

# Women in Parliament and Climate Policy Performance

Philipp Holz

03 January 2021

## Contents

Introduction	1
Bayesian Analysis	2
Prior Distribution . . . . .	2
Posterior Distribution . . . . .	3
Model Predictions	4
Conclusion	5
References	5

## Introduction

Climate change is what is commonly referred to as a wicked problem - a problem that does not pertain to one particular domain and instead requires the conjoint action of many different stakeholders.(see Incropera 2015) Therefore, solving it makes it necessary to rethink society from the bottom up. One way to do this is to look at how different socially-constructed gender identities affect the perception of climate change and the action against climate change. Already, the academic literature has shown on various occasions that “women express slightly greater concern about climate change than do men”(McCrigh 2010). But how does greater influence of women then affect the action against climate change? The following analysis tries to answer this question by looking at how well different countries perform in issuing climate change policies dependent on what percentage of women are in positions of power, approximated here as the percentage of women in parliament.


Thus the hypotheses tested are:

$H_0$ : The share of women in national parliaments **has no** influence on the climate policy performance of a country.

$H_a$ : The share of women in national parliaments **has** influence on the climate policy performance of a country.

This analysis combines data from the Inter-Parliamentary Union on the percentage women in national parliaments around the world (Inter-Parliamentary Union 2021) and data from the Climate Change Performance Index published annually by Germanwatch (Burck et al. 2017, 2018, 2019). For the analysis, data for the years 2018 to 2020 was combined and only the Policy Performance part of the Climate Change Performance Index was used, as this is where individual influence is greatest.


The following diagram shows that from a first visual assessment there seems to be a moderately strong, positive correlation between the percentage of women in parliament and policy performance. However, there also seems to be a considerable error-term, which is why a Bayesian regression analysis will be used to help us determine a credible interval of the underlying parameter for the influence of women in parliament on climate policy performance.



## Bayesian Analysis

### Prior Distribution

As mentioned before, the academic literature makes it plausible to assume a positive relationship between the percentage of women in parliament and policy performance. This means, we can set our prior to a Normal[0.5, 0.5]-probability distribution as visualized in the chart below.



Additionally, it seems to be most natural to control the relationship of women in parliament and climate policy performance for world region. However, despite many of the forerunners being European countries, the academic literature does not seem to suggest a clear tendency as to which world regions perform worse or better than others. This is confirmed by our data as can be seen in the table below.

Region	Mean
Americas	49.1
Europe & Central Asia	53.0
Middle East & Africa	46.6
South Asia, East Asia & Pacific	46.5

Likewise it would make sense to control for the influence of time. However, because our data is taken from an Index that informs over the position of countries *relative* to other countries and not in absolute terms per year, the mean values of policy performance scores remain stable over time, despite climate policies becoming more progressive over time.<sup>1</sup>

Thus, the model will assess the influence of the share of women in parliament on climate policy performance, without controlling for region or year.

## Posterior Distribution


Fitting the model with a Normal[0.5, 0.5]-probability distribution<sup>2</sup> yields the following results. The coefficient is positive, indicating that a one percentage-point increase of women in a given parliament is associated with a predicted 0.89 point increase in the climate policy index. The 90% credible interval for this coefficient extends from 0.61 point- to 1.18 point-increase per extra percentage-point of women in parliament.

<sup>1</sup>Unfortunately, no index that informed about climate policy performance in a similar manner as the Climate Change Performance Index published by Germanwatch, but in absolute terms, could be found.


<sup>2</sup>The scale is automatically adjusted, so that the adjusted prior is a Normal[51, 65].

Term	Estimate	Standard Deviation	Lower 90% CI	Upper 90% CI
Intercept	27.870	4.781	19.845	35.822
Percentage Women	88.955	17.437	60.631	118.238

Additionally, we can visualize the posterior distribution with a 90% credible interval. The posterior distribution confirms the assumption of a positive relationship we set in our prior, however with increased strength, as the mean shifted from 51 to 89.



The  $R^2$ -statistic can give us more information on the predictive value of the model. It shows us that the model typically explains between 8% and 18% of the variance in climate policy performance.



## Model Predictions

The parliaments of the United Kingdom currently have a share of only 28.9% women.(Inter-Parliamentary Union 2021) We can use our model to calculate a **14.3%-chance** that the United

Kingdom - or any other country - would be at the top of the climate policy performance index, if its national parliaments had 50% women (disregarding their current position in the ranking).

## Conclusion

This analysis has shown the moderately strong, positive influence that the share of women in parliament have on a country's climate policy performance. With a 90%-credible interval of between a 0.61 point- to a 1.18 point-increase in climate policy performance per extra percentage-point of women in parliament the posterior distribution confirms the expectations we set in our prior. Thus, this analysis supports the call for increased attention to the interplay of gender and climate change.

## References

- Burck, Jan, Niklas Clement, Niklas Höhne, Carolin Frisch, Christoph Bals, and Kao Szu-Chi. 2017. "The Climate Change Performance Index 2018." Germanwatch.
- Burck, Jan, Ursula Hagen, Niklas Höhne, Franziska Marten, and Christoph Bals. 2018. "The Climate Change Performance Index 2019." Germanwatch.
- Burck, Jan, Ursula Hagen, Niklas Höhne, Leonardo Nascimento, and Christoph Bals. 2019. "The Climate Change Performance Index 2020: Results." Germanwatch.
- Incropera, Frank P., ed. 2015. "Preface." In *Climate Change: A Wicked Problem: Complexity and Uncertainty at the Intersection of Science, Economics, Politics, and Human Behavior*, xxi–xxvi. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316266274.004>.
- Inter-Parliamentary Union. 2021. "Women in Parliaments: World and Regional Averages (Statistical Archive)." January 1, 2021. <http://archive.ipu.org/wmn-e/world-arc.htm>.
- McCright, Aaron M. 2010. "The Effects of Gender on Climate Change Knowledge and Concern in the American Public." *Population and Environment* 32 (1): 66–87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-010-0113-1>.