Agda Backends: A survey and a UHC backend prototype

Author: Philipp Hausmann
<p.hausmann@students.uu.nl>

Supervisors: Wouter Swierstra <w.s.swierstra.uu.nl>
Atze Dijkstra <atze@uu.nl>

Department of Information and Computing Sciences
Utrecht University

November 26, 2014

Table of Contents

Agda Introduction

- Why dependent types?

Agda Introduction

- Why dependent types?
- ```
  head :: forall a . List a -> a
  head (x:xs) = x
  head [] = error "something went wrong..."
  ```
  ```

Agda Introduction

- Why dependent types?
- ```
  head :: forall a . List a -> a
  head (x:xs) = x
  head [] = error "something went wrong..."
  ```
- Runtime crashes are possible in Haskell!
  ```

Agda Introduction

- How to make sure at compile time that this doesn't happen?
- We need to encode the length of lists in the type

Agda Introduction

- How to make sure at compile time that this doesn't happen?
- We need to encode the length of lists in the type

```
data Nat : Set where
  zero : Nat
  succ : Nat → Nat
```

Agda Introduction

- How to make sure at compile time that this doesn't happen?
- We need to encode the length of lists in the type

```
data Nat : Set where
  zero : Nat
  succ : Nat → Nat
data Vec : (A : Set) → (n : Nat) → Set where

  nil  : ∀ {A} → Vec A zero
  cons : ∀ {A n} → A → Vec A n → Vec A (succ n)
```

Cont.

We can now write the head function in Agda

```
head1 : ∀ {A n} → Vec A n → A
head1 (cons x xs) = x
head1 nil = ????
```

Cont.

We can now write the head function in Agda

```
head1 : ∀ {A n} → Vec A n → A
head1 (cons x xs) = x
head1 nil = ????
```

This will not type check!

Cont.

We can now write the head function in Agda

```
head1 : ∀ {A n} → Vec A n → A
head1 (cons x xs) = x
head1 nil = ????
```

This will not type check!

```
head2 : ∀ {A n} → Vec A (succ n) → A
head2 (cons x xs) = x
```

The typechecker now knows that the nil-case cannot happen!

Agda Characteristics

- Values can be used as types
- Types cannot influence value of an expression
- Functions need to be total
- Single language for proofs and programs
- Typechecking requires evaluation

Agda Architecture



MAlonzo backend

MAlonzo backend

- Targets Haskell
- Maintained
- Relies on GHC for optimizations

MAlonzo - Code Generation

```
vecToStr : ∀ {A m} → (A → String)
    → Vec A m → String
vecToStr f [] = ""
vecToStr f (x :: xs) = ", " ++ ((f x)
    ++ (vecToStr f xs))
```

MAlonzo - Code Generation

```
d55 v0 v1 v2 v3
  = MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce
      (d_1_55 (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v0)
        (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v1)
        (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v2)
        (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v3))
  where d_1_55 v0 v1 v2 (C51 v3 v4 v5)
          = MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce
              (d33 (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce ", ")
                (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce
(d33 (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce (v2 (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v4)))
    (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce
      (d55 (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v0) (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v3)
        (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v2)
        (MAlonzo.RTE.mazCoerce v5))))))
        d_1_55 v0 v1 v2 v3 = MAlonzo.RTE.mazIncompleteMatch name55
```

MAlonzo - Summary

- Produces 'strange' haskell code
- Can lead to size blow-up
  - 84 lines Agda - 250'000 lines Haskell - 300 Mb executable (CITE)
- Relies on GHC for optimization
- But generated code is not always suited for optimization!

MAlonzo - FFI

- Provides simple FFI to haskell
- Very limited
  - No class support
  - Can't export Agda datatypes
  - Not automatic

MAlonzo - FFI

```
{-# IMPORT Data.List #-}

data List : (A : Set) -> Set where
  nil : ∀ {A} → List A
  cons : ∀ {A} → A → List A → List A
{-# COMPILED_DATA List Data.List nil cons #-}

postulate
  head : ∀ {A} → List A -> A
{-# COMPILED head Data.List.head #-}
```

JS backend

JS backend

- Targets Javascript
- Not maintained
- Very similar to MAlonzo

Epic backend

Epic backend

- Targets Epic
- Not maintained

Epic

- Untyped-lambda calculus with some extensions
- Intended as building block for compilers
- Also not maintained

Epic Language

Epic Language			
t	$::=$	x	Variable
	$\mid$	$t\ \vec{t}$	Application
	$\mid$	$\lambda x \to t$	Abstraction
	$\mid$	Con $i\ \vec{t}$	Constructor application
	$\mid$	if t then t else t	if-then-else
	$\mid$	case t of $\vec{alt}$	Case expression
	$\mid$	let $x = t$ in t	Let expression
	$\mid$	lazy t	Suspended term
	$\mid$	i	Integer constants

Optimizations

Nat - Primitive Data

- ```
  data Nat : Set where
    zero : Nat
    succ : Nat -> Nat
  {-# BUILTIN NATURAL Nat #-}
  ```

- Naive translation is horribly slow
- Can be transformed into arbitrary precision Integers
- Automatic detection of Nat-like datatypes in Epic backend
  ```

Nat Performance



TODO

other optimization, either forcing or smashing

Comparison

	MAlonzo (HS)	Epic	Javascript
Forcing	No	Yes	No
Erasure	No	Yes	No
Smashing	No	Yes	Yes
Primitive Data	Builtins only (Nat)	Yes	Builtins only (Nat)
Maintained	Yes	No	No
User Documentation	Usable	Bad	Bad

How to fix these issues?

- How can we solve this problem?

How to fix these issues?

- How can we solve this problem?
- Let's write another backend :-)

How to fix these issues?

- How can we solve this problem?
- Let's write another backend :-)
- What would be a good target language?

How to fix these issues?

- How can we solve this problem?
- Let's write another backend :-)
- What would be a good target language?
- Untyped, functional, maintained

How to fix these issues?

- How can we solve this problem?
- Let's write another backend :-)
- What would be a good target language?
- Untyped, functional, maintained
- UHC Core fits that bill!

UHC Compiler



[1]Dijkstra, Fokker, and Swierstra, 2009.

UHC Backend



[2] Dijkstra et al., 2009.

Epic vs UHC Core

Epic Language			UHC Core		
t	$::=$	x	t	$::=$	x
	$\mid$	$t\ \vec{t}$		$\mid$	$t\ t$
	$\mid$	$\lambda x \to t$		$\mid$	$\lambda x \to t$
	$\mid$	Con $i\ \vec{t}$		$\mid$	Con $i\ \vec{t}$
	$\mid$	if t then t else t			
	$\mid$	case t of $\vec{alt}$		$\mid$	case t of $\vec{alt}$
	$\mid$	let $x = t$ in t		$\mid$	let $x = t$ in t
				$\mid$	let! $x = t$ in t
	$\mid$	lazy t			
	$\mid$	i		$\mid$	i

UHC Backend - Challenges

- Agda is a moving target
- UHC Core was not intended as public API
- Undocumented assumptions inside UHC

UHC Backend - Challenges

- Agda is a moving target
- UHC Core was not intended as public API
- Undocumented assumptions inside UHC

```
case x of
  []     -> a
  (x:xs) -> b
```

is not the same as

```
case x of
  (x:xs) -> b
  []     -> a
```

UHC Backend - What works?

- (Dependent) dataypes, functions
- Compiling single Agda modules
- Agda - Haskell FFI, but involves manual work

Demonstration

UHC Backend - Future work

- Support whole Agda language
  - Multiple modules
  - Complete IO bindings
  - Agda Standard Library
- Optimizations
- Improve Agda - Haskell FFI
- Agda support for Cabal
- Contracts for FFI

Thank you!

Questions?

References I


Benke, M. (n.d.). Alonzo—a compiler for agda.


Brady, E. (2012). Epic–a library for generating compilers. In *Proceedings of the 12th international conference on trends in functional programming* (pp. 33–48). TFP'11. Madrid, Spain: Springer-Verlag. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-32037-8_3


Brady, E., McBride, C., & McKinna, J. (2004). Inductive families need not store their indices. In S. Berardi, M. Coppo, & F. Damiani (Eds.), *Types for proofs and programs* (Vol. 3085, pp. 115–129). Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-24849-1_8

References II


Dijkstra, A., Fokker, J., & Swierstra, S. D. (2009). The architecture of the utrecht haskell compiler. In *Proceedings of the 2nd acm sigplan symposium on haskell* (pp. 93–104). ACM.


Fredriksson, O. & Gustafsson, D. (2011). A totaly epic backend for agda.


Jeffrey, A. (2013). Dependently typed web client applications. In K. Sagonas (Ed.), *Practical aspects of declarative languages* (Vol. 7752, pp. 228–243). Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-45284-0_16

References III

Osera, P.-M., Sjöberg, V., & Zdancewic, S. (2012). Dependent interoperability. In *Proceedings of the sixth workshop on programming languages meets program verification* (pp. 3–14). PLPV '12. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/2103776.2103779