of control, because it appealed to contingencies and phenomena economic competition between states to that between individuals. With this, the liberal ideal of "civil society" would emerge, of government, whose shifting composition produced endless sophisticated and diffuse mechanisms of regulation. This new supplanting the presence of the police, saw in fact the augtheir insistence on a laissez-faire approach to government, the state would concern itself with the management of evermoreit at once pervasive and invisible. of reality, became recognized simply as nature, thus rendering increasingly globalized economic space. This new conception intensifying their effect in proportion to the expansion of the which coincided with the multiplication of security apparatuses, would further expand its interests, shifting its concern from the external forces. However, by the nineteenth century, the state life to the general conduct of the population in relation to its ity of state control would span from the minute interstices of plinary mechanisms and apparatuses of regulation, a new totalphenomena to be monitored by the state. Between both discietrating far deeper into the populationframework of security would operate at a much finer scale, penmentation of their disciplinary apparatuses by new set of more its requirements of free circulation. These reforms, far from earthly matters surrounding the development of capitalism and -the new *subject-object* 

ministration. As such, the dismantling of police that took place only by the liberation of governments from monarchical adand freedoms promised by liberal politics, and made possible which was precisely proportional to the distribution of rights of monitoring and control coincided with their intensification, solutist state, but rather as a reform from within it. It is thus not surprising to note that such an "opening-up" of state practices It is clear that the liberal turn arrived not in opposition to the ab-

> should be seen as more of an institutional displacement of its functions. Now, state knowledge would be provided by a decenmanagement, law, and the elimination of disorder of institutional administration: economic practice, population tralization of police, and their displacement across new forms

dorse. It is this same politics which aims to represent the entire social order as a closed, universal, "self-propelling machine" with no exterior. Seen in light of its obsessive, yet increasingand its identity of the nation (bourgeois society) and the state, followed by Napoleon's imperial disposition and the creation that exists. to exist while simultaneously proffering the claim that it is all rather a negatively totalizing politicsly diffuse practices of control, contemporary neoliberalism is "naturalness" and completeness of the society it purports to enits own activities while also providing material evidence of the to render its actions invisible: through the very acts of monitorthe course of the twentieth century, the state's concern has been nineteenth-century biopolitics and its radical developments over nizing ever finer, microscopic levels of control. With the birth of ciprocal intensification and sophistications of state control, colotranscendence within the state, there has consistently been rerally immanent to mankind. Yet in proportion to the retreat of as a sort of secularized kingdom, a realization of what is natuperpetual references to nature gave further credence to the state liberal state had achieved a kind of epistemological zenith.<sup>5</sup> Its of a capitalist-driven European alliance, the immanence of the grasp. It seemed that with the rise of the Third Estate in France versality capable of knowing and ordering everything within its purchase on civil society increasingly presented itself as a uni-With the birth of liberalism in Europe, the state and its growing policing, and managing, the state at once must depoliticize a politics that seeks not

<sup>3.</sup> Reinhardt Koselleck, Futures Past (New York: Columbia University Press, 2004), 19.
4. Raison d'État, or "state reason," is both the essence of the state itself as well as the art of knowledge of its affairs. See Michel Foucault, Security, Territory, Population (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007). In particular, lectures from March 8, 1978; March 15, 1978; and March 22, 1978.
5. This claim of course acknowledges that even if European states would officially remain administered by one form of monarchy or another until late in the nineteenth century, this particular period marked a certain qualitative triumph for liberal society.