§1 HORN-COUNT INTRO 1

November 24, 2020 at 13:23

1. Intro. Counting closure operators on six elements that are nonisomorphic under permutations. (My program for n = 5 used a too-slow method; here I speed up by a factor of n!, I hope.)

I wrote this in a terrific hurry—sorry. The strategy is outlined in the next section below.

```
#define n 5
#define nn (1 \ll n)
#define nfactorial 120
#define final\_level (nn-1)
                                  /* the first element that is never in a solution */
#define verbose (n < 5)
                         /* get around bug in clang */
#define log LOG
#define logl LOGL
                          /* ditto */
#include <stdio.h>
  (Preprocessor definitions)
  char f[nn];
  unsigned char perm[nfactorial][nn], iperm[nfactorial][nn];
                                                                       /* perms and inverses */
                            /* links in the lists of permutations */
  int link[nfactorial];
  int wait[nn];
                     /* heads of those lists */
                    /* permutations discarded at each level */
  int disc[nn];
                              /* where we began shuffling perms at each level */
  int log\theta[nn], logl[nn];
  int log[nfactorial * nn * 2];
                  /* current position in log table */
  int logptr;
                      /* is this entry forced to be zero? */
  int forced[nn];
  int forcings[nfactorial];
                                /* how many cases has this perm forced? */
  unsigned int sols, tsols;
  ⟨Subroutines 16⟩
  main()
  {
    register int d, j, k, l, m, p, q, t, auts;
    \langle Make the permutation tables 3\rangle;
     \langle \text{ Put all permutations into } wait[0] | 4 \rangle;
     \langle Find all the solutions 2\rangle;
    printf("Altogether_{\sqcup}\%d_{\sqcup}solutions_{\sqcup}(reduced_{\sqcup}from_{\sqcup}\%d).\n", sols + 1, tsols + 1);
```

2 INTRO HORN-COUNT §2

```
\langle Find all the solutions \rangle \equiv
  l = logptr = 0;
  auts = nfactorial;
newlevel: if (l \equiv final\_level) goto backtrack;
   logl[l] = logptr;
  if (verbose) {
      printf("Entering_{\sqcup}level_{\sqcup}\%x_{\sqcup}(\%d_{\sqcup}auts_{\sqcup}so_{\sqcup}far)\n", l, auts);
  if (forced[l]) {
      if (verbose) printf(" \_forced \_rejection \_of \_%x \n", l);
      goto reject;
   \langle \text{Reject } l \text{ if it violates closure 5} \rangle;
   \langle Go through wait[l], trying to move it to wait[0]; but reject l if there's a conflict 9\rangle;
   f[l] = 1;
  if (verbose) printf("\_accepting\_%x\n", l);
   \langle \text{Update } wait[0] \text{ and count the automorphisms } 10 \rangle \langle \text{Record a solution } 6 \rangle;
  l++;
  goto newlevel;
undo: \langle Downdate \ wait[0] \ 13 \rangle;
   \langle \text{Reconstruct } wait[l] | 11 \rangle;
reject: f[l] = 0;
   (Check for new forced moves 14);
  l++;
  goto newlevel;
backtrack: while (l > 0) {
     l--:
     if (f[l] \equiv 1) {
        if (verbose) printf("\( \lnow\) rejecting\( \lnow\);
        goto undo;
      else (Uncheck for new forced moves 15);
  for (p = 1; p < nfactorial; p++)
       \textbf{if} \ (forcings[p]) \ \ printf(\texttt{"error:} \sqcup \texttt{forcings[\%d]} \sqcup \texttt{not} \sqcup \texttt{restored} \sqcup \texttt{to} \sqcup \texttt{zero!} \setminus \texttt{n"}, p); \\
  for (k = 1; k < nn; k++)
      if (forced[k]) printf("error: \_forced[\%x]\_not\_restored\_to\_zero! \n", k);
This code is used in section 1.
```

§3 HORN-COUNT INTRO 3

```
Algorithm 7.2.1.2T.
\langle Make the permutation tables \rangle \equiv
  d = nfactorial \gg 1, perm[d][0] = 1;
  for (m = 2; m < n;)
     m++, d = d/m;
     for (k = 0; k < nfactorial;)
         {\bf for} \ (k \mathrel{+}= d, j = m-1; \ j > 0; \ k \mathrel{+}= d, j-\!\!\!--) \ perm[k][0] = j; 
        perm[k][0]++, k+=d;
        for (j++; j < m; k+=d, j++) perm[k][0] = j;
  for (j = 0; j < nn; j++) perm[0][j] = j;
   for (k = 1; k < nfactorial; k \leftrightarrow) {
     m = 1 \ll (perm[k][0] - 1);
     for (j = 0; j < nn; j ++) {
        d = perm[k-1][j];
        d \oplus = d \gg 1;
        d \&= m;
        d \mid = d \ll 1;
        perm[k][j] = perm[k-1][j] \oplus d;
  for (p = 0; p < nfactorial; p \leftrightarrow)
     for (k = 0; k < nn; k++) iperm[p][perm[p][k]] = k;
This code is used in section 1.
4. \langle \text{Put all permutations into } wait[0] \ 4 \rangle \equiv
  for (p = 1; p < nfactorial; p++) link[p] = wait[0], wait[0] = p;
This code is used in section 1.
      \langle \text{Reject } l \text{ if it violates closure } 5 \rangle \equiv
  for (j = 0; j < l; j ++)
     if (f[j] \land \neg (f[j \& l])) {
        if (verbose) printf("\_rejecting\_%x\_for\_closure\n", l);
        goto reject;
This code is used in section 2.
      \langle \text{Record a solution } 6 \rangle \equiv
  {
     sols ++;
     tsols += nfactorial/auts;
     if (n < 6) {
        printf("%d:", sols);
        for (j = 0; j < nn; j++)
          if (f[j]) printf("_{\sqcup}%x", j);
        printf("_{\sqcup}(\d_{\sqcup}aut\slashs)\n", auts, auts > 1?"s":"");
This code is used in section 2.
```

4 THE INTERESTING PART HORN-COUNT §7

7. The interesting part. When writing this program, I didn't have to work nearly as hard as I did in GROPESX (a program for algebraic structures that I wrote a few months ago). But still there are a few nontrivial points of interest as the permutations get shuffled from list to list.

In fact, I tried to get away with a more substantial simplification. It failed miserably.

In actual fact, I was tearing my hair out for awhile, because I couldn't believe that this would be so complicated. Maybe some day I'll learn the right way to tackle this problem.

8. The basic idea is simple: Each closure operation corresponds to a sequence  $(f[0], \ldots, f[nn-2])$  with the property that f[j] = f[k] = 1 implies f[j & k] = 1. This program produces only canonical solutions, namely solutions with the property that  $(f[0], \ldots, f[nn-1])$  is lexicographically greater than or equal to  $(f[p_0], \ldots, f[p_{nn-1}])$  for all perms p. (These perms are permutations of the bits; for example, if  $p_1 = 2$  and  $p_2 = 4$  then  $p_3 = 6$ .)

At level l, I've set the values of  $(f[0], \ldots, f[l-1])$ . All perms live in various lists: If  $(f[0], \ldots, f[l-1])$  is known to be lexicographically greater than  $(f[p_0], \ldots, f[p_{l-1}])$ , the perm p is in a discard list; otherwise p is in a waiting list. List wait[0] has all the current automorphisms: These perms permute the current 1s  $\{j \mid 0 \leq j < l \text{ and } f[j] = 1\}$ . Furthermore, for all subscripts k < l such that f[k] = 0 and  $p_k > l$ , the future values  $p_k$  are marked so as to force  $f[p_k] = 0$ . Finally, the waiting lists wait[k] for  $l \leq k < nn$  contain elements j < l such that f[j] = 1 and  $p_j = k$  and  $f[i] = f[p_i]$  for  $0 \leq i < j$ . When level k comes along, such perms will effectively be discarded if f[k] is set to 0; but if f[k] is set to 1, they will move to other lists.

The forcings were what caused me grief. I didn't want to have an elaborate data structure that showed exactly who was forcing whom, because that was very difficult to maintain under backtracking. The solution I found, shown below, is not terrifically easy, but it certainly is better than anything else I could think of. Basically forcings[p] counts the number of places where p has forced a future value k; and forced[k] counts the number of perms that have forced that value. These counts can, fortunately, be maintained by doing local operations, as we see for how many levels each perm remains relevant.

§9 HORN-COUNT THE INTERESTING PART

**9.** Okay, now let me write the most critical part of the program. At this point in the computation we are planning to set f[l] = 1. But we may have to abandon that plan, if "immediate rejection" would result. (Immediate rejection occurs when setting f[l] = 1 unhides a lexicographically superior solution.)

The log table records what we do here, so that it can be undone later. Entries on the log are of two kinds: A negative entry stands for a permutation that was "discarded" because it is no longer active. A nonnegative entry k stands for a permutation that moved to wait[k]. In either case, entry log[t] identifies the destination of a permutation that came from wait[0] if  $t \ge log 0[l]$ , otherwise from wait[l].

```
\langle Go through wait[l], trying to move it to wait[0]; but reject l if there's a conflict 9\rangle \equiv
  for (p = wait[l], wait[l] = 0; p; p = q) {
     q = link[p];
     for (k = iperm[p][l] + 1; k < l; k++) {
        \textbf{if} \ (f[k] \equiv 0 \land iperm[p][k] < iperm[p][l]) \ forcings[p] --; \\
       j = perm[p][k];
       if (j < l) {
          if (f[j] \equiv f[k]) continue;
          if (f[k] \equiv 0) \langle \text{Reject } l \text{ immediately } 12 \rangle;
          log[logptr++] = -j, link[p] = disc[l], disc[l] = p;
                                                                   /* discard p */
          goto nextp;
        } else if (f[k] \equiv 1) {
          log[logptr++] = j, link[p] = wait[j], wait[j] = p;
          for (j = k - 1; j > iperm[p][l]; j --)
             if (f[j] \equiv 0 \land perm[p][j] > k \land perm[p][j] < l) forcings[p]++;
          goto nextp;
        } else {
          if (verbose) printf(" f [%x] = 1 will force f [%x] = 0 n", j);
          forcings[p] ++, forced[j] ++;
     log[logptr++] = 0, link[p] = wait[0], wait[0] = p;
  nextp: continue;
This code is used in section 2.
```

10. After we've made it through wait[l], we are able to set f[l] = 1. The items of wait[0] might now be automorphisms, or they might need to be moved to other waiting lists.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle \, \text{Update } wait[0] \,\, \text{and count the automorphisms } \, \mathbf{10} \, \rangle \equiv \\ log0\,[l] = logptr; \\ \mathbf{for} \,\, (auts = 1, p = wait[0], wait[0] = 0; \,\, p; \,\, p = q) \,\, \{ \\ q = link\,[p]; \\ j = perm\,[p][l]; \\ \mathbf{if} \,\, (j \equiv l) \,\, \mathbf{goto} \,\, retain\_it; \\ \mathbf{else} \,\, \mathbf{if} \,\, (j > l) \,\, log\,[logptr++] = j, link\,[p] = wait\,[j], wait\,[j] = p; \\ \mathbf{else} \,\, \mathbf{if} \,\, (f[j] \equiv 0) \,\, log\,[logptr++] = -1, link\,[p] = disc\,[l], disc\,[l] = p; \\ \mathbf{else} \,\, \mathbf{goto} \,\, retain\_it; \\ \mathbf{continue}; \\ retain\_it: \,\, log\,[logptr++] = 0, link\,[p] = wait\,[0], wait\,[0] = p; \\ auts\,++; \\ \} \end{array}
```

This code is used in section 2.

HORN-COUNT §11

11. Here I've made a point to "undo" in precisely the reverse order of what I "did," so that lists are perfectly restored to their former condition.

The label *kludge* is one of my trademarks, I guess: It's a place in the middle of nested loops, which just happens to be the place we want to jump when doing an immediate rejection.

```
\langle \operatorname{Reconstruct} wait[l] | 11 \rangle \equiv
  t=0;
  while (logptr > logl[l]) {
     j = log[--logptr];
     if (j < 0) {
        p = disc[l], disc[l] = link[p], k = iperm[p][-j];
        if (f[k] \equiv 0 \land iperm[p][k] < iperm[p][l]) forcings[p]++;
     } else if (j > 0) {
        p = wait[j], wait[j] = link[p], k = iperm[p][j];
        for (j = k - 1; j > iperm[p][l]; j --)
          if (f[j] \equiv 0 \land perm[p][j] > k \land perm[p][j] < l) forcings[p]—;
     } else p = wait[0], wait[0] = link[p], k = l;
     link[p] = t, t = p, k--;
     while (k > iperm[p][l]) {
        j = perm[p][k];
        if (j > l \land f[k] \equiv 0) forcings [p] ---, forced [j] ---;
     kludge: if (f[k] \equiv 0 \land iperm[p][k] < iperm[p][l]) forcings[p] ++;
  }
                     /* I think it's "all together now" */
  wait[l] = t;
This code is used in section 2.
        \langle \text{Reject } l \text{ immediately } 12 \rangle \equiv
     t = p;
     goto kludge;
This code is used in section 9.
        \langle \text{ Downdate } wait[0] | 13 \rangle \equiv
  t = 0;
  while (logptr > log\theta[l]) {
     j = log[--logptr];
     if (j < 0) p = disc[l], disc[l] = link[p];
     else p = wait[j], wait[j] = link[p];
     link[p] = t, t = p;
  wait[0] = t;
This code is used in section 2.
```

6

THE INTERESTING PART

 $\S14$  Horn-count the interesting part  $\lq$ 

```
\langle Check for new forced moves 14 \rangle \equiv
14.
  for (auts = 1, p = wait[0]; p; p = link[p]) {
     j = perm[p][l];
     if (j > l) {
       if (verbose) printf("\_forcing\_f[\%x]=0\n", j);
       forcings[p]++, forced[j]++;
     if (iperm[p][l] < l) forcings[p]—;
     if (verbose) auts ++;
This code is used in section 2.
15. \langle \text{Uncheck for new forced moves } 15 \rangle \equiv
  for (p = wait[0]; p; p = link[p]) {
     j = perm[p][l];
     if (j > l) {
       forcings[p]--, forced[j]--;
      \quad \text{if } (iperm[p][l] < l) \ forcings[p] ++; \\
This code is used in section 2.
```

16. Finally, here's a routine that documents the main invariant relations that I expect to be true when this program enters level l. (The *sanity* routine sure did prove to be useful when I was debugging the twisted logic above.)

```
\langle \text{Subroutines } 16 \rangle \equiv
  int timestamp;
  int stamp[nfactorial];
  void sanity(int l)
     register c, j, jj, k, p;
     if (l \equiv 0) return;
     timestamp ++;
     \langle Sanity check the wait lists 17 \rangle;
      (Sanity check the discard lists 18);
      \langle \text{Sanity check } wait[0] \ 19 \rangle;
     for (p = 1; p < nfactorial; p \leftrightarrow)
        if (stamp[p] \neq timestamp) {
          printf("error:\_perm\_%d\_has\_disappeared!\n", p);
          goto error_exit;
     return;
  error_exit: printf("(Detected_at_level_\%x)\n",l); return;
This code is used in section 1.
```

THE INTERESTING PART HORN-COUNT §17

```
\langle \text{Sanity check the wait lists } 17 \rangle \equiv
for (k = l; k < nn; k++)
 for (p = wait[k]; p; p = link[p]) {
    stamp[p] = timestamp;
    jj = iperm[p][k];
    if (f[jj] \neq 1) {
      printf("error: wait[%x] \cup contains \cup noncritical \cup perm \cup %d! \n", k, p);
      goto error_exit;
    for (j = c = 0; ; j ++) {
      if (perm[p][j] > jj) {
        if (f[j] \equiv 0) c++;
        else if (perm[p][j] \equiv k) break;
      } else if (f[j] \neq f[perm[p][j]]) {
        perm[p][j]);
        goto error_exit;
    if (c \neq forcings[p]) {
      printf("error: \_forcings[\%d] \_is \_\%d, \_not \_\%d, \_in \_wait[\%x]! \n", p, forcings[p], c, k);
      goto error_exit;
```

This code is used in section 16.

 $\S18$  Horn-count the interesting part 9

18. The wait lists wait[k] for  $1 \le k < l$  are essentially discards too, because we've set f[k] = 0. I don't check the *forcings* count in disc[k], because the perms in such lists don't satisfy the same invariants as other perms.

```
\langle Sanity check the discard lists | 18 \rangle \equiv
  for (k = 1; k < l; k++) {
     for (p = disc[k]; p; p = link[p]) {
       stamp[p] = timestamp;
       for (jj = 0; jj < l; jj ++)
         if (f[jj] \neq f[perm[p][jj]]) break;
       if (jj \equiv l) {
         printf("error:\_disc[%x]\_contains\_the\_nondiscardable\_perm\_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       if (f[jj] \equiv 0) {
         printf("error:\_disc[%x]\_contains\_the\_counterexample\_perm\_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       }
     for (p = wait[k]; p; p = link[p]) {
       stamp[p] = timestamp;
       for (jj = 0; jj < l; jj ++)
         if (f[jj] \neq f[perm[p][jj]]) break;
       if (jj \equiv l) {
         printf("error: \_wait[%x]\_contains\_the\_nondiscardable\_perm\_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       if (f[jj] \equiv 0) {
         printf("error:\_wait[%x]\_contains\_the\_counterexample\_perm\_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       for (j = c = 0; j < jj; j ++)
         \mathbf{if}^{^{\prime}}(perm[p][j]>jj\wedge f[j]\equiv 0)\ c++;
       if (c \neq forcings[p]) {
         printf("error: \_forcings[%d] \_is \_%d, \_not \_%d, \_in \_wait[%x]! \n", p, forcings[p], c, k);
         goto error_exit;
  }
```

This code is used in section 16.

§19

10

```
19. \langle \text{Sanity check } wait[0] \ 19 \rangle \equiv

for (p = wait[0]; \ p; \ p = link[p]) \ \{

stamp[p] = timestamp;

for (c = j = 0; \ j < l; \ j++) \ \{

if (f[j] \neq f[perm[p][j]]) \ \{

printf("error: wait[0] = contains = the = counterexample = perm = %d! \ n", k, p);

goto \ error = exit;

printf("error: wait[0] = contains = the = discardable = perm = %d! \ n", k, p);

if (perm[p][j] \geq l) \ c++;

printf("error: forcings[%d] = substantial = substantia
```

This code is used in section 16.

§20 HORN-COUNT INDEX 11

## 20. Index.

```
auts: \underline{1}, 2, 6, 10, 14.
backtrack: 2.
c: <u>16</u>.
d: \underline{1}.
disc: \ \underline{1}, \ 9, \ 10, \ 11, \ 13, \ 18.
error_exit: <u>16</u>, 17, 18, 19.
f: \underline{1}.
final\_level: \underline{1}, \underline{2}.
forced: 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15.
forcings: <u>1</u>, 2, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19.
iperm: \ \underline{1}, \ 3, \ 9, \ 11, \ 14, \ 15, \ 17.
j: \ \ \underline{1}, \ \underline{16}.
jj: 16, 17, 18.
k: \ \underline{1}, \ \underline{16}.
kludge: 11, 12.
l: \ \ \underline{1}, \ \underline{16}.
\mathit{link}\colon \ \ \underline{1},\ 4,\ 9,\ 10,\ 11,\ 13,\ 14,\ 15,\ 17,\ 18,\ 19.
LOG: 1.
log: \ \underline{1}, \ 9, \ 10, \ 11, \ 13.
log l: \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{11}.
LOGL: 1.
logptr: 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 13.
log\theta: 1, 9, 10, 13.
m: \underline{1}.
main: \underline{1}.
n: \underline{1}.
newlevel: \underline{2}.
nextp: \underline{9}.
nfactorial: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 16.
nn: \ \underline{1}, \ 2, \ 3, \ 6, \ 8, \ 17.
p: \ \ \underline{1}, \ \underline{16}.
perm: 1, 3, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19.
printf: 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19.
q: \underline{1}.
reject: \underline{2}, 5.
retain_it: \underline{10}.
sanity: \underline{16}.
sols: \underline{1}, \underline{6}.
stamp: \underline{16}, 17, 18, 19.
t: \underline{1}.
timestamp: 16, 17, 18, 19.
tsols: \underline{1}, \underline{6}.
undo: \underline{2}.
verbose: 1, 2, 5, 9, 14.
wait: \ \underline{1}, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19.
```

12 NAMES OF THE SECTIONS HORN-COUNT

```
\langle Check for new forced moves 14 \rangle Used in section 2.
\langle \text{ Downdate } wait[0] | 13 \rangle Used in section 2.
\langle Find all the solutions 2\rangle Used in section 1.
 Go through wait[l], trying to move it to wait[0]; but reject l if there's a conflict 9 Used in section 2.
\langle Make the permutation tables 3 \rangle Used in section 1.
Put all permutations into wait[0] | 4 \rangle Used in section 1.
 Reconstruct wait[l] 11 \rangle Used in section 2.
 Record a solution 6 Used in section 2.
(Reject l if it violates closure 5) Used in section 2.
\langle \text{Reject } l \text{ immediately } 12 \rangle Used in section 9.
(Sanity check the discard lists 18) Used in section 16.
(Sanity check the wait lists 17) Used in section 16.
Sanity check wait[0] 19 Used in section 16.
(Subroutines 16) Used in section 1.
 Uncheck for new forced moves 15 \ Used in section 2.
\langle \text{Update } wait[0] \text{ and count the automorphisms } 10 \rangle Used in section 2.
```

## HORN-COUNT

	Section	on l	$_{ m Page}$
Intro		1	1
The interesting part		7	4
Index	2	20	11