Midterm #1

Due on Wednesday, Nov 4, at 8:30pm.

Please note the following:

- The exam is 120 points.
- You have 2.5 hours to complete the exam.
- For every minute late you submit the exam, you will lose one point.
- You will upload your solution to the Midterm #1 assignment on Canvas, where you downloaded this.
- Your submission should be readable, (the graders can understand your answers,) and it should include all code used in your analysis.
- The exam is open-material, closed-communication.
- If you find any question to be unclear, state your interpretation and proceed.
- The exam will be graded for partial credit.

The Exam requires you to use the data set corresponding to Homework #3, ff_data.xlsx

- This file is posted with the midterm, but it is exactly the same as the versions posted with HW#3.
- Tab PORTFOLIOS gives excess returns on 25 test portfolios.
- Tab FACTORS gives **excess** returns on 4 factors.
- You do NOT need the data on the risk-free rate. Everything is in excess returns already.

1 True / False (25 pts)

You are graded for your (brief) explanation.

- 1. (5 pts) The CAPM implies the intercept of time-series regressions is zero and that the intercept of the cross-sectional regression is zero.
- 2. (5 pts) The Tangency portfolio weights assets in proportion to their Sharpe ratios.
- 3. (5pts) Suppose we pricing is perfectly modeled by a 2-factor model, but we include a 3rd, unnecessary, factor in our test. The extra, unnecessary, factor will cause pricing errors.
- 4. (5pts) In a replicating regression, we should include an intercept.
- 5. (5pts) Suppose we have k risky securities, and an equally weighted portfolio is formed from them. If pairwise correlations across k security returns are less than perfect, an equally weighted portfolio becomes riskless as $k \to \infty$.

2 Short Answer (25 pts)

- 1. (5pts) Why do we prefer to test Linear Factor Pricing Models on portfolios instead of on individual securities?
- 2. (5pts) Is the Momentum strategy robust to various construction methods? Explain.
- 3. (5pts) Suppose we have a security, r. Explain how to construct its information ratio with respect to a benchmark of z.
- 4. Suppose we wish to replicate a hedge-fund return, r, using three ETF products, z^1 , z^2 , z^3 .
 - (a) (5pts) Explain how to calculate the proper amount to invest in each of the three ETFs to achieve optimal correlation to the target hedge-fund.
 - (b) (5pts) Suppose you add a 4th ETF, z^4 , to the replication, but it is highly correlated to z^3 . Do you think it will increase the replication's correlation to the target in-sample? Out of sample?

3 Allocation (30 pts)

- 1. Summary Statistics for the 25 test assets.
 - (a) (5pts) Calculate and display the
 - mean
 - volatility
 - Sharpe ratio

of each asset.

Annualize the answers.

- 2. Tangency portfolio derived from the 25 assets.
 - (a) (5pts) Calculate and display the weights of the tangency portfolio.
 - (b) (10pts) Calculate and display the mean, vol, and Sharpe ratio of the tangency portfolio.
- 3. Recalculate the tangency portfolio, but instead of using the covariance matrix, use a diagonalized version which zeros out every element off the main diagonal. (So it is just a matrix of the variances, with zeros everywhere else.)
 - (a) (5pts) Report the new tangency portfolio weights.
 - (b) (5pts) Calculate and display the mean, vol, and Sharpe ratio of the diagonalized tangency portfolio.

4 Pricing (40pts)

We will test a Linear Factor Pricing Model on the 25 portfolios in "ff_data.xlsx". We will use 2 factors: MKT (market) and HML (value).

- 1. Do the time-series test.
 - (a) (5pts) Report the mean absolute error (MAE) of the time-series alphas.
 - (b) (5pts) Report the r-squared of the regressions.
- 2. Do the cross-sectional test.
 - (a) (5pts) Report the estimated factor premia and compare them to the historic means of the factors.
 - (b) (5pts) Report the intercept, and interpret what it means about the pricing model.
 - (c) (5pts) Report the r-squared statistic, and interpret what it means about the pricing model.
- 3. Discuss the evidence against the pricing model...¹
 - (a) (5pts) Point out which evidence from the time-series regressions rejects the model.
 - (b) (5pts) Point out which evidence from the cross-sectional regression rejects the model.
- 4. (5pts) In addition to rejecting the model we tested, do the results above also reject the CAPM? Explain.

¹Assume that all our results are statistically significant—that this sample really is representative of the population.