Final project for the Course High Performance Programming, Philipp Noel von Bachmann

March 18, 2022

1 Introduction

Machine Learning and Data analysis are some of the most influencial fields in our current society. One of the main task in Machine Learning is to give a prediction based on some input variables. A common algorithm to use is linear-least-square, which finds the best fit for a linear model. Here, we need an efficient way to calculate this fit. In Data analysis, a common tool is the Principal Component analysis, which tries to reduce the dimensionality of the data in a way, that the reconstruction error gets minimized. PCA relies on an eigenvalue decomposition. For both methods, we can use the QR decomposition too speed up the computation.

2 Problem description

Suppose A is a real, square matrix. Then it can be shown that A can be decomposed into

$$A = QR \tag{1}$$

where Q is orthogonal and R is upper triangular. Finding Q and R is the task of the algorithm.

3 Solution

We will implement an algorithm known as **Givens Rotation**. This algorithm relies on construction a sequences of matrices G_i , such that when multiplying A with G_i , we get a new matrix with a zero at a predefined place. Choosing G_i such that we eliminate the lower diagonal of A, we end up with a R and by multiplying all G_i with Q. We will first show how to eliminate one value at the time by constructing G_i and then how to combine these.

3.1 Givens rotation 3 SOLUTION

3.1 Givens rotation

First, we define a Givens rotation matrix as

$$G(i,j,\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & c_{ii} & \cdots & -s_{ij} \\ & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & s_{ji} & \cdots & c_{jj} \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

where $c = \cos(\theta)$, $s = \sin(\theta)$ and any not filled out values are 0. Note: Therefore we can equally represent G by G(i, j, c, s)

3.2 Eliminating one value

We will how to find θ to solve

$$G(i, j, \theta)^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \vdots \\ a \\ \vdots \\ b \\ \vdots \\ \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \vdots \\ r \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \times \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

where \times are arbitrary numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. A trivial solution would be

$$c = -\frac{a}{r} \tag{5}$$

However, r is prone to overflow, so we can instead store it in a different way. If

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ |b| \geq |a| : \\ t = \frac{a}{b}, \ s = \frac{sgn(b)}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}, c = st \end{array}$
- else: $t = \frac{b}{a}, c = \frac{sgn(a)}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}, s = ct$

3.3 Final algorithm

Algorithm 1 Givens rotation

```
1: procedure STEP
2: set R = A, Q = I
3: for j in 1 to n do
4: for i in n down to j + 1 do
5: compute the Givens rotation G(i, j, c, s) eliminating R_{ij} with a = i, b = i - 1.
6: set R = G(i, j, c, s)R, Q = QG(i, j, c, s)
```

Note that we have to do it in this order, since each update affects row and row above.

4 Experiments

4.1 Check for correctness

4.2 Results

4.2.1 Format

In the following section, we will show timing results for different matrix sizes. We denote the sizes by i, j, which we defined as decomposing the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{i \times j}$.

4.2.2 Baseline Results

i j	10	25	50
10	0.0005	0.0008	0.001
25	0.018	0.034	0.049
50	0.235	0.611	1.076

We see that the if we vary i, we get a superlinear increase of runtime. If we increase j instead, we see that we get a nearly linear increase in runtime.

(We should only get a minor increase in runtime for j, since we dont need to calculate new 0s). (For i this makes sense, since increasing i by one adds i new 0s to compute.)

4.3 Optimizing the matmul

If we take a closer look at the Givens rotation matrices, we see that they are very sparse. Additionally, we know in advance which entries of the givens rotation wil be nonzero. Thus we can optimize our matmul. First of all, we can only recalculate columns that will get modified by the multiplication. If we multiply GR, where G = G(i, j, c, s), then we only need to modify rows i, j in R. Secondly, we know that for calculating those rows we only need to muliply by the c, s in the corresponding row of G, since all other values are 0.

We need further distinguish between left- (GR) and right-hand (QG) multiplication. We show the pseudo-code for left-hand, but right-hand is very similar.

Algorithm 2 optimized left-hand Matmul with Givens

1: **procedure** MATMUL(G = G(i, j, c, s), R)

2: copy the two rows i,j of R into temporary array $T = \begin{bmatrix} R_{i,:} \\ R_{i,:} \end{bmatrix}$

3: **for** k in columns of R **do**

4: $R_{i,k} = c \cdot T_{1,k} - s \cdot T_{2,k}$

5: $R_{j,k} = s \cdot T_{1,k} + c \cdot T_{2,k}$ return R

This leads to the following results:

i j	10	25	50
50	0.0003	0.0007	0.0011
500	0.019	0.040	0.079

We see that for i = 50, j = 50 we get a runtime of roughly $\frac{1}{1000}$ of the original runtime. However these new runtimes vary quite a bit and we would need to scale them up to get more stable, which is not feasible for the baseline.

4.4 Compiler flags

For i,j=500
$$\frac{\text{Baseline}}{0.747} = \frac{-O1}{0.167} = \frac{-O2}{0.137} = \frac{-O3}{0.134} = \frac{-O3}{0.119} = \frac{-O3}{0.119}$$

4.5 Further serial optimizations

Tried those further optimizations

- Write pow calls out (compiler flag probably does it already)
- Exchanged div in computation of c, s by multiplication with $\frac{1}{r}$
- Declare the array sizes as constant

Those ones helped:

• Inline functions, took time down from 1.09 to 0.99 for i,j=1000

4.6 Cache blocking

Doesn't really make sense, since we don't have any matmul anymore. For loading of q and r, they are already optimal since we take the two closest chelines for our mul

- optimize the representation of G, thus also optimizing matmul of G
- cache usage (mayby useful?)

4.7 serial code optimization

- maybe profile code
- DONE use compiler flags, best gcc -O3 -march=native -ffast-math
- DONE look if we can reduce arithmetic, eg no div in loop
- DONE inline functions
- loop unrolling
- no if statements
- change pow calls
- cache blocking
- make constants, especially i and j
- pure functions
- uautovectorization (included in 03)

4.8 Parallelization 8 REFERENCES

4.8 Parallelization

We can modify multiple lines as same time, however need to make sure that not the two same. ideas: Go down by two, then with offset of one again. Create tasks since these tasks might take different amount of time. Maybe try with locking instead of the choosing rows, however probably not that successfull.

5 Random

- we can order them by affecting row and above
- best is probably to create tasks because upper rows require less work
- maybe need memory optimizations, but most of the operations seem to be near anyway

TODO:

- implement checks
- implement good storage of G
- implement efficient matmul of G
- implement one givens rotation
- implement outer loop
- serial optimizations
- parallelizations, probably with openmp

6 Experiments

7 Conclusion

8 References

https://www.math.usm.edu/lambers/mat610/sum10/lecture9.pdf https://www.math.usm.edu/lambers/mat61