1s for all
Philip Stanton
April 2023

## 1 Solution

The basic approach to solve the problem is to implement a dynamic programming solution. First, lets formulate the problem in a way that leads to this idea.

Lets say you want to compute the complexity for an integer n. We'll define c(n) to be the function that computes the complexity of n

It follows that you want to find a\*b=n, such that c(a)+c(b) is minimized. We also need to consider the case of a+b=n because there is no guarantee that the optimal solution comes from multiplication. We need to minimize c(a)+c(b) in this case as well. After this, we can check to see if concatenating two integers leads to a better result for c(n)

This leads to the idea of a solving with a dynamic program, as the formulation implies that one will be solving many overlapping sub-problems when computing c(n). If we know c(a) and  $c(b) \forall a, b < n$ , then when computing c(n), we can efficiently check which a and b are optimal.

We now define our dynamic program:

```
Let opt[i] = \min number of ones to represent i using only +,*, and ()
```

The base cases are opt[0]...opt[5] where the optimal solutions are themselves.

Now,

```
opt[i] = \min\{\min\{opt[i/k] + opt[k] + opt[i\%k] : \forall 2 \le k < i/2\},\ \min\{opt[k] + opt[i-k] : \forall i/2 < k < i\},\ concat(i)\}
```

and we construct opt[n] using a bottom up approach. concat(i) will simply split i by its digits and check if any concatenation is cheaper than the results we got from the first optimizations.

This gives an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm, as when computing opt[i], you must iterate through all 2 < k < i, and we do this n times to get opt[n]. It should be noted that concat(i) is completely dominated by this, as it runs in linear time with respect to number of digits for i