Philipp Stehr | philippstehr.github.io

September 26th 2025

a rationale for political strikes

- a rationale for political strikes
- and a moral defense

- a rationale for political strikes
- and a moral defense
- and some considerations for regulation

democracy is an imperfect system

- democracy is an imperfect system
- general lack of influence for ordinary citizens

- democracy is an imperfect system
- general lack of influence for ordinary citizens
- elite capture: winners keep winning

- democracy is an imperfect system
- general lack of influence for ordinary citizens
- elite capture: winners keep winning
- this can be shown in empirical studies

- democracy is an imperfect system
- general lack of influence for ordinary citizens
- elite capture: winners keep winning
- this can be shown in empirical studies
  - policy generally is only weakly responsive to public opinion

- democracy is an imperfect system
- general lack of influence for ordinary citizens
- elite capture: winners keep winning
- this can be shown in empirical studies
  - policy generally is only weakly responsive to public opinion
  - rich people wield disproportionate influence

- democracy is an imperfect system
- general lack of influence for ordinary citizens
- elite capture: winners keep winning
- this can be shown in empirical studies
  - policy generally is only weakly responsive to public opinion
  - rich people wield disproportionate influence
- in the extreme: objectionable rule!

Solutions?

- Solutions?
- not more participation!

- Solutions?
- not more participation!
- not less formal rules!

- Solutions?
- not more participation!
- not less formal rules!
- better ways for regular people to exercise influence

- Solutions?
- not more participation!
- not less formal rules!
- better ways for regular people to exercise influence
- in order to defend themselves against infringements on their democratic rights

# One Possibility: Political Strikes



Figure 1: The recent General Strike in Israel

collective refusal to work

- collective refusal to work
- "quitting work but not the job" (Gourevitch)

- collective refusal to work
- "quitting work but not the job" (Gourevitch)
- with political demands

- collective refusal to work
- "quitting work but not the job" (Gourevitch)
- with political demands
- in a direct conflict with the state, not the employers

• political strikes as a permissible defence of democratic rights

- political strikes as a permissible defence of democratic rights
- because rights come with permission to defend them

- political strikes as a permissible defence of democratic rights
- because rights come with permission to defend them
- defensive means have to be:

- political strikes as a permissible defence of democratic rights
- because rights come with permission to defend them
- defensive means have to be:
  - effective

- political strikes as a permissible defence of democratic rights
- because rights come with permission to defend them
- defensive means have to be:
  - effective
  - necessary

- political strikes as a permissible defence of democratic rights
- because rights come with permission to defend them
- defensive means have to be:
  - effective
    - necessary
    - proportionate

- political strikes as a permissible defence of democratic rights
- because rights come with permission to defend them
- defensive means have to be:
  - effective
    - necessary
    - proportionate
    - directed

• effective in defending democratic rights?

- effective in defending democratic rights?
- does it achieve the goal?

- effective in defending democratic rights?
- does it achieve the goal?
- withholding labour exercises pressure on politicians

- effective in defending democratic rights?
- does it achieve the goal?
- withholding labour exercises pressure on politicians
  - employers won't like it

- effective in defending democratic rights?
- does it achieve the goal?
- withholding labour exercises pressure on politicians
  - employers won't like it
  - makes politicians unpopular

- effective in defending democratic rights?
- does it achieve the goal?
- withholding labour exercises pressure on politicians
  - employers won't like it
    - makes politicians unpopular
    - limits politicians' options

- effective in defending democratic rights?
- does it achieve the goal?
- withholding labour exercises pressure on politicians
  - employers won't like it
  - makes politicians unpopular
  - limits politicians' options
- empirically we find some effectiveness

# **Necessity**

• necessary to defend democratic rights?

- necessary to defend democratic rights?
  - are there any less invasive means available?

- necessary to defend democratic rights?
  - are there any less invasive means available?
- the realist assessment puts conventional means in doubt

- necessary to defend democratic rights?
  - are there any less invasive means available?
- the realist assessment puts conventional means in doubt
- elite capture blocks democratic paths

- necessary to defend democratic rights?
  - are there any less invasive means available?
- the realist assessment puts conventional means in doubt
- elite capture blocks democratic paths
- but, still, some other means should probably have been tried first

• two understandings of proportionality

- two understandings of proportionality
- Narrow Proportionality: Harming only those liable to harm

- two understandings of proportionality
- Narrow Proportionality: Harming only those liable to harm
- 2 Wide Proportionality: Harm to those not liable to harm is the lesser evil

- two understandings of proportionality
- Narrow Proportionality: Harming only those liable to harm
- Wide Proportionality: Harm to those not liable to harm is the lesser evil
- I will discuss these along the lines of two objections

# Narrow Proportionality: Are Employers Liable?



Figure 2: Taking Employers Hostage

 they might be liable insofar as they form part of the organised and wealthy elite

- they might be liable insofar as they form part of the organised and wealthy elite
- and they have duties that ground liability

- they might be liable insofar as they form part of the organised and wealthy elite
- and they have duties that ground liability
  - duties of fairness to support co-citizens who face injustice

- they might be liable insofar as they form part of the organised and wealthy elite
- and they have duties that ground liability
  - duties of fairness to support co-citizens who face injustice
  - duties of aid to help others whose political rights are infringed upon

- they might be liable insofar as they form part of the organised and wealthy elite
- and they have duties that ground liability
  - duties of fairness to support co-citizens who face injustice
  - duties of aid to help others whose political rights are infringed upon
- employers are often very well able to bear substantial costs due to comfortable material positions

# Wide proportionality: Undue escalation?



Figure 3: Cooperative ants

### Undue escalation?



• democracy with contestatory means vs. one without

- democracy with contestatory means vs. one without
  - does the infrastructure set us up as deer or ants?

- democracy with contestatory means vs. one without
  - does the infrastructure set us up as deer or ants?
- sure, this is more antagonistic

- democracy with contestatory means vs. one without
  - does the infrastructure set us up as deer or ants?
- sure, this is more antagonistic
- but be realistic about the point of comparison

- democracy with contestatory means vs. one without
  - does the infrastructure set us up as deer or ants?
- sure, this is more antagonistic
- but be realistic about the point of comparison
  - its efficiency

- democracy with contestatory means vs. one without
  - does the infrastructure set us up as deer or ants?
- sure, this is more antagonistic
- but be realistic about the point of comparison
  - its efficiency
  - in how far it realizes democratic values

- democracy with contestatory means vs. one without
  - does the infrastructure set us up as deer or ants?
- sure, this is more antagonistic
- but be realistic about the point of comparison
  - its efficiency
  - in how far it realizes democratic values
- then the more antagonistic version is not unattractive!

# Regulating Political Strikes

• ensure *ultima ratio* status

# Regulating Political Strikes

- ensure ultima ratio status
- ensure proportionality

### Regulating Political Strikes

- ensure ultima ratio status
- ensure proportionality
- distribute harms properly

# Regulating Political Strikes II



• there is evidence that democratic politics has severe flaws

- there is evidence that democratic politics has severe flaws
- a way for regular people to exercise influence: political strike

- there is evidence that democratic politics has severe flaws
- a way for regular people to exercise influence: political strike
- a defensive mechanism

- there is evidence that democratic politics has severe flaws
- a way for regular people to exercise influence: political strike
- a defensive mechanism
- both effective and necessary

- there is evidence that democratic politics has severe flaws
- a way for regular people to exercise influence: political strike
- a defensive mechanism
- both effective and necessary
- follows narrow and wide proportionality

- there is evidence that democratic politics has severe flaws
- a way for regular people to exercise influence: political strike
- a defensive mechanism
- both effective and necessary
- follows narrow and wide proportionality
- but difficult to properly regulate

- there is evidence that democratic politics has severe flaws
- a way for regular people to exercise influence: political strike
- a defensive mechanism
- both effective and necessary
- follows narrow and wide proportionality
- but difficult to properly regulate
- a fair distribution of the costs of democracy

#### The end

Thank you for your attention.