

OPEN PROBLEMS ON GENERALISED BAIRE SPACES 2020

The *Fifth Workshop on Generalised Baire Spaces* took place at the School of Mathematics of the University of Bristol on 3-4 February, 2020, see <https://philippschlicht.github.io/meetings/generalizedbairespaces2020>. This is an incomplete list of some open problems that were discussed at the workshop.¹

1. GENERALIZED BAIRE SPACES

1.1. Claudio Agostini: Winning tactics. A *tactic* in a game of length ω is a strategy that depends only on the previous move of the opponent. For separable regular Hausdorff spaces, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) There is a compatible complete metric.
- (2) There is a winning tactic for II in the strong Choquet game.
- (3) There is a winning strategy for II in the strong Choquet game.

Notice that tactics do not make sense for longer games, since moves at limit times don't have a predecessor. But one can define the following natural variant for the strong Choquet game $G_\kappa(X)$ of length κ for X . A tactic for II in $G_\kappa(X)$ is defined as a strategy with the following properties:

- (1) For successor times, the reply of II depends only on the preceding move.
- (2) For a play of limit length consisting of $\vec{U} = \langle U_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$ and $\vec{x} = \langle x_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$, the reply of II depends only on $\bigcap_{i < \alpha} U_i$ and (possibly) α .

Question 1.1. Suppose that X is a regular Hausdorff space of weight $\leq \kappa$. Does the existence of a winning strategy for player II in $G_\kappa(X)$ imply the existence of a winning tactic?

1.2. Dorottya Sziraki: The open colouring axiom. The *open colouring axiom* for X , $\text{OCA}_\kappa(X)$, states that any open graph G on X either has a κ -coloring or else contains a complete subgraph of size κ^+ . OCA_κ states that $\text{OCA}_\kappa(X)$ holds for all $X \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$.

Question 1.2. Is OCA_κ consistent with ZFC?

The *open graph dichotomy* $\text{OGD}_\kappa(X)$ for X states that any open graph on X either has a κ -colouring or else contains a κ -perfect complete subgraph. The *perfect set property* $\text{PSP}_\kappa(X)$ for $X \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$ states that $|X| \leq \kappa$ or X has a κ -perfect subsets.

Question 1.3. Does $\text{PSP}_\kappa(\text{closed})$ imply $\text{OGD}_\kappa(\text{closed})$?

Fact. $\text{OGD}_\kappa(\text{closed}) \Rightarrow \text{OGD}_\kappa(\Sigma_1^1(\kappa)) \Rightarrow \text{PSP}_\kappa(\Sigma_1^1(\kappa))$.

Fact. After forcing with $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$, where $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible, OGD_κ holds for all sets definable from κ -sequences of ordinals.

Question 1.4. Can we force PSP_κ with some forcing other than $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$?

¹If you have an answer, comment or further question, please email Philipp Schlicht at philipp.schlicht@bristol.ac.uk.

2. CONNECTIONS WITH MODEL THEORY

2.1. Jan Dobrowolski: Polish groups.

Fact. Suppose that X is Polish, E is an equivalence relation on X such that

- (1) the equivalence class $[x]_E$ of any $x \in X$ is closed and
- (2) the saturation $[U]_E$ of any open subset U of X is Borel.

Then X/E , with the Effros Borel structure, is standard Borel, and the natural projection $\pi: X \rightarrow X/E$ has a Borel measurable right inverse f with $\pi \circ f = \text{id}$.

In particular, this holds for any Polish group H and the equivalence relation on H induced by a closed subgroup $G \leq H$.

Question 2.1. Does the analogue of the previous statement about Polish groups hold for κ^κ ?

The product of two closed subgroups G, H of $\text{Sym}(\omega)$ is again closed.

Question 2.2. Does the analogue of the previous statement hold for $\text{Sym}(\kappa)$ with the bounded topology?

2.2. Rosario Mennuni: Omitting types.

Disclaimer: The answer to this question might be easy or already known.

The most basic version of the Omitting Type Theorem states:

Theorem. Let T be a consistent first-order theory in a countable language, $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ an n -tuple of variables, and $\pi(x)$ a partial n -type over \emptyset such that for no formula $\phi(x)$ consistent with T we have $T \cup \{\phi(x)\} \vdash \pi(x)$. Then there is a countable $M \models T$ such that no element of M^n realises $\pi(x)$.

A better version states (see [2, Theorem 10.3]):

Theorem. Let T be a consistent first-order theory in a countable language, and for every $n \in \omega$ let A_n be a meagre subset of the space $S_n(T)$ of n -types over \emptyset . Then there is a countable $M \models T$ such that, for every $n \in \omega$ and $p(x) \in A_n$, no element of M^n realises $p(x)$.

The basic version can be generalised to what is called the κ -omitting types theorem (see [1, Theorem 2.2.19]).

Theorem. Let T be a consistent first-order theory in a language of size κ , $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ an n -tuple of variables, and $\pi(x)$ a partial n -type over \emptyset such that for no set of formulas $\Phi(x)$ of size $< \kappa$ consistent with T we have $T \cup \Phi(x) \vdash \pi(x)$. Then there is $M \models T$ with $|M| \leq \kappa$ and such that no element of M^n realises $\pi(x)$.

Question 2.3. Let L be a language of size κ , and let T be a consistent L -theory. Equip each $S_n(T)$ with the topology generated by declaring partial types of size $< \kappa$ to induce open sets, and replace “meagre” with “ κ -meagre”. For which cardinals κ does Theorem 1.2.2 generalise in the fashion of Theorem 1.2.2?

REFERENCES

- [1] C.C. CHANG, H.J. KEISLER. *Model Theory*, Third edition, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics 73. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1990).
- [2] B. POIZAT. *A Course in Model Theory*. Universitext. Springer (2000).

3. COMBINATORICS OF κ^κ 3.1. **Adrian Mathias, Vera Fischer.**

Question 3.1. Do analogues to some classical results for mad families on ω hold for mad families on κ ?

3.2. **Johannes Schürz.**

Question 3.2. Is there a forcing that adds a κ -dominating real, but no κ -Cohen real?

3.3. **Sarka Stejskalova.**

Question 3.3. Is $\mathfrak{u}_\kappa < 2^\kappa$ consistent for the successor κ of a regular cardinal?