

SOLAR SYSTEM EXPLORER - AN INCREMENTAL GAME

Philip Prior (0920420)
Supervisor: Hayo Thielecke



Submitted in conformity with the requirements
for the degree of MSc Computer Science
School of Computer Science
University of Birmingham

Contents

1	Introduction	8
2	Analysis and specification	9
	2.1 General specification	9
	2.2 Example requirements	10
	2.3 Example game specific requirements	11
3	Design	12
	3.1 Game design	12
	3.2 Orbital models	13
	3.3 Language selection	14
	3.4 Architecture	16
4	Implementation and testing	17
	4.1 Data types and structures	17
	4.2 Example method - orbital rotation in 3D space	18
	4.3 Unit, prototype and integration testing	21
	4.4 Acceptance testing	21
5	User interface	22
	5.1 Incremental game conventions	22
	5.2 General UI conventions	22
	5.3 Aesthetic	22
	5.4 Solar System Explorer UI design process	22
6	Project management	22
	6.1 Software development lifecycle	22
	6.2 Tools	23
	6.3 Meetings	23
	6.4 Review	23
7	Results and evaluation	24
8	Discussion	24
9	Conclusion	24
	References	24

Appendices	29
1 Image asset licences	29
1.1 NASA Media Usage Guidelines - Still Images and Related Computer Files for Non-Commercial Use	29
1.2 ESO - Usage of images, videos and web texts	29
2 Framework licence - three.js	30

Abstract

Solar System Explorer - An Incremental Game

Philip Prior (0920420)

This report details the design and implementation of a software engineering project to create a space exploration themed incremental game. The challenge was to introduce a degree of realism to the representation of space beyond that in similar products from the incremental games market.

The game was created using languages that made it suitable for delivery via a Web browser, using an incremental software development lifecycle and focusing on prototyping for testing during development.

A game named Solar System Explorer was produced, successfully incorporating several planned features including those required to meet the definition of an incremental game.

Suggestions are also provided herein as to the further development of the product beyond the timescale of this particular project.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisor Hayo Thielecke for his advice and support in the completion of this project.

Thanks also to Uday Reddy and the rest of the teaching staff at the University of Birmingham's School of Computer science for their support and guidance.

I would like to acknowledge the contributions of the NASA and ESO teams through the use of their imagery to produce textures for both the planets and milky way.

Finally I would like to extend my appreciation to the individuals, classmates and acquaintances who assisted me in testing and providing feedback on my product.

1 Introduction

The aim of the work described in this report was to create a web based incremental game that seeks to provide a more realistic representation of the solar system than in present in other products in the genre.

It is an oft discussed issue that scientific theories and data suffer from a distortion of representation and a skew in perception in both popular media and the arts. This is common across all forms of media, from classic painting to modern forms of entertainment such as video games. Such misrepresentation hinders the public understanding of natural phenomena and is arguably more effective at (mis)educating than traditional classroom based learning. In discussing education related to the theory of evolution Brian Alters notes that, "Not only does the general public lack an understanding of evolution but so does a considerable proportion of college graduates." [3]. He goes on to explain that formal education has a very tough time combatting the informal, and often incorrect, learning

This is very evident in the discipline of astrophysics, where decades of science-fiction and science-fantasy artistic representation and storytelling have resulted in a very poor public understanding of the physical solar system, the technologies available for space exploration and the possibilities of interplanetary travel. So much so that lexicons of terms, and scales for the description of, the relative 'hardness' of such representations have sprung up in the scientific, engineering and media production communities [15]. Whilst fiction writers describe the use of 'warp drive' to navigate across interstellar distances, actual scientists are still working on the hugely challenging problem of reaching the nearest neighbouring planets in our own solar system.

This is not to belittle the influence and inspiration to be had from speculative fiction, the number of technologies predicted or inspired by writers like Isaac Asimov or Arthur C. Clarke are well documented. However there is a great opportunity in the media representation of astrophysical phenomena to provide for a valuable learning experience at the same time as being entertained.

To this end it was considered a worthy pursuit to create a compelling video game in a genre known as 'incremental gaming', also known as 'idle gaming' or 'clicker gaming'. This genre takes advantage of such psychological factors as accumulation desire and loss aversion placing the player in an environment that constitutes a simple Skinner box [5].

Most games of this type are delivered either as a mobile phone app or as a browser based 'web game', possibly the most famous of which is Cow Clicker, a product that parodied the repetitive gameplay of the Farmville games from publisher Zynga.

Such a product is simple enough for the core mechanics to have been achievable within the timeframe of this project whilst also providing an opportunity to address the issue of realistic representation.

2 Analysis and specification

The problem was initially analysed by investigating other products in the genre, specifically focusing on a web browser game entitled 'Spaceplan' by Jake Hollands. The features and mechanical gameplay elements of these were broken down into simple lists and categorised to find conventions that span the genre. This primarily involved a card-sort exercise, derived from the technique utilised in usability design.

Given the mechanics of the incremental games model some of these features were inherent, yet some were not, for example the necessity of including some form of text based feedback at key points in progress. This text could take the form of a narrative as in a product akin to Spaceplan [13] or as a goal/achievement flag as seen in Cookie Clicker [17].

As the project did not follow a standard software-development lifecycle as detailed in the project management section of this report, but instead followed a process that relates most closely to a hybrid of Agile methodologies (rapid iteration, not documentation-centric, yet eschewing test-driven-development) and the media production workflow common to games production (concept, pre-production, production, post and distribution phases), a simple non-exhaustive of requirements was created, including some non-functional requirements based on the heuristics provided in the GameFlow model [21], adapted from their approach to real-time-strategy gaming.

Very few statistics were available on the demographics of incremental game players as this information is not typically recorded as a part of play and they are usually relegated to a broader category including other 'casual games' when investigated in games research papers. With this apparent paucity of available data, very little could be done to target or analyse the requirements of any specific user group.

2.1 General specification

Solar System Explorer is a web browser based game of the incremental game genre. Its mechanics are based on the acquisition of resources represented by one or more numbers that increment continuously, even whilst the player of the game is idle.

There are mechanisms in the game to increase the rate at which these numbers increment by expending some of the previously gained resource. The game is themed around the exploration of our solar system, modelled on real measurements provided by astrophysics organisations. It uses scientific terminology and representations of data that are reasonably accurate to the real world and any mechanic or terminology that has a basis in only hypothesis or speculative fiction is clearly explained as such.

The game provides a semi-realistic visual representation of the planets and their orbits, specifically focusing on representations of scale and distance, with as little abstraction as possible.

The interface for the game is a 2-dimensional overlay on a 3-dimensional visualisation and the only tool for interaction required is of the pointer type (mouse, trackpad, touchscreen,

etc). Player interaction results in visual feedback relating to the rate of resource gain, contextual information about optional increases to the rate of resource gain and visual feedback via the 3-dimensional graphical representation.

The game starts with only the Earth visible and the overall goal of the game is to unlock access to view all of the planets in the solar system along with related scientific data. When all planets are unlocked, the player is rewarded with a special access code for a sequel game based on interstellar travel.

Fallback specification

Should there be inadequate time for delivery of the full specification above, the expected delivery will be a text based implementation of the game mechanics with a graphical representation of the solar system that serves as an aesthetic accompaniment to the core game.

2.2 Example requirements

Presented here is a short selection of functional and non-functional requirements, typical to this kind of software engineering project, that were elicited during the early phases of development of Solar System Explorer.

Functional requirements

Logic requirements

LOFR001 - The game must automatically increment, by a set amount, each available resource on a periodic basis set by the framerate.

LOFR002 - The game must automatically update, on a periodic basis set by the framerate, the x,y,z coordinate position of stellar bodies

LOFR003 - A button for each type of resource, when clicked, must add a fixed amount of said resource to the general pool available to the player

UI requirements

UIFR001 - The minimum necessary resolution for interacting with the game UI must not exceed a resolution of 1280 x 720 pixels

UIFR002 - Elements of the interface that are not interactive should not be selectable within the browser window

UIFR003 - Interactive elements of the game UI (clickable) must trigger a change in state of the mouse cursor on a hover condition

Non-functional requirements

Performance requirements

PFNFR001 - The total time taken to download the client code and assets over a domestic 8mbps+ broadband connection should not exceed 5 seconds

PFNFR002 - All interactive user interface options, when triggered, should provide some form of visual feedback for the user in under 200ms

PFNFR003 - Any animation in the user interface should maintain a display framerate of greater than 15 frames per second on a mid-range client machine

Compliance requirements

CONFR001 - All markup code should comply with W3C standards as per the HTML 5 recommendation

CONFR004 - All imagery should be right cleared for use in an educational/non-profit product

CONFR005 - Any licenced content should be appropriately acknowledged as per the licensing requirements

2.3 Example game specific requirements

In addition to the typical requirements expected in a software engineering project, game design demands the inclusion of gameplay specific non-functional requirements. These are often hard to define with complete specificity so are less easily measured. Typically in modern development they will be evaluated at the point of beta testing the product or as an ongoing concern post-release, evaluated through user feedback and altered by deploying patches (sometimes described as being 'in permanent beta'). The GameFlow model [22], whilst not specifically created for the genre of incremental gaming, provided some suitable guidance and was used as an example for designing gameplay requirements.

Gameplay requirements

GPNFR001 - The game should conform to genre conventions to allow the player to have an inherent understanding of the game

GPNFR002 - The gameplay should be easy to pick up for new players

GPNFR003 - The game should gradually introduce new upgrades and items so that the player learns a little at a time

GPNFR004 - The game should consistently reward the player for their effort, and motivate them to keep playing, through story developments

3 Design

3.1 Game design

In an article entitled 'Numbers Getting Bigger: What Are Incremental Games, and Why Are They Fun?' [14] it is identified that the fundamental aspects of design that define an incremental game are:

- the presence of at least one currency or number,
- which increases at a set rate, with no or minimal effort,
- and which can be expended to increase the rate or speed at which it increases. ...

Surveying the various online catalogues of 'incremental', 'clicker' and 'idle' games provides a rich tapestry of examples of this genre of game, each of which follows these general rules. These indeed constitute the necessary terms for defining a genre as a taxonomic ideal based on identifiable characteristics [7].

Given that the aim of this project was to create an incremental game, but with a more realistic representation of the realities of space exploration, it seemed that the challenge was to marry a very simple gaming mechanic with a very complex field of science. Compromises would have to be made, as this was not going to be a full space physics simulator in the style of a full commercial entertainment package like 2015's Kerbal Space Program [20], yet some degree of realism would have to be maintained.

Initial designs focused on the interaction of 4 different competing resources, with consideration for aspects that otherwise are under represented in science fiction gaming, such as political will and popular support. However, even paper prototypes of these ideas became riddled with issues e.g. complexity stretching beyond that found elsewhere in the genre, approaching that of '4X' games [12] and user interface design issues due to a lack of screen real estate when following the single screen convention of the genre. It was eventually decided to settle on a dual resource system representing different forms of energy income with some necessary level of abstraction. The concept of nuclear and photonic sources of energy would form the basis for this resource system, representing a duality not only of a scientific nature, but of an ethical one also. Players could choose to focus on 'dirty' nuclear energy for fast progress at the start of the game or 'clean' photonic energy for faster progress towards the end.

The functionality that would allow for the 'increase in rate or speed' would provide an opportunity to add context and detail by allowing access to a system of tiered upgrades (commonly referred to in gaming parlance as a 'tech tree') which would use actual scientific and technological terms.

By navigating up the tree of upgrades the player would gradually explore different planets in the solar system, revealing an attractive graphical display of each accompanied by on-screen information about the planet, its orbital data and some other facts relating to the

realities of exploring said planet. One example of this would be to limit the upgrades available on Jupiter to orbital items only, given the gaseous nature of and the high gravity conditions that exist close to the surface.

The overall aim of the game in its full form would be to reveal all planets in the solar system and unlock an access code for a sequel game based on the concept surrounding interstellar, rather than interplanetary, exploration and travel. The game would not terminate at this point however, leaving the user to explore the interface, continue to accrue resources and look over the information presented during the course of the experience.

Consideration was given to producing a 2-dimensional graphical representation of the planets as this was a common feature of other games in the genre, however it seemed possible to present a greater degree of realism by modelling the solar system in a 3-dimensional space. A 2-dimensional overlay or 'Heads Up Display' (HUD) would allow for interaction and the presentation of data to the player. To further enhance the sense of realism, where possible, image assets would be obtained from photographic libraries rather than relying on artistic visualisations.

3.2 Orbital models

Initial investigations into methods for creating models to represent the solar system led to quite a few simulations of gravitational attraction. These ranged from simple bouncing balls to multiple moving objects accelerating according to the laws of gravitation.

Several of these were (re)created as exploratory prototypes in the Processing sketchbook IDE, however it rapidly became apparent that these models had certain limitations and that to ensure continuous motion any bodies were subject to positional limits. It also became clear that to calculate the attraction of multiple bodies would not be as simple. This is referred to in physics as the 'three body problem' and is probably best understood by the analogy of calculating the movements of the Sun, Earth and Moon with each affecting the other. Texts dating back to 1887 by the mathematician Heinrich Bruns demonstrated that there were no general solutions to the three body problem, only special cases such as Lagrange points [16]. This explains the need to reduce gravitational models to two bodies or to artificially impose limits on the motion of the objects in any such model.

Further reading around the topic of modelling orbits [9] led back to Kepler's laws of motion, which fit a simulation of this type far better. By modelling orbits as ellipses, bearing in mind that planetary orbits in our solar system are close to circular, a more consistent visualisation of orbital motion could be produced using simpler trigonometric calculations. This would ensure that unlike the gravitational motion based on attraction and acceleration, there was no risk of errors over a longer period of time where a body may come to rest or similar erroneous representation.

3.3 Language selection

Serious consideration when creating Solar System Explorer was given to the choice of programming language that would enable both a full implementation of the envisioned product whilst maintaining performance. The sole developer's primary skill set was in the Java language, however given the delivery platform of a web browser this essentially mandated the use of the HyperText Markup Language (HTML) and Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) in combination with JavaScript for dynamic behaviour on the client. It was possible that Java would have been useful in developing server-side functionality, such as providing communication with a database layer but with the decision to run the code entirely on the client there was no requirement to do so.

One aspirational goal of the project was to conform to World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) standards as these represent the ideal model of structure in the delivery of web based content, plus compliance with standards in the manner in which web browsers parse the code should ensure a more consistent delivery across different vendor's products (e.g. Google Chrome, Mozilla Firefox, etc). No effort was to be extended to provide functionality to non-standards compliant browsers (e.g. Microsoft Edge) through the use of proprietary code as this would add a significant overhead to development in terms of the complexity of the finished product.

HTML

As the application would require the display of graphical components with dynamic updating, the only suitable version of HTML would be 5.0 with its support for the canvas element [23]. Previous versions could display graphical information with the use of embedded applications such as Adobe Flash or Java applets, however these are considered legacy technologies, support for them is gradually being deprecated [2] and their use is discouraged in general.

CSS

With an ambition to follow standards compliant coding, it followed that the markup should attempt to be as semantically pure as possible. Which is to say that the HTML source should not contain a large number of div elements included purely as hooks for layout and styling at a later point. Due to the original focus of HTML as a documenting system with little emphasis on graphical layout it became a de facto standard practice to use the markup code as a means of arranging layout, originally by utilising tables for non-tabular data and more recently through the use of multiple sets of nested div elements with CSS positioning applied. In many cases this is still done to maintain consistency across non-standards compliant browsers and to provide a degree of graceful degradation in older browsers. This is very evident in some of the more popular layout libraries such as Bootstrap [11].

Given that there was no aim in this project to provide for backwards compatibility for older browsers, to follow the ideal, standards driven, approach and minimise the use of HTML elements for layout it was decided to make as much use of CSS:grid functionality as possible. This necessitated the use of Cascading Style Sheets version 3. Grid layout allows for the definition of areas as rows and columns on a developer defined grid with applicability to parent and child HTML elements to allow for a more complex graphical result. This allays the need for tabular markup or the use of complex absolute positioning, container div elements and the float attribute that was common to previous versions of CSS.

JavaScript ES6

Early exploratory development was done using a Java based sketchbook language called 'Processing' [10]. This was initially chosen with the intention of cross-compiling from Java-like source code into a JavaScript product using the p5.js library. However there are distinct differences between the capabilities of the original Processing implementation and the p5.js product. As a result other cross compilers such as JSweet [18] were investigated, but eventually this approach was abandoned in favour of starting with a baseline JavaScript solution, only utilising libraries to reduce complexity when necessary.

The most recent release of JavaScript at the point of development is JavaScript ES6, based on the ECMA International standard for ECMAScript 6 (ES6). This has enjoyed support across most desktop browsers as of March 2017 [25]. Two major benefits of using ES6 are the java-like syntax for object instantiation using classes and the availability of variables with a block scope. Previous versions of JavaScript only used global variables whereas with the inclusion of 'let' in addition to the traditional 'var', the scope of a variable can be limited to a single code block, after which it is discarded. This also helps resolve some issues to do with JavaScript loops requiring arbitrary 'hacks' to avoid problems with enclosure.

WebGL and three.js

To provide for a complex graphical output in a web browser it was decided to use the Web Graphics Library (WebGL) capabilities of the HTML canvas element. This would allow the display of either 2-dimensional or 3-dimensional content that uses Open Graphics Library for Embedded Systems (OpenGL ES) instructions to make the most of any available hardware acceleration through the client's Graphics Processing Unit (GPU).

The syntax for creating graphical primitives (e.g. spheres, cubes) in WebGL is relatively complex for a small return, involving the separate declaration of a number of variables before creating the object itself. It is also quite difficult to optimise for performance with a large number of simple missteps in coding practice that could cause major issues with resultant framerates.

A number of different JavaScript libraries which provide interfaces to simplify the use

of WebGL are available. One of the more potent and extensively supported of these is the three.js library [4]. It was decided to use three.js to the extent that it provides predefined objects that take constructor arguments to create elements such as spheres, lights and cameras to build a 3-dimensional output in WebGL.

3.4 Architecture

The architecture chosen was a simple client-server model, with a one off delivery of all code to the client machine at the time of the initial HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP) GET request to the game's Uniform Resource Locator (URL). There was no need for extended communication between the client and server beyond this point as there was no need for access to server hosted information, all game logic and data is included in the Javascript source file. In fact any such requirement would introduce needless complexity and performance restrictions. This does make the game simple to 'hack' but, given that there are no negative risks involved in someone accessing the source code, this is of no concern.

JavaScript is typically included as a single file, rather than broken down into individually localised code blocks in separate files. This is to save on request overheads and reduce server load as each HTML page, once parsed, triggers a number of asset requests for any content referred to in the file. Most usually these requests are for one or more CSS files, any embedded images and any embedded scripts. Each individual request has to travel to the server and wait in a queue to be picked up by a worker thread in the server software, thus adding more wait time until the page is ready to be fully rendered on the client. Serving the JavaScript as a single file where possible minimises this delay.

The aim was to include a single file for each of the HTML, CSS and JavaScript items, with allowance made for any libraries and image assets. Bandwidth limitations were determined to be those imposed by 'high speed' internet connections i.e. ADSL2+, 4G or faster. In practice this would mean that transfer speeds would allow for file sizes in the MegaByte range, although all effort would be made to reduce assets sizes where possible by using lossy compression algorithms for the images and minifying any large body of javascript. 'Minifying' is the term given to reducing file sizes by, at the most basic level, removing comments and whitespace characters or going as far as replacing longer, meaningful variable names with short alphanumeric sequences.

The architecture does not quite follow an Model View Controller (MVC) approach but does strive to separate structure, presentation and logic elements into the HTML, CSS and JavaScript respectively.

4 Implementation and testing

4.1 Data types and structures

The main data types in use in Solar System Explorer are the primitives boolean, number and string and the non-primitive object.

Although these are common to most programming languages, it is worth noting that all numbers in ECMAScript are 64-bit doubles and any floating point values lack the precision of an integer format. This is important to understand as the numbers involved in calculations when it comes to the physics of the solar system can reach beyond the range at which the integer representation is exact. This was notable at times when testing functions in isolation where a trigonometric transformation would return a non-integer result when an integer was expected (e.g. a value of 1.0000000000001 as opposed to 1). Whilst the project requires a good representation of reality it does not require the level of exactitude that an engineering project might so minor deviations were overlooked in this case, but calculations sometimes had to be double checked as what seemed like an inconsequential rounding error was actually indicative of an error in the order of logic.

One benefit of the use of JavaScript ES6 for a developer with a background in Java programming is the class based instantiation of objects in place of the previously standard prototype model. This allowed for the creation of 5 classes to handle the game logic and allow for the 3D graphical model: Resource, UpgradeEvent, StellarObject, Anchor and Orbit.

The Resource and UpgradeEvent classes create the Objects for the incremental game logic.

A Resource object has properties for the amount of a particular resource that is available at any given time and the rate of change for that resource, along with simple methods for increasing the amount by a value passed as a parameter or increasing the rate of change. There are no negative changes to the values as this is a core feature inherent to incremental game design.

The UpgradeEvents are a set of objects which are continuously checked for pre-requisite conditions which, when met, trigger the visibility of upgrades and store the costs of said upgrades alongside any flavour text that needs to be displayed as and when the event becomes visible.

The Anchor, Orbit and StellarObject classes are used to generate the visual display of the solar system in the 3-dimensional canvas element.

An anchor is a common feature in any system of 3D representation, sometimes referred to as null objects. They simply represent a point in the space with an x,y and z coordinate and values for rotation about the axes. This is most often used as a simple reference by which to parent other objects or as a 'control point' in kinematic animation systems.

The Orbit class takes data common to the scientific description of an orbit about a point (e.g. radius, eccentricity, period, etc.), stores those properties in a manner most

useful for further calculation and display (e.g. converting input angles given in degrees to radians, automatically calculating the aphelion and perihelion values) and provides an update method which allows for animation of an object around the orbit by incrementing a theta value. This update method includes rotational transformation in 3D space and is discussed in further detail in the next subsection.

The StellarObject class has properties representing the metadata for any object in space. In the demonstration content at the point of delivery, this was used to generate the Sun and the planets, however it could also be used to provide data for any object in the scene. One iteration used this class to generate moons for the planets. However, given that the relative orbital rotation of the moons was so fast as to make them practically invisible, they were removed for the time being.

In future iterations these 3 classes could be used to generate objects that appear in response to user input (i.e. purchasing upgrades).

JavaScript ES6 is the first release to contain pre-defined collections in the form of maps and sets. These were investigated as potential data structures for the objects instantiated by the above classes, however it was discovered that the performance of these implementations is far slower in current web browsers than using baseline JavaScript when performing lookups [8]. For this reason and the fact that data will not be added by users from outside of the program itself it seemed most sensible to work with simple arrays of objects rather than add the complication of implementing abstract data types. The largest collection of data in the game is the array of upgrade events, but this requires constant iteration over the objects to make conditional checks against the pre-requisite event values, so the performance gains from using a data structure with a different method of retrieval would likely be marginal, even if it were faster at finding any single entry (e.g. utilising a hash table).

4.2 Example method - orbital rotation in 3D space

The model used in WebGL for mapping objects in 3-dimensional space is a cartesian coordinate system with an x, y and z value describing any single point. Most data that describes the movement of astronomical bodies is given as a series of angles and distances from a point of rotation as they are usually ellipses or parabola.

To translate from the information given in the physics to that required by the program involves the use of trigonometry. At a basic level, e.g calculating the x and z values for a point on a circle on the x,z plane, this is a relatively simple application of the formulae:

$$x = radius * \sin \theta$$

$$z = radius * \cos \theta$$

In an ellipse centred at its origin the radius is substituted for the semi-major and semi-minor axis values, in astrophysics terminology these are the equivalent of the aphelion and

perihelion, terms which describe the closest and furthest orbital distance from the parent body. (Note that this is only true for orbits where the centre and eccentricity of the ellipse are such that the aphelion and perihelion are perpendicular)

$$x = aphelion * \sin \theta$$

$$z = perihelion * \cos \theta$$

However, most orbits exist at an incline to the ecliptic, a term used for the plane which is coplanar with the orbit of the Earth around the Sun. They are also not all uniformly rotated in relation to the Sun. This necessitates the inclusion of the ability to rotate the orbital ellipse about each of the 3 axes.

Rotation of a point about an axis by a value (θ') given in radians requires application of the following formulae [1].

Rotation about the x axis:

$$z' = y * \sin \theta' + z * \cos \theta'$$

$$y' = y * \cos \theta' - z * \sin \theta'$$

Rotation about the y axis:

$$x' = z * \sin \theta' + x * \cos \theta'$$

$$z' = z * \cos \theta' - x * \sin \theta'$$

Rotation about the z axis:

$$y' = x * \sin \theta' + y * \cos \theta'$$

$$x' = x * \cos \theta' - y * \sin \theta'$$

These can be applied consecutively if there is a requirement to rotate about more than one axis.

When coded as a part of the `update()` method in the `Orbit` object care had to be taken not to overwrite previous x,y and z values until they were ready for assignment, so some temporary variables with a block scope were included for instantiation within each conditional check for rotation. Simple addition of the coordinates of any parent orbit allowed for rotation of chains of parent and child objects. If no parent was defined or there was no need for the parent to be an `Orbit` itself, a simple `Anchor` object could substitute.

```

class Orbit {

    [...]

    update() {
        // Rotate the value of theta by one measure
        this._theta += this._deltaTheta;
        // calculate the basic x,y,z cartesian coordinates
        // assuming we start by generating on a plane level with the
        // sun->earth axis
        let localX = (Math.sin(this._theta)*this._aphe);
        let localZ = (Math.cos(this._theta)*this._peri);
        let localY = 0;
        // Rotate the coordinates based on the rotation of the orbit
        // around its parent
        if(this._rotX!==0){
            let temp_z = (Math.sin(this._rotX)*localY) + (Math.cos
                (this._rotX)*localZ);
            let temp_y = (Math.cos(this._rotX)*localY) - (Math.sin
                (this._rotX)*localZ);
            localZ = temp_z;
            localY = temp_y;
        }
        if(this._rotY!==0){
            let temp_x = (Math.sin(this._rotY)*localZ) + (Math.cos
                (this._rotY)*localX);
            let temp_z = (Math.cos(this._rotY)*localZ) - (Math.sin
                (this._rotY)*localX);
            localX = temp_x;
            localZ = temp_z;
        }
        if(this._rotZ!==0){
            let temp_y = (Math.sin(this._rotZ)*localX) + (Math.cos
                (this._rotZ)*localY);
            let temp_x = (Math.cos(this._rotZ)*localX) - (Math.sin
                (this._rotZ)*localY);
            localY = temp_y;
            localX = temp_x;
        }
        this._x = localX + this._parent.getX();
        this._z = localZ + this._parent.getZ();
        this._y = localY + this._parent.getY();
    }
}

```

Listing 1: Implemented code for rotation in 3D space on an update() call

4.3 Unit, prototype and integration testing

There are limited options available when it comes to automated or scripted unit testing for JavaScript. With such a fast moving language, which often uses proprietary frameworks and libraries that virtually constitute domain specific languages (DSLs), it can be difficult for developers of test suites to keep up with the latest trends. The most tried and tested method appears to be manually unit testing i.e. isolating a block of code, be it a function, method or full script and create another short piece of JavaScript to test for the expected return by outputting this to the console [24].

For segments of the program where the output was not immediately verifiable as correct, e.g. when performing rotational translation as in the previous section, the method of testing was to save the function into a separate .js file, provide sample inputs and check for the correct outputs by sending them to the console in versions of both the Chrome and Firefox browsers on both machines that formed the test bed (One mid-range Core i5 laptop, one high-end Core i7 desktop).

Most of the functionality behind the game logic in this program is simple arithmetic and thus testing was usually not required. Methods that merely incremented a value or performed an addition operation were assumed to be working as expected.

The most challenging parts of the code were those that defined the behaviour of on screen elements and that captured user interaction, neither of which can be fully verified using unit testing scripts. Technically mouse clicks can be simulated, but the effort required to do so and adequately measure correct outputs would mean that the main body of the project would become about writing automated tests rather than producing a game. An automated test cannot, for instance, tell you whether a texture has rendered on the surface of a sphere, wrapping in the correct direction or judge the correct opacity of a translucent background.

Most of these elements were tested by building prototypes that modelled the correct behaviour prior to integrating them into the main body of the program. For example in the first 5 weeks of development, the WebGL code for the 3D section of the UI was developed in a separate file structure, away from the game logic.

Integration tests were done using the traditional games development technique of play-throughs as a part of the quality assurance phase [6]. This is one clear way in which the development lifecycle of a game differs significantly from that of office applications or data-processing applications. At the point of integration specific non-functional behaviours were verified, such as the ability to render the animation at a consistent framerate on a mid-range PC and the legibility of text imposed over the image of a starfield.

4.4 Acceptance testing

A body of 12 users volunteered to assist with acceptance testing. Given that the product has no clearly defined audience demographic, these were left to self select into participation by clicking a link that automatically appeared in the user-interface at the end of a play-through.

The users were asked to complete a very short multiple choice questionnaire which related specifically to the aspirational goals of the product. They were subsequently invited to leave free-form comments relating to both the product and to elaborate on their multiple choice answers. This was done via a Google Form which automatically added responses to a spreadsheet and generated graphical reports demonstrating the results.

5 User interface

Creating the user interface for Solar System Explorer was a 4 stage process. Firstly evaluating the conventions of the genre to ensure that the very first gameplay requirement (GPNFR001) was met, secondly considering general web, graphic design and usability conventions and thirdly considering the aesthetic of the interface given the subject material in question. These findings were then integrated into the final user interface delivered as part of the product.

5.1 Incremental game conventions

Whilst multiple games were reviewed from lengthy listings at websites such as Kongregate and Clicker Games, this report will simply summarise a few examples of the findings.

Wireframe layouts

Representation of figures

Typographic elements

Art style

5.2 General UI conventions

Pointer behaviour and signposting interactivity

Typographic elements

Use of colour

5.3 Aesthetic

5.4 Solar System Explorer UI design process

6 Project management

6.1 Software development lifecycle

At the very initial stages of the project, when assembling the proposal document, it was noted that game development did not typically follow one of the many formal software

development lifecycles (SDLCs) in any strict sense [19]. In fact a systematic review published in the Journal of Software Engineering Research and Development found that there was a very poor understanding of the process of game development outside of the 'production phase' (typically referred to as implementation in other SDLCs).

In the case of Solar System Explorer an iterative, incremental approach was taken, with small scale deliverables developed as individual tasks on a day-to-day basis in the style of Agile methodologies.

An overall aspirational goal was set at the initiation of the project as to what the final product may look like and how it may function, with a fallback position if this proved to be too time consuming or complex to produce in the time given. Certain aspects of the product were developed in short bursts, switching between themed streams e.g. Developing a method to dynamically add listeners and event handlers to interface objects for one half day, followed by a further half day creating graphical assets for the user interface.

6.2 Tools

A simple set of tasks were stored as a spreadsheet on Google Sheets which allowed for portability and easy annotation. Google Calendar was used to keep track of deadlines, meetings and advisory lectures as well as setting alerts and notifications for said events. Version control for the software itself was implemented using a local git repository which was pushed to the remote repository provided by the school. This was managed through the graphical user interface in the Adobe Dreamweaver integrated development environment (IDE) which was also used as the main editing environment to produce code for the project.

6.3 Meetings

Accommodation was made for a weekly meeting with the project supervisor to discuss progress and address any concerns. These would typically last in the region of between 1-2 hours. These were extremely useful as they allowed for a regular flow of information and provided a motivating factor to achieve a notable level of progress on a weekly basis.

6.4 Review

Given that the project resulted in delivery of a product that meets at least the fallback specification then the project management methods would seem to have been generally appropriate in this case. However there was definitely potential for further development of professional practice, for instance there was an over-reliance on documentation through the use of mandated logging which, whilst useful as a summary review of weekly progress, failed to provide a particularly useful tool for the weeks that followed. Completing a simple pro-forma on a daily basis would possibly have resulted in a better measure of progress. Also, a productivity tool that provided better tracking of dates or similar would have been

useful in place of the spreadsheet for tracking tasks, be that a free web based application such as Trello or a formal office application like Microsoft Project.

On a project of this size, with only a single developer and a relatively short deadline, it can be quite difficult to appropriately allocate time to administrative and management tasks without either becoming overly bureaucratic and negatively impacting on the production schedule, or ignoring project management tasks to focus solely on implementation. Putting aside a regular slot of 3 hours on a Friday afternoon meant that there was a strict yet simple rule to follow. This also meant that there was a mandatory 'cool down' period at the end of the week in an effort to maintain a standard production schedule, giving time to reflect and step back to gain a broader perspective on the direction of the project.

7 Results and evaluation

8 Discussion

9 Conclusion

References

- [1] ACM SIGGRAPH Education Committee. *3D Rotation*. HyperGraph. June 6, 2005. URL: https://www.siggraph.org/education/materials/HyperGraph/modeling/mod_tran/3drota.htm (visited on 08/15/2017).
- [2] Adobe Corporate Communications. *Flash & The Future of Interactive Content*. Latest company news & updates — Adobe Conversations Blog. July 25, 2017. URL: <https://blogs.adobe.com/conversations/2017/07/adobe-flash-update.html> (visited on 07/28/2017).
- [3] Brian J. Alters and Craig E. Nelson. “Perspective: Teaching Evolution in Higher Education”. In: *Evolution* 56.10 (Oct. 2002), pp. 1891–1901. ISSN: 1558-5646. DOI: 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00115.x. URL: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00115.x/abstract> (visited on 07/12/2017).
- [4] Ricardo Cabello. *three.js - Javascript 3D library*. URL: <https://threejs.org/> (visited on 08/10/2017).
- [5] Neil R Carlson et al. *Psychology: the Science of Behaviour, Fourth Canadian Edition with MyPsychLab*. OCLC: 820144778. Pearson Education Canada, 2009. ISBN: 978-0-205-70286-2.
- [6] Heather Maxwell Chandler. *The Game Production Handbook*. Google-Books-ID: laiOw5WkdEcC. Jones & Bartlett Publishers, 2009. 510 pp. ISBN: 978-1-934015-40-7.
- [7] Rachel Ivy Clarke, Jin Ha Lee, and Neils Clark. “Why Video Game Genres Fail: A Classificatory Analysis”. In: *Games and Culture* 12.5 (July 6, 2017), pp. 445–465. ISSN: 1555-4120, 1555-4139. DOI: 10.1177/1555412015591900. URL: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1555412015591900> (visited on 09/10/2017).
- [8] Kevin Decker. *Six Speed*. Performance of ES6 features relative to the ES5 baseline operations per second. 2017. URL: <http://incaseofstairs.com/six-speed/> (visited on 08/05/2017).
- [9] R. Dvorak, F. Freistetter, and J. Kurths, eds. *Chaos and stability in planetary systems*. Lecture notes in physics 683. Berlin ; New York: Springer, 2005. 279 pp. ISBN: 978-3-540-28208-2.

- [10] Ben Fry and Casey Reas. *Processing.org*. Processing. URL: <https://processing.org/> (visited on 07/01/2017).
- [11] *Get started with Bootstrap*. Bootstrap. In collab. with Mark Otto and Jacob Thornton. URL: <https://getbootstrap.com/docs/4.0/getting-started/introduction/> (visited on 08/14/2017).
- [12] E. A. A. Gunn, B. G. W. Craenen, and E. Hart. “A taxonomy of video games and AI”. In: *AI and Games Symposium*. 2009. URL: <http://www.academia.edu/download/30890403/10.1.1.160.5134.pdf#page=5>.
- [13] Jake Hollands. *SPACEPLAN*. Version Prototype. 2017. URL: <http://jhollands.co.uk/spaceplan/> (visited on 07/30/2017).
- [14] Alexander King. *Numbers Getting Bigger: What Are Incremental Games, and Why Are They Fun?* Game Development Envato Tuts+. May 22, 2015. URL: <https://gamedevelopment.tutsplus.com/articles/numbers-getting-bigger-what-are-incremental-games-and-why-are-they-fun--cms-23925> (visited on 08/11/2017).
- [15] *Mohs Scale of Science Fiction Hardness - TV Tropes*. TV Tropes. URL: <http://tvtropes.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php/Main/MohsScaleOfScienceFictionHardness> (visited on 08/01/2017).
- [16] J O’Connor and E Robertson. *Bruns biography*. URL: <http://www-history.mcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Bruns.html> (visited on 07/30/2017).
- [17] Ortiel. *Cookie Clicker*. Version 2.0042. 2017. URL: <http://ortiel.dashnet.org/cookieclicker/> (visited on 08/01/2017).
- [18] Renaud Pawlak. *JSweet: a transpiler to write JavaScript programs in Java*. JSweet. URL: <http://www.jsweet.org/> (visited on 07/22/2017).
- [19] R. Ramadan and Y. Widayani. “Game development life cycle guidelines”. In: *2013 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science and Information Systems (ICACISIS)*. Sept. 2013, pp. 95–100. DOI: 10.1109/ICACISIS.2013.6761558.
- [20] Squad. *Kerbal Space Program*. Version 1.0. July 27, 2015. URL: <https://kerbalspaceprogram.com/en/> (visited on 08/10/2017).
- [21] Penelope Sweetser et al. “GameFlow Heuristics for Designing and Evaluating Real-time Strategy Games”. In: *Proceedings of The 8th Australasian Conference on Interactive Entertainment: Playing the System*. IE ’12. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2012, 1:1–1:10. ISBN: 978-1-4503-1410-7. DOI: 10.1145/2336727.2336728. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2336727.2336728> (visited on 07/12/2017).
- [22] Penelope Sweetser et al. “GameFlow in Different Game Genres and Platforms”. In: *Comput. Entertain.* 15.3 (Apr. 2017), 1:1–1:24. ISSN: 1544-3574. DOI: 10.1145/3034780. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3034780> (visited on 07/12/2017).

- [23] The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C). *HTML5*. HTML5 - A vocabulary and associated APIs for HTML and XHTML. Oct. 28, 2014. URL: <https://www.w3.org/TR/html5/> (visited on 07/13/2017).
- [24] Jorn Zaefferer. *Introduction To JavaScript Unit*. June 27, 2012. URL: <https://www.smashingmagazine.com/2012/06/introduction-to-javascript-unit-testing/> (visited on 08/14/2017).
- [25] Juriy Zaytsev. *ECMAScript 6 compatibility table*. 2017. URL: <https://kangax.github.io/compat-table/es6/> (visited on 08/16/2017).

Appendices

1 Image asset licences

Below are the permissions given for use of the images that form the textures of the planets (NASA) and the wrapping image of the solar system (ESO).

1.1 NASA Media Usage Guidelines - Still Images and Related Computer Files for Non-Commercial Use

NASA content - images, audio, video, and computer files used in the rendition of 3-dimensional models, such as texture maps and polygon data in any format - generally are not copyrighted. You may use this material for educational or informational purposes, including photo collections, textbooks, public exhibits, computer graphical simulations and Internet Web pages. This general permission extends to personal Web pages.

News outlets, schools, and text-book authors may use NASA content without needing explicit permission. NASA content used in a factual manner that does not imply endorsement may be used without needing explicit permission. NASA should be acknowledged as the source of the material. NASA occasionally uses copyrighted material by permission on its website. Those images will be marked copyright with the name of the copyright holder. NASA's use does not convey any rights to others to use the same material. Those wishing to use copyrighted material must contact the copyright holder directly.

1.2 ESO - Usage of images, videos and web texts

Unless specifically noted, the images and videos distributed from the public ESO web site, along with the texts of press releases, announcements, pictures of the week and captions, are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, and may on a non-exclusive basis be reproduced without fee provided the credit is clear and visible.

2 Framework licence - three.js

The MIT License

Copyright 2010-2017 three.js authors

Permission is hereby granted, free of charge, to any person obtaining a copy of this software and associated documentation files (the "Software"), to deal in the Software without restriction, including without limitation the rights to use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, sublicense, and/or sell copies of the Software, and to permit persons to whom the Software is furnished to do so, subject to the following conditions:

The above copyright notice and this permission notice shall be included in all copies or substantial portions of the Software.

THE SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND NONINFRINGEMENT. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE AUTHORS OR COPYRIGHT HOLDERS BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, TORT OR OTHERWISE, ARISING FROM, OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE SOFTWARE OR THE USE OR OTHER DEALINGS IN THE SOFTWARE.

Declaration

The material contained within this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree at the University of Birmingham or any other university. The research reported within this thesis has been conducted by the author unless indicated otherwise.

Signed