainly via an algebraic approach and a projection approach. The projection approach investigates the positivity of a given descriptor system by the positivity of an inherent ODE obtained by projecting the given system onto a subspace. On the other hand, the algebraic approach derives an underlying ODE without changing the state, input and output. Then, studying these hidden ODEs is the key point. The main difficulty here is that the derivative of the input  $u$  may occur in the new system. Despite their disadvantages, these methods can provide both necessary conditions and sufficient conditions. Beside these theoretical methods, the behaviour approach, which leads to some feasible conditions, is also implemented.

**Acknowledgment** The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for his suggestions to improve this paper.

## References

- U. M. Ascher and L. R. Petzold. The numerical solution of delay-differential algebraic equations of retarded and neutral type. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 32:1635–1657, 1995. 2, 4
- S. L. Campbell. Singular linear systems of differential equations with delays. *Appl. Anal.*, 2:129–136, 1980. 2, 3
- J.K. Hale and S.M.V. Lunel. *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*. Springer, 1993. 2, 4, 8
- L. F. Shampine and P. Gahinet. Delay-differential-algebraic equations in control theory. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 56(3-4):574–588, March 2006. ISSN 0168-9274. doi: 10.1016/j.apnum.2005.04.025. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2005.04.025>. 2, 4

- 255 Wenjie Zhu and Linda R. Petzold. Asymptotic stability of linear delay  
256 differential-algebraic equations and numerical methods. *Appl. Numer.*  
257 *Math.*, 24:247 – 264, 1997. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9274\(97\)  
258 00024-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9274(97)00024-X). 2, 4
- 259 S. L. Campbell. Nonregular 2D descriptor delay systems. *IMA J. Math.*  
260 *Control Appl.*, 12:57–67, 1995. 2
- 261 Nguyen Huu Du, Vu Hoang Linh, Volker Mehrmann, and Do Duc Thuan. Sta-  
262 bility and robust stability of linear time-invariant delay differential-algebraic  
263 equations. *SIAM J. Matr. Anal. Appl.*, 34(4):1631–1654, 2013. 2, 3, 8
- 264 Phi Ha and Volker Mehrmann. Analysis and reformulation of linear delay  
265 differential-algebraic equations. *Electr. J. Lin. Alg.*, 23:703–730, 2012. 2
- 266 Phi Ha and Volker Mehrmann. Analysis and numerical solution of linear delay  
267 differential-algebraic equations. *BIT*, 56:633 – 657, 2016. 2, 4
- 268 S. L. Campbell and V. H. Linh. Stability criteria for differential-algebraic  
269 equations with multiple delays and their numerical solutions. *Appl. Math*  
270 *Comput.*, 208(2):397 – 415, 2009. 2
- 271 Emilia Fridman. Stability of linear descriptor systems with delay: a  
272 Lyapunov-based approach. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 273(1):24 – 44,  
273 2002. ISSN 0022-247X. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X\(02\)  
274 00202-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(02)00202-0). URL [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/  
275 S0022247X02002020](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022247X02002020). 2
- 276 W. Michiels. Spectrum-based stability analysis and stabilisation of systems  
277 described by delay differential algebraic equations. *IET Control Theory*  
278 *Appl.*, 5(16):1829–1842, 2011. ISSN 1751-8644. doi: 10.1049/iet-cta.2010.  
279 0752. 2, 4
- 280 H. Tian, Q. Yu, and J. Kuang. Asymptotic stability of linear neutral de-  
281 lay differential-algebraic equations and Runge–Kutta methods. *SIAM J.*  
282 *Numer. Anal.*, 52(1):68–82, 2014. doi: 10.1137/110847093. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/110847093>. 2
- 284 Vu Hoang Linh and Do Duc Thuan. Spectrum-based robust stability anal-  
285 ysis of linear delay differential-algebraic equations. In *Numerical Alge-  
286 bra, Matrix Theory, Differential-Algebraic Equations and Control Theory,*  
287 *Festschrift in Honor of Volker Mehrmann*, chapter 19, pages 533–557.  
288 Springer-Verlag, 2015. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-15260-8\_19. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15260-8\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15260-8_19). 2
- 290 C. T. H. Baker, C. A. H. Paul, and H. Tian. Differential algebraic equations  
291 with after-effect. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 140(1-2):63–80, March 2002.  
292 ISSN 0377-0427. doi: 10.1016/S0377-0427(01)00600-8. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(01\)00600-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(01)00600-8). 3
- 294 Nicola Guglielmi and Ernst Hairer. Computing breaking points in implicit  
295 delay differential equations. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 29:229–247, 2008. ISSN  
296 1019-7168. 3
- 297 Richard Bellman and Kenneth L. Cooke. *Differential-difference equations*.  
298 Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Elsevier Science, 1963. 4
- 299 Phi Ha. *Analysis and numerical solutions of delay differential-algebraic equa-  
300 tions*. Dissertation, Institut für Mathematik, TU Berlin, Berlin, Germany,

- 301 2015. 4
- 302 Benjamin Unger. Discontinuity propagation in delay differential-algebraic  
303 equations. *The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 34:582–601, Feb 2018.  
304 ISSN 1081-3810. 4
- 305 Wenjie Zhu and Linda R. Petzold. Asymptotic stability of Hessenberg de-  
306 lay differential-algebraic equations of retarded or neutral type. *Appl. Nu-*  
307 *mer. Math.*, 27(3):309 – 325, 1998. ISSN 0168-9274. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9274\(98\)00008-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9274(98)00008-7). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168927498000087>. 4
- 310 L. Dai. *Singular Control Systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1989. 4,  
311 5
- 312 P. Kunkel and V. Mehrmann. *Differential-Algebraic Equations – Analysis and*  
313 *Numerical Solution*. EMS Publishing House, Zürich, Switzerland, 2006. 4,  
314 5, 6
- 315 M. Gerdts. Local minimum principle for optimal control problems subject  
316 to index two differential algebraic equations systems. Technical report,  
317 Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany, 2005.  
318 5
- 319 Elena Virnik. Stability analysis of positive descriptor systems. *Linear Algebra*  
320 and its Applications, 429(10):2640 – 2659, 2008. ISSN 0024-3795. doi: 10.  
321 1016/j.laa.2008.03.002. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0024379508001250>. Special Issue in honor of Richard S.  
322 Varga. 5, 10
- 323 K. E. Brenan, S. L. Campbell, and L. R. Petzold. *Numerical Solution of Initial-*  
324 *Value Problems in Differential Algebraic Equations*. SIAM Publications,  
325 Philadelphia, PA, 2nd edition, 1996. 6
- 326 M. A. Rami and D. Napp. Characterization and stability of autonomous  
327 positive descriptor systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 57  
328 (10):2668–2673, Oct 2012. ISSN 1558-2523. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2012.2190211.  
329 9