ETH

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Algorithms Lab HS18
Department of Computer Science
Prof. Dr. A. Steger, Prof. Dr. E. Welzl, Prof. Dr. P. Widmayer
cadmo.ethz.ch/education/lectures/HS18/algolab

Solution — Planks

1 Modelling

The problem states that you are given (one-dimensional) planks of different lengths and you are asked in how many different ways you can create a square by using all of the planks. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ denote the lengths of the n planks. Since the arrangement of the planks within one side of the square does not matter, each of the four sides can be represented by a subset $S_i \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$. Moreover, as one plank can not be used twice and at the same time all the planks must be used we have

$$S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}, \tag{1}$$

for all $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 4$,

$$S_i \cap S_j = \varnothing, \tag{2}$$

and for each $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\sum_{x' \in S_k} x' = S/4, \tag{3}$$

where $S := x_1 + \ldots + x_n$. The last equation comes from the fact that the total sum of the plank lengths within a side must be equal for each side, as they together form a square. Thus, the number of ways we can partition the set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ into four pairwise disjoint sets $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$ such that (3) holds, denoted by X, is almost what the problem asks from you. The last detail that one needs to notice is that for a given 4-tuple of sets (S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4) that satisfy (1), (2), and (3), any relabelling of the set indices produces the same square. As there are $4! = 24$ different ways to label a 4-tuple, we conclude that the correct output is $X/24$.

2 Algorithm Design

By looking at the constraints we see that n is at most 20. This suggests that a solution running in exponential time might be sufficient. Let us start by analysing a brute-force approach.

$O(n \cdot 4^n)$ solution (20 points). In how many ways can we partition a set of n elements into four disjoint subsets? Since each element is a member of one of the four sets, there are exactly 4^n different partitions. Since not all of the partitions satisfy (3) (they satisfy (1) and (2) by definition), we need to discard those that do not. Generating the partitions of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ consisting of 4 sets and checking which partition satisfies (3) can be done in $O(n \cdot 4^n)$ time. For $n = 20$ such algorithm would time out, but we can attack the first test set which guarantees $n \leqslant 8$. Furthermore, since the first two test sets also guarantee that the answer is always 0 or 1, we can output 1 as soon as we find one partition with the desired properties or output 0 if we find no such partition.

$O(n \cdot 2^n)$ solution (60–100 points). Using the *Split and List* technique presented in the tutorial of week 5, one can achieve a much faster running time than in the previous solution. The Split and List technique was illustrated on the problem Subset Sum. In that problem you are given a set X and a number k and your task is to figure out if there exists a subset $S \subseteq X$ such that the sum of the elements in S is equal to k. This problem can be reformulated in a slightly different way: is there a partition of X into two disjoint sets $X = S_1 \cup S_2$ such that

$$\sum_{x' \in S_1} x' = k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{x'' \in S_2} x'' = S - k,$$

where S is the total sum of elements in X. This formulation looks quite similar to our current problem and we can try to use the same strategy as for solving Subset Sum.

Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ be an arbitrary partition of set X into two disjoint sets such that $|X_1| = |X_2| = n/2$ (for simplicity we assume n is even). Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and let F_i be defined as follows

$$F_i = \{(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \colon \exists \text{ partition of } X_i \text{ into four disjoint sets } X_i = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3 \cup P_4$$
$$\text{such that for all } j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \text{ we have } \sum_{x' \in P_j} x' = s_j\}. \quad (4)$$

Having F_1 and F_2 it is not hard to see that the following algorithm correctly determines if our problem has 0 or more solutions (but not the exact number)

```
1 int result = 0
2 SQ = (x1 + ... + xn) / 4
3 for every 4-tuple (s1, s2, s3, s4) in F_1:
4     if there exist (SQ - s1, SQ - s2, SQ - s3, SQ - s4) in F_2:
5         result = 1
```

Thus if we can test a membership of a 4-tuple in F_2 in time t, then the previous algorithm can be implemented in time roughly equal to $t \cdot |F_1|$. By using an appropriate data structure, e.g. set, testing for membership can be done in time $O(\log |F_2|)$. The size of set F_1 is at most $4^{n/2}$ as there are at most $4^{n/2}$ partitions of X_1 into four sets and thus the previous algorithm runs in time

$$O(4^{n/2} \log 4^{n/2}) = O(n \cdot 2^n).$$

This approach achieves 60 points.

In order to get the exact number of solutions (and consequently full points), we need to modify our algorithm a bit. First, we need that F_2 is stored using a data structure which supports multiple copies of the same element, i.e. a vector, map, etc. The next algorithm computes the number of solutions

```
1 int result = 0
2 SQ = (x1 + ... + xn) / 4
3 for every 4-tuple (s1, s2, s3, s4) in F_1:
4     counter = number of copies of (SQ - s1, SQ - s2, SQ - s3, SQ - s4) in F_2
5     result += counter
6
7 result /= 24
```

Although data structure `std::multiset` sounds like a natural candidate, we advise *against* using it. Counting the number of copies of an element in `std::multiset` runs in linear time in the number of copies of the element. As we would like to execute line 4 in time $\log |F_2|$ this is not good enough. Instead, we can store F_2 in a vector and sort it before iterating through F_1. Now, we can execute line 4 in time $O(\log |F_2|)$ by using binary search.

Remark: Although the approach described above correctly implemented scores 100 points, there is a way to easily improve the performance of the algorithm by a factor of roughly two. Because of the symmetry of the problem, we can fill F_1 only with 4-tuples such that x_1 is always in P_1. This cuts the size of F_1 in four. In this way we remove some of the symmetries, so in the end you have to divide `result` by 6 instead of 24.

3 Implementation

Let us first discuss a possible way to implement the brute-force solution. Probably the easiest solution is to use a back-track approach. We can use `std::vector<std::vector<int> > assignment` to store which elements are assigned to which set, where `assignment[i]` contains all elements which are part of S_i. Having this, the following recursive function returns the number of solutions.

```
1  int back_track(int id) {
2    if (id >= n) {
3        bool ok = true;
4        for (int i = 0; i < 4; ++i) {
5            int sum = 0;
6            for (int j = 0; j < (int)assignment[i].size(); ++j)
7                sum += planks[assignment[i][j]];
8
9            if (sum != side_length) {
10                ok = false;
11                break;
12            }
13        }
14        if (ok) return 1;
15        else return 0;
16    }
17
18    int counter = 0;
19    for (int side = 0; side < 4; ++side) {
20        assignment[side].push_back(id);
21        counter += back_track(id + 1);
22        assignment[side].pop_back();
23    }
24
25    return counter;
26 }
```

Let us now see how to approach the implementation of $O(n \cdot 2^n)$ solution. We can represent F_1, F_2 as `std::vector<std::vector<int> > F1, F2`. Both of the vectors can be filled with a similar recursive procedure as in the back-track solution above. After we fill both vectors we need to sort F_2 which can be done as simple as

```
1  std::sort(F2.begin(), F2.end());
```

Finally, we want to check how many copies of a particular 4-tuple is contained in F_2. For this we can use functions std::equal_range (which runs in $O(\log |F_2|)$) and std::distance as follows.

```cpp
typedef std::vector<int> VI;
typedef std::vector<VI> VVI;

// Variable target contains our target 4-tuple
std::pair<VVI::iterator, VVI::iterator> bounds;
bounds = std::equal_range(F2.begin(), F2.end(), target);
long long counter = std::distance(bounds.first, bounds.second);
```

4 Appendix

The following code is an implementation of $O(n \cdot 2^n)$ solution explained above.

```cpp
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include <algorithm>

typedef std::vector<int> VI;
typedef std::vector<VI> VVI;

void back_track(int id, int ubound, VVI &F, VVI &assignment, const VI &planks) {
    if (id >= ubound) {
        VI tuple(4, 0);
        for (int i = 0; i < 4; ++i) {
            for (int j = 0; j < (int)assignment[i].size(); ++j)
                tuple[i] += planks[assignment[i][j]];
        }
        F.push_back(tuple);
        return;
    }

    for (int i = 0; i < 4; ++i) {
        assignment[i].push_back(id); // Try to put id-th plank to i-th set
        back_track(id + 1, ubound, F, assignment, planks); // Recurse
        assignment[i].pop_back(); // Remove id-th plank from i-th set
    }
}

void testcase() {
    int n; std::cin >> n;
    std::vector<int> planks;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        int plank; std::cin >> plank;
        planks.push_back(plank);
    }

    int sum = 0;
    long long result = 0;

    for (int i = 0 ; i < (int)planks.size(); ++i)
        sum += planks[i];

    // If the total sum of lengths is not divisible by four, it is not possible
    // to create a square.
```

```cpp
42        if (sum % 4 != 0) {
43            std::cout << 0 << std::endl;
44            return;
45        }
46
47        VVI F1, assignment(4);
48        // Generate all 4-tuple for the first half of the set.
49        back_track(0, n/2, F1, assignment, planks);
50
51        VVI F2, assignment2(4);
52        // Generate all 4-tuple for the second half of the set.
53        back_track(n/2, n, F2, assignment2, planks);
54        std::sort(F2.begin(), F2.end());
55
56        for (int idx = 0; idx < (int)F1.size(); ++idx) {
57            std::vector<int> member = F1[idx];
58            for (int i = 0; i < 4; ++i)
59                member[i] = sum/4 - member[i];
60
61            std::pair<VVI::iterator, VVI::iterator> bounds;
62            bounds = std::equal_range(F2.begin(), F2.end(), member);
63            long long counter = std::distance(bounds.first, bounds.second);
64            result += counter;
65        }
66        std::cout << result / 24 << std::endl;
67 }
68
69 int main() {
70        std::ios_base::sync_with_stdio(false);
71
72        int t; std::cin >> t;
73        for (int i = 0; i < t; i++) {
74            testcase();
75        }
76 }
```