

SHUBHAM GUPTA

180749

Theo Ass 1

6) pseudocode:
q. 6 (A, B) {
 $l \leftarrow 0$
 $r \leftarrow n - 1$
 $flag \leftarrow 0$
 while ($r > l + 1$) {
 $mid \leftarrow \left(\frac{r+l}{2} \right)$
 if ($A[mid] == B[n - mid - 1]$) {
 $flag \leftarrow 1$
 return mid
 } else if ($A[mid] > B[n - mid - 1]$) {
 $r \leftarrow mid - 1$
 } else if ($A[mid] < B[n - mid - 1]$) {
 $l \leftarrow mid$
 }
 }
 if ($flag == 0$) {
 return -1
 }
}

algorithm: — l is left pointer (0) & r is right pointer ($n-1$), now we compare mid value of A & B, we have 3 cases:
(I): $A[mid] = B[mid]$, we get what we need, $flag = 1$ and exit the code
(II): $A[mid] > B[mid]$, set l to (mid), and run the loop again
(III): $A[mid] < B[mid]$, set r to ($mid - 1$), and run the loop again

this complexity : $O(\log m)$

proof of correctness: let's suppose we haven't
find the solⁿ & we are running i^{th} iteration.

after $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ iteration common number will be to the right
of ~~previous~~ previous x in A and to the left of
previous x in B

now we have 3 cases: -

(I: $A[\text{mid}] == A[m-1-\text{mid}]$
 $\text{mid} = k$ a solution is found.

(II: $A[\text{mid}] > B[m-\text{mid}-1]$

here we do not need to ~~to~~ $A[\text{mid}] \dots A[n]$ ~~is~~
~~not~~ since the values of $A[\text{mid}]$ \uparrow as with \uparrow in
 mid & $B[m-\text{mid}-1] \downarrow$, so \downarrow value of mid
we discard right half and get $A[\text{mid}] < A[\text{mid}]$
and $B[m-1-\text{mid}_{\text{new}}] > B[m-\text{mid}-1]$

(III: $A[\text{mid}] < B[m-\text{mid}-1]$

here we do not need ~~anything~~ anything to the left
of current mid . thus $B[m-\text{mid}-1]$ will be greater
we discard the left half of A and make our
new mid on the right side of A ,

Here, we can say that with these optimal conditions
correctness can be proved.