International Journal of Control

On stability of time-varying linear differential-algebraic equations

Thomas Berger [a] & Achim Ilchmann [a]

[a] Institute for Mathematics, Ilmenau University of Technology, Weimarer Straße 25, 98693 Ilmenau, Germany
Version of record first published: 19 Mar 2013.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group

On stability of time-varying linear differential-algebraic equations

Thomas Berger* and Achim Ilchmann

Institute for Mathematics, Ilmenau University of Technology, Weimarer Straße 25, 98693 Ilmenau, Germany

We develop a stability theory for time-varying linear differential algebraic equations (DAEs). Well-known stability concepts of ordinary differential equations are generalised to DAEs and characterised. Lyapunov's direct method is derived as well as the converse of the stability theorems. Stronger results are achieved for DAEs, which are transferable into standard canonical form; in this case the existence of the generalised transition matrix is exploited.

Keywords: time-varying linear differential algebraic equations; exponential stability; Lyapunov's direct method; Lyapunov equation; Lyapunov function; standard canonical form

Nomenclature

$\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_0$	the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
$\ker A$	the kernel of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
$\mathrm{im}\, A$	the image of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
$\mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$	the general linear group of degree n, i.e. the set of all invertible $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{R}$
$\|x\| :=$	$\sqrt{x^\top x}$, the Euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
$\mathcal{B}_\delta(x^0) :=$	$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - x^0\| < \delta\}$, the open ball of radius $\delta > 0$ around $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$
$\|A\| :=$	$\sup\{\|Ax\| \mid \|x\| = 1\}$, induced matrix norm of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I}; \mathcal{S})$	the set of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{S}$ from an open set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ to a vector space $\mathcal{S}$
$\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{I}; \mathcal{S})$	the set of k-times continuously differentiable functions $f : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{S}$ from an open set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ to a vector space $\mathcal{S}$
$\mathrm{dom}\, f$	the domain of the function f
$f \mid_{\mathcal{M}}$	the restriction of the function f on a set $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathrm{dom}\, f$
$A \leq B \;:\Leftrightarrow$	$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^\top A x \leq x^\top B x$; $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
$A(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{U}} B(\cdot) \;:\Leftrightarrow$	$\forall (t, x) \in \mathcal{U} : x^\top A(t) x \leq x^\top B(t) x$; $A, B : (\tau, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\tau \in [-\infty, \infty), \mathcal{U} \subseteq (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$
$A(\cdot) =_{\mathcal{U}} B(\cdot) \;:\Leftrightarrow$	replace $\leq$ by $=$ in the definition of $A(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{U}} B(\cdot)$
$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}} :=$	$\left\{ M : (\tau, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} M \text{ is continuous and symmetric,} \\ \exists\, m_1, m_2 > 0 : m_1 I_n \leq_{\mathcal{U}} M(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{U}} m_2 I_n \end{array} \right\}$ for $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$

1. Introduction

Differential-algebraic equations (DAEs) are a combination of differential equations along with algebraic constraints. They have been discovered as an appropriate tool for modelling many problems, e.g. in mechanical multibody dynamics (Eich-Soellner & Führer, 1998), electrical networks (Riaza, 2008) and chemical engineering (Kumar & Daoutidis, 1999), which often cannot be modelled by standard ordinary differential equations (ODEs). These problems indeed have in common that the dynamics are algebraically constrained, for instance by tracks, Kirchhoff laws or conservation laws. A nice example can also be found in Ilchmann and Mehrmann (2005a): A mobile manipulator is modelled as a linear time-varying differential-algebraic control problem. The power in application is responsible for DAEs being nowadays an established field in applied mathematics and subject of various monographs and textbooks (Brenan, Campbell, & Petzold, 1989; Campbell, 1980, 1982; Dai, 1989; Griepentrog & März, 1986; Kunkel & Mehrmann, 2006). In the present work, we study the stability theory and concepts related to the Lyapunov theory of linear time-varying DAEs: Lyapunov's direct method, Lyapunov equations, Lyapunov functions and Lyapunov transformation. Due to the algebraic constraints in DAEs, most of the classical concepts of the qualitative theory have to be carefully modified and the analysis gets more involved.

We study stability of solutions of time-varying linear DAEs of the form,

---

*Corresponding author. Email: thomas.berger@tu-ilmenau.de

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t), \qquad (1.1)$$

where $(E, A, f) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau \in [-\infty, \infty)$. For brevity, we identify the tuple

$$(E, A, f) \quad \text{or} \quad (E, A) := (E, A, 0)$$

with the inhomogeneous or homogeneous DAE (1.1), respectively.

Time-invariant linear DAEs are well studied, see the monographs and textbooks by Campbell (1980), Campbell (1982), Dai (1989) and Kunkel and Mehrmann (2006). However, for the stability theory of time-varying linear DAEs only a few contributions are available: Debeljkovic, Visnjic, and Pjescic (2007) treat DAEs with constant E and time-varying A; Shcheglova and Chistyakov (2004) use the ansatz of 'regularizing operators' to obtain Lyapunov stability criteria; in Berger (2012b), Chyan, Du, and Linh (2008), Du and Linh (2006), Higueras, März, and Tischendorf (2003a, 2003b), Lamour, März, and Winkler (1998) and März and Rodríguez-Santiesteban (2001) results for DAEs with index 1 or 2 are obtained; in Kunkel and Mehrmann (2007) some stability results for time-varying DAEs with well-defined differentiation index are obtained and in Linh and Mehrmann (2009) Lyapunov, Bohl and Sacker-Sell spectral intervals for DAEs of this class are investigated; in Berger (2012a) the Bohl exponent of time-varying DAEs is investigated. A Lyapunov theory for DAEs has been discussed in Menrath (2011), with focus on DAEs with index 1 or 2; see also the references therein. However, a comprehensive stability and Lyapunov theory for DAEs with arbitrary continuous E and A are not available.

In the present work we present an approach to the stability theory, which only requires continuous E, A, f. Thereafter, we derive stronger results for the class of systems transferable into standard canonical form (SCF) –these systems are allowed to have arbitrary index. Therefore, the results in the present paper are not included in the above-mentioned literature.

The paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we show the relationships and consequences of different solution concepts for DAEs; the considerable difference to ODEs

becomes clear. In Section 3 we introduce the subclass of DAEs (E, A), which are transferable into SCF and recall its basic properties relevant for the present paper. Different stability concepts are introduced and characterised in Section 4. In Section 5 we present Lyapunov's direct method for DAEs and develop a theory of Lyapunov functions and Lyapunov equations on the set of all pairs of consistent ini-

tial values. We stress that in Sections 2 and 5.1 as well as in Theorem 4.3 only continuity of E, A, f is required.

2. Solutions and singular behaviour

In this section, we define the important concept of right global solutions and briefly remark an possible singular behaviour of solutions. This is needed for the stability analysis in Sections 4 and 5. The concept of a solution and its extendability is introduced similarly to ODEs, see for example Amann (1990, Sec. 5).

Definition 2.1 (Solutions): Let $(E, A, f) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(a, b) \subseteq (\tau, \infty)$. A function $x : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called

$$solution~of~(E, A, f) \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad x \in \mathcal{C}^1((a, b); \mathbb{R}^n)~\text{and}$$
$$x~\text{satisfies Equation (1.1) for all}~t \in (a, b).$$

A solution $\tilde{x} : (a, \tilde{b}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of (E, A, f) is called a

$$(right)~extension~of~x \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad \tilde{b} \geq b~and~x = \tilde{x}\,|_{(a,b)}.$$

x is called

$$right~maximal \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad b = \tilde{b}~\text{for every extension}$$
$$\tilde{x} : (a, \tilde{b}) \to \mathbb{R}^n~\text{of}~x,$$
$$right~global :\Longleftrightarrow \quad b = \infty,$$
$$global \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad (a, b) = (\tau, \infty).$$

A right maximal solution $x : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of (E, A, f), which is not right global, i.e. $b < \infty$, is said to

$$\text{have a}~finite~escape~time :\Longleftrightarrow \limsup_{t \nearrow b} \|x(t)\| = \infty,$$
$$\text{be}~non\text{-}extendable \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad x~\text{has no finite escape time.}$$

To avoid confusion, note that the notion 'non-extendable' is often used for solutions, which are right maximal in our terms, see e.g. Amann (1990) and Hartman (1982).

Let $(t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$; then the set of all *right maximal solutions* of the initial value problem (E, A, f), $x(t^0) = x^0$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^0) := \left\{ x : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^n \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{J}~\text{open interval},~t^0 \in \mathcal{J},~x(t^0) = x^0, \\ x(\cdot)~\text{is a right maximal solution of}~(E, A, f) \end{array} \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) := \mathcal{S}_{E,A,0}(t^0, x^0),$$

and the set of all *right global solutions* of (E, A, f), $x(t^0) = x^0$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^0) := \{x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^0) \mid x(\cdot)~\text{is right}$$
$$\text{global solution of}~(E, A, f)\},$$
$$\mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) := \mathcal{G}_{E,A,0}(t^0, x^0).$$

The *set of all pairs of consistent initial values* of $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ and the linear subspace of

initial values, which are consistent at time $t^0 \in (\tau, \infty)$, respectively, is denoted by

$$\mathcal{V}_{E,A} := \{ (t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \text{ (local) sln. } x(\cdot)$$
$$\text{of } (E, A) : t^0 \in \operatorname{dom} x, \ x(t^0) = x^0 \},$$
$$\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) := \{ x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \}.$$

Note that if $x : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (E, A), then $x(t) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathcal{J}$.

In the case of an ODE $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, there is only one possibility for the behaviour of a right maximal, but not right global, solution $x : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ at its right endpoint b (see Walter (1998, p. 68) for the case $n = 1$ and Walter (1998, Sec. 10, Theorem VI) for $n > 1$):

x has a finite escape time, i.e. $\quad \limsup_{t \nearrow b} \|x(t)\| = \infty$.

DAEs are very different in this respect; this is illustrated by the following example (from Kunkel and Mehrmann (2006, Example 3.1) tailored for our purposes):

Example 2.2: Consider the real analytic initial value problem

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t), \ x(t^0) = 0, \quad \text{where}$$
$$E(t) := \begin{bmatrix} -t & t^2 \\ -1 & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(t) := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$f(t) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ t^0 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that the matrix pencil $\lambda E(t) - A(t)$ is regular for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$; recall (see, e.g. Kunkel & Mehrmann, 2006) that a matrix pencil $sE - A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}[s]$ is called *regular* if, and only if, $0 \neq \det(sE - A) \in \mathbb{R}[s]$. Then $x : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (2.1) if, and only if, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval and $x(t) = c(t)\binom{t}{1}$, $t \in \mathcal{J}$, for some $c(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{J}; \mathbb{R})$ with $c(t^0) = 0$. Therefore, (2.1) has many solutions, which allow for the following scenario:

(i) *Equation (2.1) has a global solution*. For example, the trivial solution is a global solution of (2.1).

(ii) *Equation (2.1) has a right maximal solution with finite escape time.* Choose $\omega \in (t^0, \infty)$ and let $c(t) = -\frac{1}{t-\omega} + \frac{1}{t^0-\omega}$, $t < \omega$. Then $x : (-\infty, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \mapsto c(t)(t, 1)^\top$ is a solution of (2.1) and $\limsup_{t \nearrow \omega} \|x(t)\| = \infty$.

(iii) *Equation (2.1) has a right maximal solution, which has no finite escape time at $\omega \in (t^0, \infty)$ and is not continuous at ω.* Choose $c(t) = \sin \frac{a}{t-\omega}$, $t < \omega$, $a = \pi(t^0 - \omega)$. Then $x : (-\infty, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \mapsto c(t)(t, 1)^\top$ is a solution of (2.1) and the limit $\lim_{t \nearrow \omega} x(t)$ does not exist.

(iv) *Equation (2.1) has a right maximal solution, which is continuous but not differentiable at a finite time $\omega \in (t^0, \infty)$.* Choose $c(t) = (t - \omega) \sin \frac{a}{t-\omega}$, $t < \omega$, $a = \pi(t^0 - \omega)$. Then $x : (-\infty, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \mapsto c(t)(t, 1)^\top$ is a solution of (2.1) and the limit of the difference quotient $\lim_{t \nearrow \omega} \frac{x(t) - \tilde{x}}{t-\omega}$, where $\tilde{x} = \lim_{t \nearrow \omega} x(t)$, does not exist.

(v) *Equation (2.1) has a right maximal solution, which is continuous and differentiable at a finite time $\omega \in (t^0, \infty)$, but its derivative is not continuous at ω.* Choose $c(t) = (t - \omega)^2 \sin \frac{a}{t-\omega}$, $t < \omega$, $a = \pi(t^0 - \omega)$. Then $x : (-\infty, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \mapsto c(t)(t, 1)^\top$ is a solution of (2.1) and the limit $\lim_{t \nearrow \omega} \dot{x}(t)$ does not exist.

In (iii)–(v) there does not exist any extension of the solution over ω; this cannot occur in the case of an ODE.

The singular behaviour of linear DAEs in terms of so-called *critical points* is investigated in Ilchmann and Mehrmann (2005b), März and Riaza (2007), März and Riaza (2008) and Rabier and Rheinboldt (1996). We refer to these works for some further examples for DAEs with singular behaviour. In fact, the system (2.1) has a critical point at $t = 0$ in the framework of these papers.

Considering the two DAEs $t\dot{x} = -tx + 1$ and $t\dot{x} = -tx$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which have a critical point at $t = 0$, we find that the property

$$x_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^1), \ x_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^2)$$
$$\implies ((x_1 - x_2) : \operatorname{dom} x_1 \cap \operatorname{dom} x_2 \to \mathbb{R}^n)$$
$$\in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, x^1 - x^2), \tag{2.2}$$

which is trivial for ODEs, does in general not hold for DAEs (E, A, f). Property (2.2) means that the difference of two right maximal solutions of (E, A, f), defined on the intersection of their domains, is a right maximal solution of (E, A).

The following proposition shows that the above-mentioned shortcoming can be resolved by the mild assumption that $x_1(\cdot)$ or $x_2(\cdot)$ is right global; this is also important for stability results proved in Theorem 4.3.

Proposition 2.3 (Right maximal solutions): *Consider the DAE $(E, A, f) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$ and its associated homogeneous DAE (E, A). Then we have, for any $x^0, y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t^0 > \tau$:*

(i) *If $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^0)$ is right global and $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, y^0)$, then $(x - y : \operatorname{dom} x \cap \operatorname{dom} y \to \mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0 - y^0)$.*

(ii) *If $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^0)$ is right global and $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, y^0)$, then $(x + y : \operatorname{dom} x \cap \operatorname{dom} y \to \mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, x^0 + y^0)$.*

Proof: (i) Note that $z = x - y : \operatorname{dom} x \cap \operatorname{dom} y \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of the initial value problem

$$E(t)\dot{z} = A(t)z, \quad z(t^0) = x^0 - y^0.$$

Let $(\alpha, \omega) := \operatorname{dom} z(\cdot)$. If $\omega = \infty$, then the claim holds. Let $\omega < \infty$. Since $y(\cdot)$ is right maximal, $\omega = \sup \operatorname{dom} y(\cdot)$, and $x(\cdot)$ is right global, the difference $z(\cdot)$ inherits the (singular) behaviour at ω from $y(\cdot)$. We show that $z(\cdot)$ is right maximal.

Let $\mu : (\alpha, \tilde{\omega}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an extension of $z(\cdot)$, i.e.

$$\omega \leq \tilde{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad z = \mu \mid_{(\alpha, \omega)}.$$

Then $\mu(\cdot)$ has the same (singular) behaviour at ω as $z(\cdot)$ and since $\mu(\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable (as a solution of (E, A)) it follows that $\tilde{\omega} \leq \omega$ and hence $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$.

(ii) The proof is analogous and omitted. □

3. Standard canonical form

In this section, we introduce the subclass of DAEs (E, A), which are transferable into SCF. We give a short summary and recall properties needed in the subsequent sections; for a detailed analysis and motivation of this class see Berger and Ilchmann (2013) and the references therein.

Definition 3.1 (Equivalence of DAEs (Kunkel & Mehrmann, 2006, Definition 3.3)): The DAEs (E_1, A_1), $(E_2, A_2) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ are called *equivalent* if, and only if, there exists $(S, T) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ such that

$$E_2 = SE_1T, \quad A_2 = SA_1T - SE_1\dot{T}; \quad \text{we write}$$

$$(E_1, A_1) \overset{S,T}{\sim} (E_2, A_2). \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.2 (SCF (Campbell, 1983; Campbell & Petzold, 1983)): A system (E, A) is called *transferable into SCF* if, and only if, there exist $(S, T) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(E, A) \overset{S,T}{\sim} \left(\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} \right), \tag{3.2}$$

where $N : (\tau, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ is pointwise strictly lower triangular and $J : (\tau, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$; a matrix valued function N is called *pointwise strictly lower triangular* if, and only if, all entries of $N(t)$ on the diagonal and above are zero for all $t > \tau$.

Equivalence of DAEs is in fact an equivalence relation (see e.g. Kunkel & Mehrmann, 2006, Lemma 3.4) and transferability into SCF as well as the constants n_1, n_2 are invariant under equivalence of DAEs (see Berger & Ilchmann, 2013, Theorem 2.1).

In Berger and Ilchmann (2013) we have shown that DAEs, which are transferable into SCF, allow for a generalised transition matrix; the main properties needed in the following sections are recalled.

Proposition 3.3 (Generalised transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$): Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ be transferable into SCF for (S, T) as in Definition 3.2. Then any solution of the initial value problem (E, A), $x(t^0) = x^0$, where $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}$, extends uniquely to a global solution $x(\cdot)$; this solution satisfies

$$x(t) = U(t, t^0)x^0, \quad \text{where}$$

$$U(t, t^0) := T(t) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_J(t, t^0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T(t^0)^{-1}, \quad t \in (\tau, \infty), \tag{3.3}$$

and $\Phi_J(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the transition matrix of $\dot{z} = J(t)z$; $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called the *generalised transition matrix of (E, A)* and does not depend on the choice of (S, T) in Equation (3.2); it satisfies, for all $t, r, s \in (\tau, \infty)$,

(i) $E(t)\frac{d}{dt} U(t, s) = A(t) U(t, s)$,
(ii) $\operatorname{im} U(t, s) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$,
(iii) $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$,
(iv) $U(t, t)^2 = U(t, t)$,
(v) $\forall x \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) : U(t, t)x = x$,
(vi) $\frac{d}{dt} U(s, t) = -U(s, t) T(t) S(t) A(t)$.

Proof: Properties (i)–(v) are shown in Berger and Ilchmann (2013, Sec. 3). Property (vi) follows from a straightforward calculation using

$$\frac{d}{dt}(T^{-1}) = -T^{-1}\dot{T}T^{-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

□

For later use we also record the following elementary properties.

Proposition 3.4: Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ be transferable into SCF for (S, T) as in Definition 3.2. Then

(i) $(t, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \iff x^0 \in \operatorname{im} T(t) \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$,
(ii) $(t, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \iff T(t)S(t)E(t)x^0 = x^0$,
(iii) $\forall t > \tau : \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) \cap \ker E(t) = \{0\}$,
(iv) $\forall (t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \, \forall t > \tau :$
$[E(t)U(t, t^0)x^0 = 0 \iff U(t, t^0)x^0 = 0]$.

Proof: For (i) see Berger and Ilchmann (2013, Proposition 3.2(i)). (ii) follows from (i) using that $I - N(t) \in \mathbf{Gl}_{n_2}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t > \tau$. (iii) is a consequence of (ii), and (iv) finally follows from (iii) and Proposition 3.3(ii). □

Remark 3.5 (Well-defined differentiation index): Any DAE (E, A) which is transferable into SCF has a well-defined differentiation index (Kunkel & Mehrmann, 2006, Definition 3.37), or, equivalently, is analytically solvable (Campbell & Petzold, 1983), see Berger and Ilchmann (2013, Sec. 4). However, there are DAEs which have a well-defined differentiation index but are not transferable into SCF (Berger & Ilchmann, 2013, Example 4.3).

4. Stability

In this section, we introduce a stability theory for DAEs $(E, A, f) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the system is linear, it suffices – analogous to ODEs – to consider the stability behaviour of the zero solution of the homogeneous part (E, A); this is proved in Theorem 4.3. Further characterisations of stability are shown for the subclass of DAEs transferable into SCF.

Definition 4.1 (Stability): A right global solution $x : (a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of $(E, A, f) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$, $a \geq \tau$, is said to be

$$\text{stable} :\Longleftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \forall t^0 > a \; \exists \delta > 0 \; \forall y^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(x(t^0)) \; \forall y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, y^0) :$$
$$[t^0, \infty) \subseteq \operatorname{dom} y \quad \wedge \quad \forall t \geq t^0 : y(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(x(t)).$$
$$\text{attractive} :\Longleftrightarrow \forall t^0 > a \; \exists \eta > 0 \; \forall y^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta(x(t^0)) \; \forall y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, y^0) :$$
$$[t^0, \infty) \subseteq \operatorname{dom} y \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{t \to \infty}(y(t) - x(t)) = 0.$$

$\text{asymptotically stable} :\Longleftrightarrow x(\cdot)$ is stable and attractive.

$$\text{exponentially stable} :\Longleftrightarrow \exists \alpha, \beta > 0 \; \forall t^0 > a \; \exists \eta > 0 \; \forall y^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta(x(t^0)) \; \forall y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, y^0) :$$
$$[t^0, \infty) \subseteq \operatorname{dom} y \; \wedge \; \forall t \geq t^0 : \|y(t) - x(t)\| \leq \alpha e^{-\beta(t - t^0)} \|y(t^0) - x(t^0)\|.$$

Remark 4.2:

(i) Note that stability does neither imply that every initial value problem is solvable in the neighbourhood of the considered solution nor does it mean that a possibly existing solution has to be unique; the only requirement is that every existing solution in a neighbourhood of the considered one stays in an ε-neighbourhood of it.

(ii) If the trivial solution of the homogeneous DAE (E, A) is stable, then – opposed to linear ODEs – a solution of the inhomogeneous system (E, A, f) is not necessarily stable. To see this, consider the scalar equation

$$t\dot{x} = -tx + 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.1}$$

and the associated homogeneous equation

$$t\dot{x} = -tx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.2}$$

Clearly, the trivial solution of Equation (4.2) is exponentially stable. Since

$$\lim_{t \nearrow 0} \int_{-1}^t s^{-1} e^{-(t-s)} \, ds = -\infty,$$

it follows that

$$\left(x : (-1, 0) \to \mathbb{R}^n, \; t \mapsto e^{-(t-1)} + \int_{-1}^t s^{-1} e^{-(t-s)} \, ds \right)$$
$$\in \mathcal{S}_{(4.1)}(-1, 1)$$

has a finite escape time; therefore it cannot be exponentially stable. However, an inspection of $\mathcal{S}_{(4.1)}(t^0, x^0)$ for $t^0 > 0$ reveals that every right global solution of Equation (4.1) is exponentially stable.

(iii) If (E, A) is transferable into SCF and the J-block in the SCF does not exist, i.e. $n_1 = 0$, then

$$\forall t^0 > \tau : \; U(\cdot, t^0) \equiv 0,$$

and Proposition 3.3 yields that (E, A) is exponentially stable.

It is well known (see, for example, Aulbach, 2004, Satz 7.5.1) that for ODEs it suffices to consider the stability behaviour of the zero solution. For time-varying DAEs one has to be, due to the difference between maximal and global solutions, more careful. However, we show that the analogous result also holds true and stress that no extra assumptions are made on (E, A, f) and its solutions.

Theorem 4.3 (Uniform stability behaviour of all right global solutions): *Consider the inhomogeneous DAE $(E, A, f) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the associated homogeneous DAE (E, A).*

(i) If the trivial solution of (E, A), restricted to (α, ∞) for some $\alpha \geq \tau$, has one of the properties {stable, attractive, asymptotically stable, exponentially stable}, then every right global solution $x : (\beta, \infty) \to$

$\mathbb{R}^n$ of *(E, A, f)* with $\beta \geq \alpha$ has the respective property.

(ii) *If there exists a right global solution $x(\cdot)$ of (E, A, f) with one of the properties {stable, attractive, asymptotically stable, exponentially stable}, then the trivial solution of (E, A), restricted to $\operatorname{dom} x(\cdot)$, has the respective property.*

Proof: We prove the claim for stability, the other concepts are proved similarly.

(i) Let the trivial solution of *(E, A)*, restricted to (α, ∞) for some $\alpha \geq \tau$, be stable and let $\mu : (\beta, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a right global solution of *(E, A, f)* , $\beta \geq \alpha$. We show that $\mu(\cdot)$ is stable.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t^0 > \beta$. Since the trivial solution of *(E, A)*, restricted to (α, ∞), is stable, Definition 4.1 yields

$$\exists \delta > 0 \, \forall y^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(0) \, \forall y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, y^0) \, :$$
$$y(\cdot) \text{ is right global } \wedge [\forall t \geq t^0 : y(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(0)]. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(\mu(t^0))$. If $\mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, \eta) = \emptyset$, then the claim holds. Let $\lambda(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, \eta)$. By Proposition 2.3 (i) and since $t^0 \in \operatorname{dom} \lambda \cap \operatorname{dom} \mu$, we have

$$(\mu - \lambda : \operatorname{dom} \lambda \cap \operatorname{dom} \mu \to \mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, \mu(t^0) - \eta).$$

Then $\mu(t^0) - \eta \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(0)$ and (4.3) yield that $(\mu - \lambda)(\cdot)$ is right global, and hence $\lambda(\cdot)$ must be right global, and

$$[\forall t \geq t^0 : \lambda(t) - \mu(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(0)]$$
$$\implies [\forall t \geq t^0 : \lambda(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\mu(t))]$$

and therefore $\mu(\cdot)$ is stable.

(ii) Let $\mu : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a right global and stable solution of *(E, A, f)*. We show that the trivial solution of *(E, A)*, restricted to $\mathcal{J}$, is stable.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t^0 \in \mathcal{J}$. Since $\mu(\cdot)$ is stable, Definition 4.1 yields

$$\exists \delta > 0 \, \forall y^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(\mu(t^0)) \, \forall y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, y^0) \, :$$
$$y(\cdot) \text{ is right global } \wedge \, \forall t \geq t^0 : y(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\mu(t)). \quad (4.4)$$

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(0)$. If $\mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, \eta) = \emptyset$, then the claim holds. Let $\lambda(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, \eta)$. By Proposition 2.3 (ii) and since $t^0 \in \operatorname{dom} \lambda \cap \operatorname{dom} \mu$ we have

$$(\mu + \lambda : \operatorname{dom} \lambda \cap \operatorname{dom} \mu \to \mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A,f}(t^0, \mu(t^0) + \eta).$$

Then $\mu(t^0) + \eta \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(\mu(t^0))$ and (4.4) yield that $(\mu + \lambda)(\cdot)$ is right global, and hence $\lambda(\cdot)$ must be right global, and

$$[\forall t \geq t^0 : \mu(t) + \lambda(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\mu(t))]$$
$$\implies [\forall t \geq t^0 : \lambda(t) \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(0)]$$

and therefore the trivial solution of *(E, A)*, restricted to $\mathcal{J}$, is stable. $\square$

Theorem 4.3 justifies (similar to linear ODEs) the following definition.

Definition 4.4: The DAE $(E, A, f) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called *stable, attractive, asymptotically stable* or *exponentially stable* if, and only if, the global trivial solution of *(E, A)* has the respective property.

We will show that previous stability concepts can be characterised similar to ODEs if *(E, A)* is transferable into SCF; first, the latter is discussed in the following remark.

Remark 4.5 (Transferable into SCF):

(i) If the DAE *(E, A)* is time-invariant, i.e. $(E, A) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$, then

(E, A) is exp. stable $\implies sE - A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}[s]$ is regular
$\implies$ *(E, A)* is transferable into SCF.

To see this, assume that $sE - A$ is not regular, then there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(\lambda E - A)x^0 = 0$ and hence the unstable function $t \mapsto e^{\lambda t}x^0$ solves *(E, A)*, a contradiction. The second implication is Weierstraß' result, see Kunkel and Mehrmann (2006, Theorem 2.7).

(ii) If $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ is exponentially stable, then it is not necessarily transferable into SCF. Consider the analytic DAE

$$0 \cdot \dot{x} = t \, x, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.5)$$

which is exponentially stable: any solution $x : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}$ of Equation (4.5) fulfills $x(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{0\}$ and since the solutions must be continuous it follows that $x \equiv 0$. We also have $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$. However, if Equation (4.5) were transferable into SCF, then

$$SET = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad SAT - SE\dot{T} = 1$$
$$\text{for some } S, T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

But evaluation at $t = 0$ gives $S(0)A(0)T(0) - S(0)E(0)\dot{T}(0) = 0$, a contradiction.

In the following theorem, we consider DAEs which are transferable into SCF and characterise, exploiting the existence of a generalised transition matrix, the different stability concepts. A similar result has been derived in Kunkel and Mehrmann (2007, Sec. 3.1) for the class of DAEs with well-defined differentiation index, sufficient conditions involving the inherent ODE and algebraic constraints are

presented; however, the stability concepts studied in Kunkel and Mehrmann (2007) differ from Definition 4.1.

Theorem 4.6 (Stability): *Suppose system* $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ *is transferable into SCF and let* $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ *denote the generalised transition matrix of* (E, A). *Then the following characterisations hold:*

(i) (E, A) *is stable* $\iff$ $\forall t^0 > \tau \ \exists M \geq 0 \ \forall x^0 \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) \ \forall t \geq t^0 : \|U(t, t^0)x^0\| \leq M\|x^0\|$.

(ii) *The following are equivalent:*

    (a) (E, A) *is attractive.*
    (b) (E, A) *is asymptotically stable.*
    (c) *Every global solution* $x : (\tau, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *of* (E, A) *satisfies* $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$.

(iii) (E, A) *is exp. stable* $\iff$ $\exists \alpha, \beta > 0 \ \forall (t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \ \forall t \geq t^0 : \|U(t, t^0)x^0\| \leq \alpha e^{-\beta(t-t^0)}\|x^0\|$.

Proof: By Remark 4.2(iii), we may assume $n_1 > 0$.

(i) Let (E, A) be stable, $t^0 > \tau$, and $\varepsilon = 1$. By Definition 4.1 and Proposition 3.3, there exists $\delta = \delta(t^0) > 0$ such that

$$\forall x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(0) \cap \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) \ \forall t \geq t^0 : \|U(t, t^0)x^0\| \leq 1. \tag{4.6}$$

Define $M := 2/\delta$ and let $x^0 \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0)$. If $x^0 = 0$, then $U(t, t^0)x^0 = 0$ for all $t \geq t^0$. If $x^0 \neq 0$, then

$$\forall t \geq t^0 : \left\| U(t, t^0) \frac{\delta x^0}{2\|x^0\|} \right\| \overset{(4.6)}{\leq} \frac{2}{\delta} \cdot \frac{\delta}{2} = M \left\| \frac{\delta x^0}{2\|x^0\|} \right\|,$$

which is equivalent to the right-hand side of the equivalence. The converse is immediate from the definition of stability.

(ii) '(a)⇒(b)': Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t^0 > \tau$. Attractivity of (E, A) gives

$$\exists \delta = \delta(t^0) > 0 \ \forall x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(0) \cap \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) \ \forall x(\cdot)$$
$$\in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) : \ 0 = \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} U(t, t^0)x^0.$$

For

$$X^0 := \frac{\delta}{2\|T(t^0)\|} T(t^0) \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have, in view of Proposition 3.4(i), $X_i^0 \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n_1$, and, since $\|X^0\| < \delta$, we obtain $X_i^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(0) \cap \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n_1$. Therefore,

$$0 = \lim_{t \to \infty} U(t, t^0)X^0$$
$$= \frac{\delta}{2\|T(t^0)\|} \lim_{t \to \infty} T(t) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_J(t, t^0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this it follows that $\lim_{t \to \infty} U(t, t^0) = 0$ and hence there exists $\lambda = \lambda(t^0) > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \geq t^0 : \ \|U(t, t^0)\| \leq \lambda.$$

Define $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon, t^0) := \varepsilon/\lambda$. Then

$$\forall x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta(0) \cap \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) \ \forall x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) \ \forall t \geq t^0 :$$
$$\|x(t)\| = \|U(t, t^0)x^0\| \leq \|U(t, t^0)\|\|x^0\| < \lambda \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore (E, A) is stable.

'(b)⇒(c)': Let $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}$ and $x(\cdot)$ be the global solution of (E, A), $x(t^0) = x^0$. Since (E, A) is attractive in particular, it follows, as in the proof of '(a)⇒(b)', that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} U(t, t^0) = 0, \qquad \text{and thus}$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} U(t, t^0)x^0 = 0.$$

'(c)⇒(a)': By Proposition 3.3 every local solution of (E, A) extends uniquely to a global solution, thus every right maximal solution is right global. Then attractivity of (E, A) follows immediately.

(iii) Let (E, A) be exponentially stable and let $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}$. We use Proposition 3.3. If $x^0 = 0$, then $U(t, t^0)x^0 = 0$ for all $t \geq t^0$ and by Definition 4.1 we have

$$\exists \alpha, \beta > 0 \ \exists \delta = \delta(t^0) > 0 \ \forall y^0 \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(0) \cap \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) \ \forall t \geq t^0 :$$
$$\|U(t, t^0)y^0\| \leq \alpha e^{-\beta(t-t^0)}\|y^0\|. \tag{4.7}$$

If $x^0 \neq 0$, then (4.7) gives

$$\forall t \geq t^0 : \ \left\| U(t, t^0) \frac{\delta x^0}{2\|x^0\|} \right\| \leq \alpha e^{-\beta(t-t^0)} \left\| \frac{\delta x^0}{2\|x^0\|} \right\|,$$

which is equivalent to the right-hand side of the equivalence. The converse follows immediately.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 4.7: Theorem 4.6 does, in general, not hold true for systems which are not transferable into SCF: Consider the initial value problem

$$t\dot{x} = (1 - t)x, \quad x(t^0) = x^0, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.8}$$

for $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. In passing, note that $t \mapsto (E(t), A(t)) = (t, t - 1)$ is real analytic. For $t^0 \neq 0$, $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the unique global solution $x(\cdot)$ of Equation (4.8) is

$$x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \ t \mapsto \frac{te^{-t}}{t^0 e^{-t^0}} x^0.$$

For $t^0 = x^0 = 0$ the problem (4.8) has infinitely many global solutions and every (local) solution $x : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}$ extends

uniquely to a global solution

$$x_c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \ t \mapsto cte^{-t}, \qquad \text{where}$$
$$c = \frac{e^T}{T} x(T) \text{ for some } T \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The solutions $x_c(\cdot)$ are the only global solutions of the initial value problem (4.8), $t^0 = x^0 = 0$. Furthermore, any initial value problem (4.8), $t^0 = 0, x^0 \neq 0$ does not have a solution. Therefore, the zero solution is attractive, but not asymptotically stable.

In the remainder of this section we give sufficient conditions so that the stability behaviour of the DAE (E, A) is not changed under equivalence of DAEs. We introduce Lyapunov transformations (see for example Rugh (1996, Definition 6.14) for ODEs) on the set of all pairs of consistent initial values.

Definition 4.8 (Lyapunov transformation): Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. Then $T \in \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is called a *Lyapunov transformation on* $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}$ if, and only if,

$$\boxed{T(\cdot)^{-\top} T(\cdot)^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}}.} \qquad (4.9)$$

A state-space transformation T is a Lyapunov transformation on $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}$ if, and only if,

$$\exists\, p_1, p_2 > 0 \ \forall\, (t, x) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} :$$
$$p_1 \|x\|^2 \leq \|T(t)^{-1} x\|^2 \leq p_2 \|x\|^2. \qquad (4.10)$$

If

$$(E, A) \overset{S,T}{\sim} (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}), \qquad \text{for}$$
$$(S, T) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})),$$

and T is a Lyapunov transformation on $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}$, then in particular $x(\cdot)$ solves (E, A) if, and only if, $z(t) = T(t)^{-1} x(t)$ satisfies $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$. In view of $T(t)^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) = \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}}(t)$ for $t > \tau$, we see that (4.10) is equivalent to

$$\exists\, p_1, p_2 > 0 \ \forall\, (t, z) \in \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}} :$$
$$p_2^{-1} \|z\|^2 \leq \|T(t) z\|^2 \leq p_1^{-1} \|z\|^2. \qquad (4.11)$$

If (E, A) is an ODE, then $\mathcal{V}_{E,A} = (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, in this case the boundedness condition (4.9) on the subspace of consistent initial values is equivalent to boundedness of $T(\cdot)$ and $T(\cdot)^{-1}$; the latter is called Lyapunov transformation in Rugh (1996, Definition 6.14).

We are now ready to state the proposition.

Proposition 4.9 (Stability behaviour is preserved under Lyapunov transformation): *Suppose system* $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ *is transferable into SCF as in*

Definition 3.2. If

$$(E, A) \overset{S,T}{\sim} (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}) \qquad \text{for some}$$
$$S \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})), T \in \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$$

and T is a Lyapunov transformation on $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}$, *then*

  (i) (E, A) *is stable* $\iff (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ *is stable.*
  (ii) (E, A) *is attractive* $\iff (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ *is attractive.*
  (iii) (E, A) *is asymptotically stable* $\iff (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ *is asymptotically stable.*
  (iv) (E, A) *is exponentially stable* $\iff (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ *is exponentially stable.*

Proof: (i) is a simple calculation; assertions (ii) and (iii) follow from Theorem 4.6 and the boundedness condition (4.9); (iv) follows from Equation (4.10), Theorem 4.6 and the observation that, for the generalised transition matrix $\tilde{U}(\cdot, \cdot)$ of $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$, we have, as a consequence of the uniqueness result in Proposition 3.3,

$$\forall\, s, t > \tau : \ \tilde{U}(t, s) = T(t)^{-1} U(t, s) T(s). \qquad \square$$

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.9, we obtain that the stability behaviour of (E, A) is inherited from the stability behaviour of the underlying ODE in the SCF.

Corollary 4.10 (Stability behaviour is inherited from subsystem): *Let* $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ *be transferable into SCF as in Definition 3.2 and suppose T is a Lyapunov transformation on* $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}$. *Then (E, A) has one of the properties {stable, attractive, asymptotically stable, exponentially stable} if, and only if, either $n_1 = 0$ or the ODE $\dot{z} = J(t)z$ has the respective property.*

5. Lyapunov equations and Lyapunov functions

In this section, we develop a version of Lyapunov's direct method for DAEs as well as the converse of the stability theorems; stronger results are achieved if the considered DAE is transferable into SCF, in this case the existence of the generalised transition matrix is exploited. All results are generalisations of the corresponding results for time-varying ODEs (see for example Hinrichsen & Pritchard, 2005, Sec. 3) and time-invariant DAEs: see e.g. Owens and Debeljkovic (1985) and Stykel (2002) (confer also Remark 5.13); a good overview is given in Debeljkovic et al. (2007).

5.1. General results

We start with introducing Lyapunov functions for time-varying DAEs $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$; these functions are defined on the set of all initial values (t, x) for which

(E, A) has a right global solution:

$$\mathcal{G}(E, A) := \{(t, x) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t, x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Definition 5.1 (Lyapunov function): Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. A function $V : \mathcal{G}(E, A) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *Lyapunov function for (E, A)* if, and only if,

$$\exists\, \ell_1, \ell_2 > 0\ \forall (t, x) \in \mathcal{G}(E, A):$$
$$\ell_1 \|x\|^2 \leq V(t, x) \leq \ell_2 \|x\|^2 \qquad (5.1)$$

and

$$\exists\, \lambda > 0\ \forall (t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n\ \forall x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0)$$
$$\forall t \geq t^0 : \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} V(t, x(t)) \leq -\lambda V(t, x(t)). \qquad (5.2)$$

We stress that we consider Lyapunov functions for (E, A) on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$, not on $(\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The reason is that the set

$$\mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) := \{\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (t, x) \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)\}, \qquad t > \tau,$$

is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and if $x : (a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a right global solution of (E, A), then $x(t) \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t)$ for all $t > a$.

The next theorem shows that the existence of a Lyapunov function for (E, A) yields a sufficient condition for 'almost' exponential stability of the trivial solution of (E, A). 'Almost' in the sense that we cannot guarantee that every existing right maximal solution in a neighbourhood of the trivial solution is right global. However we can guarantee that all right global solutions tend exponentially to zero. In this sense, it is a DAE-version of Lyapunov's direct method (cf. Hinrichsen & Pritchard, 2005, Corrollary 3.2.20 in the case of ODEs).

Theorem 5.2 (Lyapunov's direct method): *Let* $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. *If there exists a Lyapunov function for (E, A), then*

$$\exists\, \alpha, \beta > 0\ \forall (t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n\ \forall x(\cdot) \in$$
$$\mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0)\ \forall t \geq t^0 : \|x(t)\| \leq \alpha\, \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(t - t^0)} \|x^0\|.$$

Proof: Let $(t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary. If $\mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) = \emptyset$ there is nothing to show. Hence let $x^0 \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t^0)$ and $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0)$. Let $V : \mathcal{G}(E, A) \to \mathbb{R}$ denote a Lyapunov function for (E, A) as in Definition 5.1.

Separation of variables applied to Equation (5.2) gives

$$\forall t \geq t^0\ :\ V(t, x(t)) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t - t^0)} V(t^0, x^0). \qquad (5.3)$$

Then, since $(t, x(t)) \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)$ for all $t \geq t^0$, we find

$$\forall t \geq t^0 : \|x(t)\|^2 \overset{(5.1)}{\leq} \frac{1}{\ell_1} V(t, x(t))$$
$$\overset{(5.3)}{\leq} \frac{1}{\ell_1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t - t^0)} V(t^0, x^0) \overset{(5.1)}{\leq} \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t - t^0)} \|x^0\|^2,$$

which proves the claim. $\qquad\square$

Next we seek for Lyapunov functions for (E, A) by determining solutions to a generalised time-varying Lyapunov equation.

For time-invariant DAEs $(E, A) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ it is well known that one seeks for (positive) solutions $(P, Q) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ of the Lyapunov equation

$$A^\top P E + E^\top P A = -Q \qquad (5.4)$$

and the corresponding Lyapunov function candidate is

$$V : \mathcal{V}_{E,A}^* \to \mathbb{R},\ x \mapsto x^\top (E^\top P E)\, x,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}^* = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$; see e.g. Owens and Debeljkovic (1985, Theorem 2.2).

For time-varying DAEs $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$, the analogous Lyapunov function candidate is

$$\boxed{V : \mathcal{G}(E, A) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (t, x) \mapsto x^\top (E(t)^\top P(t) E(t))\, x.}$$
$$(5.5)$$

We will show that differentiation of $V(t, x(t))$ along any solution $x(\cdot)$ of (E, A) forces $P(\cdot)$ to satisfy the *generalised time-varying Lyapunov equation*

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &A(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot) + E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) A(\cdot) \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot)\big) =_{\mathcal{G}(E,A)} -Q(\cdot). \end{aligned}} \qquad (5.6)$$

The next theorem shows that the existence of a solution to the generalised time-varying Lyapunov equation yields a Lyapunov function for (E, A). Theorem 5.3 shows also that symmetry, differentiability and the boundedness conditions are only required for $E^\top P E$, not for P; therefore, $E^\top P E$ is the object of interest.

Theorem 5.3 (Sufficient conditions for existence of a Lyapunov function): *Let* $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ *and write* $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}(E, A)$, $\mathcal{G}(t) := \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t)$ *for brevity. If* $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \times \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$ *is a solution to (5.6) such that* $E^\top P E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}} \cap \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, *then V as in Equation (5.5) is a Lyapunov function for (E, A).*

Proof: Choose $q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2 > 0$ such that

$$q_1 I_n \leq_\mathcal{G} Q(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{G} q_2 I_n \quad \text{and}$$
$$p_1 I_n \leq_\mathcal{G} E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{G} p_2 I_n. \tag{5.7}$$

Then V as in Equation (5.5) satisfies Equation (5.1) for $\ell_1 = p_1$ and $\ell_2 = p_2$. We show that V satisfies Equation (5.2). Let $(t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary. If $\mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) = \emptyset$, then there is nothing to show. Hence let $x^0 \in \mathcal{G}(t^0)$ and $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0)$. Since $(t, x(t)) \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $t \geq t^0$, differentiation of V along $x(\cdot)$ yields

$$\forall t \geq t^0: \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} V(t, x(t)) \overset{(5.6)}{=} -x(t)^\top Q(t) x(t)$$
$$\overset{(5.7)}{\leq} -q_1 x(t)^\top x(t) \overset{(5.7)}{\leq} -\frac{q_1}{p_2} V(t, x(t)).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\qquad\square$

An alternative to Theorem 5.3, in terms of

$$\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}(E, A) := \{(t, x) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \in E(t)\mathcal{G}(E, A)(t)\},$$
$$(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2,$$

is the following.

Theorem 5.4 (Alternative to Theorem 5.3): *Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \times \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{G}$ and write $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(E, A)$, $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}(E, A)$ for brevity. If $(P, Q) \in (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}} \cap \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})) \times \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{G}$ is a solution to (5.6), then V as in Equation (5.5) is a Lyapunov function for (E, A).*

The proof of Theorem 5.4 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.3 together with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5 (Relationship between P and $E^\top PE$): *For any DAE $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ such that $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{G}$ and $P \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ is symmetric we have (write $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(E, A)$ and $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}(E, A)$ for brevity) that*

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}} \iff E^\top P E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{G}.$$

Proof: $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{G}$ means

$$\exists \alpha, \beta > 0: \alpha I_n \leq_\mathcal{G} E(\cdot)^\top E(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{G} \beta I_n. \tag{5.8}$$

We have to show that

$$\exists p_1, p_2 > 0: p_1 I_n \leq_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}} P(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}} p_2 I_n \tag{5.9}$$

is equivalent to

$$\exists q_1, q_2 > 0: q_1 I_n \leq_\mathcal{G} E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{G} q_2 I_n. \tag{5.10}$$

'$\Rightarrow$': If Equation (5.9) holds, then for any $(t, x) \in \mathcal{G}$ we have $(t, E(t)x) \in \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}$ and thus

$$p_1 \alpha \|x\|^2 \overset{(5.8)}{\leq} p_1 \|E(t)x\|^2 \overset{(5.9)}{\leq} x^\top E(t)^\top P(t) E(t) x$$
$$\overset{(5.9)}{\leq} p_2 \|E(t)x\|^2 \overset{(5.8)}{\leq} p_2 \beta \|x\|^2,$$

whence (5.10).

'$\Leftarrow$': If Equation (5.10) holds, then for $(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}$ we may choose $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(t, y) \in \mathcal{G}$ and $x = E(t)y$. Then

$$\frac{q_1}{\beta} \|x\|^2 = \frac{q_1}{\beta} (E(t)y)^\top (E(t)y) \overset{(5.8)}{\leq} q_1 \|y\|^2$$
$$\overset{(5.10)}{\leq} y^\top E(t)^\top P(t) E(t) y$$
$$= x^\top P(t) x \overset{(5.10)}{\leq} q_2 \|y\|^2 \overset{(5.8)}{\leq} \frac{q_2}{\alpha} (E(t)y)^\top (E(t)y)$$
$$= \frac{q_2}{\alpha} \|x\|^2. \qquad\square$$

Remark 5.6:

(i) By Remark 4.5(i), any exponentially stable time-invariant DAE $(E, A) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ is transferable into SCF, i.e. any time-invariant DAE, which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.3 or Theorem 5.4 (particularly the existence of a solution (P, Q) to Equation (5.6)) is already transferable into SCF.

(ii) If $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.3 or Theorem 5.4, then (E, A) is not necessarily transferable into SCF. To see this, consider system (4.5) discussed in Remark 4.5(ii).

Remark 5.7: Consider the simple DAE

$$h(t)\dot{x} = -h(t)x, \tag{5.11}$$

where $h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $h(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $h(0) = 0$. Equation (5.11) is not transferable into SCF, which can be seen by applying the same argument as in Remark 4.5(ii). The only global solution to (5.11), $x(t^0) = x^0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}$, is $t \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{-(t-t^0)}x^0$. Therefore (5.11) is exponentially stable. However, (5.11) does not satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 5.3 since for any $P \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ we have $h(0)^2 P(0) = 0$.

To overcome the shortcoming described in Remark 5.7, we generalise Theorems 5.2 and 5.3 on a discrete set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq (\tau, \infty)$, i.e. $\mathcal{I} \cap K$ contains only finitely many points for every compact set $K \subseteq (\tau, \infty)$. To keep the formulation close to Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, we introduce the (rather technical) notation for $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

V is an *almost Lyapunov function*	$:\Longleftrightarrow V : \mathcal{G}(E, A) \to \mathbb{R}$ and there exists as discrete set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq (\tau, \infty) : V$ satisfies (5.2) and

$$\exists \ell_1, \ell_2 > 0 \; \forall t \in (\tau, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{I} \; \forall x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) : \ell_1 \|x\|^2 \leq V(t, x) \leq \ell_2 \|x\|^2$$

$Q \overset{ae}{\in} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}(E,A)}$	$:\Longleftrightarrow$ there exists as discrete set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq (\tau, \infty) : \operatorname{dom} Q = (\tau, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{I}, \; Q \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{I}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}), \; Q = Q^\top,$

$$\exists q_1, q_2 > 0 \; \forall t \in (\tau, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{I} \; \forall x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) : q_1 \|x\|^2 \leq x^\top Q(t) x \leq q_2 \|x\|^2$$

$P \overset{ae}{\in} \mathcal{C}^k((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) :\Longleftrightarrow$ there exists as discrete set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq (\tau, \infty) : \operatorname{dom} P = (\tau, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{I}$ and $P \in \mathcal{C}^k((\tau, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{I}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$.

Theorem 5.8 (Sufficient conditions for exponential stability): *The following implications hold for any DAE* $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ *(write* $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}(E, A)$ *for brevity):*

(i) *If* $Q \overset{ae}{\in} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $P \overset{ae}{\in} \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ *such that* $E^\top P E \overset{ae}{\in} (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}} \cap \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}))$ *and* $E^\top P E$ *is extendable to a continuously differentiable function on* (τ, ∞) *and Equation (5.6) is satisfied in all points in the joint domain of all functions involved, then* V *as in Equation (5.5) is an almost Lyapunov function for* (E, A).

(ii) *If* $V : \mathcal{G}(E, A) \to \mathbb{R}$ *is any almost Lyapunov function for* (E, A), *then*

$$\exists \alpha, \beta > 0 \; \forall (t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \; \forall x(\cdot)$$
$$\in \mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) \; \forall t \geq t^0 : \; \|x(t)\| \leq \alpha \, e^{-\beta(t-t^0)} \|x^0\|.$$

Proof: The proof is very similar to the proofs of Theorems 5.2 and 5.3: Some care must be exercised on the discrete set, so the inequalities must be derived on the open set $\operatorname{dom} x \supsetneq [t^0, \infty)$ (to avoid problems in the case $t^0 \in \mathcal{I}$) and most of them hold only almost everywhere; however, in case of (i), the assumption yields that $V(\cdot, x(\cdot))$ is continuously differentiable on $\operatorname{dom} x$, and thus the final inequality can be extended to all of $[t^0, \infty)$. The details are omitted for brevity. $\square$

Theorem 5.8 generalises the results of Theorems 5.2–5.4 considerably; isolated singular points as in Equation (5.11) are resolved.

Example 5.9: Revisit Equation (5.11). Define $\mathcal{I} := \{0\}$ and $P : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2h(t)^2}$ and $Q = 1$. Then $h(t)^2 P(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and hence $h(\cdot)^2 P(\cdot)$ is extendable to a continuously differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, invoking $\mathcal{G}(E, A) = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} : -2h(t)^2 P(t) + \frac{d}{dt}(h(t)^2 P(t)) = -1 = -Q(t).$$

Now all assumptions of Theorem 5.8(i) are satisfied and exponential stability of Equation (5.11) may be deduced.

5.2. Stability for systems transferable into SCF

In this section we derive, for systems (E, A) which are transferable into SCF, a variant of Theorem 5.3 (and Theorem 5.4) and also give the converse of the stability theorem.

Some notation is convenient:

$$\mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}_{E,A} := \{(t, x) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \in E(t)\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)\},$$
$$(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2.$$

Proposition 3.3 yields, for DAEs (E, A) transferable into SCF, that

$$\mathcal{V}_{E,A} = \mathcal{G}(E, A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}_{E,A} = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}(E, A).$$

If the DAE (E, A) is transferable into SCF as in Equation (3.2), then the Lyapunov equation (5.6) may be generalised to

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} A(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)E(\cdot) + E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)A(\cdot) \\ + \frac{d}{dt}(E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)E(\cdot)) =_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} -Q(\cdot) \end{aligned}} \tag{5.12}$$

and the candidate for the solution P is

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} P : (\tau, \infty) &\to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\ t &\mapsto S(t)^\top T(t)^\top \int_t^\infty U(s, t)^\top Q(s) U(s, t) \, ds \; T(t)S(t) \end{aligned}}$$
$$\tag{5.13}$$

where $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the generalised transition matrix of (E, A), see Equation (3.3).

We are now in the position to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.10 (Necessary and sufficient conditions for exponential stability of systems transferable into SCF): *For any* $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ *transferable*

into SCF as in Equation (3.2) (write $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}$ and $\mathcal{EV} = \mathcal{EV}_{E,A}$ for brevity) we have:

  (i) *If $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \times \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$ solves Equation (5.12) and $E^\top P E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, then (E, A) is exponentially stable.*

  (ii) *Let E be continuously differentiable and $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$. If $(P, Q) \in (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{EV}} \cap \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})) \times \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$ solves Equation (5.12), then (E, A) is exponentially stable.*

  (iii) *Let $E, N \in \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$, and E and $\dot{E} + A$ be bounded. If (E, A) is exponentially stable, then for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$ the function P as in Equation (5.13) is a solution to Equation (5.12), furthermore $E^\top P E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$.*

  (iv) *Let $E, S \in \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$, and E and $\dot{E} + A$ be bounded. If (E, A) is exponentially stable, then for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$ the function P as in Equation (5.13) is a continuously differentiable solution to Equation (5.12), furthermore $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{EV}}$.*

Proof: (i): This follows from Theorem 4.6(iii), Theorem 5.2, Theorem 5.3 and $\mathcal{V}_{E,A} = \mathcal{G}(E, A)$.

(ii): This follows from Theorem 4.6(iii), Theorem 5.2, Theorem 5.4 and $\mathcal{V}_{E,A} = \mathcal{G}(E, A)$.

(iii): The assumption $Q, E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$ means

$$\exists q_1, q_2 > 0 : q_1 I_n \leq_\mathcal{V} Q(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{V} q_2 I_n,$$
$$\exists e_1, e_2 > 0 : e_1 I_n \leq_\mathcal{V} E(\cdot)^\top E(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{V} e_2 I_n. \quad (5.14)$$

Step 1: Let $(t^0, x^0) \in (\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary and $T > t^0$. Set

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} := S(t^0) x^0, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \; w \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$y^0 := T(t^0) \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0).$$

Then

$$\forall s > \tau : U(s, t^0) T(t^0) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= T(t^0) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_J(s, t^0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad (5.15)$$

and since $U(s, t^0) y^0 \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s)$, Theorem 4.6(iii) yields

$$(x^0)^\top S(t^0)^\top T(t^0)^\top \int_{t^0}^T U(s, t^0)^\top Q(s) U(s, t^0) \, ds \; T(t^0) S(t^0) x^0$$

$$\overset{(5.15)}{=} \int_{t^0}^T (U(s, t^0) y^0)^\top Q(s) (U(s, t^0) y^0) \, ds \overset{(5.14)}{\leq} \int_{t^0}^T q_2 (U(s, t^0) y^0)^\top (U(s, t^0) y^0) \, ds$$

$$\overset{\text{Thm. 4.6(iii)}}{\leq} q_2 \int_{t^0}^T \alpha^2 e^{-2\beta(s - t^0)} \|y^0\|^2 \, ds = \frac{q_2 \alpha^2}{2\beta} \|y^0\|^2 \left(1 - e^{-2\beta(T - t^0)} \right).$$

Taking the limit for $T \to \infty$ yields existence of $P(t^0)$.

Step 2: We show that $E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{V} c I_n$ for some $c > 0$.

Let $(t, x) \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $x = T(t) \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for some $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and therefore

$$x^\top E(t)^\top P(t) E(t) x \overset{(3.2)}{=} [v^\top, 0] T(t)^\top T(t)^{-\top} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t)^\top \end{bmatrix} S(t)^{-\top} P(t) S(t)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix} T(t)^{-1} T(t) \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= [v^\top, 0] T(t)^\top \int_t^\infty U(s, t)^\top Q(s) U(s, t) \, ds \; T(t) \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \int_t^\infty (U(s, t) x)^\top Q(s) (U(s, t) x) \, ds.$$

We may conclude, similar to Step 1,

$$x^\top E(t)^\top P(t) E(t) x \leq \frac{q_2 \alpha^2}{2\beta} \|x\|^2,$$

and since $(t, x) \in \mathcal{V}$ the claim follows.

Step 3: We may write, for all $t > \tau$,

$$E(t)^\top P(t) E(t) \overset{(3.2)}{=} T(t)^{-\top} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t)^\top \end{bmatrix} T(t)^\top \int_t^\infty U(s, t)^\top Q(s) U(s, t) \, ds \; T(t) \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix} T(t)^{-1}, \quad (5.16)$$

and since Q and $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ are continuous and T and N are continuously differentiable, $E^\top P E$ is continuously differentiable.

Furthermore, P is symmetric due to symmetry of Q, and therefore $E^\top P E$ is symmetric.

Step 4: We show that $c I_n \leq_{\mathcal{V}} E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot)$ for some $c > 0$. Boundedness of E and $\dot{E} + A$ means

$$\exists\, c_E, c_A > 0 \;\forall\, t > \tau : \; \|E(t)\| \leq c_E \;\wedge\; \|\dot{E}(t) + A(t)\| \leq c_A.$$

For arbitrary $(t, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}$ and $x(\cdot) := U(\cdot, t)x^0$, we find

$$\forall\, s > \tau : \; \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\big(E(s)x(s)\big) = \dot{E}(s)x(s) + E(s)\dot{x}(s) = \big(\dot{E}(s) + A(s)\big)x(s) \tag{5.17}$$

and

$$0 \leq \|E(s)x(s)\| \leq c_E \|U(s, t)x^0\| \; \overset{\text{Thm. 4.6(iii)}}{\underset{s\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}} \; 0. \tag{5.18}$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x^0)^\top E(t)^\top P(t)E(t)x^0 \;&=\; \int_t^\infty x(s)^\top Q(s)x(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \;\geq\; \int_t^\infty q_1 x(s)^\top x(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\geq\; q_1 \int_t^\infty \frac{\|E(s)\|\,\|\dot{E}(s) + A(s)\|}{c_E c_A} x(s)^\top x(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \;\geq\; \frac{q_1}{c_E c_A}\int_t^\infty \big|(E(s)x(s))^\top(\dot{E}(s) + A(s))x(s)\big|\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\overset{(5.17)}{\geq}\; \frac{q_1}{c_E c_A}\left|\int_t^\infty (E(s)x(s))^\top\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}(E(s)x(s))\right)\mathrm{d}s\right| = \frac{q_1}{c_E c_A}\left|\int_t^\infty \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\big((E(s)x(s))^\top(E(s)x(s))\big)\,\mathrm{d}s\right| \\
&=\; \left|\frac{q_1}{2c_E c_A}\,\|E(s)x(s)\|^2\Big|_t^\infty\right| \overset{(5.18)}{=} \frac{q_1}{2c_E c_A}\|E(t)U(t,t)x^0\|^2 \overset{\text{Prop. 3.3 (v)}}{=} \frac{q_1}{2c_E c_A}\|E(t)x^0\|^2 \overset{(5.14)}{\geq} \frac{q_1 e_1}{2c_E c_A}\|x^0\|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the claim follows.

Step 5: Let $(t, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}$ and $x^0 = T(t)\big[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\big]$ for some $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$. First note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(T(t)S(t)E(t)\big)x^0 \\
&= \left(\dot{T}(t)\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix}T(t)^{-1} + T(t)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{N}(t) \end{bmatrix}T(t)^{-1} + T(t)\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(T(t)^{-1}\big)\right)x^0 \\
&\overset{(3.4)}{=} \dot{T}(t)\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + T(t)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{N}(t) \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - T(t)\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix}T(t)^{-1}\dot{T}(t)\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(I - T(t)\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix}T(t)^{-1}\right)\dot{T}(t)\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= T(t)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_2} - N(t) \end{bmatrix}T(t)^{-1}\dot{T}(t)\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

gives

$$\forall\, s \geq t : \; U(s, t)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(T(t)S(t)E(t)\big)x^0 = 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Now the statement (5.12) follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(x^0)^\top \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(E(t)^\top P(t)E(t)\big)x^0 \\
&\overset{(5.19)}{=} (T(t)S(t)E(t)x^0)^\top \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[\int_t^\infty U(s,t)^\top Q(s)\,U(s,t)\,\mathrm{d}s\right](T(t)S(t)E(t)x^0) \\
&\overset{\text{Prop. 3.4(ii)}}{=} (x^0)^\top \left[\int_t^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(U(s,t)^\top Q(s)\,U(s,t)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s \;-\; U(t,t)^\top Q(t)\,U(t,t)\right]x^0 \\
&\overset{\text{Prop. 3.3(vi)}}{=} -(x^0)^\top \int_t^\infty \big[U(s,t)^\top Q(s)\,U(s,t)T(t)S(t)A(t) + (U(s,t)T(t)S(t)A(t))^\top Q(s)\,U(s,t)\big]\,\mathrm{d}s\; x^0 \\
&\qquad - (x^0)^\top Q(t)x^0 \\
&\overset{\text{Prop. 3.4(ii)}}{=} -(x^0)^\top E(t)^\top P(t)A(t)x^0 - (x^0)^\top A(t)^\top P(t)E(t)x^0 - (x^0)^\top Q(t)x^0.
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the claim.

(iv) Since S is continuously differentiable by assumption it follows that P is continuously differentiable. Symmetry of P is obvious. As shown in (iii) it holds $E^\top P E \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{V}$ and therefore Lemma 5.5 yields $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}}$. That Equation (5.12) is satisfied has also been proved in (iii). $\square$

A careful inspection of the proof of Theorem 5.10 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 5.11: *For any exponentially stable $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^2$ transferable into SCF as in Equation (3.2) (write $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}$ for brevity), $Q \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$ such that $Q(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{V} q_2 I_n$ for some $q_2 > 0$, and E, N continuously differentiable, the following statements hold true:*

- *(i) P as in Equation (5.13) is well defined and solves Equation (5.12), $E^\top P E$ is continuously differentiable and $E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot) \leq_\mathcal{V} r_2 I_n$ for some $r_2 > 0$.*
- *(ii) If Q is symmetric, then P is symmetric.*
- *(iii) If S is continuously differentiable, then P is continuously differentiable.*
- *(iv) If E and $\dot{E} + A$ are bounded and there exist $e_1, q_1 > 0$ such that $E(\cdot)^\top E(\cdot) \geq_\mathcal{V} e_1 I_n$ and $Q(\cdot) \geq_\mathcal{V} q_1 I_n$, then $E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot) E(\cdot) \geq_\mathcal{V} r_1 I_n$ for some $r_1 > 0$.*

Remark 5.12 (Positivity of $E^\top E$): The positivity assumption $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}}$ in Theorem 5.10 does not automatically hold for DAEs transferable into SCF – as it may be expected in view of Proposition 3.4(iii), which implies that $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}}$ holds true for time-invariant DAEs. We give a counterexample: Consider the DAE (E, A) given by

$$E(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t^3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\text{for } t > \tau := 0,$$

which is transferable into SCF

$$(E, A) \overset{S,T}{\sim} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$\text{for } S(t) = T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}^1((0, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_2(\mathbb{R})).$$

Let $t^0 > \tau$ and $x^0 \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) = \mathrm{im} \begin{bmatrix} t^0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $x^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha t^0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\|E(t^0)x^0\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{t^0} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \frac{|\alpha|}{t^0} \xrightarrow[t^0 \to \infty]{} 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\exists\, e_1 > 0 : \ e_1 I_n \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} E(\cdot)^\top E(\cdot)$$

does not hold true.

Remark 5.13 (Time-invariant case): Consider time-invariant DAEs $(E, A) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^2$, which are transferable into SCF. Then by Berger and Ilchmann (2013, Proposition 2.3), the pencil $sE - A$ is regular and $t \mapsto \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) =: \mathcal{V}_{E,A}^*$ is constant. In view of Proposition 3.4(iii), the assumption $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}}$ is always fulfilled; and Lemma 5.5 yields

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad E^\top P E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}}.$$

Hence in the time-invariant case, Theorem 5.10 (i) and (ii) say the same and so do Theorem 5.10 (iii) and (iv).

Theorem 5.10 (ii) considered for time-invariant systems is an improvement of (Stykel, 2002, Theorem 4.6), since Stykel does not consider the restriction of the generalised Lyapunov equation to the set $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}$. Although Stykel (2002, Theorem 4.15 and Remark 4.16) shows uniqueness of the solution, Corollary 5.11 is still a generalisation of these results: the matrix P_r (notation from Stykel, 2002) is a projector onto $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}^*$, and hence 'G positive definite' means $P_r^\top G P_r \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}}$. The uniqueness condition for the solution of the generalised Lyapunov equation given in Stykel (2002, Theorem 4.15) is generalised in Corollary 5.17.

We now show that the solution P of the Lyapunov equation (5.12) is, under appropriate assumptions, unique on $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}_{E,A}$. Note that symmetry of P or Q are not required and asymptotic stability of (E, A) is sufficient. However, to ensure existence of a solution, exponential stability is necessary: see Corollary 5.17.

Proposition 5.14 (Unique solution of the Lyapunov equation): *For any asymptotically stable $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^2$, which is transferable into SCF as in Equation (3.2) we have: If $Q \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$ and $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$ solve Equation (5.12) such that $E^\top P_i E \in \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$ for $i = 1, 2$ and*

$$\forall\, i \in \{1, 2\} \,\exists\, \alpha_i, \beta_i > 0 :$$
$$\alpha_i I_n \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} E(\cdot)^\top P_i(\cdot)E(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} \beta_i I_n, \quad (5.20)$$

then $P_1(\cdot) =_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} P_2(\cdot)$.

Proof: Differentiation of

$$\Delta(t) := U(t, s)^\top E(t)^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)] E(t) U(t, s),$$
$$t \geq s > \tau$$

yields

$$\dot{\Delta}(t) = \left(E(t) \frac{d}{dt} U(t, s) \right)^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)] E(t) U(t, s)$$
$$+ U(t, s)^\top \frac{d}{dt} \big(E(t)^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)] E(t) \big) U(t, s)$$

$$+ U(t,s)^\top E(t)^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)] E(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} U(t,s)$$

$$\overset{\text{Prop. 3.3 (i)}}{=} (A(t)U(t,s))^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)] E(t) U(t,s)$$

$$+ U(t,s)^\top \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \big(E(t)^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)] E(t) \big) U(t,s)$$

$$+ U(t,s)^\top E(t)^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)] A(t) U(t,s)$$

$$\overset{(5.12)}{=} 0,$$

where for the bottom equality we have used that $U(t,s)x \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by Proposition 3.3 (ii). Hence $\Delta(\cdot)$ must be constant. Proposition 3.3 (ii) yields

$$\forall t \geq s : \alpha_1 U(t,s)^\top U(t,s) - \beta_2 U(t,s)^\top U(t,s)$$

$$\overset{(5.20)}{\leq} U(t,s)^\top E(t)^\top P_1(t) E(t) U(t,s)$$

$$- U(t,s)^\top E(t)^\top P_2(t) E(t) U(t,s)$$

$$= \Delta(t) \overset{(5.20)}{\leq} \beta_1 U(t,s)^\top U(t,s) - \alpha_2 U(t,s)^\top U(t,s).$$

Since (E,A) is asymptotically stable we find, as in the proof of Theorem 4.6(ii),

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} U(t,s) = 0, \quad \text{and so} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \Delta(t) = 0.$$

Hence we get $\Delta(\cdot) = 0$, i.e. $(E(s)U(s,s)x)^\top [P_1(s) - P_2(s)](E(s)U(s,s)x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, or equivalently,

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s) : x^\top E(s)^\top [P_1(s) - P_2(s)] E(s) x = 0. \qquad \square$$

Remark 5.15 (Non-uniqueness of *P*): We show that the solution of Equation (5.12) is in general not unique: Let

$$E(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^t \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then (E,A) is transferable into SCF by $S(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $T = I$. Hence $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{E,A} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{im} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{EV}_{E,A}$. Then, for $Q = I$ and any $p \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ the continuous function $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2, t \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & p(t) \end{bmatrix}$ solves Equation (5.12) and fulfills $E^\top P E \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}) \cap \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 5.16 (Uniqueness condition): By Proposition 5.14, the uniformly bounded solution of the Lyapunov equation (5.12) is unique on $\mathcal{EV}_{E,A}$. To obtain a unique solution on all of $(\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we are somehow free to choose the behaviour of P on $(\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{EV}_{E,A}$. Choose, for instance, $V : (\tau, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\mathrm{im} V(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t > \tau$, and let Q, P_1, P_2 be as in Proposition 5.14 and

(E,A) be asymptotically stable. Then we have

$$[\forall i \in \{1,2\} \, \forall t > \tau : P_i(t) = (E(t)V(t))^\top P_i(t)(E(t)V(t))]$$

$$\implies [\forall t > \tau : P_1(t) = P_2(t)].$$

The implication is a consequence of Proposition 5.14, which gives $P_1 =_{\mathcal{EV}} P_2$, i.e. $(E(t)V(t))^\top [P_1(t) - P_2(t)](E(t)V(t)) = 0$ for any $t > \tau$.

However, the following corollary shows that uniqueness of P is guaranteed under additional assumptions. Note that symmetry of P or Q is not required.

Corollary 5.17: *Let (E,A) be exponentially stable, transferable into SCF as in Equation (3.2), and satisfy: E, N are continuously differentiable, E, $\dot{E} + A$ are bounded, $E^\top E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}}$. Then, for any $Q \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that $q_1 I_n \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} Q(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} q_2 I_n$ for some $q_1, q_2 > 0$, P as in Equation (5.13) is the unique solution of*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & A(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)E(\cdot) + E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)A(\cdot) + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)E(\cdot)\big) \\ & \quad =_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} -Q(\cdot), \\ & \forall t > \tau : \left(S(t)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} S(t) \right)^\top \\ & \qquad \times P(t) \left(S(t)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} S(t) \right) = P(t), \\ & \exists\, p_1, p_2 > 0 : p_1 I_n \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)E(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} p_2 I_n, \\ & P \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}), \; E^\top P E \in \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}). \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(5.21)$$

Proof: Similar to the proof of Theorem 5.10 (iii) it follows that $P(t)$ exists for all $t > \tau$, $E^\top P E$ is continuously differentiable, P solves Equation (5.12) and $p_1 I_n \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} E(\cdot)^\top P(\cdot)E(\cdot) \leq_{\mathcal{V}_{E,A}} p_2 I_n$ for some $p_1, p_2 > 0$. Furthermore, since

$$U(s,t)T(t)S(t)\left(S(t)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} S(t) \right)$$

$$= T(s)\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_J(s,t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T(t)^{-1} T(t) \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} S(t)$$

$$= T(s)\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_J(s,t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} S(t) = U(s,t)T(t)S(t)$$

for all $s, t > \tau$, the second condition in Equation (5.21) is satisfied and therefore P solves (5.21).

It remains to show that P is unique. Choose $V(t) = U(t,t)$ for $t > \tau$ and observe that $\mathrm{im} V(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$, $t > \tau$,

and

$$\forall t > \tau : E(t)V(t)$$
$$= \left(S(t)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & N(t) \end{bmatrix} T(t)^{-1} T(t) \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T(t)^{-1} \right)$$
$$= \left(S(t)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T(t)^{-1} \right) = S(t)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} S(t),$$

and thus Proposition 5.14 together with Remark 5.16 yield that P is the unique solution of (5.21). $\qquad\square$

Acknowledgement

References

Amann, H. (1990). *Ordinary differential equations: An introduction to nonlinear analysis*, volume 13 of *De Gruyter studies in mathematics*. Berlin: De Gruyter.

Aulbach, B. (2004). *Gewöhnliche Differenzialgleichungen*. München: Elsevier, Spektrum Akademischer Verlag.

Berger, T. (2012a). Bohl exponent for time-varying linear differential-algebraic equations. *International Journal of Control*, 85(10), 1433–1451.

Berger, T. (2012b). *Robustness of stability of time-varying index-1 DAEs*. Institute for Mathematics, Ilmenau University of Technology, Preprint 12–10.

Berger, T., & Ilchmann, A. (2013). On the standard canonical form of time-varying linear DAEs. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, 71(1), 69–87.

Brenan, K. E., Campbell, S. L., & Petzold, L. R. (1989). *Numerical solution of initial-value problems in differential-algebraic equations*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Campbell, S. L. (1980). *Singular systems of differential equations I*. New York: Pitman.

Campbell, S. L. (1982). *Singular systems of differential equations II*. New York: Pitman.

Campbell, S. L. (1983). One canonical form for higher-index linear time-varying singular systems. *Circuits Systems Signal Process*, 2(3), 311–326.

Campbell, S. L., & Petzold, L. R. (1983). Canonical forms and solvable singular systems of differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Algebraic and Discrete Methods*, 4, 517–521.

Chyan, C.-J., Du, N. H., & Linh, V. H. (2008). On data-dependence of exponential stability and stability radii for linear time-varying differential-algebraic systems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 245, 2078–2102.

Dai, L. (1989). *Singular control systems*. Number 118 in Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Debeljkovic, D. L., Visnjic, N., & Pjescic, M. (2007). The stability of linear continuous singular systems in the sense of Lyapunov: An overview. *Scientific Technical Review*, 57(1), 51–65.

Du, N. H., & Linh, V. H. (2006). Stability radii for linear time-varying differential-algebraic equations with respect to dynamic perturbations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 230(2), 579–599.

Eich-Soellner, E., & Führer, C. (1998). *Numerical methods in multibody dynamics*. Stuttgart: Teubner.

Griepentrog, E., & März, R. (1986). *Differential-algebraic equations and their numerical treatment*. Number 88 in Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik. Leipzig: Teubner.

Hartman, P. (1982). *Ordinary differential equations* (2nd ed.). Basel: Birkhäuser.

Higueras, I., März, R., & Tischendorf, C. (2003a). Stability preserving integration of index-1 DAEs. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 45, 175–200.

Higueras, I., März, R., & Tischendorf, C. (2003b). Stability preserving integration of index-2 DAEs. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 45, 201–229.

Hinrichsen, D., & Pritchard, A. J. (2005). *Mathematical systems theory I. Modelling, state space analysis, stability and robustness*, volume 48 of *Texts in applied mathematics*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Ilchmann, A., & Mehrmann, V. (2005a). A behavioural approach to time-varying linear systems, Part 1: General theory. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 44(5), 1725–1747.

Ilchmann, A., & Mehrmann, V. (2005b). A behavioural approach to time-varying linear systems, Part 2: Descriptor systems. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 44(5), 1748–1765.

Kumar, A., & Daoutidis, P. (1999). *Control of nonlinear differential algebraic equation systems with applications to chemical processes*, volume 397 of *Chapman and Hall/CRC research notes in mathematics*. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall.

Kunkel, P., & Mehrmann, V. (2006). *Differential-algebraic equations: Analysis and numerical solution*. Zürich, Switzerland: EMS Publishing House.

Kunkel, P., & Mehrmann, V. (2007). Stability properties of differential-algebraic equations and spin-stabilized discretizations. *Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis*, 26, 385–420.

Lamour, R., März, R., & Winkler, R. (1998). How Floquet theory applies to index 1 differential algebraic equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 217, 372–394.

Linh, V. H., & Mehrmann, V. (2009). Lyapunov, Bohl and Sacker-Sell spectral intervals for differential-algebraic equations. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 21, 153–194.

März, R., & Riaza, R. (2007). Linear differential-algebraic equations with properly stated leading term: A-critical points. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, 13(3), 291–314.

März, R., & Riaza, R. (2008). Linear differential-algebraic equations with properly stated leading term: B-critical points. *Dynamical Systems*, 23(4), 505–522.

März, R.& Rodríguez-Santiesteban, A. R. (2001). Analyzing the stability behaviour of solutions and their approximations in case of index-2 differential-algebraic systems. *Mathematics of Computation*, 71(238), 605–632.

Menrath, M. (2011). *Stability criteria for nonlinear fully implicit differential-algebraic systems* (PhD thesis). Köln: Universität zu Köln.

Owens, D. H., & Debeljkovic, D. L. (1985). Consistency and Liapunov stability of linear descriptor systems: A geometric analysis. *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, 2, 139–151.

Rabier, P. J., & Rheinboldt, W. C. (1996). Classical and generalized solutions of time-dependent linear

differential-algebraic equations. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 245, 259–293.

Riaza, R. (2008). *Differential-algebraic systems. Analytical aspects and circuit applications*. World Scientific Basel: Publishing.

Rugh, W. J. (1996). *Linear system theory. Information and System Sciences Series* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Shcheglova, A. A., & Chistyakov, V. F. (2004). Stability of linear differential-algebraic systems. *Differential Equations*, 40(1), 50–62.

Stykel, T. (2002). *Analysis and numerical solution of generalized Lyapunov equations* (PhD thesis). Technische Universität, Berlin.

Walter, W. (1998). *Ordinary differential equations*. New York: Springer-Verlag.