

A right to strike in democratic corporations

Philipp Stehr | philippstehr.github.io

July 11th 2024

Democratizing workplaces

An accepted position in the philosophical debate:

- workplaces are unjustifiably undemocratic and should be democratized.

Democratizing workplaces

An accepted position in the philosophical debate:

- workplaces are unjustifiably undemocratic and should be democratized.
- because people have a right to a say in decisions that deeply affect them

Democratizing workplaces

An accepted position in the philosophical debate:

- workplaces are unjustifiably undemocratic and should be democratized.
- because people have a right to a say in decisions that deeply affect them
- but if that's true, how can democratization happen?

Democratizing workplaces

An accepted position in the philosophical debate:

- workplaces are unjustifiably undemocratic and should be democratized.
- because people have a right to a say in decisions that deeply affect them
- but if that's true, how can democratization happen?
 - how can democratic structures, once brought about, be made to last?

- 1 Degeneration as a problem for democratic workplaces

- ① Degeneration as a problem for democratic workplaces
- ② A strategy against degeneration: the right to strike

- ① Degeneration as a problem for democratic workplaces
- ② A strategy against degeneration: the right to strike
- ③ The efficacy of the strike

- ① Degeneration as a problem for democratic workplaces
- ② A strategy against degeneration: the right to strike
- ③ The efficacy of the strike
- ④ The permissibility of the strike

- ① Degeneration as a problem for democratic workplaces
- ② A strategy against degeneration: the right to strike
- ③ The efficacy of the strike
- ④ The permissibility of the strike
- ⑤ An objection

The promises of workplace democracy

- more equal status

The promises of workplace democracy

- more equal status
- more mutual recognition

The promises of workplace democracy

- more equal status
- more mutual recognition
- more democratic decision-making

The promises of workplace democracy

- more equal status
- more mutual recognition
- more democratic decision-making
- accountability of those in power

The experience of workplace democracy I

“[Sharing ownership in the firm] means nothing to me [...] I only go to the annual meetings of the General Assembly because it's required. Everybody goes because they have to. If we didn 't have to, we wouldn 't go.” - Sharryn Kasmir, *The Myth of Mondragón* (1996) p. 122

The experience of workplace democracy II

[W]orkers at Clima spoke of definite inequalities in their plant. They most often talked about “los de arriba” (those on the top) versus “los de abajo” (those on the bottom). Workers also spoke of themselves as “curelas” (working stiff) and called managers “jefes” (bosses). “Jefes” was used interchangeably for managers and engineers as well as for the elected representatives to the Governing Council and the Management Council, suggesting that workers perceived them as management-controlled bodies rather than democratic organs that represented workers’ interests. - Kasmir 1996 p. 151

The experience of workplace democracy III

“No, of course we are not equal. We are in no way different from other businesses. No matter how equal we are in theory, in reality we are not. I believe that we are less equal among ourselves than the workers in a capitalist firm: being members, many of us often have to put up with things that workers in other firms would not tolerate. [...] Those above make the rules, those below obey them.” - Davydd J. Greenwood and José Luis Gonzalez Santos, *Industrial Democracy as Process* (1989), p. 133

The problem of degeneration

- an established phenomenon: cooperative degeneration

The problem of degeneration

- an established phenomenon: cooperative degeneration
 - the informal norms of the organization become inegalitarian and undemocratic

The problem of degeneration

- an established phenomenon: cooperative degeneration
 - the informal norms of the organization become inegalitarian and undemocratic
 - a managerial caste emerges and captures resources and positions

The problem of degeneration

- an established phenomenon: cooperative degeneration
 - the informal norms of the organization become inegalitarian and undemocratic
 - a managerial caste emerges and captures resources and positions
- the empirical picture: it happens, but factors are complicated

A bigger issue

- this problem is familiar from political theory for the case of the state

A bigger issue

- this problem is familiar from political theory for the case of the state
- especially realist contributions

A bigger issue

- this problem is familiar from political theory for the case of the state
- especially realist contributions
- democracy is not trivial to realize!

A bigger issue

- this problem is familiar from political theory for the case of the state
- especially realist contributions
- democracy is not trivial to realize!
 - policy is made more for elites rather than for ordinary citizens

A bigger issue

- this problem is familiar from political theory for the case of the state
- especially realist contributions
- democracy is not trivial to realize!
 - policy is made more for elites rather than for ordinary citizens
 - elections are a flawed mechanism for accountability

A bigger issue

- this problem is familiar from political theory for the case of the state
- especially realist contributions
- democracy is not trivial to realize!
 - policy is made more for elites rather than for ordinary citizens
 - elections are a flawed mechanism for accountability
 - voters largely don't vote based on policy but based on identity

A rationale for strikes

- strikes could fulfill a specific democratic function

A rationale for strikes

- strikes could fulfill a specific democratic function
- a credible threat to ensure that established democratic channels are respected

A rationale for strikes

- strikes could fulfill a specific democratic function
- a credible threat to ensure that established democratic channels are respected
- an instrumental defense of strikes

A rationale for strikes

- strikes could fulfill a specific democratic function
- a credible threat to ensure that established democratic channels are respected
- an instrumental defense of strikes
- two elements of this argument:

A rationale for strikes

- strikes could fulfill a specific democratic function
- a credible threat to ensure that established democratic channels are respected
- an instrumental defense of strikes
- two elements of this argument:
 - effectiveness

A rationale for strikes

- strikes could fulfill a specific democratic function
- a credible threat to ensure that established democratic channels are respected
- an instrumental defense of strikes
- two elements of this argument:
 - effectiveness
 - permissibility

The effectiveness of strikes

- unilateral withdrawal of labour brings production to a halt

The effectiveness of strikes

- unilateral withdrawal of labour brings production to a halt
- democratic managers too will have an interest against this

The effectiveness of strikes

- unilateral withdrawal of labour brings production to a halt
- democratic managers too will have an interest against this
- for economic and political reasons

The effectiveness of strikes

- unilateral withdrawal of labour brings production to a halt
- democratic managers too will have an interest against this
- for economic and political reasons
- strikes can thus be effective

The permissibility of strikes

- a *democratic* justification, not along the conventional lines

The permissibility of strikes

- a *democratic* justification, not along the conventional lines
- the democratic right: a right to a say in things that significantly affect you

The permissibility of strikes

- a *democratic* justification, not along the conventional lines
- the democratic right: a right to a say in things that significantly affect you
- if that right is threatened, it justifies employing means to protect it

The permissibility of strikes

- a *democratic* justification, not along the conventional lines
- the democratic right: a right to a say in things that significantly affect you
- if that right is threatened, it justifies employing means to protect it
- including an *ultima ratio* kind of means

The permissibility of strikes

- a *democratic* justification, not along the conventional lines
- the democratic right: a right to a say in things that significantly affect you
- if that right is threatened, it justifies employing means to protect it
- including an *ultima ratio* kind of means
- the strike is an effective means

The permissibility of strikes

- a *democratic* justification, not along the conventional lines
- the democratic right: a right to a say in things that significantly affect you
- if that right is threatened, it justifies employing means to protect it
- including an *ultima ratio* kind of means
- the strike is an effective means
- strikes are thus permissible

The limits of the strike

- strikes sometimes also involve the coercion of co-workers

The limits of the strike

- strikes sometimes also involve the coercion of co-workers
 - occupations, mass pickets etc.

The limits of the strike

- strikes sometimes also involve the coercion of co-workers
 - occupations, mass pickets etc.
- is that permitted?

The limits of the strike

- strikes sometimes also involve the coercion of co-workers
 - occupations, mass pickets etc.
- is that permitted?
- No! That seems straightforwardly undemocratic

The limits of the strike

- strikes sometimes also involve the coercion of co-workers
 - occupations, mass pickets etc.
- is that permitted?
- No! That seems straightforwardly undemocratic
- but blocking strike breakers is permissible

The limits of the strike

- strikes sometimes also involve the coercion of co-workers
 - occupations, mass pickets etc.
- is that permitted?
- No! That seems straightforwardly undemocratic
- but blocking strike breakers is permissible
- because that is undemocratic

Other constituencies?

- also applies to other constituencies!

Other constituencies?

- also applies to other constituencies!
- because they have the same democratic rights

Other constituencies?

- also applies to other constituencies!
- because they have the same democratic rights
- rent strikes, payment strikes and the like

Other constituencies?

- also applies to other constituencies!
- because they have the same democratic rights
- rent strikes, payment strikes and the like
- but, practically speaking, strikes require necessary contributions

Objection!

- what about the ethos of the cooperative?

Objection!

- what about the ethos of the cooperative?
- might be replaced by more antagonistic social norms

Objection!

- what about the ethos of the cooperative?
- might be replaced by more antagonistic social norms
- we have data on what can happen without contestation: degeneration!

Objection!

- what about the ethos of the cooperative?
- might be replaced by more antagonistic social norms
- we have data on what can happen without contestation: degeneration!
- having purely cooperative norms seems unachievable, at least for now

The end

Thank you for your attention!

Summary

- there's a degeneration/capture problem for democratic corporations

Summary

- there's a degeneration/capture problem for democratic corporations
- strikes are an *ultima ratio* means against capture

Summary

- there's a degeneration/capture problem for democratic corporations
- strikes are an *ultima ratio* means against capture
- they can effectively pressure elites

Summary

- there's a degeneration/capture problem for democratic corporations
- strikes are an *ultima ratio* means against capture
- they can effectively pressure elites
- they are morally permissible

Summary

- there's a degeneration/capture problem for democratic corporations
- strikes are an *ultima ratio* means against capture
- they can effectively pressure elites
- they are morally permissible
- this might shift norms away from pure cooperation but those are not realistic anyway