## The Euler-lagrange Eq.

Now let us establish the Euler-Lagrange equation for (P) more explicitly. Note that

Similarly, me find that

$$= \Omega(\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, after rescaling the  $\mu$ , the Euler - Lagrange equation reads

Integrating once and using the fact that is of mean zero, we have

and finally by setting  $7:=G^{1/2}$  } we find

(EI") 
$$\dot{\eta} - \dot{\Omega}(\mu)\eta = 0$$

where  $\widehat{\Omega}(\mu) = \overline{\lim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_6} \mu_i G^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_i G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . So the solution of (E(") is simply.

given by  $\gamma(t) = \exp(\tilde{\Omega}(\mu)t)\eta_0$ 

where  $\eta_o := \eta(o)$ .

Note that  $\widetilde{\Omega}(\mu) \in Skew_{\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence, we find  $Q \in \mathcal{O}(4)$  (c.f. previous section) such that  $\widetilde{\Omega}(\mu) = Q \widetilde{Z}(\mu) Q^T$  with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mu) = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0_{1k}(\mu) & 0 & 0 \\
-0_{1k}(\mu) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{2k}(\mu) \\
0 & 0 & -0_{2k}(\mu) & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Setting  $\phi := Q \eta$  yields  $\phi(t) = \exp(\hat{Z}(\mu)t)\phi_0$  with  $\phi_0 := Q \eta_0$ .

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\phi(E) = \cos\left(\sigma_{1}(E)E\right)\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{01}\\\phi_{02}\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} + \sin\left(\sigma_{1}(E)E\right)\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{02}\\-\phi_{01}\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

+ 
$$\cos\left(\sigma_{2}\left(\mu\right)t\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \phi_{013}\\ \phi_{014} \end{pmatrix}$$
 +  $\sin\left(\sigma_{2}\left(\mu\right)t\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -\phi_{014}\\ \phi_{013} \end{pmatrix}$ 

Resubstituting the basis transformations, which all were orthogonal, we find that a solution of (EL) must be of the form

$$\xi(t) = \cos(\sigma_2(\mu)t) + \sin(\sigma_2(\mu)t) a'$$
+  $\cos(\sigma_2(\mu)t) + \sin(\sigma_2(\mu)t) a'$ 

such that span(a,a') ① span (,') =  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Now it remains to show that we can indeed find  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^6$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_2(\mu), \mathcal{V}_2(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$  to satisfy the periodicity assumption. Subsequently it suffices to show that we find  $\mathcal{V}_1(\mu) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2(\mu) = 2$  up to permutation and that this is the energy optimal choice. However, it is important to note that we cannot have  $\Gamma_2(\mu) = \sigma_2(\mu)$  since this would imply that  $\delta p$  is simple, c.f. the relationship between  $\delta p$  and the Fourier coefficients.