

Forcing over choiceless models (4/4)

Philipp Schlicht

120 Years of the Axiom of Choice, Leeds, 8-12 July 2024

Outline

0. Introduction
1. Adding Cohen subsets by $\text{Add}(A, 1)$
 - Preliminaries
 - Cohen's first model and Dedekind finite sets A
 - Properties of $\text{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ and fragments of DC
 - Adding Cohen subsets over $L(\mathbb{R})$
2. Chain conditions and cardinal preservation
 - Variants of the ccc
 - An iteration theorem
 - A ccc_2 forcing that collapses ω_1
3. Generic absoluteness principles inconsistent with choice
 - Hartog numbers
 - Very strong absoluteness and consequences
 - Gitik's model
4. Random algebras without choice
 - Completeness
 - ccc_2^*

Random algebras

We analyse **random algebras** in ZF and show they can be iterated while preserving cardinals.

Random algebras

We analyse random algebras in ZF and show they can be iterated while preserving cardinals.

Let μ denote Lebesgue measure on 2^ω .

Random forcing consists of all Borel subsets of 2^ω with positive measure. Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$ (i.e., $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$).

Random algebras

We analyse **random algebras** in ZF and show they can be iterated while preserving cardinals.

Let μ denote **Lebesgue** measure on 2^ω .

Random forcing consists of all Borel subsets of 2^ω with positive measure. Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$ (i.e., $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$).

Fact

Any random real over V is random over every inner model $M \subseteq V$ of ZF.

Random algebras

Any Borel subset A of 2^α with the product topology has a countable support, so its Lebesgue measure $\mu(A)$ is well-defined.

In ZFC, the random algebra on α consists of Borel subsets of 2^α . Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$.

Random algebras

Any Borel subset A of 2^α with the product topology has a countable support, so its Lebesgue measure $\mu(A)$ is well-defined.

In ZFC, the random algebra on α consists of Borel subsets of 2^α . Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$.

$\mathbb{R}_{\omega+\omega}$ is

- a “product” of random reals with “random support”
- a 2-step iteration of random forcing

Random algebras

Always suppose that α is multiplicatively closed.

Definition

An α -Borel code (for a subset of 2^α) is an element x of 2^α .

- If $x_0x_1 = 00$, then x codes a basic open set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 01$, then x codes the complement of the set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 10$, then x codes the union of the sets listed in the rest of x

A Borel code for a subset of 2^α is an α -Borel code with countable support.

- Let B_x denote the Borel (α -Borel) set coded by x .

Random algebras

Always suppose that α is multiplicatively closed.

Definition

An α -Borel code (for a subset of 2^α) is an element x of 2^α .

- If $x_0x_1 = 00$, then x codes a basic open set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 01$, then x codes the complement of the set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 10$, then x codes the union of the sets listed in the rest of x

A Borel code for a subset of 2^α is an α -Borel code with countable support.

- Let B_x denote the Borel (α -Borel) set coded by x .

Definition

The random algebra on α is the set of Borel codes for subsets of 2^α . It is quasi-ordered by $x \leq y$ if $B_x \subseteq_\mu B_y$.

Fact

- If 2^ω is a countable union of countable sets, then every subset of 2^ω is Borel
- If ω_1 is singular, then there exists a Borel subset of 2^ω without a Borel code.

Proposition

The random algebra on any α is

- complete
- locally complete
- uniformly narrow

Proposition

The random algebra on any α is

- complete
- locally complete
- uniformly narrow

Local completeness is a property reminiscent of the fact that a maximal antichain in random forcing in an inner model is an antichain in V .

It is used to show that random algebras are narrow.

Random algebras

Corollary

- *Random algebras can be iterated without collapsing cardinals.*

All iterations have finite support.

Exercise

1. Show that a countable support iteration of Cohen forcing collapses ω_1 , if ω_1 is singular.
2. Find a sufficient condition on a forcing \mathbb{P} that shows this for \mathbb{P} .

Corollary

- *\mathbb{R}_* -absoluteness implies that all uncountable cardinals are singular.*

\mathbb{R}_* -absoluteness states that any \mathbb{R}_κ -generic extension has the same theory as V .

Random algebras

\mathbb{R}_α is a quasi-Boolean algebra. Its quotient by $=_\mu$ is a Boolean algebra. To show that \mathbb{R}_α is complete, it suffices to show that every subset has a **supremum**.

\mathbb{R}_α is a quasi-Boolean algebra. Its quotient by $=_\mu$ is a Boolean algebra. To show that \mathbb{R}_α is complete, it suffices to show that every subset has a **supremum**.

Theorem (Lebesgue's density theorem)

Suppose that A is a Lebesgue measurable subset of 2^ω . The set

$$D(A) := \{x \in 2^\omega \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(A \cap N_t)}{\mu(N_t)} = 1\}$$

*of its **density** points satisfies $\mu(A \triangle D(A)) = 0$.*

Hence A can be **reconstructed** up to a nullset from relative measures on basic open sets.

Random algebras

We modify this reconstruction to 2^α . Let $2^{(\alpha)}$ denote the set of finite partial functions $f: \alpha \rightarrow 2$.

Definition

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha$, call

$$\mu_A = \langle \mu_{A,t} := \frac{\mu(A \cap N_t)}{\mu(N_t)} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

its footprint.

We have $A \leq B \Leftrightarrow \mu_{A,t} \leq \mu_{B,t}$ for all $t \in 2^{(\alpha)}$.

Definition

Suppose that $x \in 2^\alpha$ and $\vec{\mu} = \langle \mu_t \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

1. For any $\epsilon > 0$, x is an ϵ -density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if

$$\exists s \forall t \supseteq s \mu_t > 1 - \epsilon.$$

2. x is a density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if x is an ϵ -density point of $\vec{\mu}$ for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$.

Definition

Suppose that $x \in 2^\alpha$ and $\vec{\mu} = \langle \mu_t \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

1. For any $\epsilon > 0$, x is an ϵ -density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if

$$\exists s \forall t \supseteq s \mu_t > 1 - \epsilon.$$

2. x is a density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if x is an ϵ -density point of $\vec{\mu}$ for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$.

Let $D(\mu)$ denote the α -Borel code induced by 2. Its definition is absolute between transitive models of ZF.

$D(\mu)$ is not a Borel code, but we can reduce it to one.

Any α -Borel code A can be reduced to a Borel code as follows.

Definition

The **reduct** $\text{re}(A)$ of A is the following Borel code.

1. If A codes a basic open set, then $\text{re}(A) = A$.
2. If A_0 codes $\neg A_1$, then $\text{re}(A_0)$ codes $\neg \text{re}(A_1)$.
3. If A codes $\bigcup_{i < \alpha} A_i$, then $\text{re}(A)$ codes $\bigcup_{i \in I} \text{re}(A_i)$, where
 - I is the largest subset of α such that for each $j \in I$, A_j adds measure to $\bigcup_{j \in I \cap j} \text{re}(A_i)$.

Random algebras

Any α -Borel code A can be reduced to a Borel code as follows.

Definition

The **reduct** $\text{re}(A)$ of A is the following Borel code.

1. If A codes a basic open set, then $\text{re}(A) = A$.
2. If A_0 codes $\neg A_1$, then $\text{re}(A_0)$ codes $\neg \text{re}(A_1)$.
3. If A codes $\bigcup_{i < \alpha} A_i$, then $\text{re}(A)$ codes $\bigcup_{i \in I} \text{re}(A_i)$, where
 - I is the largest subset of α such that for each $j \in I$, A_j adds measure to $\bigcup_{j \in I \cap j} \text{re}(A_i)$.

Fact

In every outer model M where α is countable,

- $\text{re}(A) =_\mu A$
- $D(\mu_A) =_\mu A$ by Lebesgue's density theorem in M .

$\text{re}(A) =_\mu A$ may fail in V , since A may be an ω_1 length union of singletons and CH holds.

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \text{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_\mu A$ in V . The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace \mathbb{R}_α by the set of A^* . This definition of \mathbb{R}_α is **absolute** between transitive models of ZF.

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \text{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_{\mu} A$ in V . The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace \mathbb{R}_{α} by the set of A^* . This definition of \mathbb{R}_{α} is **absolute** between transitive models of ZF.

Given a subset X of \mathbb{R}_{α} , we construct its supremum. Let

$$\mu_{X,t} := \sup_{A \in X} \mu_{A,t}$$

$$\mu_X := \langle \mu_{X,t} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \text{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_\mu A$ in V . The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace \mathbb{R}_α by the set of A^* . This definition of \mathbb{R}_α is **absolute** between transitive models of ZF.

Given a subset X of \mathbb{R}_α , we construct its supremum. Let

$$\mu_{X,t} := \sup_{A \in X} \mu_{A,t}$$

$$\mu_X := \langle \mu_{X,t} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

Fact

In any **outer** model M of V where α is countable, $D(\mu_X)$ is a **least** upper bound for X .

Proof. If B is an upper bound for X , then $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$ for all $A \in X$ and hence $\mu_X \leq \mu_B$. Then $D(\mu_X) \leq D(\mu_B) =_\mu B$. □

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \text{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_\mu A$ in V . The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace \mathbb{R}_α by the set of A^* . This definition of \mathbb{R}_α is **absolute** between transitive models of ZF.

Given a subset X of \mathbb{R}_α , we construct its supremum. Let

$$\mu_{X,t} := \sup_{A \in X} \mu_{A,t}$$

$$\mu_X := \langle \mu_{X,t} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

Fact

In any **outer** model M of V where α is countable, $D(\mu_X)$ is a **least** upper bound for X .

Proof. If B is an upper bound for X , then $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$ for all $A \in X$ and hence $\mu_X \leq \mu_B$. Then $D(\mu_X) \leq D(\mu_B) =_\mu B$. □

Fact

\mathbb{R}_α is complete.

Proof. $\text{re}(D(\mu_X))$ is a **least** upper bound for X in some outer model and hence in V . □

Definition

A forcing \mathbb{P} is **locally ccc** if it is ccc in \mathbf{HOD}_x for all finite x containing \mathbb{P} .

Definition

A forcing \mathbb{P} is **locally ccc** if it is ccc in \mathbf{HOD}_x for all finite x containing \mathbb{P} .

The next property is weaker than the existence of definable suprema.

- It holds for random algebras and all well-ordered forcings.
- It implies that any \mathbb{P} -generic filter over V is $(\mathbb{P} \cap \mathbf{HOD}_x)$ -generic over \mathbf{HOD}_x .
- With locally ccc, it implies uniformly narrow. Hence well-ordered locally ccc forcings and random algebras can be iterated while preserving cardinals

Definition

A forcing \mathbb{P} is **locally ccc** if it is ccc in \mathbf{HOD}_x for all finite x containing \mathbb{P} .

The next property is weaker than the existence of definable suprema.

- It holds for random algebras and all well-ordered forcings.
- It implies that any \mathbb{P} -generic filter over V is $(\mathbb{P} \cap \mathbf{HOD}_x)$ -generic over \mathbf{HOD}_x .
- With locally ccc, it implies uniformly narrow. Hence well-ordered locally ccc forcings and random algebras can be iterated while preserving cardinals

Definition

A forcing \mathbb{P} is **locally complete** if there exists a finite set containing \mathbb{P} such that: For any nonempty $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, there exists some $p \leq \sup(A)$ in $\mathbb{P} \cap \mathbf{HOD}_{x \cup \{A\}}$.

Lemma

Suppose that \mathbb{P} is a locally complete forcing.

1. If \mathbb{P} is ccc, then it is *narrow*.
2. If \mathbb{P} is locally ccc, then it is *uniformly* narrow.

Random algebras

Lemma

Suppose that \mathbb{P} is a locally complete forcing.

1. If \mathbb{P} is ccc, then it is *narrow*.
2. If \mathbb{P} is locally ccc, then it is *uniformly narrow*.

Proof sketch. We prove 2. The proof of 1 is similar.

Suppose that $f: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mu$ is a partial \parallel -homomorphism (generalised antichain).

Let $A := \text{dom}(f)$, $A_\alpha := f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ and $\vec{A} = \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{ran}(f) \rangle$.

Let $H := \text{HOD}_{x \cup \{\vec{A}\}}$, where x witnesses that \mathbb{P} is locally complete.

Random algebras

Lemma

Suppose that \mathbb{P} is a locally complete forcing.

1. If \mathbb{P} is ccc, then it is *narrow*.
2. If \mathbb{P} is locally ccc, then it is *uniformly* narrow.

Proof sketch. We prove 2. The proof of 1 is similar.

Suppose that $f: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mu$ is a partial \parallel -homomorphism (generalised antichain).

Let $A := \text{dom}(f)$, $A_\alpha := f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ and $\vec{A} = \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{ran}(f) \rangle$.

Let $H := \text{HOD}_{x \cup \{\vec{A}\}}$, where x witnesses that \mathbb{P} is locally complete.

For each $\alpha \in \text{ran}(f)$, there exists some $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{P} \cap H$ with $p_\alpha \leq \sup(A_\alpha)$. We can assume that p_α is least such p in the canonical well-order of H .

- Then $p_\alpha \perp p_\beta$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Random algebras

Lemma

Suppose that \mathbb{P} is a locally complete forcing.

1. If \mathbb{P} is ccc, then it is *narrow*.
2. If \mathbb{P} is locally ccc, then it is *uniformly narrow*.

Proof sketch. We prove 2. The proof of 1 is similar.

Suppose that $f: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mu$ is a partial \parallel -homomorphism (generalised antichain).

Let $A := \text{dom}(f)$, $A_\alpha := f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ and $\vec{A} = \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{ran}(f) \rangle$.

Let $H := \text{HOD}_{x \cup \{\vec{A}\}}$, where x witnesses that \mathbb{P} is locally complete.

For each $\alpha \in \text{ran}(f)$, there exists some $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{P} \cap H$ with $p_\alpha \leq \sup(A_\alpha)$. We can assume that p_α is least such p in the canonical well-order of H .

- Then $p_\alpha \perp p_\beta$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Let $\lambda \leq \theta^+$ be the chain condition of $\mathbb{P} \cap H$ in H , i.e., the least ν such that there exists no antichain of size ν . This is always a regular cardinal in models of ZFC.

- Then $|\text{ran}(f)|^H < \lambda \leq \theta^+$.

Let $G(f)$ be the least injective function $F: \text{ran}(f) \rightarrow \theta$ in H .

□

Problem

Is \mathbb{R}_κ ccc_2 for every infinite cardinal κ ?

Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is \mathbb{R}_κ ccc_2 for every infinite cardinal κ ?

Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is \mathbb{R}_{ω_1} σ -linked in ZFC?

Problem

Is \mathbb{R}_κ *ccc*₂ for every infinite cardinal κ ?

Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is \mathbb{R}_{ω_1} *σ -linked* in ZFC?

Problem

Over Gitik's model, does every atomless *σ -closed* forcing collapse ω_1 ?

Problem

Is \mathbb{R}_κ *ccc*₂ for every infinite cardinal κ ?

Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is \mathbb{R}_{ω_1} *σ -linked* in ZFC?

Problem

Over Gitik's model, does every atomless *σ -closed* forcing collapse ω_1 ?

Problem

Over Gitik's model, can you add *fresh* subsets of some uncountable cardinal?