Assignment1

Philip & Philipp
23 Feb 2016

```
# Load packages and create BibTeX file for R-packages
PackagesUsed <- c("ggplot2", "repmis", "doBy")

setwd("~/Dropbox/4_Spring_2016/2_Collaborative Data Analysis/PandP_Ass1")

# Load PackagesUsed and create .bib BibTeX file
repmis::LoadandCite(PackagesUsed, file = "Packages.bib", install = FALSE)</pre>
```

Loading required package: survival

Analysis of the dataset 'occupationalStatus'

The dataset consists of a contingency table between the occupational status measured on an 8-point scales for fathers and their sons.

Figure 1

Distribution of occupational status among fathers and sons




Figure 1 shows the distribution of occupational status for sons and fathers respectively. There does not appear to be any major generational shifts, though sons are slightly overrepresented in occupational status group 7 and 8.

Table 1

knitr::kable(frequency_table, digits = 2)

Category	Fathers	Sons	
1	0.04	0.03	
2	0.04	0.05	
3	0.10	0.09	
4	0.15	0.13	
5	0.04	0.07	
6	0.39	0.34	
7	0.13	0.17	
8	0.11	0.12	


Table 1 is equivalent to figure 1 except that it shows the frequency distribution for each status category.

Generational mobility

Figure 1 and table 1 show the overall distribution of occupational status, but is not informative on the extent to which there are generational mobility. Figure 2 shows the distribution of generational mobility. -7 indicates observations where the father had social status 8 and the son 1. As such, a zero is when father and son had the same occupational status.

Figure 2

```
barplot(collapsed$Freq.sum,
    names = collapsed$difference,
    col = "Navyblue",
    ylim = c(0, 1400)
    )
```



Analysis of the dataset 'LifeCycleSavings'

Inspecting the data

Table 2: Summary Statistics (Life Cycle Savings)


```
knitr::kable(res_df, digits = 2)
```

	mean	sd	median	minimum	maximum	s.size
sr	9.67	4.48	10.51	0.60	21.10	50
pop15	35.09	9.15	32.58	21.44	47.64	50
pop75	2.29	1.29	2.17	0.56	4.70	50
dpi	1106.76	990.87	695.66	88.94	4001.89	50
ddpi	3.76	2.87	3.00	0.22	16.71	50

Table 2 shows that the variables dpi and ddpi are skewed. Consequently, we take a closer look at the distribution before starting the analysis.

Figure 3: Histogram of disposable income

```
hist(LifeCycleSavings$dpi,
    ylim=c(0,20),
    main = 'Disposable income (averaged between 1970 and 1980)',
```



Disposable income (averaged between 1970 and 1980)




Figure 3 shows disposable income is strongly right-skewed. This also applies for growth of disposable income. To correct for the right-skewed data, we take the natural log of the two variables.


Additionally we create dummies which split the data set in above the median and below the median for the variables precent of population over 75 (pop75), as well as for disposable income and growth of disposable income.

Analyzing the Data

First, we take a closer look at the dependent variable *savings rate*. The boxplot in figure 4 shows that 75 percent of the country observations have an average savings rate between 6.97 and 12.62 percent. The average savings rate is 9.671 percent (mean). The distribution is slightly left-skewed (higher median than mean).

Figure 4

Distribution of savings ratios (in percent, averaged btw 1970 and 1980)



summary(combined_df\$sr)


```
## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
## 0.600 6.970 10.510 9.671 12.620 21.100
```

Second, we inspect the main explanatory variable *disposable income*. The boxplot in figure 5 shows that 75 percent of the country observations have an average disposable income between 288,2 and 1796 USD a month. The average disposable income is 1106.7584 USD. The distribution is strongly right-skewed (higher mean than median). Therefore, we will use the log-transformation.

Figure 5

```
boxplot(combined_df$dpi, main = 'Distribution of disposable income (in USD)')
```

Distribution of disposable income (in USD)




summary(combined_df\$dpi)

```
## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
## 88.94 288.20 695.70 1107.00 1796.00 4002.00
```

We continue the analysis with a correlation analysis of *savings rate* and *disposable income*. The analysis shows that disposable income is postively associated with savings rates. The correlation coefficient of 0.28 is however not statistically significant at the 95% level.

Figure 6

Correlation of disposable income and savings rate (linear)



```
# Testing for correlation
cor.test(combined_df$ln.dpi, combined_df$sr)
```

```
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: combined_df$ln.dpi and combined_df$sr
## t = 1.9922, df = 48, p-value = 0.05206
```


```
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.002166184  0.515075795
## sample estimates:
## cor
## 0.2763482
```

In order to understand the correlation better we assess the distribution with a splitted sample into richer and poorer countries (poorer = 0, richer = 1). Figure 7 shows that richer countries have a much more compressed distribution that does not extend to very low savings rates. On average richer countries have a higher savings rate.

Figure 7

```
boxplot(combined_df$sr~combined_df$dum.rich, main =
    'Distribution of savings rates for relatively poor and relatively rich countries')
```

Distribution of savings rates for relatively poor and relatively rich coun



Inspecting the influence of control variables

In a final step we make a graphical inspection of the following control variables: 1. Growth of disposable income 2. Share of old population (above 75)

For the inspection we split the sample again with dummy variables in above and below median groups. Figure 8 shows that countries with higher growth rates seem to have a slightly stronger correlation between savings rate and disposable income. However, the confidence intervals are overlapping so the difference is not statistically significant.

Figure 8: Growth of disposable income (slow growth = 0, fast growth = 1)

```
ggplot2::ggplot(combined_df, aes(ln.dpi, sr, color=dum.fast.foo)) +
geom_point(shape=1) + scale_colour_hue(l=50) +
```

```
geom_smooth(method=lm) +
labs(title = "Correlation of disposable income and savings rate (sample splitted
    over growth of disposable incom",
    x = "disposable income (logged)", y = "% savings rate")
```

Correlation of disposable income and savings rate (sample splitted over growth of disposable incom





Figure 9 shows that countries with a larger share of an older population seem to have a more compressed distribution of savings rates. On average savings rates are higher. This resembles the sample split for rich and poor countries.

Figure 9: Share of older population (lower percentage = 0, higher percentage = 1)

boxplot(combined_df\$sr~combined_df\$dum.old)



Packages used (R Core Team 2015), (Højsgaard and Halekoh 2015), (Wickham and Chang 2015) and (Gandrud 2016).

References

Gandrud, Christopher. 2016. Repmis: Miscellaneous Tools for Reproducible Research. https://CRAN. R-project.org/package=repmis.

Højsgaard, Søren, and Ulrich Halekoh. 2015. DoBy: Groupwise Statistics, LSmeans, Linear Contrasts, Utilities. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=doBy.

R Core Team. 2015. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.

Wickham, Hadley, and Winston Chang. 2015. *Ggplot2: An Implementation of the Grammar of Graphics*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggplot2.