

Output tables for the test of Multiple comparisons.

September 21, 2018

1 Average rankings of Friedman test

Average ranks obtained by applying the Friedman procedure

Algorithm	Ranking
Conf 1	4.8
Conf 2	4.2
Conf 3	2.8
Conf 4	5.4
Conf 5	3.6
Conf 6	3.4
Conf 7	3.8

Table 1: Average Rankings of the algorithms

Friedman statistic considering reduction performance (distributed according to chi-square with 6 degrees of freedom: 4.971429.  
P-value computed by Friedman Test: 0.5474828246699751.

Iman and Davenport statistic considering reduction performance (distributed according to F-distribution with 6 and 24 degrees of freedom: 0.794521.

P-value computed by Iman and Davenport Test: 0.5833663179134605.

## 2 Post hoc comparisons

Results achieved on post hoc comparisons for  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $\alpha = 0.10$  and adjusted p-values.

### 2.1 P-values for $\alpha = 0.05$

$i$	algorithms	$z = (R_0 - R_i) / SE$	$p$
21	Conf 3 vs. Conf 4	1.903005	0.05704
20	Conf 1 vs. Conf 3	1.46385	0.143235
19	Conf 4 vs. Conf 6	1.46385	0.143235
18	Conf 4 vs. Conf 5	1.317465	0.187683
17	Conf 4 vs. Conf 7	1.17108	0.241567
16	Conf 2 vs. Conf 3	1.024695	0.305507
15	Conf 1 vs. Conf 6	1.024695	0.305507
14	Conf 1 vs. Conf 5	0.87831	0.379775
13	Conf 2 vs. Conf 4	0.87831	0.379775
12	Conf 3 vs. Conf 7	0.731925	0.464214
11	Conf 1 vs. Conf 7	0.731925	0.464214
10	Conf 3 vs. Conf 5	0.58554	0.558185
9	Conf 2 vs. Conf 6	0.58554	0.558185
8	Conf 3 vs. Conf 6	0.439155	0.660549
7	Conf 2 vs. Conf 5	0.439155	0.660549
6	Conf 1 vs. Conf 2	0.439155	0.660549
5	Conf 1 vs. Conf 4	0.439155	0.660549
4	Conf 2 vs. Conf 7	0.29277	0.769698
3	Conf 6 vs. Conf 7	0.29277	0.769698
2	Conf 5 vs. Conf 7	0.146385	0.883617
1	Conf 5 vs. Conf 6	0.146385	0.883617

Table 2: P-values Table for  $\alpha = 0.05$

Nemenyi's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value  $\leq 0.002381$ .

## 2.2 P-values for $\alpha = 0.10$

$i$	algorithms	$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	$p$
21	Conf 3 vs. Conf 4	1.903005	0.05704
20	Conf 1 vs. Conf 3	1.46385	0.143235
19	Conf 4 vs. Conf 6	1.46385	0.143235
18	Conf 4 vs. Conf 5	1.317465	0.187683
17	Conf 4 vs. Conf 7	1.17108	0.241567
16	Conf 2 vs. Conf 3	1.024695	0.305507
15	Conf 1 vs. Conf 6	1.024695	0.305507
14	Conf 1 vs. Conf 5	0.87831	0.379775
13	Conf 2 vs. Conf 4	0.87831	0.379775
12	Conf 3 vs. Conf 7	0.731925	0.464214
11	Conf 1 vs. Conf 7	0.731925	0.464214
10	Conf 3 vs. Conf 5	0.58554	0.558185
9	Conf 2 vs. Conf 6	0.58554	0.558185
8	Conf 3 vs. Conf 6	0.439155	0.660549
7	Conf 2 vs. Conf 5	0.439155	0.660549
6	Conf 1 vs. Conf 2	0.439155	0.660549
5	Conf 1 vs. Conf 4	0.439155	0.660549
4	Conf 2 vs. Conf 7	0.29277	0.769698
3	Conf 6 vs. Conf 7	0.29277	0.769698
2	Conf 5 vs. Conf 7	0.146385	0.883617
1	Conf 5 vs. Conf 6	0.146385	0.883617

Table 3: P-values Table for  $\alpha = 0.10$

Nemenyi's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value  $\leq 0.004762$ .

## 2.3 Adjusted p-values

i	hypothesis	unadjusted $p$	$p_{N_{Gene}}$
1	Conf 3 vs .Conf 4	0.05704	1.197837
2	Conf 1 vs .Conf 3	0.143235	3.007933
3	Conf 4 vs .Conf 6	0.143235	3.007933
4	Conf 4 vs .Conf 5	0.187683	3.941338
5	Conf 4 vs .Conf 7	0.241567	5.072898
6	Conf 2 vs .Conf 3	0.305507	6.415649
7	Conf 1 vs .Conf 6	0.305507	6.415649
8	Conf 1 vs .Conf 5	0.379775	7.975285
9	Conf 2 vs .Conf 4	0.379775	7.975285
10	Conf 3 vs .Conf 7	0.464214	9.748501
11	Conf 1 vs .Conf 7	0.464214	9.748501
12	Conf 3 vs .Conf 5	0.558185	11.721878
13	Conf 2 vs .Conf 6	0.558185	11.721878
14	Conf 3 vs .Conf 6	0.660549	13.871533
15	Conf 2 vs .Conf 5	0.660549	13.871533
16	Conf 1 vs .Conf 2	0.660549	13.871533
17	Conf 1 vs .Conf 4	0.660549	13.871533
18	Conf 2 vs .Conf 7	0.769698	16.163657
19	Conf 6 vs .Conf 7	0.769698	16.163657
20	Conf 5 vs .Conf 7	0.883617	18.555967
21	Conf 5 vs .Conf 6	0.883617	18.555967

Table 4: Adjusted  $p$ -values