

# Topological Few Shot Learning for Biomedical Imaging

Philmore Koung

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Dallas  
philmore.koung@utdallas.edu

Baris Coskunuzer

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Dallas  
coskunuz@utdallas.edu

**Abstract**—Over the past decade, deep learning (DL) methods have become foundational in biomedical imaging. However, their success largely depends on the availability of large, high-quality datasets—an essential yet challenging requirement in computer-aided diagnosis (CAD). These challenges arise due to the scarcity of labeled samples, privacy concerns, and the high costs of data acquisition.

To tackle this issue, we propose using topological data analysis (TDA), specifically cubical persistence, as an alternative to CNNs in data-constrained settings. Cubical persistence generates direct embeddings of images by capturing topological structures. Our initial experiments indicate that TDA embeddings can significantly enhance CNN performance in data-limited environments.

**Clinical relevance**— In biomedical imaging settings where data is limited, incorporating topological vectors into deep learning models, or utilizing them independently, can improve diagnostic accuracy and reliability, providing a valuable tool for medical fields with limited data availability.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Deep learning has demonstrated significant efficacy in pattern recognition tasks and holds considerable promise in healthcare applications. However, the development of robust deep learning models for computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) faces several challenges, including limited training data, imbalanced datasets, and the complexities of data augmentation [1], [2]. The recent integration of topological data analysis (TDA) into machine learning offers a novel approach to addressing these challenges, particularly in limited data or few-shot scenarios.

## II. MATERIALS & METHODS

Cubical persistence, a well-established method in TDA particularly suited for image data, is central to our approach. Given an image  $\mathcal{X}$ , we create a nested sequence of binary images  $\mathcal{X}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{X}_N$ , tracking the topological changes throughout this sequence [3]. For each image, we then compute 100-dimensional Betti vectors that encapsulate these topological changes.

For our experiments, we used publicly available datasets from the MedMNIST collection (<https://medmnist.com>). To ensure balanced class representation, we randomly sampled an equal number of images for each class. The data was divided using an 80/20 train/test split, with 20% of the training set further allocated for validation.

**1. Vanilla CNN:** We employed the untrained base network ResNet50 to extract high-level features from the image data. After global average pooling, two fully connected layers with 128 and 64 units, respectively, and ReLU activation was applied.

TABLE I  
AUC PERFORMANCES FOR OUR MODELS WITH DIFFERENT SPLITS.

Split	BLOOD			BREAST		
	CNN	TDA	CNN+TDA	CNN	TDA	CNN+TDA
80/20	50.68	76.52	<b>77.04</b>	56.25	<b>87.75</b>	78.25
200/50	80.41	83.63	<b>87.12</b>	58.36	75.56	<b>81.34</b>
400/100	65.09	<b>87.24</b>	86.56	70.72	71.76	<b>72.01</b>

  

Split	PNEUMONIA			DERMA		
	CNN	TDA	CNN+TDA	CNN	TDA	CNN+TDA
80/20	52.75	<b>72.00</b>	65.25	50.65	59.73	<b>63.79</b>
200/50	81.08	65.24	<b>88.99</b>	62.21	58.84	<b>63.11</b>
400/100	86.84	70.89	<b>88.56</b>	66.29	57.62	<b>68.70</b>

2. **Vanilla-TDA:** For the topological features, we used a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) with two dense layers, containing 128 and 64 units and ReLU activation functions.

3. **CNN+TDA:** We combined the outputs from the CNN and MLP into a final dense layer, utilizing the softmax activation function.

All models were trained using the Adam optimizer, categorical cross-entropy loss function, a batch size of 32, and 100 epochs.

## III. RESULTS

We present our results in Table I. Our results show a consistent increase from our vanilla CNN model to our TDA + CNN model and MLP. Across our 3 different dataset sizes, we can see vast improvements in AUC. One notable observation is the standalone performance of TDA method is shoulder to shoulder with CNN models.

## IV. DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

Our preliminary experiments demonstrate that integrating topological vectors can result in more robust and accurate models than conventional CNNs in scarce data settings, addressing a critical need in biomedical imaging. We aim to expand this study by applying the approach to additional datasets, and subtler integration methods with state-of-the-art deep learning models to further assess the effectiveness of combining TDA and DL techniques in biomedical imaging settings with limited data.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Kotia *et al.*, “Few shot learning for medical imaging,” *Machine learning algorithms for industrial applications*, pp. 107–132, 2021.
- [2] R. Schäfer *et al.*, “Overcoming data scarcity in biomedical imaging with a foundational multi-task model,” *Nature Computational Science*, pp. 1–15, 2024.
- [3] A. Yadav *et al.*, “Histopathological cancer detection with topological signatures,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1610–1619.