Forcing over choiceless models (4/4)

Philipp Schlicht

120 Years of the Axiom of Choice, Leeds, 8-12 July 2024

Outline

0. Introduction
1. Adding Cohen subsets by $\mathrm{Add}(A, 1)$
    - Preliminaries
    - Cohen's first model and Dedekind finite sets A
    - Properties of $\mathrm{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ and fragments of DC
    - Adding Cohen subsets over $L(\mathbb{R})$
2. Chain conditions and cardinal preservation
    - Variants of the ccc
    - An iteration theorem
    - A ccc_2 forcing that collapses ω_1
3. Generic absoluteness principles inconsistent with choice
    - Hartog numbers
    - Very strong absoluteness and consequences
    - Gitik's model
4. Random algebras without choice
    - Completeness
    - ccc_2^*

Random algebras

We analyse random algebras in ZF and show they can be iterated while preserving cardinals.

Random algebras

We analyse random algebras in ZF and show they can be iterated while preserving cardinals.

Let μ denote Lebesgue measure on 2^ω.

Random forcing consists of all Borel subsets of 2^ω with positive measure. Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$ (i.e., $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$).

Random algebras

We analyse random algebras in ZF and show they can be iterated while preserving cardinals.

Let μ denote Lebesgue measure on 2^ω.

Random forcing consists of all Borel subsets of 2^ω with positive measure. Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$ (i.e., $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$).

Fact

Any random real over V is random over every inner model $M \subseteq V$ of ZF.

Random algebras

Any Borel subset A of 2^α with the product topology has a countable support, so its Lebesgue measure $\mu(A)$ is well-defined.

In ZFC, the random algebra on α consists of Borel subsets of 2^α. Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$.

Random algebras

Any Borel subset A of 2^α with the product topology has a countable support, so its Lebesgue measure $\mu(A)$ is well-defined.

In ZFC, the random algebra on α consists of Borel subsets of 2^α. Let $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq_\mu B$.

$\mathbb{R}_{\omega+\omega}$ is

- a "product" of random reals with "random support"
- a 2-step iteration of random forcing

Random algebras

Always suppose that α is multiplicatively closed.

Definition

An α-Borel code (for a subset of 2^{α}) is an element x of 2^{α}.

- If $x_0x_1 = 00$, then x codes a basic open set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 01$, then x codes the complement of the set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 10$, then x codes the union of the sets listed in the rest of x

A Borel code for a subset of 2^{α} is an α-Borel code with countable support.

- Let B_x denote the Borel (α-Borel) set coded by x.

Random algebras

Always suppose that α is multiplicatively closed.

Definition

An α-Borel code (for a subset of 2^α) is an element x of 2^α.

- If $x_0x_1 = 00$, then x codes a basic open set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 01$, then x codes the complement of the set in the rest of x
- If $x_0x_1 = 10$, then x codes the union of the sets listed in the rest of x

A Borel code for a subset of 2^α is an α-Borel code with countable support.

- Let B_x denote the Borel (α-Borel) set coded by x.

Definition

The random algebra on α is the set of Borel codes for subsets of 2^α. It is quasi-ordered by $x \leq y$ if $B_x \subseteq_\mu B_y$.

Fact

- *If 2^ω is a countable union of countable sets, then every subset of 2^ω is Borel*
- *If ω_1 is singular, then there exists a Borel subset of 2^ω without a Borel code.*

Random algebras

Proposition

The random algebra on any α is

- complete
- locally complete
- uniformly narrow

Random algebras

Proposition

The random algebra on any α is

- complete
- locally complete
- uniformly narrow

Local completeness is a property reminiscent of the fact that a maximal antichain in random forcing in an inner model is an antichain in *V*.

It is used to show that random algebras are narrow.

Random algebras

Proposition

The random algebra on any α is

- complete
- locally complete
- uniformly narrow

Local completeness is a property reminiscent of the fact that a maximal antichain in random forcing in an inner model is an antichain in *V*.

It is used to show that random algebras are narrow.

Corollary

- *Random algebras can be iterated without collapsing cardinals.*
- $\mathbb{R}_*$*-absoluteness implies that all uncountable cardinals are singular.*

$\mathbb{R}_*$-absoluteness states that any $\mathbb{R}_\kappa$-generic extension has the same theory as *V*.

Random algebras

$\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is a quasi-Boolean algebra. Its quotient by $=_\mu$ is a Boolean algebra.
To show that $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is complete, it suffices to show that every subset has a supremum.

Random algebras

$\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is a quasi-Boolean algebra. Its quotient by $=_\mu$ is a Boolean algebra. To show that $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is complete, it suffices to show that every subset has a supremum.

Theorem (Lebesgue's density theorem)

Suppose that A is a Lebesuge measurable subset of 2^ω. The set

$$D(A) := \{x \in 2^\omega \mid \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu(A \cap N_t)}{\mu(N_t)} = 1\}$$

of its density points satisfies $\mu(A \triangle D(A)) = 0$.

Hence A can be reconstructed up to a nullset from relative measures on basic open sets.

Random algebras

We modify this reconstruction to 2^α. Let $2^{(\alpha)}$ denote the set of finite partial functions $f\colon \alpha \rightharpoonup 2$.

Definition

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha$, call

$$\mu_A = \langle \mu_{A,t} := \frac{\mu(A \cap N_t)}{\mu(N_t)} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

its footprint.

We have $A \leq B \Leftrightarrow \mu_{A,t} \leq \mu_{B,t}$ for all $t \in 2^{(\alpha)}$.

Definition

Suppose that $x \in 2^\alpha$ and $\vec{\mu} = \langle \mu_t \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

1. For any $\epsilon > 0$, x is an ϵ-density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if

$$\exists s\ \forall t \supseteq s\ \mu_t > 1 - \epsilon.$$

2. x is a density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if x is an ϵ-density point of $\vec{\mu}$ for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$.

Definition

Suppose that $x \in 2^\alpha$ and $\vec{\mu} = \langle \mu_t \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

1. For any $\epsilon > 0$, x is an ϵ-density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if

$$\exists s \, \forall t \supseteq s \; \mu_t > 1 - \epsilon.$$

2. x is a density point of $\vec{\mu}$ if x is an ϵ-density point of $\vec{\mu}$ for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$.

Let $D(\mu)$ denote the α-Borel code induced by 2. Its definition is absolute between transitive models of ZF.

$D(\mu)$ is not a Borel code, but we can reduce it to one.

Random algebras

Any α-Borel code A can be reduced to a Borel code as follows.

Definition

The reduct $\mathrm{re}(A)$ of A is the following Borel code.

1. If A codes a basic open set, then $\mathrm{re}(A) = A$.
2. If A_0 codes $\neg A_1$, then $\mathrm{re}(A_0)$ codes $\neg\mathrm{re}(A_1)$.
3. If A codes $\bigcup_{i<\alpha} A_i$, then $\mathrm{re}(A)$ codes $\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathrm{re}(A_i)$, where
    - I is the largest subset of α such that for each $j \in I$, A_j adds measure to $\bigcup_{j\in I\cap j} \mathrm{re}(A_i)$.

Random algebras

Any α-Borel code A can be reduced to a Borel code as follows.

Definition

The reduct $\mathrm{re}(A)$ of A is the following Borel code.

1. If A codes a basic open set, then $\mathrm{re}(A) = A$.
2. If A_0 codes $\neg A_1$, then $\mathrm{re}(A_0)$ codes $\neg\mathrm{re}(A_1)$.
3. If A codes $\bigcup_{i<\alpha} A_i$, then $\mathrm{re}(A)$ codes $\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathrm{re}(A_i)$, where
    - I is the largest subset of α such that for each $j \in I$, A_j adds measure to $\bigcup_{j\in I\cap j} \mathrm{re}(A_i)$.

Fact

In every outer model M where α is countable,

- $\mathrm{re}(A) =_\mu A$
- *$D(\mu_A) =_\mu A$ by Lebesgue's density theorem in M.*

$\mathrm{re}(A) =_\mu A$ may fail in V, since A may be an ω_1 length union of singletons and CH holds.

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \mathrm{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_\mu A$ in V. The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ by the set of A^*. This definition of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is absolute between transitive models of ZF.

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \mathrm{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_\mu A$ in V. The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ by the set of A^*. This definition of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is absolute between transitive models of ZF.

Given a subset X of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$, we construct its supremum. Let

$$\mu_{X,t} := \sup_{A \in X} \mu_{A,t}$$

$$\mu_X := \langle \mu_{X,t} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \mathrm{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_\mu A$ in V. The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ by the set of A^*. This definition of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is absolute between transitive models of ZF.

Given a subset X of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$, we construct its supremum. Let

$$\mu_{X,t} := \sup_{A \in X} \mu_{A,t}$$

$$\mu_X := \langle \mu_{X,t} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

Fact

In any outer model M of V where α is countable, $D(\mu_X)$ is a least upper bound for X.

Proof. If B is an upper bound for X, then $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$ for all $A \in X$ and hence $\mu_X \leq \mu_B$. Then $D(\mu_X) \leq D(\mu_B) =_\mu B$. $\square$

Random algebras

By the previous fact, $A^* := \mathrm{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_\mu A$ in V. The map $A \mapsto A^*$ picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ by the set of A^*. This definition of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is absolute between transitive models of ZF.

Given a subset X of $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$, we construct its supremum. Let

$$\mu_{X,t} := \sup_{A \in X} \mu_{A,t}$$

$$\mu_X := \langle \mu_{X,t} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

Fact

In any outer model M of V where α is countable, $D(\mu_X)$ is a least upper bound for X.

Proof. If B is an upper bound for X, then $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$ for all $A \in X$ and hence $\mu_X \leq \mu_B$. Then $D(\mu_X) \leq D(\mu_B) =_\mu B$. □

Fact

$\mathbb{R}_\alpha$ is complete.

Proof. $\mathrm{re}(D(\mu_X))$ is a least upper bound for X in some outer model and hence in V. □

Definition

A forcing $\mathbb{P}$ is locally ccc if it is ccc in HOD_x for all finite x containing $\mathbb{P}$.

Random algebras

Definition

A forcing $\mathbb{P}$ is locally ccc if it is ccc in HOD_x for all finite x containing $\mathbb{P}$.

The next property is weaker than the existence of definable suprema.

- It holds for random algebras and all well-ordered forcings.
- It implies that any $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter over V is $(\mathbb{P} \cap \mathrm{HOD}_x)$-generic over HOD_x.
- With locally ccc, it implies uniformly narrow. Hence well-ordered locally ccc forcings and random algebras can be iterated while preserving cardinals

Random algebras

Definition

A forcing $\mathbb{P}$ is locally ccc if it is ccc in HOD_x for all finite x containing $\mathbb{P}$.

The next property is weaker than the existence of definable suprema.

- It holds for random algebras and all well-ordered forcings.
- It implies that any $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter over V is $(\mathbb{P} \cap \mathrm{HOD}_x)$-generic over HOD_x.
- With locally ccc, it implies uniformly narrow. Hence well-ordered locally ccc forcings and random algebras can be iterated while preserving cardinals

Definition

A forcing $\mathbb{P}$ is locally complete if there exists a finite set containing $\mathbb{P}$ such that: For any nonempty $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, there exists some $p \leq \sup(A)$ in $\mathbb{P} \cap \mathrm{HOD}_{x \cup \{A\}}$.

Lemma

Suppose θ is an infinite ordinal and $\mathbb{P}$ is a locally complete forcing.

1. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is ccc, then it is narrow.*
2. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is locally ccc, then it is uniformly narrow.*

Random algebras

Lemma

Suppose θ is an infinite ordinal and $\mathbb{P}$ is a locally complete forcing.

1. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is ccc, then it is narrow.*
2. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is locally ccc, then it is uniformly narrow.*

Proof sketch. We prove 2. The proof of 1 is similar.

Suppose that $f\colon \mathbb{P} \rightharpoonup \mu$ is a partial $\|$-homomorphism (generalised antichain).

Let $A := \mathrm{dom}(f)$, $A_\alpha := f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ and $\vec{A} = \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathrm{ran}(f)\rangle$.

Let $H := \mathrm{HOD}_{x \cup \{\vec{A}\}}$, where x witnesses that $\mathbb{P}$ is locally complete.

Lemma

Suppose θ is an infinite ordinal and $\mathbb{P}$ is a locally complete forcing.

1. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is ccc, then it is narrow.*
2. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is locally ccc, then it is uniformly narrow.*

Proof sketch. We prove 2. The proof of 1 is similar.

Suppose that $f\colon \mathbb{P} \rightharpoonup \mu$ is a partial $\|$-homomorphism (generalised antichain).

Let $A := \mathrm{dom}(f)$, $A_\alpha := f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ and $\vec{A} = \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathrm{ran}(f)\rangle$.

Let $H := \mathrm{HOD}_{x \cup \{\vec{A}\}}$, where x witnesses that $\mathbb{P}$ is locally complete.

For each $\alpha \in \mathrm{ran}(f)$, there exists some $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{P} \cap H$ with $p_\alpha \leq \sup(A_\alpha)$. We can assume that p_α is least such p in the canonical well-order of H.

- Then $p_\alpha \perp p_\beta$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Random algebras

Lemma

Suppose θ is an infinite ordinal and $\mathbb{P}$ is a locally complete forcing.

1. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is ccc, then it is narrow.*
2. *If $\mathbb{P}$ is locally ccc, then it is uniformly narrow.*

Proof sketch. We prove 2. The proof of 1 is similar.

Suppose that $f\colon \mathbb{P} \rightharpoonup \mu$ is a partial $\|$-homomorphism (generalised antichain).

Let $A := \mathrm{dom}(f)$, $A_\alpha := f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ and $\vec{A} = \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathrm{ran}(f)\rangle$.

Let $H := \mathrm{HOD}_{x\cup\{\vec{A}\}}$, where x witnesses that $\mathbb{P}$ is locally complete.

For each $\alpha \in \mathrm{ran}(f)$, there exists some $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{P} \cap H$ with $p_\alpha \leq \mathrm{sup}(A_\alpha)$. We can assume that p_α is least such p in the canonical well-order of H.

- Then $p_\alpha \perp p_\beta$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Let $\lambda \leq \theta^+$ be the chain condition of $\mathbb{P} \cap H$ in H, i.e., the least ν such that there exists no antichain of size ν. This is always a regular cardinal in models of ZFC.

- Then $|\mathrm{ran}(f)|^H < \lambda \leq \theta^+$.

Let $G(f)$ be the least injective function $F\colon \mathrm{ran}(f) \to \theta$ in H. $\square$

Random algebras

Problem

Is $\mathbb{R}_\kappa$ ccc_2 for every infinite cardinal κ?
Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is $\mathbb{R}_\kappa$ ccc$_2$ for every infinite cardinal κ?
Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is $\mathbb{R}_{\omega_1}$ σ-linked in ZFC?

Problem

Is $\mathbb{R}_\kappa$ ccc_2 for every infinite cardinal κ?
Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is $\mathbb{R}_{\omega_1}$ σ-linked in ZFC?

Problem

Over Gitik's model, does every atomless σ-closed forcing collapse ω_1?

Problem

Is $\mathbb{R}_\kappa$ ccc$_2$ for every infinite cardinal κ?
Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

Problem

Is $\mathbb{R}_{\omega_1}$ σ-linked in ZFC*?*

Problem

Over Gitik's model, does every atomless σ-closed forcing collapse ω_1?

Problem

Over Gitik's model, can you add fresh subsets of some uncountable cardinal?