

# Simulation and High-Performance Computing

## Part 8: Krylov Methods

Steffen Börm

Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

October 1st, 2020

# Positive definite matrices

**Task:** We want to solve a linear system  $Ax = b$ .

**Assumption:** The matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and positive definite, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, Ay \rangle &= \langle y, Ax \rangle && \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \langle x, Ax \rangle &> 0 && \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

# Positive definite matrices

**Task:** We want to solve a linear system  $Ax = b$ .

**Assumption:** The matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and positive definite, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, Ay \rangle &= \langle y, Ax \rangle && \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \langle x, Ax \rangle &> 0 && \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

**Approach:** Characterize the solution  $x$  via a minimization problem.

**Example:** For  $a > 0$ , the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}ax^2 - bx$  takes its minimum at  $ax = b$ , since  $f'(x) = ax - b$ .

# Minimization problem

Goal: Prove that the function

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \langle x, Ax \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle$$

takes its minimum if  $Ax = b$ .

# Minimization problem

**Goal:** Prove that the function

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \langle x, Ax \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle$$

takes its minimum if  $Ax = b$ .

**Approach:** Given a direction  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we prove that

$$f(x) \leq f(x + \theta p) \quad \text{holds for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

if and only if  $p$  and  $Ax - b$  are orthogonal, i.e.,

$$\langle p, Ax - b \rangle = 0.$$

# Minimization problem

**Goal:** Prove that the function

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \langle x, Ax \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle$$

takes its minimum if  $Ax = b$ .

**Approach:** Given a direction  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we prove that

$$f(x) \leq f(x + \theta p) \quad \text{holds for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

if and only if  $p$  and  $Ax - b$  are orthogonal, i.e.,

$$\langle p, Ax - b \rangle = 0.$$

**Result:** If  $Ax = b$ , the minimality condition holds for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $f$  takes its global minimum in  $x$ .

If  $f$  takes its global minimum in  $x$ , we can choose  $p = Ax - b$  and obtain  $\|Ax - b\|^2 = \langle Ax - b, Ax - b \rangle = 0$ , i.e.,  $Ax = b$ .

# Orthogonality implies minimality

**Binomial equation:** Given  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + \theta p) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle x + \theta p, A(x + \theta p) \rangle - \langle b, x + \theta p \rangle \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle x, Ap \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle p, Ax \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle - \theta \langle b, p \rangle \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle x, Ap \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle x, Ap \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle - \theta \langle b, p \rangle \\ &= f(x) + \theta \langle p, Ax - b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

# Orthogonality implies minimality

**Binomial equation:** Given  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + \theta p) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle x + \theta p, A(x + \theta p) \rangle - \langle b, x + \theta p \rangle \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle x, Ap \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle p, Ax \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle - \theta \langle b, p \rangle \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle x, Ap \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta \langle x, Ap \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle - \theta \langle b, p \rangle \\ &= f(x) + \theta \langle p, Ax - b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Minimality:** If  $p$  and  $Ax - b$  are orthogonal, i.e., if  $\langle p, Ax - b \rangle = 0$  holds, we have

$$f(x + \theta p) = f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle \geq f(x),$$

since  $A$  is positive definite, i.e.,  $x$  cannot be reduced any further along the direction  $p$ .



## Minimality implies orthogonality

We choose  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $p \neq 0$  and assume that we cannot improve our solution in direction  $p$ , i.e.,

$$f(x) \leq f(x + \theta p) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Minimality implies orthogonality

We choose  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $p \neq 0$  and assume that we cannot improve our solution in direction  $p$ , i.e.,

$$f(x) \leq f(x + \theta p) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can choose  $\theta = -\frac{\langle p, Ax - b \rangle}{\langle p, Ap \rangle}$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\leq f(x + \theta p) = f(x) + \theta \langle p, Ax - b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \langle p, Ap \rangle \\ &= f(x) - \frac{\langle p, Ax - b \rangle^2}{\langle p, Ap \rangle} + \frac{\langle p, Ax - b \rangle^2}{2 \langle p, Ap \rangle} \\ &= f(x) - \frac{\langle p, Ax - b \rangle^2}{2 \langle p, Ap \rangle} \leq f(x), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\langle p, Ap \rangle > 0$ . This implies  $\langle p, Ax - b \rangle = 0$ .

# Iterative minimization

**Idea:** Given  $x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , pick a direction  $p_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a stepsize  $\theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f(x_m + \theta_m p_m) \leq f(x_m).$$

The next approximation is  $x_{m+1} = x_m + \theta_m p_m$ .

**Locally optimal direction:** If  $\theta$  is small, we have

$$f(x + \theta p) \approx f(x) + \theta \langle p, Ax - b \rangle,$$

and the best direction is  $p = b - Ax$ .

**Optimal stepsize:** For  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $p \neq 0$ , the best stepsize satisfies

$$0 = \langle p, A(x + \theta p) - b \rangle = \langle p, Ax - b \rangle + \theta \langle p, Ap \rangle,$$

i.e., we have  $\theta = -\frac{\langle p, Ax - b \rangle}{\langle p, Ap \rangle} = \frac{\langle p, b - Ax \rangle}{\langle p, Ap \rangle}$ .

# Gradient iteration

Simple version:

for  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  do

$$p_m \leftarrow b - Ax_m$$

$$\theta_m \leftarrow \frac{\|p_m\|^2}{\langle p_m, Ap_m \rangle}$$

$$x_{m+1} \leftarrow x_m + \theta_m p_m$$

end

# Gradient iteration

Simple version:

```
for  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  do  
   $p_m \leftarrow b - Ax_m$   
   $\theta_m \leftarrow \frac{\|p_m\|^2}{\langle p_m, Ap_m \rangle}$   
   $x_{m+1} \leftarrow x_m + \theta_m p_m$   
end
```

Improved version avoiding unnecessary matrix-vector multiplications:

```
 $p_0 \leftarrow b - Ax_0$   
for  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  do  
   $a_m \leftarrow Ap_m$   
   $\theta_m \leftarrow \frac{\|p_m\|^2}{\langle p_m, a_m \rangle}$   
   $x_{m+1} \leftarrow x_m + \theta_m p_m$   
   $p_{m+1} \leftarrow p_m - \theta_m a_m$   
end
```

# Gradient iteration: Implementation

```
copy(n, b, 1, p, 1);
addeval_laplace(-1.0, x, 1, p, 1);
error = nrm2(n, p, 1);

while(error > eps) {
    clear(n, a, 1);
    addeval_laplace(1.0, p, 1, a, 1);

    omega = dot(n, p, 1, a, 1);
    theta = dot(n, p, 1, p, 1) / omega;
    axpy(n, theta, p, 1, x, 1);
    axpy(n, -theta, a, 1, p, 1);
    error = nrm2(n, p, 1);
}
```

# Experiment: Gradient iteration

**Task:** Solve the linear system  $-\Delta_h u_h = f$ .

$m$	$\ b - Ax_m\ $	$f(x_m)$
0	$2.33_{+3}$	$0.00_{+0}$
1	$1.21_{+3}$	$-1.27_{+3}$
2	$8.92_{+2}$	$-1.61_{+3}$
3	$7.31_{+2}$	$-1.80_{+3}$
4	$6.34_{+2}$	$-1.92_{+3}$
10	$4.20_{+2}$	$-2.25_{+3}$
100	$1.89_{+2}$	$-3.02_{+3}$
1000	$3.04_{+0}$	$-3.45_{+3}$
2000	$3.14_{-2}$	$-3.45_{+3}$

**Observation:** Very slow convergence, rate  $\sim 1 - ch^2$ .

# Preserving optimality

**Optimality:** Our choice of  $\theta_m$  guarantees

$$\langle p_m, Ax_{m+1} - b \rangle = 0,$$

i.e.,  $x_{m+1}$  cannot be improved in the direction  $p_m$ .

**Problem:** Optimality is lost in later steps, usually already in the next.



# Preserving optimality

**Optimality:** Our choice of  $\theta_m$  guarantees

$$\langle p_m, Ax_{m+1} - b \rangle = 0,$$

i.e.,  $x_{m+1}$  cannot be improved in the direction  $p_m$ .

**Problem:** Optimality is lost in later steps, usually already in the next.

**Idea:** Modify the directions in order to preserve optimality. If  $x_m$  is already optimal with respect to  $p_0, \dots, p_{m-1}$ , we need

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{!}{=} \langle p_\ell, Ax_{m+1} - b \rangle = \langle p_\ell, A(x_m + \theta_m p_m) - b \rangle \\ &= \langle p_\ell, Ax_m - b \rangle + \theta_m \langle p_\ell, Ap_m \rangle = \theta_m \langle p_\ell, Ap_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

# Preserving optimality

**Optimality:** Our choice of  $\theta_m$  guarantees

$$\langle p_m, Ax_{m+1} - b \rangle = 0,$$

i.e.,  $x_{m+1}$  cannot be improved in the direction  $p_m$ .

**Problem:** Optimality is lost in later steps, usually already in the next.

**Idea:** Modify the directions in order to preserve optimality. If  $x_m$  is already optimal with respect to  $p_0, \dots, p_{m-1}$ , we need

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{!}{=} \langle p_\ell, Ax_{m+1} - b \rangle = \langle p_\ell, A(x_m + \theta_m p_m) - b \rangle \\ &= \langle p_\ell, Ax_m - b \rangle + \theta_m \langle p_\ell, Ap_m \rangle = \theta_m \langle p_\ell, Ap_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Conjugate direction:** We have to ensure

$$\langle p_\ell, Ap_m \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ell \in [0 : m - 1].$$

# Conjugate gradients

Idea: Start with the residual

$$r_m := b - Ax_m$$

and apply the Gram-Schmidt procedure:

$$p_m := r_m - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\langle p_k, Ar_m \rangle}{\langle p_k, Ap_k \rangle} p_k.$$

Result: Due to  $\langle p_\ell, Ap_k \rangle = 0$  for  $\ell \neq k$ , we obtain

$$\langle p_\ell, Ap_m \rangle = \langle p_\ell, Ar_m \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\langle p_k, Ar_m \rangle}{\langle p_k, Ap_k \rangle} \langle p_\ell, Ap_k \rangle = 0.$$

# Conjugate gradients

Idea: Start with the residual

$$r_m := b - Ax_m$$

and apply the Gram-Schmidt procedure:

$$p_m := r_m - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\langle p_k, Ar_m \rangle}{\langle p_k, Ap_k \rangle} p_k.$$

Result: Due to  $\langle p_\ell, Ap_k \rangle = 0$  for  $\ell \neq k$ , we obtain

$$\langle p_\ell, Ap_m \rangle = \langle p_\ell, Ar_m \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\langle p_k, Ar_m \rangle}{\langle p_k, Ap_k \rangle} \langle p_\ell, Ap_k \rangle = 0.$$

Problem: This procedure is not particularly efficient.

# Krylov spaces

Krylov space: We have

$$\text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, \dots, r_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\}$$

# Krylov spaces

Krylov space: We have

$$\text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, \dots, r_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\}$$

First inclusion: We start with  $p_0 = r_0$  and use induction with

$$p_{m+1} = r_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k p_k = r_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_k r_k.$$

# Krylov spaces

Krylov space: We have

$$\text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, \dots, r_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\}$$

First inclusion: We start with  $p_0 = r_0$  and use induction with

$$p_{m+1} = r_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k p_k = r_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_k r_k.$$

Second inclusion: We again use induction with

$$r_{m+1} = r_m - \theta_m A p_m = r_m - \theta_m \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k A r_k.$$

# Krylov spaces

Krylov space: We have

$$\text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, \dots, r_m\} = \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\}$$

First inclusion: We start with  $p_0 = r_0$  and use induction with

$$p_{m+1} = r_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k p_k = r_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_k r_k.$$

Second inclusion: We again use induction with

$$r_{m+1} = r_m - \theta_m A p_m = r_m - \theta_m \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k A r_k.$$

Equality: The conjugate directions  $p_0, \dots, p_m$  are linear independent, therefore all spaces have full dimension  $m + 1$ .



# Efficient orthogonalization

Krylov space: We have

$$\mathcal{K}_m := \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\} = \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\}.$$

# Efficient orthogonalization

Krylov space: We have

$$\mathcal{K}_m := \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\} = \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\}.$$

Optimality: By construction, we have

$$\langle q, r_{m+1} \rangle = \langle q, b - Ax_{m+1} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathcal{K}_m.$$

# Efficient orthogonalization

Krylov space: We have

$$\mathcal{K}_m := \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\} = \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\}.$$

Optimality: By construction, we have

$$\langle q, r_{m+1} \rangle = \langle q, b - Ax_{m+1} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathcal{K}_m.$$

Symmetry: Since  $A$  is symmetric and  $Ap_k \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ , we have

$$\langle p_k, Ar_{m+1} \rangle = \langle Ap_k, r_{m+1} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in [0 : m-1]$$

# Efficient orthogonalization

Krylov space: We have

$$\mathcal{K}_m := \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^m r_0\} = \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_m\}.$$

Optimality: By construction, we have

$$\langle q, r_{m+1} \rangle = \langle q, b - Ax_{m+1} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathcal{K}_m.$$

Symmetry: Since  $A$  is symmetric and  $Ap_k \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ , we have

$$\langle p_k, Ar_{m+1} \rangle = \langle Ap_k, r_{m+1} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in [0 : m-1]$$

Result: New direction can be computed efficiently via

$$p_{m+1} := r_{m+1} - \frac{\langle p_m, Ar_{m+1} \rangle}{\langle p_m, Ap_m \rangle} p_m = r_{m+1} - \frac{\langle Ap_m, r_{m+1} \rangle}{\langle p_m, Ap_m \rangle} p_m.$$

# Conjugate gradient method

```

$$r_0 \leftarrow b - Ax_0$$

$$p_0 \leftarrow r_0$$
for  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  do  
     $a_m \leftarrow Ap_m$   
     $\omega_m \leftarrow \langle p_m, a_m \rangle$   
     $\theta_m \leftarrow \frac{\langle p_m, r_m \rangle}{\omega_m}$   
     $x_{m+1} \leftarrow x_m + \theta_m p_m$   
     $r_{m+1} \leftarrow r_m - \theta_m a_m$   
     $\mu_m \leftarrow \frac{\langle a_m, r_{m+1} \rangle}{\omega_m}$   
     $p_{m+1} \leftarrow r_{m+1} - \mu_m p_m$   
end
```

# Experiment: Conjugate gradient method

**Task:** Solve the linear system  $-\Delta_h u_h = f$ .

$m$	$\ b - Ax_m\ $	$f(x_m)$
0	$2.33_{+3}$	$0.00_{+0}$
1	$1.21_{+3}$	$-1.27_{+3}$
2	$8.64_{+2}$	$-1.74_{+3}$
3	$7.08_{+2}$	$-2.02_{+3}$
10	$5.44_{+2}$	$-2.85_{+3}$
20	$4.46_{+2}$	$-3.35_{+3}$
30	$4.95_{+0}$	$-3.45_{+3}$
40	$2.05_{-1}$	$-3.45_{+3}$
50	$4.95_{-4}$	$-3.45_{+3}$
100	$6.11_{-15}$	$-3.45_{+3}$

**Observation:** Significantly faster than the gradient method, rate  $\sim 1 - ch$ .

# Summary

**Minimization problem:**  $\langle p, Ax - b \rangle = 0$  is equivalent with

$$f(x) \leq f(x + \theta p) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The global minimum of  $f$  corresponds with the solution of  $Ax = b$ .

**Gradient method** approximates the minimum.

$$p_m = b - Ax_m \quad x_{m+1} = x_m + \frac{\|p_m\|^2}{\langle p_m, Ap_m \rangle} p_m.$$

**Conjugate gradient method** ensures that  $x_m$  is optimal with respect to  $p_0, \dots, p_{m-1}$  and converges significantly faster than the gradient method.

**Krylov spaces** allow us to implement the cg method efficiently.