

SHUBHAM GUPTA

180749

Ther Axs-1

7) pseudocode:

sort(A)

sort(B)

min dist  $\leftarrow \infty$

for (i, m-1) {

start  $\leftarrow 0$

end  $\leftarrow m-1$

mid  $\leftarrow \left( \frac{\text{start} + \text{end}}{2} \right)$

if ( dist(A[mid], B[i]) < min dist ) {

min dist  $\leftarrow$  dist(A[mid], B[i])

ans  $\leftarrow$  (A[mid], B[i])

} else if ( dist(A[mid], B[i]) > dist(A[mid-1], B[i]) )

start = mid - 1

} else {

end = mid + 1

}

if ( min dist > dist(A[mid], B[i]) ) {

min dist  $\leftarrow$  dist(A[mid], B[i])

ans  $\leftarrow$  (A[mid], B[i])

}

}

return ans.

dist ( ~~A[i]~~ A[i], B[i] ) {

return (x<sub>A</sub>, y<sub>A</sub>) \* (x<sub>B</sub>, y<sub>B</sub>)

}

algorithm: we will sort A & B, and then for every point in B we will find particular point in A such that distance by A[i] & B[i] is minimum, this could be done by divide

and conquer. we do this for all values of  $B_0$

time complexity :  $O(m \times \log n)$

Proof of correctness :

after  $i^{th}$  iteration optimal sol<sup>n</sup> should lie b/w  $start_i$  &  $end_i$

after  $i-1$  iteration let  $mid = \frac{start + end}{2}$

we will now have 3 cases.

(1:  $dist(A[mid], B[i]) \geq dist(A[mid-1], B[i])$

we will now move to the left half of  $mid$  to find minimum

(2:  $dist(A[mid], B[i]) \geq dist(A[mid+1], B[i])$

we will now move to the right half of  $mid$  to find minimum

(3: if both RHS distances are ~~less~~ greater than  $dist(A[mid], B[i])$

the ~~correct~~ sol<sup>n</sup> is found.